

MACROECONOMETRIC MODELS II

MARKUS SAILER

German Pension Insurance Department for Research and Development Berlin; FRG; Baku, RA Fall 2015





Gesellschaft für Versicherungswissenschaft und -gestaltung e.V.





- 1. Introduction: History, purpose and types of macro-econometric models
- 2. Single-equation econometrics a reminder
- 3. Multi-equation econometrics: methods and problems
- 4. Structure of macro-econometric models
- 5. Forecasting with macro-econometric models
- 6. Policy simulation with macro-econometric models
- 7. Practical problems: data collection, up-dating, staffing of project team

SINGLE-EQUATION ECONOMETRICS-A REMINDER

Summary

A SIMPLE EXAMPLE: NATIONAL ECONOMY

Definition Y: Gross Domestic Product	Y(t) = C(t) + I(t) + G(t) + X(t) - M(t)
Consumption function of private households C	$C(t) = \alpha 0 + \alpha 1 * Y(t - 1)$
Private Investment function I	$I(t) = \lambda * (Y(t) - Y(t - 1))$
Government spending G	G (t): exogenous
Exports X	X(t): exogenous
Imports M	$M(t) = \gamma 0 + y1 * Y(t)$

SUMMARY OF SINGLE EQUATION

Theoretical Model	$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{\beta}1 + \mathbf{\beta}2 * \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{u}$
Statistical model: Fitted values	$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{X}$
OLS Estimator of b1 (Intercept)	$\boldsymbol{b}_1 = \overline{Y} - \boldsymbol{b}_2 \overline{X}$
OLS Estimator of b2 (slope)	$b_2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2}$
Goodness of fit:	$R^{2} = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = \frac{\sum (\hat{Y}_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}}{\sum (Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}}$

MODEL SOFTWARE: gret/

• gretl: Gnu Regression, Econometrics and Time-series Library

- Source in Internet for a free download
- <u>http://gretl.sourceforge.net/</u>

SINGLE EQUATION ESTIMATION

- Application of "gretl" econometric software
- Consumption function
- Investment function
- Import function

Consumption, Germany Time Series



GDP, Germany, Time Series



Consumption Function

• Modell 1: KQ, benutze die Beobachtungen 1992-2014 (T = 23)

• Abhängige Variable: CONSUMGER

• Koeffizient Std. Fehler t-Quotient p-Wert

- const 100,847 28,4018 3,5507 0,0019 ***
- INCOMEGER_1 0,534741 0,0127219 42,0333 <0,0001 ***
- Mittel d. abh. Var. 1280,696 Stdabw. d. abh. Var. 187,2959
- Summe d. quad. Res. 9065,268 Stdfehler d. Regress. 20,77690
- R-Quadrat
 0,988254
 Korrigiertes R-Quadrat
 0,987694
- **F(1, 21) 1766,796** P-Wert(F) 9,37e-22
- Log-Likelihood –101,3678 Akaike-Kriterium 206,7355
- Schwarz-Kriterium 209,0065 Hannan-Quinn-Kriterium 207,3067
- rho 0,169094 Durbin-Watson-Stat 1,647511

Goodness of fit

Tatsächliche und angepasste CONSUMGER



MULTI-EQUATION ECONOMETRICS: METHODS AND PROBLEMS

MODEL ESTIMATION

- Application of "gretl" econometric software
- BEHAVIOURAL EQUATIONS
- Consumption function
- Investment function
- Import function
- Government consumption

- EXOGENOUS VARIABLES
- Government consumption
- Exports
- **DEFINITION**
- Gross domestic product

Model Results I

gret1 Output fäller MS 2015-09-01 03:39, Seite 1

Gieichungrzysten, Scheinbar unverbundene Regreggionen

Cleichung 1: SUR, benutze die Bechachtungen 1992-2013 (T = 22) Nahängige Variable: CONSUMGER

Koeffizient Std.-fehler t-Quotient p-Wert INCOMEGER 0,564301 0,00155185 363,6 2,21e-041 *** Nittel d. abh. Var. 1265,955 Stdabw. d. abh. Var. 177,5228 Summe d. guad. Rez. 6605,304 Stdfehler d. Regrezz. 17,32747 Gleichung 2: SUR, benutze die Beobachtungen 1992-2013 (T = 22) Nahängige Variable: INVESTGER

