

SOCIAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2017

REVIEW OF THE SOCIAL PROTECTION PERFORMANCE
MONITOR AND DEVELOPMENTS IN SOCIAL
PROTECTION POLICIES



European Commission

Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion
1049 Brussels
BELGIUM

Manuscript completed in October 2017

Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use that might be made of the following information.

Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2017

Cover image: © Shutterstock

© European Union, 2017

Reuse is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

The reuse policy of European Commission documents is regulated by Decision 2011/833/EU (OJ L 330, 14.12.2011, p. 39).

For any use or reproduction of photos or other material that is not under the EU copyright, permission must be sought directly from the copyright holders.

SOCIAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2017

REVIEW OF THE SOCIAL PROTECTION PERFORMANCE
MONITOR AND DEVELOPMENTS IN SOCIAL
PROTECTION POLICIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	7
Main messages.....	8
I. Introduction	11
II. Progress on the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target.....	12
III. Overview of the social situation in the European Union	15
SPPM dashboard 2017	22
Latest indications from available 2016 EU-SILC data	24
IV. Analysis of the key social challenges and good social outcomes in EU Member States and a review of latest developments in social protection policies.....	26
Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services.....	26
Adequate and sustainable pensions	29
Accessible, high-quality and sustainable health care and long-term care.....	31
Adequate social protection for long-term care needs.....	33
Synthesis table of key social challenges and good social outcomes, 2012-2015	36
V. Key policy messages from the SPC thematic in-depth reviews.....	38
Reconciliation between private and public life	38
Social protection aspects of sickness benefits	39
The role of social protection systems in facilitating the social inclusion of refugees and asylum-seekers	41
Gaps in access to social protection for self-employed and atypical workers.....	43
Make work pay – moving away from benefit dependency	45
Annex 1. Detailed review of social developments in the EU: SPPM results	46
Introduction	46
Summary of developments in the social situation in the EU	47

The social situation in the European Union	49
Positive macro-economic and labour market context	49
Still little progress towards the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target	57
Relative poverty risk still edging up and its persistence is an increasing problem	61
Negative developments still observed in the depth of poverty risk in several countries, while more timely data on material deprivation and household income suggest a continuing improvement in living standards in many Member States	66
Strong declines in long-term exclusion from the labour market but it remains a continuing challenge	70
The share of the working poor is a concern	73
Addressing child poverty and youth exclusion remain priorities	75
Income inequality has grown within Member States	82
Continuing weakening in the effectiveness of income support systems for those furthest away from the labour market	84
Continued and widespread improvement in the employment rate of older workers	87
Pensions continue to avert income poverty for many	89
Health outcomes and access to health services	94
Developments in access to housing and homelessness	97
Trends in social expenditure and the take-up of selected social benefits	102
References	109
SPPM dashboard methodology	111
SPPM methodology used for the identification of Member States' key social challenges and good social outcomes	113
Definitions and data sources	120
Annex 2. SPPM Country Profiles	125
BELGIUM	126
BULGARIA	138
CZECH REPUBLIC	151

DENMARK.....	165
GERMANY.....	177
ESTONIA.....	190
IRELAND.....	204
GREECE.....	217
SPAIN.....	230
FRANCE.....	243
CROATIA.....	255
ITALY.....	267
CYPRUS.....	280
LATVIA.....	293
LITHUANIA.....	306
LUXEMBOURG.....	319
HUNGARY.....	332
MALTA.....	346
NETHERLANDS.....	360
AUSTRIA.....	372
Poland.....	387
Portugal.....	400
ROMANIA.....	413
SLOVENIA.....	426
SLOVAKIA.....	438
FINLAND.....	451

Sweden465
United Kingdom.....477

Abbreviation	Full name
EU28	European Union (28 countries)
EU27	European Union (27 countries)
EA18/19	Euro area (18/19 countries)
BE	Belgium
BG	Bulgaria
CZ	Czech Republic
DK	Denmark
DE	Germany
EE	Estonia
IE	Ireland
EL	Greece
ES	Spain
FR	France
HR	Croatia
IT	Italy
CY	Cyprus
LV	Latvia
LT	Lithuania
LU	Luxembourg
HU	Hungary
MT	Malta
NL	Netherlands
AT	Austria
PL	Poland
PT	Portugal
RO	Romania
SI	Slovenia
SK	Slovakia
FI	Finland
SE	Sweden
UK	United Kingdom

Acknowledgments

The present report has been prepared as part of the mandate given to the Social Protection Committee (SPC) by the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) to monitor the social situation in the European Union and the development of social protection policies (art. 160 of TFEU).

The report is prepared by the Secretariat of the Committee and its Indicators' Sub-group. The Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion provided the analysis and calculations used in the report with the extensive assistance and data provision of Eurostat. The principal authors are Kornelia Kozovska and Paul Minty, with specific contributions from Bent-Ole Grooss and Federico Pratesi. The members of the SPC and its Indicators Subgroup contributed extensively to the drafting of the report and its key messages. The report was approved by the SPC on 18th September 2017. The Council of the European Union endorsed the key messages of the report on 23rd October 2017.

The list of SPC Members appears on the following link:

<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=758&langId=en>

The list of members of the SPC Indicators Subgroup appears on the following link:

<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=830&langId=en>

Main messages

(endorsed by the Council of the European Union on 23 October 2017)

1. Delivering on its mandate as per art 160 of the TFEU, the SPC has produced for the Council its annual review of the social situation in the EU and the social policy developments in the Member States, based on the most recent data and information available. On this basis, the SPC highlights the following findings and common priorities for social policy reforms which should guide the preparatory work for the 2018 Annual Growth Survey.

2. The latest update¹ of the Social Protection Performance Monitor points to a continued improvement in the social situation in the EU with most social indicators flagging up positive changes in many Member States.

3. For the EU as a whole the following main *social trends to watch* have been identified:

On the positive side, there are

- rises in household disposable income along with reductions in the risk of poverty or social exclusion driven by significant reductions in the severe material deprivation rate and the share of the population living in (quasi-)jobless households;
- strong signs of reductions in long term unemployment and in youth exclusion, reflecting improvements in the labour market;
- continued improvements in the labour market participation of older workers.

However, there is

- continued deterioration of the depth and persistence of poverty in a number of Member States, and signs of gaps in the adequacy of social benefits as evidenced in the rise in the poverty risk for people living in (quasi-) jobless households;
- overall, inequality increases due to the crisis, that have not yet begun to reverse.

4. Looking at the longer-term developments since the start of the Europe 2020 Strategy, for most social areas the situation still remains noticeably worse as a result of the economic crisis. Despite recent improvements, labour market exclusion, especially for young people, increased income inequality, depth of poverty, and challenges related to housing access remain areas of biggest concern. In the same period the relative income and living conditions of the elderly were showing improvements.

5. The EU continues to be far off-track in reaching its Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target, even when the most recent and more encouraging data is taken into account. The latest data shows around 1.7 million more people at risk of poverty or social exclusion in the EU28 compared to 2008², and a total of 118.8 million or close to 1 in 4 Europeans.

¹ Indicators based on EU-SILC refer to the latest available data which is 2015; indicators based on EU-LFS refer to 2016.

² The reference year, due to data availability, for the Europe 2020 social inclusion target adopted in 2010.

6. Nearly half of Member States have substantial room for improvement in terms of the effectiveness of benefits for the working age population. At the same time, in-work poverty remains a key social challenge for a number of Member States, with a potential for mutual learning as other countries register very good outcomes in addressing it. Policy reforms based on the active inclusion approach is important for ensuring better social outcomes, as shown from this year's in-depth thematic review undertaken by SPC on making work pay.

7. As new forms of work are increasing, with an important impact on traditional employment relations, the issue of access to social protection for an increasing part of the working population becomes important. Social protection systems will have to adapt and be better tailored to the contribution capacities and the protection needs of various types of self-employed and atypical workers.

8. There are still over 25 million children in the EU28 living at risk of poverty or social exclusion in 2015, some 0.7 million fewer than the previous year and accounting for around 1/5 of all people living in poverty or social exclusion. Some improvement is seen in recent years but the effectiveness of social protection for children remains an important challenge for close to half of all Member States. Reducing child poverty and breaking the poverty cycle across generations require integrated strategies that combine prevention and support.

9. Inadequate or ill-designed work-life balance policies contribute to women's underrepresentation in the labour market, and in turn women's reduced earnings, lower social security contributions and their higher risk of poverty and social exclusion, especially in old age. As discussed at the dedicated thematic review, compensated, flexible and non-transferable family-related leaves and flexible working arrangements can support women in balancing their family and professional responsibilities while enabling fathers to take up more caring responsibilities.

10. In a vast majority of Member States challenges are identified in relation to poverty or social exclusion for persons in vulnerable situations, such as persons with disabilities, making it clear that the inclusiveness and adequacy of social protection systems is a key challenge across the EU. Social investment and preventive approaches are needed to strengthen all people's capacities to participate actively in society and the economy.

11. Significant differences remain in the access to quality health care among Member States with a strong income gradient. Population ageing and other factors, such as the high costs of innovative technologies and medicines, are putting increased pressure on the financial sustainability of health care systems and the ability to provide adequate healthcare for all. Recognizing Member States' national competence in the delivery and organisation of health services and medical care, further policy efforts are necessary to ensure universal access to high quality health services while securing their adequate and sustainable financing and making use of innovations and technological developments.

12. Access to adequate, affordable and quality long-term care, with an increasing focus on prevention, remains a priority. This may imply a shift from a primarily reactive to an increasingly proactive policy approach, which seeks both to prevent the loss of autonomy and thus reduce the

need for long-term care services, and to boost effective and good quality long-term care, integrating the health and social care elements of long-term care provision.

13. Addressing the impact of ageing has driven extensive pension reforms in recent years, focusing on equalising retirement ages for women and men and aligning the statutory pension age with life expectancy. The budgetary impact of population ageing poses a significant challenge to the long-term fiscal sustainability of pension system. However, reforms should not separate this from considerations of pension adequacy and the economic, social and political risks associated with the possibility of increasing monetary poverty and social exclusion among older people. An important part of the pension adequacy challenge is gender-specific. Increasing female labour market participation and closing the gender pay gap in order to address the gender pension gap, which remains very high in many Member States, are important aspects of the policy response. The role of social partners in this respect is a key factor for the success of policy reforms.

14. Overall, improving the performance of social protection systems in terms of poverty prevention and reduction as well as social investment will be essential to achieve the 2020 poverty and social exclusion target and contribute to upward convergence in the EU. Member States should uphold their efforts and ensure that social protection expenditure delivers better social outcomes while maximising the positive impact on quality employment and inclusive growth.

I. Introduction

The present report has been prepared as part of the mandate given to the Social Protection Committee (SPC) by the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) to monitor the social situation in the European Union and the development of social protection policies (art. 160 of TFEU).

The SPC is an advisory policy Committee which provides a representative forum for multilateral social policy coordination, dialogue and cooperation at EU level. It brings together policy makers from all EU Member States and the Commission in an effort to identify, discuss and implement the policy mix that is most fitted to respond to the various challenges faced by Member States in the area of social policies. It uses the social open method of coordination as the main policy framework combining all major social policy strands - social inclusion, pensions, health and long-term care - and focuses its work within these strands.

The main objective of the 2017 SPC Annual Report is to deliver on the mandate of the Committee and, through its analysis, to provide input to the Council on identifying the main social policy priorities to recommend to the Commission in the context of the preparation of the 2018 Annual Growth Survey. On the basis of the Social Protection Performance Monitor (SPPM) and Member States' social reporting, the report aims at i) analysing **the social situation**³, especially the progress towards the Europe 2020 target on reducing poverty and social exclusion and the latest common *social trends to watch*, and ii) **identifying the key structural social challenges facing individual Member States as well as their good social outcomes, and reviewing the most recent social policy developments in Europe**. Separate annexes to the report provide a more detailed review of social developments and the SPPM country profiles for each Member State.

³ The figures quoted in this report are based on data available around 30 May 2017, unless otherwise stated. This means that for EU-SILC based indicators **the most recent data available are for the 2015 survey and that is the reason why this reference year is used throughout the report for these indicators**.

II. Progress on the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target

In 2010 the EU Heads of States and Governments committed to lifting at least 20 million people out of being at risk of poverty or social exclusion⁴, in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy. This commitment stressed the equal importance of inclusive growth alongside economic objectives for the future of Europe, and it introduced a new monitoring and accountability scheme⁵. Within the framework of the Europe 2020 strategy, Member States set national poverty and social exclusion targets (Table 1). However, the individual poverty-reduction ambitions of the Member States sums to a figure much lower than the EU level commitment to reduce poverty and social exclusion by 20 million and are not always based on the headline composite indicator, the at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate (AROPE).

In 2015, 16 Member States registered significant falls in the share of the population at risk of poverty and social exclusion and only 3 observed significant rises, with overall figures for the EU population at risk of poverty or social exclusion pointing to a substantial fall of 3.1 million between 2014 and 2015. Underlying the fall in this overall figure are strong reductions in the population experiencing severe material deprivation (SMD, down 4.1 million) and in people living in (quasi-) jobless households (down 2.3 million), although the population at risk of poverty continued to rise by 0.7 million (Figure 1). Nevertheless, in 2015 there were still around 1.7 million more people living at risk of poverty or social exclusion in the EU compared to 2008⁶ and a total of 118.8 million or close to 1 in 4 Europeans. However, the provisional 2016 figures for SMD point to a further reduction in the EU over 2015-2016, with a drop of around 1.4 million.