Model Results II

	Koeffizient	Stdfebler	t-Quotiest	p-Weart
A_DICOMEGER	5,33774	0,830885	6,424	2,29-06 ***
Nittel d. abh.	Var. 471,	6364 Stdater.	d. abh. Var.	42,33151
Summe d. guad.	Reg. 189	2414 Stdfeb1	er d. Regrezzi.	293,2897
Abhängige Vari	able: DAPORTO	Stdfehler	t-Quotient	p-Wert
INCOMEGER.	0,323789	0,0136547	23,71	1,210-016 ***
Nittel d. abh.	Var. 694,	0455 Stdalar.	d. abh. Var.	250,0064
Sume d. guad.	Reg. 4646	40,7 Stdfebi	er d. Regress.	145,3273

Model Results III

```
Cleichungrübergreifende Reziduen-Var-Kov-Mat
(Über der Diagonalen Korrelationen)
```

300,24	(0, 492)	(-0,558)
2498,2	86019,	(-0,151)
-1404,5	-6422,5	21120,

```
log-Determinante = 20,340
Breusch-Pagan-Test für diagonale Kovariansmatrix:
   Chi-Quadrat(3) = 12,0597 [0,0054]
```

Cf: Annex:

Presentation based on

Dougherty: Introduction to Econometrics 4e

http://global.oup.com/uk/orc/busecon/economics/dougherty4e/



ANNEX

Presentation based on

Dougherty: Introduction to Econometrics 4e

http://global.oup.com/uk/orc/busecon/economics/dougherty4e/

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

This sequence shows why OLS is likely to yield inconsistent estimates in models composed of two or more simultaneous relationships.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

In this example we suppose that we have data on *p*, the annual rate of price inflation, *w*, the annual rate of wage inflation, and *U*, the rate of unemployment, for a sample of countries.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

We hypothesize that increases in wages lead to increases in prices and so *p* is positively influenced by $w(\beta_2 > 0)$.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

We also suppose that workers try to protect their real wages by negotiating for increases in wages as prices rise, but their ability to so is the weaker, the greater is the rate of unemployment ($\alpha_2 > 0$, $\alpha_3 < 0$).

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

endogenous: p, w

The model involves some circularity, in that *w* is a determinant of *p*, and *p* is a determinant of *w*. Variables whose values are determined interactively within the model are described as endogenous variables.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

endogenous: p, w

exogenous: U

We will cut through the circularity by expressing p and w in terms of their ultimate determinants, U and the disturbance terms u_p and u_w . Variables such as U whose values are determined outside the model are described as exogenous variables.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$

We will start with *p*. The first step is to substitute for *w* from the second equation.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

We bring the terms involving *p* together on the left side of the equation and thus express *p* in terms of *U*, u_p , and u_w .

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

Next we take the equation for w and substitute for p from the first equation.

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p \qquad \qquad w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

We bring the terms involving *w* together on the left side of the equation and thus express *w* in terms of U, u_p , and u_w .

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u$$

w

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

The original equations, representing the economic relationships among the variables, are described as the structural equations.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

The equations expressing the endogenous variables in terms of the exogenous variable(s) and the disturbance terms are described as the reduced form equations.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

The reduced form equations have two important roles. They can indicate that we have a serious econometric problem, but they may also provide a solution to it.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u$$

w

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

reduced form

equation

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

The problem is the violation of Assumption B.7 that the disturbance term be distributed independently of the explanatory variable(s). In the first equation, w has a component u_p . OLS would therefore yield inconsistent estimates if used to fit the equation.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \frac{p}{p} + \alpha_3 U + \frac{u_w}{w}$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

Likewise, in the second equation, p has a component u_w .
structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(p_i - \overline{p})}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