Figure 1 shows time series since 2005 for the EU27 aggregate⁷. The overall trend masks persisting divergence between Member States. Substantially higher AROPE rates compared to 2008 are still observed in the countries most affected by the economic crisis (CY, EL, ES and IT), excluding IE where the rate has returned to around the pre-crisis level. A few other Member States have also started registering increased rates such as BG, MT and LU (although the latter two remain below the EU average). For half of Member States the AROPE rate in 2015 is close to the 2008 figure, while in six countries it is lower, most notably in PL and RO (Figure 2). Many Member States registered significant improvements between 2014 and 2015, most notably EE, IE, HU, LV and RO.

⁴ The EU poverty and social exclusion target is based on a combination of three indicators – the at-risk-of-poverty rate, the severe material deprivation rate, and the share of people living in (quasi-)jobless (i.e. very low work intensity) households. It considers people who find themselves in any of these three categories and, while very broad, it reflects the multiple facets of poverty and social exclusion across Europe. This definition extends the customary concept of relative income poverty to cover the non-monetary dimension of poverty and labour market exclusion.

⁵ COM (2010) 758 final

⁶ The reference year, due to data availability, for the target adopted in 2010


⁷ Note that figures here refer to the EU27 aggregate, since time series for the EU28 aggregate are not available back to 2005.

Table 1. Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target - national targets

	National 2020 target for the reduction of poverty or social exclusion (in number of persons)
EU28	20 000 000
BE	380 000
BG	260 000 persons living in monetary poverty*
CZ	100 000
DK	Reduction of the number of persons living in households with very low work intensity by 22 000 by 2020*
DE	Reduce the number of long-term unemployed by 320 000 by 2020*
EE	Reduction of the at risk of poverty rate after social transfers to 15%, equivalent to an absolute decrease by 36 248 persons*
IE	Reduce the number of person in combined poverty (either consistent poverty, at-risk-of-poverty or basic deprivation) by at least 200 000*
EL	450 000
ES	1 400 000-1 500 000
FR	1 900 000
HR	Reduction of the number of persons at risk of poverty or social exclusion to 1 220 000 by 2020
IT	2 200 000
CY	27 000 (or decrease the percentage from 23.3% in 2008 to 19.3% by 2020)
LV	Reduce the number of persons at the risk of poverty and/or of those living in households with low work intensity by 121 thousand or 21 % until 2020*
LT	170 000 (and the total number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion must not exceed 814 000 by 2020)
LU	6 000
HU	450 000
MT	6 560
NL	Reduce the number of people aged 0-64 living in a jobless household by 100 000 by 2020*
AT	235 000
PL	1 500 000
PT	200 000
RO	580 000
SI	40 000
SK	170 000
FI	140 000 (Reduce to 770 000 by 2020 the number of persons living at risk of poverty or social exclusion)
SE	Reduction of the % of women and men aged 20-64 who are not in the labour force (except full-time students), the long-term unemployed or those on long-term sick leave to well under 14%*
UK	New statutory and non-statutory Life Chances measures*

Source: National Reform Programmes. Notes: * denotes countries that have expressed their national target in relation to an indicator different to the EU headline target indicator (AROPE). For some of these Member States (BG, DK, EE, LV) it is expressed in terms of one or more of the components of AROPE, but for the others (DE, IE, NL (age range differs), SE and UK (target not yet defined)) the target is neither in terms of the AROPE nor the standard definition of one or more of its components.

Figure 1. Evolution of the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target, EU27⁸ (figures in 1000s)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (Quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households (i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households); SMD - severe material deprivation rate. For the at-risk-of-poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the UK (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the (quasi-) jobless households rate refers to the previous calendar year while for the severe material deprivation rate the current survey year. The 2016 figure for SMD is provisional.

Figure 2. At-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate (in %), evolution (in pp) 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	23.7	23.7	23.0	23.1	21.1	41.3	14.0	17.7	20.0	24.2	26.0	35.7	28.6	17.7	29.1	28.7
2014-2015 change in pp	-0.7	-0.7	~	~	~	1.2	-0.8	~	-0.6	-1.8	-1.7	~	-0.6	-0.8	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	1.4	1.4	~	3.2	~	n.a.	~	~	~	7.6	4.8	~	-2.0	3.2
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	28.9	30.9	29.3	18.5	28.2	22.4	16.4	18.3	23.4	26.6	37.4	19.2	18.4	16.8	16.0	23.5
2014-2015 change in pp	1.5	-1.8	2.0	~	-3.6	-1.4	~	-0.9	-1.3	-0.9	-2.9	-1.2	~	~	-0.9	-0.6
2008-2015 change in pp	5.6	-3.3	~	3.0	~	2.3	~	-2.3	-7.1	~	-6.8	~	-2.2	~	~	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For BG, major break in time series in 2014, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; ii) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); iii) For EE, major break in series in 2014. Hence change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iv) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then; v) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; vi) Only statistically and/or substantively significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes), using Eurostat computations of significance of net change. "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change).

⁸ Note figures here refer to the EU27 aggregate, since time series for the EU28 aggregate not available back to 2005.

III. Overview of the social situation in the European Union⁹

It is now around four years since the EU economy started its slow though consistent recovery following a double-dip recession. Increases in employment in the EU progressed gradually in line with economic growth, although with employment growth notably strong relative to the pace of GDP growth over the last two years, and compared to the trough observed in mid-2013 employment has increased by around 8.6 million people. As a result, the employment level in the EU now exceeds the 2008 peak, with an extra 1.3 million people in work, although large disparities remain across countries. However, this employment growth has been accompanied by a lower expansion of hours worked per person employed. The increase in employment has extended to all sub-population groups and unemployment, including youth unemployment, continues to recede in the EU. Household incomes and financial conditions of EU households continue to improve, thanks mainly to higher income from work. Nevertheless, despite the gradual improvements, labour market and social conditions remain challenging compared to 2008 in many Member States.

The latest 2017 update of the Social Protection Performance Monitor dashboard¹⁰, which is mainly based on 2015 EU-SILC data¹¹ and 2016 LFS data, points to continued improvement in the social situation. 16 Member States registered significant falls in the share of the population at risk of poverty or social exclusion in 2015 and only 3 significant rises, with overall figures for the EU population at risk of poverty or social exclusion pointing to a substantial fall of 3.1 million between 2014 and 2015.

Changes over the latest annual data period¹² now provide much clearer signs of a general improvement in the social situation, with most social indicators flagging up positive changes in many Member States (Figure 3). In particular, strong positive developments in the social situation can be observed in the following areas:

- rises in **real gross household disposable income** (in 23 MS) along with significant reductions in the **severe material deprivation rate**¹³ (in 13 MS). This reflects that household incomes and financial conditions of EU households have improved in the most recent period, benefitting from stronger economic activity and improved labour markets;
- a reduction in **the risk of poverty or social exclusion for the overall population** (in 16 MS), driven by falls in **severe material deprivation** and the share of the population living in **(quasi-)jobless households**. There are also associated reductions in the share of **children at risk of poverty or social exclusion** in many Member States (12);

⁹ A more detailed review of the latest social developments, based on a more extensive examination of the trends in the indicators in the SPPM dashboard together with supplementary indicators, is provided in Annex 1 to this report.

¹⁰ The SPPM dashboard is a tool which uses a set of key EU social indicators for monitoring developments in the social situation in the European Union (for details on the methodology see the appendix "SPPM dashboard methodology")


¹¹ For preliminary analysis of the partially available EU-SILC 2016 data see the later section entitled "*Latest indications from available 2016 EU-SILC data*".

¹² Generally 2014-2015, but for the SMD rate, LTU rate, early school leavers, youth unemployment ratio, NEETs, and ER (55-64) the change refers to the period 2015-2016

¹³ Based on 2016 figures which for several MS are not yet final (i.e. are either provisional or estimates)

- strong signs of **reductions in long term unemployment** (in 12 MS) and in **youth exclusion**, with falls in the NEET rate (in 12 MS) and the youth unemployment ratio (in 18 MS), reflecting improvements in the labour market;
- continued improvements in the **labour market participation of older workers** (as evidenced by increases in the employment rate for 55-64 year olds in 26 MS).

Figure 3: Areas of deterioration (social trends to watch) and improvement for the period 2014-2015*



Source: Social Protection Performance Monitor

* For EU-SILC based indicators the changes generally actually refer to 2013-2014 for income and household work intensity indicators, and to 2014-2015 for unmet need for medical care. Changes in gross household disposable income refer to 2014-2015. LFS-based indicators (LTU rate, early school leavers, youth unemployment ratio, NEETS (15-24), ER (55-64)) and SMD figures (not yet final for 2016 for several MS) refer to the period 2015-2016.

Nevertheless, for the EU as a whole the following main negative trends, or “trends to watch” (i.e. where around a third or more of all Member States show a significant deterioration in the given indicator), can still be identified for the most recent period¹⁴:

- Continued deterioration with regard to the **depth of poverty risk** (9 MS) and its **persistence** (9 MS)
- Rises in the **at-risk-of-poverty rates for people residing in (quasi-)jobless households** (in 10 MS, pointing to a reduction in the adequacy of social benefits in these countries).

At the same time, there are signs of a decline in the relative income and living conditions of the elderly, with rises in the at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate for those aged 65+ along with falls in the aggregate replacement ratio and median relative income ratio of the elderly in over a third of Member States (although for the ARR the same number of MS recorded an improvement). This is a reversal of the general trend observed in previous years, but reflects to a large extent the evolution of the relative income situation of the working age population as the labour market situation and incomes from work have improved.

Figure 4 highlights the countries where statistically significant improvements or deteriorations have taken place in the most recent period by showing the number of social indicators in the SPPM dashboard for which a given country has registered a significant change in the figures for the latest year. The Member States with the highest number of positive recent changes are Hungary, Ireland and Spain, all recording improvements on 13 indicators and with very few indicators showing a deterioration. In contrast, recent developments in Denmark and the Netherlands are much more limited, with significant improvements only registered on 4 and 3 indicators respectively, although there were also almost no indicators showing a deterioration. Almost all Member States recorded a larger number of indicators showing a significant improvement than a deterioration, the only exceptions being CY and LT which recorded significant declines on 9 indicators. These results should be considered in parallel with the longer term situation of Member States with regard to the number of indicators which show a deterioration or improvement compared to 2008 (Figure 6).


Looking at the longer-term developments since 2008 and the beginning of the Europe 2020 strategy, for most social areas the situation still remains noticeably worse as a result of the economic crisis, despite recent improvements (Figure 5). The areas with the most substantial deterioration compared to 2008 are:

- **Increased share of the population living in (quasi-)jobless households** (in 15 MS) and **falls in living standards** (as evidenced by higher **severe material deprivation** rates in 8 MS), against a background of reduced **real gross household disposable income** in 10 MS;
- **increased income inequality** (in 11 MS) and a rise in the **depth of poverty risk** (with the poverty risk gap up in 14 MS) and its **persistence** (in 9 MS);

¹⁴ Note that these trends generally refer to EU-SILC 2014-2015, i.e. *income data for the period 2013-2014*.

- increased (long-term) exclusion from the labour market in general (with rises in the long-term unemployment rate and in the share of the population in (quasi-) jobless households in around half of MS), together with rises in the poverty risk for people living in (quasi-) jobless households in 18 MS;
- still strong signs of youth exclusion (with significantly higher NEET rates (in 11 MS) and youth unemployment ratios (in 13 MS);
- rises in the housing cost overburden rate for households (in 12 MS).

Figure 4. Number of SPPM key social indicators per Member State with a statistically significant improvement or deterioration from 2014 to 2015*




Source: Social Protection Performance Monitor

Note: * For EU-SILC based indicators changes generally refer to 2013-2014 for income and household work intensity indicators. Changes in real gross household disposable income refer to 2014-2015. LFS-based indicators (LTU rate, early school leavers, youth unemployment ratio, NEETS (15-24), ER (55-64)) and SMD refer to 2015-2016. Bars refer to the number of SPPM indicators which have registered a statistically (and substantively, where relevant) significant deterioration or improvement between 2008 and 2015/2016. Total of 23 dashboard indicators for this reference period.

The dashboard indicators show there have also been a number of improvements, notably in the areas of increasing number of healthy life years and significant decreases in the number of early school leavers in Europe (in 20 MS), as well as improvements in gross household disposable income in several Member States (13). There have also been improvements in the relative situation of the older generation. The labour market situation of older workers has improved markedly, as evidenced by increases in the employment rate for the age group 55-64 in almost all Member States. Compared to 2008, the relative situation of the elderly aged 65 and over also shows clear signs of improvement in around three-quarters of Member States, with decreases in the number of elderly living at risk of poverty or social exclusion as well as an improvement in their income situation with respect to the rest of the population (as evidenced by rises in the aggregate replacement ratio in 20 Member States, and the median relative income ratio of elderly people in 20). However, this trend should be correctly interpreted as it does not necessarily show an improvement in absolute terms. As pension income remained stable during the economic crisis while the working age population suffered from substantial income loss (wage decreases, job loss, decreases in benefit levels), the relative, but not necessarily the absolute, position of the elderly has improved, highlighting the important role of pension systems.

Figure 6 shows the number of social indicators in the SPPM dashboard for which a given country has registered a significant deterioration or improvement over the period 2008 to 2015/2016. The Member States with the most worrisome developments are Cyprus, Greece, Italy and Spain with deterioration on 14 indicators or more, and with only a few indicators showing an improvement. In contrast, Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Malta and the UK have only registered significant deterioration on 3 or fewer indicators along with improvement on a larger number of indicators. Around two thirds of Member States now show a higher number of improvements than declines, with FI, LV and the UK notably showing a much higher number of improvements (14 or more) than deteriorations. Note that these results mainly refer to the period 2008 to 2015 for EU-SILC based indicators and that the 2016 data available for some countries (see the later section on "Latest indications from available 2016 EU-SILC data") indicate positive trends that might impact on the assessment based on Figure 6.