We will investigate the sign of the bias in the slope coefficient if OLS is used to fit the price inflation equation.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(p_i - \overline{p})}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

reduced form equation

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

As usual we start by substituting for the dependent variable using the true model. For this purpose, we could use either the structural equation or the reduced form equation for *p*.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_{2}^{\text{OLS}} = \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})(p_{i} - \overline{p})}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})([\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}w_{i} + u_{pi}] - [\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}\overline{w} + \overline{u}_{p}])}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$

reduced form equation

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

The algebra is simpler if we use the structural equation.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_{2}^{\text{OLS}} = \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})(p_{i} - \overline{p})}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})([\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}w_{i} + u_{pi}] - [\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}\overline{w} + \overline{u}_{p}])}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})(\beta_{2}[w_{i} - \overline{w}] + u_{pi} - \overline{u}_{p})}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$

The β_1 terms cancel. We rearrange the rest of the second factor in the numerator.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_{2}^{\text{OLS}} = \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})(p_{i} - \overline{p})}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})([\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}w_{i} + u_{pi}] - [\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}\overline{w} + \overline{u}_{pi}]}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})(\beta_{2}[w_{i} - \overline{w}] + u_{pi} - \overline{u}_{p})}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$

$$= \beta_{2} + \frac{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_{p})}{\sum (w_{i} - \overline{w})^{2}}$$

Hence we obtain the usual decomposition into true value and error term.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

We will now investigate the properties of the error term. Of course, we would like it to have expected value 0, making the estimator unbiased.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

reduced
form
equation
$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

However, the error term is a nonlinear function of both u_p and u_w because both are components of w. As a consequence, it is not possible to obtain a closed-form analytical expression for its expected value.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

reduced
form
equation
$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

We will investigate the large-sample properties instead. We will demonstrate that the estimator is inconsistent, and this will imply that it has undesirable finite-sample properties.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{plim} A}{\operatorname{plim} B} \quad \text{if } A \text{ and } B \text{ have probability limits} \\ \text{and plim} B \text{ is not } 0.$$

We focus on the error term. We would like to use the plim quotient rule. The plim of a quotient is the plim of the numerator divided by the plim of the denominator, provided that both of these limits exist.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{plim} A}{\operatorname{plim} B} \quad \text{if } A \text{ and } B \text{ have probability limits} \\ \operatorname{and plim} B \text{ is not } 0.$$

However, as the expression stands, the numerator and the denominator of the error term do not have limits. The denominator increases indefinitely as the sample size increases. The nominator has no particular limit.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\sum(w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum(w_i - \overline{w})^2}\right) = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_i - \overline{w})^2}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{plim} A}{\operatorname{plim} B} \quad \text{if } A \text{ and } B \text{ have probability limits} \\ \operatorname{and plim} B \text{ is not } 0.$$

To deal with this problem, we divide both the numerator and the denominator by *n*.

.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\sum(w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum(w_i - \overline{w})^2}\right) = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_i - \overline{w})^2}\right)$$

$$\operatorname{plim} \frac{1}{n} \sum (w_i - \overline{w}) (u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p) = \operatorname{cov}(w, u_p)$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_i-\overline{w})^2=\operatorname{var}(w)$$

It can be shown that the limit of the numerator is the covariance of w and u_p and the limit of the denominator is the variance of w.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})(u_{pi} - \overline{u}_p)}{\sum (w_i - \overline{w})^2}$$

$$\operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\sum(w_{i}-\overline{w})(u_{pi}-\overline{u}_{p})}{\sum(w_{i}-\overline{w})^{2}}\right) = \operatorname{plim}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_{i}-\overline{w})(u_{pi}-\overline{u}_{p})}{\frac{1}{n}\sum(w_{i}-\overline{w})^{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{cov}(w,u_{p})}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

Hence the numerator and the denominator of the error term have limits and we are entitled to implement the plim quotient rule. We need var(w) to be non-zero, but this will be the case assuming that there is some variation in w.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w) = \operatorname{cov}\left(u_p, \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right)$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

We will now derive the limiting value of the numerator. The first step is to substitute for *w* from its reduced form equation. (*Note*: Here we must use the reduced form equation. If we use the structural equation, we will find ourselves going round in circles.)