Figure 5. Areas of deterioration (Social trends to watch) and improvement for the period 2008-2015/2016*



Source: Social Protection Performance Monitor

Note: i) For AT, break in series in 2011 for persistent poverty risk (so trend not considered for the period compared to 2008); ii) For BE, major break in 2011 in the self-reported unmet need for medical examination (so trend not considered for the period compared to 2008); iii) For 2014 BG registered a major break in the time series for the material deprivation indicator (SMD) and AROPE indicator, so longer-term changes are presented for the period 2008-2013 only. iv) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2014 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes (so trends not considered for the period compared to 2008 for these); v) For 2014 EE registered a major break in series for EU-SILC variables, so longer-term changes for these are presented for the period 2008-2013 only. vi) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then. vii) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so changes 2010-2016 shown for longer term change. viii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer-term trend must therefore be particularly cautious. * For SMD rate, LTU rate, early school leavers, youth unemployment ratio, NEETs, ER (55-64) the change refers to the period 2008-2016.

Figure 6. Number of SPPM key social indicators per Member State with a statistically significant deterioration or improvement between 2008 and 2015/2016*



Source: Social Protection Performance Monitor

Note: i) For AT, break in series in 2011 for persistent poverty risk (trend not considered for period compared to 2008); ii) For BE, major break in 2011 in self-reported unmet need for medical examination (trend not considered for period compared to 2008); iii) For 2014 BG registered a major break in time series for the material deprivation indicator (SMD) and AROPE indicator, so longer-term changes are taken for the period 2008-2013 only for these indicators; iv) For DK, breaks in series for period 2008-2014 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes, so changes since 2008 not available for several variables and hence total number of deteriorating variables not shown for DK; v) For 2014 EE registered a major break in series for EU-SILC variables, so longer-term changes for these are taken for the period 2008-2013 only; vi) For HR, long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then; vii) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so changes 2010-2016 shown for longer term change; viii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer-term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; ix) The bars refer to the number of SPPM indicators which have registered a statistically (and substantively, where relevant) significant deterioration or improvement between 2008 and 2015/2016. * For SMD rate, LTU rate, early school leavers, youth unemployment ratio, NEETs, ER (55-64) the change refers to the period 2008-2016. Total number of 25 SPPM dashboard indicators for this reference period.

SPPM dashboard 2017

Dimensions		SPPM 2017 results																																
		EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)																																	
	2015	23.7	23.7	23.0	23.1	21.1	41.3	14.0	17.7	20.0	24.2	26.0	35.7	28.6	17.7	29.1	28.7	28.9	30.9	29.3	18.5	28.2	22.4	16.4	18.3	23.4	26.6	37.4	19.2	18.4	16.8	16.0	23.5	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-0.7	-0.7	-	-	-	1.2	-0.8	-	-0.6	-1.8	-1.7	-	-0.6	-0.8	-	-	1.5	-1.8	2.0	-	-3.6	-1.4	-	-0.9	-1.3	-0.9	-2.9	-1.2	-	-	-0.9	-0.6	
	2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	-	1.4	1.4	-	3.2	-	n.a.	-	-	-	7.6	4.8	-	-2.0	3.2	5.6	-3.3	-	3.0	-	2.3	-	-2.3	-7.1	-	-6.8	-	-2.2	-	-	-	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)																																	
	2015	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.2	14.9	22.0	9.7	12.2	16.7	21.6	16.3	21.4	22.1	13.6	20.0	19.9	16.2	22.5	22.2	15.3	14.9	16.3	11.6	13.9	17.6	19.5	25.4	14.3	12.3	12.4	14.5	16.7	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.7	-	-	-	-	1.8	1.3	3.1	-1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.6	-	
	2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	-	1.2	1.1	-	-	-	n.a.	1.5	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	-3.4	-	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-1.2	2.3	-2.0	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)																																	
	2015	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11953	4129	6991	12231	12219	6259	10622	5281	8678	11931	4952	9237	9188	4855	4951	17571	4751	10009	11632	13189	5970	6190	2614	9061	6132	11658	12730	10627	
2014-2015 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	9.2	7.5	-	-	-	5.4	-	-	11.8	8.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.5	-	-	-	-		
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.4	40.5	10.4	n.a.	-	-	-6.2	-34.9	-11.6	-	-9.6	-8.4	-20.3	12.1	10.5	-	-	19.1	-	5.8	28.4	-	23.8	-	30.5	5.5	18.2	-5.1		
Severe material deprivation rate (in %)																																		
2016	7.8	7.7	6.7	6.8	5.5	31.9	4.8	2.6	3.9	4.8	7.5	22.2	5.8	4.4	12.5	11.9	13.7	12.8	13.5	2.0	16.2	4.4	2.7	3.0	6.7	8.4	23.8	5.4	9.0	2.2	0.7	5.2		
2015-2016 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-	-2.3	-0.8	-1.1	-	-	-	-	-0.6	-	-1.2	-	-1.7	-3.6	-	-	-3.2	-3.7	-	-0.6	-1.4	-1.2	1.1	-	-	-	-0.9			
2008-2016 change in pp	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-2.0	-	-1.6	2.7	2.0	11.0	2.2	-	-1.8	4.4	4.6	-6.5	-	1.3	-	1.2	-2.9	-11.0	-	-8.9	-1.3	-2.8	-1.3	-	-	-			
Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)																																		
2015	10.6	10.6	11.2	11.2	14.9	11.6	6.8	11.6	9.8	6.6	19.2	16.8	15.4	8.6	14.4	11.7	10.9	7.8	9.2	5.7	9.4	9.2	10.2	8.2	6.9	10.9	7.9	7.4	7.1	10.8	5.8	11.9		
2014-2015 change in pp	-0.6	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	-	-	-0.8	-	-	-1.0	-1.8	-	-1.7	-1.0	-	-	1.2	-1.8	-	-	-3.4	-0.6	-	-0.9	-	-1.3	0.7	-1.3	-	0.8	-0.6	-		
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	1.4	1.9	1.9	3.2	3.5	-	3.1	-1.9	3.1	5.5	9.3	8.8	-	-	1.3	6.4	2.4	3.1	-	-2.6	-	2.0	-	-1.1	4.6	-	-	1.9	3.3	-	-		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)																																	
	2015	24.8	24.8	24.9	24.9	17.4	30.3	19.2	22.0	22.0	21.0	18.5	30.6	33.8	15.7	26.4	29.3	19.8	25.5	26.0	17.4	21.8	17.3	16.8	20.5	22.3	29.0	38.2	20.3	28.9	19.2	20.0	20.2	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-1.4	-2.9	1.2	3.5	-1.2	-	-	-	2.2	-	-1.5	1.1	1.3	1.9	3.3	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-1.3	3.6	-1.7	-	-	-	-	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)																																	
	2015	10.9	10.9	11.5	11.5	9.8	16.2	4.5	4.3	11.3	13.1	9.4	13.3	15.8	8.5	14.7	14.3	7.3	10.1	14.3	12.0	7.2	12.7	7.3	8.8	10.1	13.6	19.3	8.1	7.4	8.3	7.0	7.3	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	1.8	1.9	-1.3	-1.2	1.5	-	n.a.	1.4	-	-	-1.7	3.3	-1.4	2.1	-	-	-	1.6	-	-1.4	-2.4	1.3	-	-	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)																																	
	2015	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.2	3.8	7.1	3.5	4.1	4.8	6.2	4.5	6.5	6.9	4.3	5.2	5.8	5.2	6.5	7.5	4.3	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.9	6.0	8.3	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.8	5.2	
	2014-2015 change in %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-5.9	-4.6	-8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-10.3	-	-	-	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)																																	
	2015	26.9	26.9	25.3	25.4	23.3	43.7	18.5	15.7	18.5	22.5	28.8	37.8	34.4	21.2	28.2	33.5	28.9	31.3	32.7	23.0	36.1	28.2	16.8	22.3	26.6	29.6	46.8	16.6	24.9	14.9	14.0	30.3	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-0.8	-0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1.6	-	-1.4	-	-	1.4	4.2	-4.0	-	-3.4	-5.7	-3.1	-	-	-1.6	-1.8	-3.9	-1.1	-	-	-2.7	-0.9	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)																																	
	2015	33.5	33.5	33.1	33.1	44.2	22.5	42.3	52.7	33.5	22.3	55.0	16.1	26.6	43.1	35.5	21.6	36.2	17.6	22.4	43.8	42.0	31.2	48.0	45.7	23.1	26.1	13.3	42.3	35.3	53.7	46.1	42.8	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-5.2	-	-8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	-12.7	n.a.	-	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	5.6	-	-	-	-	-17.2	-	-	-	-	-9.5	-	-10.1	-	-5.5	-	-11.1	7.5
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households																																	
2015	59.0	58.9	60.6	60.7	58.5	75.4	65.6	44.6	68.9	77.2	54.1	51.6	61.6	62.4	67.5	57.2	50.9	76.9	75.5	41.3	56.6	69.1	47.9	49.1	61.0	57.5	60.2	63.7	72.4	52.8	69.6	47.7		
2014-2015 change in pp	0.9	0.9	1.2	1.2	-3.7	7.7	-	-	3.9	6.3	-	-	-1.5	10.1	4.2	-2.5	-	3.9	4.6	-17.0	-6.6	5.0	-	-	5.1	-2.0	-	2.3	-6.9	-	-	-2.2		
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	3.2	5.4	5.4	3.8	-2.4	10.2	n.a.	4.7	-3.9	7.5	11.3	10.2	12.6	-1.6	2.3	-	-6.4	5.2	-8.1	8.1	7.5	8.2	-	11.8	4.3	11.5	8.7	19.3	-3.5	18.2	-15.4		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)																																	
	2015	9.5	9.5	9.4	9.4	4.5	7.8	4.0	5.1	9.6	10.3	4.8	13.4	13.2	7.5	5.8	11.6	9.2	9.4	10.2	11.6	9.3	5.3	5.1	7.8	11.3	10.9	18.6	6.7	6.1	3.5	7.2	8.3	
	2014-2015 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-	-1.5	-	-	-	-1.5	-0.6	-	0.6	-	-	-	1.4	1.1	1.8	-	2.6	-	-	0.6	-	-	-1.1	-	-	-	-0.6	-	
	2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	-	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	n.a.	2.5	-	-1.5	-	1.9	-	-	2.5	2.9	-1.3	-	2.2	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	1.6	-	-1.6	-	-	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)																																	
2016	4.0	3.9	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	1.7	1.4	1.7	2.1	4.2	17.0	9.5	4.3	6.6	6.7	5.8	4.0	3.0	2.2	2.4	1.9	2.5	1.9	2.2	6.2	3.0	4.3	5.8	2.3	1.3	1.3		
2015-2016 change in pp	-	-	-	-	-	-1.1	-0.7	-	-	-	-	-1.1	-1.2	-1.9	-	-3.6	-	-1.0	-	-0.9	-	-	-	-	-0.8	-1.0	-	-	-1.8	-	-	-		
2008-2016 change in pp	1.4	1.3	2.1	2.1	-	1.6	-	-	-2.2	-	2.5	13.3	7.5	1.7	1.3	3.7	5.3	2.1	1.7	-	-1.2	-	1.6	-	-	2.6	-	2.4	-	1.1	-	-		