 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

structural equations

$$plim b_2^{OLS} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

 $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},w) = \operatorname{cov}\left(u_{p},\frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}+\alpha_{3}U+\alpha_{2}u_{p}+u_{w}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}} \begin{cases} \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},[\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}]) + \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{3}U) \\ + \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{2}u_{p}) + \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},u_{w}) \end{cases}$$

We use Covariance Rule 1 to decompose the expression.

 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

structural equations

$$plim b_2^{OLS} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

 $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},w) = \operatorname{cov}\left(u_{p},\frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}+\alpha_{3}U+\alpha_{2}u_{p}+u_{w}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\left\{\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},[\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}])+\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{3}U)\right\}$$
$$+ \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{2}u_{p})+\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},u_{w})\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\left(0+0+\alpha_{2}\operatorname{var}(u_{p})+0\right) = \frac{\alpha_{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}$$

The first term is 0 because $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1)$ is a constant. The second term is 0 because *U* is exogenous and so distributed independently of u_p

 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

structural equations

$$\text{plim } b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\text{cov}(u_p, w)}{\text{var}(w)}$$

 $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},w) = \operatorname{cov}\left(u_{p},\frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}+\alpha_{3}U+\alpha_{2}u_{p}+u_{w}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\left\{\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},[\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}])+\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{3}U)\right\}$$
$$+ \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{2}u_{p})+\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},u_{w})\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\left(0+0+\alpha_{2}\operatorname{var}(u_{p})+0\right) = \frac{\alpha_{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}$$

The fourth term is 0 if the disturbance terms are distributed independently of each other. This is not necessarily the case but, for simplicity, we will assume it to be true.

 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

structural equations

$$plim b_2^{OLS} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

 $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},w) = \operatorname{cov}\left(u_{p},\frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}+\alpha_{3}U+\alpha_{2}u_{p}+u_{w}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\left\{\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},[\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\beta_{1}])+\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{3}U)\right\}$$
$$+ \operatorname{cov}(u_{p},\alpha_{2}u_{p})+\operatorname{cov}(u_{p},u_{w})\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}\left(0+0+\alpha_{2}\operatorname{var}(u_{p})+0\right) = \frac{\alpha_{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{1-\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}}$$

However, the third term is nonzero because the limiting value of a sample variance is the corresponding population variance.

 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

structural equations

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

 $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$\operatorname{var}(w) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right)$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

If we were interested in obtaining an explicit mathematical expression for the large-sample bias, we would decompose var(w) in the same way, substituting for *w* from the reduced form, expanding, and then simplifying as best we can.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_p,w) = \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

However, usually we are content with determining the sign of the large sample bias, if we can. Since variances are always positive, the sign of the bias will depend on the sign of $cov(u_p, w)$.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_p,w) = \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

The sign of the bias will depend on the sign of the term $(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)$, since α_2 must be positive and the variance components are positive.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w) + u_p$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) p = \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p\right) + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$
$$(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w$$

reduced form equation

$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

Looking at the reduced form equation for *w*, *w* should be a decreasing function of *U*. α_3 should be negative. So $(1 - \alpha_2\beta_2)$ must be positive. We conclude that, in this particular case, the large-sample bias is positive.



In fact, $(1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2)$ being positive is a condition for the existence of equilibrium in this model. Suppose that the exogenous variable *U* changed by an amount ΔU . The immediate effect on *w* would be to change it by $\alpha_3 \Delta U$ (in the opposite direction, since $\alpha_3 < 0$).

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

 $\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$

This would cause *p* to change by $\beta_2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U + \alpha_2 \Delta p$$
$$= (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

This would cause a secondary change in *w* equal to $\alpha_2\beta_2\alpha_3\Delta U$.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U + \alpha_2 \Delta p$$
$$= (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

This in turn would cause *p* to change by a secondary amount $\alpha_2 \beta_2^2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$.

structural $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U + \alpha_2 \Delta p$$
$$= (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U + \alpha_2 \Delta p$$
$$= \left(1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 + \alpha_2^2 \beta_2^2\right) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

This would cause *w* to change by a further amount $\alpha_2^2 \beta_2^2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U + \alpha_2 \Delta p$$
$$= (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta p = \beta_2 \Delta w = \beta_2 (1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$$

$$\Delta w = \alpha_3 \Delta U + \alpha_2 \Delta p$$

= $(1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 + \alpha_2^2 \beta_2^2) \alpha_3 \Delta U$
= $(1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 + \alpha_2^2 \beta_2^2 + \alpha_2^3 \beta_2^3 + ...) \alpha_3 \Delta U$

And so on and so forth. The total change will be finite only if $\alpha_2\beta_2 < 1$. Otherwise the process would be explosive, which is implausible.