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)																																	
	2016	10.7	10.8	11.2	11.1	8.8	13.8	6.6	7.2	10.2	10.9	6.3	6.2	19.0	8.8	2.8	13.8	7.7	10.0	4.8	5.5	12.4	19.6	8.0	6.9	5.2	14.0	18.5	4.9	7.4	7.9	7.4	11.2	
	2015-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.3	~	~	~	~	-1.3	~	-1.7	-1.0	~	~	-0.9	2.5	~	~	-3.8	0.8	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	-1.3	~	~	
	2008-2016 change in pp	-4.0	-4.0	-5.2	-5.2	-3.2	~	~	-5.3	-1.6	-3.1	-5.1	-8.2	-12.7	-3.0	-1.6	-5.8	-6.0	-5.5	-2.7	-7.9	~	-7.6	-3.4	-3.3	~	-20.9	~	~	1.4	-1.9	~	-5.7	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)																																	
	2016	7.8	7.7	8.3	8.3	5.7	4.1	3.4	7.9	3.5	5.8	6.7	11.7	14.7	9.1	11.6	10.0	10.7	6.9	5.1	5.8	4.2	5.7	7.4	6.5	6.1	9.3	5.8	5.1	7.2	10.5	10.4	7.6	
2015-2016 change in pp	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	~	-0.9	-1.5	-0.7	1.2	~	~	-0.9	-1.2	-2.1	~	-2.4	-0.6	-1.7	~	~	~	-1.2	~	~	~	-0.7	-1.4	-1.0	-0.7	-1.2	-1.0	-0.8	-1.0		
2008-2016 change in pp	~	~	1.4	1.4	~	~	~	2.1	-2.0	~	~	5.1	3.0	2.0	2.9	3.5	6.9	1.1	1.1	~	~	~	3.5	1.4	~	2.5	-1.1	~	~	1.7	~	-1.6		
Active ageing	NEETs (15-24)																																	
	2016	11.5	11.5	11.7	11.7	9.9	18.2	7.0	5.8	6.6	9.1	13.0	15.8	14.6	11.9	16.9	19.9	15.9	11.2	9.4	5.4	11.0	8.6	4.6	7.7	10.5	10.6	17.4	8.0	12.3	9.9	6.5	10.9	
	2015-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-2.3	-1.1	~	~	~	~	-1.7	-1.3	-1.0	~	-1.2	-1.5	~	~	~	-0.8	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	-1.5	-1.4	~	~		
	2008-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.5	-1.8	~	~	-2.0	4.4	~	1.4	5.3	3.3	6.2	~	~	~	~	~	1.2	~	1.5	~	~	1.5	1.2	2.1	-1.3	-1.2	
	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %																																	
	2016	55.3	55.4	55.3	55.4	45.4	54.5	58.5	67.8	68.6	65.2	57.2	36.3	49.1	49.8	38.1	50.3	52.0	61.4	64.6	39.6	49.8	44.0	63.5	49.2	46.2	52.1	42.8	38.5	49.0	61.4	75.5	63.4	
2015-2016 change in pp	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.4	1.5	3.0	3.1	2.4	~	1.6	2.0	2.2	1.1	-1.1	2.1	3.5	2.0	4.2	1.2	4.5	3.7	1.8	2.9	1.9	2.2	1.7	1.9	2.0	1.4	1.0	1.2		
2008-2016 change in pp	9.8	9.9	11.0	11.0	10.9	8.5	10.9	9.4	14.9	2.9	3.3	3.6	11.6	~	16.0	-2.8	2.3	11.6	5.5	18.9	13.9	10.5	10.4	14.6	1.4	2.1	5.7	9.8	4.9	5.4	5.4			
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %																																	
	2015	17.4	17.3	15.8	15.9	16.2	51.8	10.9	9.9	17.2	37.0	16.5	22.8	13.7	9.3	31.8	19.9	20.8	42.1	36.0	8.2	17.1	23.7	6.1	14.0	17.0	21.7	33.3	20.2	12.8	14.5	18.3	17.7	
	2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.1	4.0	~	-0.9	~	2.0	2.6	~	0.8	-0.8	2.1	~	-6.4	2.8	4.1	1.8	-1.9	~	~	-1.7	-1.2	0.6	-1.7	~	~	-2.5	1.8	-1.3	
	2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	-6.0	-4.4	-4.5	-6.7	-7.9	~	n.a.	1.7	-12.9	-6.0	-5.3	-12.5	-4.8	-5.7	-4.5	-28.5	-16.7	~	~	~	~	-3.6	-7.2	-9.9	-6.0	-16.1	-4.2	-9.1	-9.4	2.8	-10.8	
	Median relative income of elderly people																																	
	2015	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.79	0.71	0.81	0.77	0.87	0.62	0.87	1.04	1.01	1.04	0.85	0.99	0.80	0.65	0.73	1.08	1.01	0.75	0.89	0.98	0.99	0.92	1.00	0.90	0.91	0.81	0.79	0.88	
2014-2015 change in %	~	~	~	~	2.6	-13.4	-3.6	~	-3.3	~	~	4.0	~	~	-3.4	~	~	~	~	-2.7	-3.8	-3.8	~	~	3.2	~	-2.1	-3.8	~	~	2.5	-4.8	~	
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	9.4	9.2	10.5	6.8	7.6	~	n.a.	~	11.3	17.6	20.9	21.7	9.5	9.0	12.5	35.6	22.6	~	11.3	~	~	6.0	11.4	~	10.8	17.6	7.1	15.2	12.5	~	18.9		
Aggregate replacement ratio																																		
2015	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.58	0.47	0.41	0.51	0.45	0.46	0.43	0.38	0.61	0.66	0.69	0.40	0.66	0.43	0.42	0.46	0.80	0.65	0.54	0.52	0.62	0.62	0.61	0.63	0.46	0.62	0.52	0.58	0.50		
2014-2015 change in %	~	~	~	~	~	-6.8	-7.3	~	2.2	-8.5	~	1.7	10.0	~	~	3.1	10.3	-4.5	2.2	-5.9	4.8	-3.6	4.0	3.3	-1.6	-3.2	-3.1	2.2	~	2.0	-3.3	-2.0		
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	16.3	18.4	18.4	~	20.6	~	n.a.	~	11.1	-22.4	48.8	57.1	6.2	25.0	29.4	30.3	40.0	7.0	37.9	6.6	31.7	20.9	~	10.7	19.6	26.0	~	14.8	6.1	-6.5	16.3		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care																																	
	2015	3.2	3.2	n.a.	n.a.	2.4	4.7	0.8	1.3	0.5	12.7	2.8	12.3	0.6	1.2	1.9	7.2	1.5	8.4	2.9	0.9	2.6	0.8	0.1	0.1	7.3	3.0	9.4	0.2	2.1	4.3	1.0	2.8	
	2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	n.a.	n.a.	~	~	~	~	-1.1	1.4	~	1.4	~	-1.6	-1.4	~	-3.2	-4.1	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	
	2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-10.6	~	~	-1.7	5.4	~	6.9	~	~	n.a.	2.0	-1.3	-1.5	-2.6	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.3	1.9	-1.4	~	~	3.5	-1.4	1.8
	Healthy life years at 65 - males																																	
	2015	9.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11.2	8.7	8.0	11.0	11.4	5.3	11.4	7.9	9.3	9.8	4.7	7.8	8.4	4.1	5.0	10.7	5.9	15.4	10.3	7.9	7.6	7.0	6.5	8.2	4.1	9.3	15.7	10.2	
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7.7	~	6.7	-8.3	81.0	32.5	22.6	-12.2	~	12.6	n.a.	~	-7.7	-14.6	-15.3	~	5.4	27.6	6.1	6.8	8.6	~	-20.3	-10.9	36.7	16.3	19.8	~		
Healthy life years at 65 - females																																		
2015	9.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11.0	9.5	8.6	11.9	12.3	5.3	12.0	7.5	8.9	10.7	4.5	7.5	7.3	4.0	5.5	8.7	5.9	14.0	9.4	7.7	8.4	5.4	5.7	7.6	3.8	9.0	16.8	10.4		
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	5.8	~	~	~	83.6	23.3	16.5	-10.7	~	5.9	n.a.	5.6	~	-18.4	-16.7	-25.0	-7.8	20.7	~	~	9.1	~	-28.7	-19.1	40.7	~	20.0	-11.1		
Access to decent Housing	Housing cost overburden rate																																	
	2015	11.3	11.3	11.2	11.2	9.4	14.8	10.4	15.1	15.6	6.8	4.6	40.9	10.3	5.7	7.2	8.6	3.9	8.1	9.1	6.0	8.5	1.1	14.9	6.4	8.7	9.1	15.9	6.1	9.1	4.9	7.5	12.5	
	2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.0	1.9	~	~	~	-1.5	-1.6	~	-0.6	0.6	~	~	~	~	-1.5	2.0	-0.8	-4.3	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	2.9	2.9	-3.1	1.5	-2.4	n.a.	n.a.	3.6	1.3	18.7	~	1.5	-6.9	~	2.1	~	~	4.1	2.3	-3.1	-2.2	1.2	-2.1	~	1.5	-3.2	1.7	3.5	~	~	-3.8	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)																																	
	2014-2015 change in %	2.2	n.a.	n.a.	1.8	0.7	2.5	3.2	3.0	2.5	4.3	4.7	-3.0	2.1	1.7	2.5	0.8	-0.8	4.8	2.8	n.a.	2.0	n.a.	3.2	~	3.3	1.8	7.2	2.1	4.0	1.0	2.4	3.6	
2008-2015 change in %	4.9	n.a.	n.a.	~	~	7.3	5.0	10.9	7.0	2.7	~	-33.3	-7.1	4.5	-5.5	-8.6	-17.3	-13.7	-2.8	n.a.	1.3	n.a.	~	-1.6	18.1	-7.7	28.3	-2.5	6.1	5.4	19.7	8.5		