.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_p,w) = \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

Either way, we have demonstrated that $1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2 > 0$ and hence that, in this case, the bias is positive. Note that one cannot generalize about the direction of simultaneous equations bias. It depends on the structure of the model.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

In the previous slideshow we determined analytically the large-sample simultaneous equations bias for the price inflation / wage inflation model. Next we will look at the bias graphically.

structural equations
$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$
 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$\operatorname{var}(w) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\beta_1}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2} + \frac{\alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right)$$

reduced
form
$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

For this, it will be necessary to obtain an explicit expression for var(*w*). Variances are unaffected by additive constants, so the first part of the expression may be dropped.

equations
$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$
 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$\operatorname{var}(w) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\beta_1}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2} + \frac{\alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right)$$
$$= \left\{\frac{1}{\left(1 - \alpha_2\beta_2\right)^2}\right\} \left\{ \operatorname{var}(\alpha_3U) + \operatorname{var}(\alpha_2u_p) + \operatorname{var}(u_w) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_3U, \alpha_2u_p) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_3U, \alpha_2u_p) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_3U, \alpha_2u_p) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_2u_p, u_w) \right\}$$

Using Variance Rule 1, the numerator decomposes into three variances and three covariances. The denominator is a constant common factor and may be taken, squared, outside the expression using Variance Rule 2.

equations
$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$
 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$\operatorname{var}(w) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\beta_1}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2} + \frac{\alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\alpha_3U + \alpha_2u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2\beta_2}\right)$$
$$= \left\{\frac{1}{\left(1 - \alpha_2\beta_2\right)^2}\right\} \begin{cases} \operatorname{var}(\alpha_3U) + \operatorname{var}(\alpha_2u_p) + \operatorname{var}(u_w) \\ + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_3U, u_w) + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_3U, \alpha_2u_p) \\ + 2\operatorname{cov}(\alpha_2u_p, u_w) \end{cases}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_3^2\sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2\sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}{\left(1 - \alpha_2\beta_2\right)^2}$$

The covariances are all 0 on the assumption that U, u_p , and u_w are distributed independently of each other. Thus the numerator consists of the variance expressions. Remember that we have to square α_3 and α_2 when we take them out of the variance expressions.

1

structural $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ equations

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + \frac{\operatorname{cov}(u_p, w)}{\operatorname{var}(w)}$$

$$\operatorname{cov}(u_p,w) = \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

$$\operatorname{var}(w) = \frac{\alpha_{3}^{2} \sigma_{U}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2} \sigma_{u_{p}}^{2} + \sigma_{u_{w}}^{2}}{(1 - \alpha_{2} \beta_{2})^{2}}$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + (1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}$$

Hence we obtain an explicit expression for the plim of the slope coefficient.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + (1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}$$

$$= \beta_2 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_2} - \beta_2\right) \frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}$$

To look at the bias graphically, it is helpful to rearrange the expression.

structural $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$ equations plim $b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + (1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_u^2}$ $=\beta_2 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_2} - \beta_2\right) \frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}$ $=\beta_{2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{u}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u}^{2}+\sigma_{u}^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{u}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u}^{2}+\sigma_{u}^{2}}\right)$

We have now gathered the terms involving β_2 together.
structural $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$ equations plim $b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 + (1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2) \frac{\alpha_2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_p}^2}$ $=\beta_2+\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_2}-\beta_2\right)\frac{\alpha_2^2\sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2\sigma_U^2+\alpha_2^2\sigma_u^2+\sigma_u^2}$ $=\beta_{2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{U}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}+\sigma_{u_{m}}^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{U}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}+\sigma_{u_{m}}^{2}}\right)$ $=\beta_{2}\left(\frac{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{U}^{2}+\sigma_{u_{w}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{U}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u}^{2}+\sigma_{u}^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\sigma_{U}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\sigma_{u}^{2}+\sigma_{u}^{2}}\right)$

Thus we see that the limiting value of the OLS estimator is a weighted average of the true value β_2 and $1/\alpha_2$.

structural equations

$$p = \beta_1 + \frac{\beta_2}{w} + u_p$$

$$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2} \right)$$

reduced form equation	$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$
reduced form equation	$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$

Variations in *U* cause variations in *p* and *w*, the change in *p* being β_2 times the change in *w*. Such movements trace out the true relationship.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2} \right)$$

reduced form equation	$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$
reduced form equation	$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$

Likewise, variations in u_p cause variations in p and w, the change in p being $1/\alpha_2$ times the change in w.



We will illustrate this with a Monte Carlo model, choosing parameter values as shown above. The sample size 1s 20 and the values of *U* are 2, to 6.75 in steps of 0.25.

structural equations	$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$	$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$
	$p = 1.5 + 0.5w + u_p$	w = 2.5 + 0.5 p - 0.4 U
	n = 20. U = 2 to 6.75 in steps of 0.25. Var $u_p \sim \text{iid } N(0, 0.64).$ u_w dropped.	iance 2.08.
reduced form equation	$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$	$+\beta_2 u_w = 3.67 - 0.27U + 1.33u_p$
reduced form equation	$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$	$+u_w$ = 4.33 - 0.53U + 0.67u _p

Given the values of the parameters, the reduced form equations are as shown.



This is what we would see if there were no disturbance terms in the model.



Note that the values of p and w in each observation are jointly determined by the value of U in that observation. A change in U changes p by only half the amount it changes w. Thus the slope is 0.5.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$ $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$p = 1.5 + 0.5w + u_p$$
 $w = 2.5 + 0.5p - 0.4U$

plim
$$b_2^{\text{OLS}} = \beta_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \left(\frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2}{\alpha_3^2 \sigma_U^2 + \alpha_2^2 \sigma_{u_p}^2 + \sigma_{u_w}^2} \right)$$

reduced
form
equation
$$p = \frac{\beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_2 U + u_p + \beta_2 u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

reduced
form
equation
$$w = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_3 U + \alpha_2 u_p + u_w}{1 - \alpha_2 \beta_2}$$

At the same time, *p* and *w* are both affected by variations in u_p , the change in *p* being $1/\alpha_2$ times the change in *w*.



As a consequence the actual observations are shifted away from the true relationship up or down along lines with slope $1/\alpha_2$, the dotted lines in the graph. Since α_2 is 0.5, $1/\alpha_2$ is 2.0.



The regression line thus overestimates β_2 .

equations
$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$
 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$p = 1.5 + 0.5w + u_p$$
 $w = 2.5 + 0.5p - 0.4U$

We will calculate the large-sample bias in the slope coefficient. U and u_p were chosen so that they had population variances 2.08 and 0.64, respectively.

structural equations
$$p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$$
 $w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$

$$p = 1.5 + 0.5w + u_p$$
 $w = 2.5 + 0.5p - 0.4U$

$$p \lim b_{2}^{OLS} = \beta_{2} \left(\frac{\alpha_{3}^{2} \sigma_{U}^{2} + \sigma_{u_{w}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} \sigma_{U}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2} \sigma_{u_{p}}^{2} + \sigma_{u_{w}}^{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2} \sigma_{u_{p}}^{2}}{\alpha_{3}^{2} \sigma_{U}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2} \sigma_{u_{p}}^{2} + \sigma_{u_{w}}^{2}} \right)$$
$$= 0.5 \left(\frac{0.16 \times 2.08}{0.16 \times 2.08 + 0.25 \times 0.64} \right)$$
$$+ 2.0 \left(\frac{0.25 \times 0.64}{0.16 \times 2.08 + 0.25 \times 0.64} \right)$$
$$= 0.99$$
$$\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2} = 0.64$$
$$\sigma_{u_{p}}^{2} = 0$$

The large sample bias is 0.99. Our regression estimate, 1.11, was a little higher.

structur equatio	s $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$		$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_3 U + u_w$			u _w	
		$p = 1.5 + \frac{0.5}{w} + u_p$			w = 2.5 + 0.5 p - 0.4 U		
		b ₁	s.e.(<i>b</i> ₁)		b ₂	s.e.(<i>b</i> ₂)	
	1	0.36	0.49		1.11	0.22	
	2	0.45	0.38		1.06	0.17	
	3	0.65	0.27		0.94	0.12	
	4	0.41	0.39		0.98	0.19	
	5	0.46	0.92		0.77	0.22	
	6	0.26	0.35		1.09	0.16	
	7	0.31	0.39		1.00	0.19	
	8	1.06	0.38		0.82	0.16	
	9	-0.08	0.36		1.16	0.18	
	10	1.12	0.43		0.69	0.20	

Here are the results for 10 samples in this simulation. The slope coefficient was overestimated every time and does appear to be distributed around its plim, 0.99.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2$		$\beta_2 w + u_p$		$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$		
<i>p</i> = <mark>1.5</mark>		$-0.5w+u_p$		w = 2.5 +		
		b 1	s.e.(<i>b</i> ₁)	b ₂	s.e.(<i>b</i> ₂)	
	1	0.36	0.49	1.11	0.22	
	2	0.45	0.38	1.06	0.17	
	3	0.65	0.27	0.94	0.12	
	4	0.41	0.39	0.98	0.19	
	5	0.46	0.92	0.77	0.22	
	6	0.26	0.35	1.09	0.16	
	7	0.31	0.39	1.00	0.19	
	8	1.06	0.38	0.82	0.16	
	9	<mark>-0.08</mark>	0.36	1.16	0.18	
	10	1.12	0.43	0.69	0.20	

Because the slope coefficient was overestimated, the intercept was underestimated every time.

structural equations $p = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w + u_p$		u _p	$w = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$p + \alpha_3 b$	$U + u_w$		
	р	= 1.5 + 0.5w + 0.5w	w = 2.5 + 0	w = 2.5 + 0.5 p - 0.4 U			
		<i>b</i> ₁ s.e.(<i>b</i> ₁)) b ₂	b ₂ s.e.(b ₂)		
	1	0.36	0.49	1.11	0.22		
	2	0.45	0.38	1.06	0.17		
	3	0.65	0.27	0.94	0.12		
	4	0.41	0.39	0.98	0.19		
	5	0.46	0.92	0.77	0.22		
	6	0.26	0.35	1.09	<mark>0.16</mark>		
	7	0.31	0.39	1.00	<mark>0.19</mark>		
	8	1.06	0.38	0.82	<mark>0.16</mark>		
	9	-0.08	0.36	1.16	0.18		
	10	1.12	0.43	0.69	0.20		

No attention should be paid to the standard errors because they are invalidated by the simultaneous equations bias.



The chart plots the distribution of the slope coefficient for 1 million samples. Almost all the estimates are above the true value of 0.5, confirming the large-sample analysis.



The plim (0.99) in this case provides a good guide to the size of the bias since the mean of the distribution is 0.95, fairly close to the plim even though, with only 20 observations, each sample was quite small.

Copyright Christopher Dougherty 2012.

These slideshows may be downloaded by anyone, anywhere for personal use. Subject to respect for copyright and, where appropriate, attribution, they may be used as a resource for teaching an econometrics course. There is no need to refer to the author.

The content of this slideshow comes from Section 9.2 of C. Dougherty, Introduction to Econometrics, fourth edition 2011, Oxford University Press. Additional (free) resources for both students and instructors may be downloaded from the OUP Online Resource Centre <u>http://www.oup.com/uk/orc/bin/9780199567089/</u>.

Individuals studying econometrics on their own who feel that they might benefit from participation in a formal course should consider the London School of Economics summer school course EC212 Introduction to Econometrics <u>http://www2.lse.ac.uk/study/summerSchools/summerSchool/Home.aspx</u> or the University of London International Programmes distance learning course EC2020 Elements of Econometrics www.londoninternational.ac.uk/lse.





Thank you very much! Vielen Dank!