Note: i) Only significant changes have been highlighted in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). See table at end of document for full details; ii) For AT, break in series in 2011 for persistent poverty risk ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); iii) For BE, major break in 2011 in the self-reported unmet need for medical examination ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); iv) For BG, major break in the time series in 2014 for the material deprivation indicators, so for SMD and AROPE the change 2008-2013 is used for the longer period compared to 2008. Also a break in 2016 for EU-SILC based indicators, but comparison of changes are still valid; v) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these.); vi) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC due to implementation of a new methodology based on the use of administrative files. Hence change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; vii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then; viii) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so changes 2010-2016 shown for longer term change; ix) For SI, break in time series in Healthy Life Years indicator (change of question in 2010) which affects the comparison of change since 2008; x) For UK, changes in the EU-SILC survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer-term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; xi) For some indicators (SMD rate, LTU rate, early school leavers, youth unemployment ratio, NEETs, ER (55-64)) the changes refers to the periods 2015-2016 and 2008-2016; xii) SMD figures for CY, DE, EE, FR, HR, IT, LT, MT, PL and UK are provisional, and for IE and LU are estimates.

Latest indications from available 2016 EU-SILC data

Some 16 Member States have already reported on the results of the 2016 EU-SILC survey¹⁵, while all 28 MS have provided early estimates on the severe material deprivation (SMD) indicator. This section presents the findings, albeit rather patchy, from this most recent available data. The table below shows figures available for the changes in the EU-SILC based SPPM indicators between 2015 and 2016 surveys, highlighting where changes are significant¹⁶.

As discussed earlier, results for the SMD indicator, regarded as one of the more timely indicators available from EU-SILC, strongly suggest that overall household incomes and financial conditions have continued to improve over the very latest period. The severe material deprivation rate has declined significantly over 2015-2016 in 13 Member States, and has only risen significantly in one. However, among the more limited number of countries (16) with figures already available on the at-risk-of-poverty rate, 4 recorded a significant deterioration and only 1 an improvement between 2015 and 2016. In contrast, the share of the population living in (quasi-)jobless households fell in 5 countries and rose in just 2. The combined result of the changes in the components of the overall at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate (AROPE) are significant reductions in AROPE in 6 out of the 16 countries for which figures are available, and only 2 Member States reporting a significant rise.

Other areas flagging up as mainly improving are the at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate of children (6 countries have shown a significant reduction and only 3 a significant increase) and the aggregate replacement ratio for the elderly (with 8 MS recording significant improvements).

On many of the other EU-SILC based indicators in the SPPM, results tend to be generally non-significant or mixed across those countries for which figures are already available.

¹⁵ This refers to the situation at the end of July 2017, at which time some 16 Member States (AT, BE, BG, CZ, DK, EL, ES, FI, HR, HU, LV, NL, RO, SI, SK and SE) had reported data for the SILC-based indicators included in the SPPM. For the SMD indicator, all Member States had provided early data or estimates for this indicator.

¹⁶ The estimates of significance used are the ones employed to investigate the changes 2014-2015.

Dashboard of changes 2015-2016 for available EU-SILC based figures

	2015-2016 change	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.4	-0.9	-0.7	-1.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.1	-0.7	n.a.	-0.6	n.a.	n.a.	-2.4	n.a.	n.a.	-1.9	n.a.	0.4	-0.3	n.a.	n.a.	1.4	-0.8	-0.3	-0.2	2.3	n.a.
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.6	0.9	0.0	-0.3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.2	0.2	n.a.	-0.5	n.a.	n.a.	-0.7	n.a.	n.a.	-0.4	n.a.	1.2	0.2	n.a.	n.a.	-0.1	-0.4	0.4	-0.8	1.7	n.a.
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1.2	-4.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.3	2.8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	9.1	n.a.	n.a.	4.6	n.a.	n.a.	0.9	n.a.	n.a.	6.9	n.a.	n.a.	-0.9	n.a.	n.a.
Intensity of poverty risk	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3	-2.3	-0.8	-1.1	-0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.6	-0.1	-1.2	0.4	-1.7	-3.6	-0.4	0.0	-3.2	-3.7	0.1	-0.6	-1.4	-1.2	1.1	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.9
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.3	0.3	-0.1	-1.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.4	-0.5	n.a.	-0.8	n.a.	n.a.	-0.6	n.a.	n.a.	-1.2	n.a.	-0.5	-0.1	n.a.	n.a.	0.3	0.0	-0.6	0.6	2.7	n.a.
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2.0	0.1	0.3	-1.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1.3	-2.4	n.a.	1.4	n.a.	n.a.	-1.5	n.a.	n.a.	-3.0	n.a.	1.0	-0.7	n.a.	n.a.	-2.0	-0.1	-2.8	0.7	1.1	n.a.
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.2	-0.9	-0.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-1.0	n.a.	-0.6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.7	n.a.	-0.4	-0.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)																																
	2015-2016 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.0	11.3	0.0	0.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	1.5	-4.3	n.a.	-3.8	n.a.	n.a.	-4.6	n.a.	n.a.	0.0	n.a.	10.5	2.5	n.a.	n.a.	-13.3	0.0	2.9	0.0	13.2	n.a.
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-1.7	1.9	-1.1	-1.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.3	-1.5	n.a.	-1.0	n.a.	n.a.	-6.6	n.a.	n.a.	-2.5	n.a.	0.7	-2.3	n.a.	n.a.	2.4	-1.7	-0.5	-0.2	5.9	n.a.
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-3.1	-4.6	-1.8	-0.5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.2	-2.2	n.a.	-2.9	n.a.	4.0	n.a.	1.8	n.a.	-6.4	0.7	n.a.	n.a.	0.9	0.5	-4.3	3.3	-0.3	n.a.		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households																																
2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7.0	-3.8	5.0	3.9	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.6	2.0	n.a.	-2.2	n.a.	n.a.	-1.2	n.a.	n.a.	-3.0	n.a.	8.7	2.8	n.a.	n.a.	5.0	-0.2	3.0	-1.3	1.6	n.a.	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.2	3.8	-0.2	0.2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.6	-0.1	n.a.	-0.4	n.a.	n.a.	-0.9	n.a.	n.a.	0.4	n.a.	0.8	0.5	n.a.	n.a.	0.0	-0.6	0.4	-0.4	-0.4	n.a.
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %																																
	2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.2	-5.9	-0.8	-0.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.8	0.7	n.a.	1.9	n.a.	n.a.	1.0	n.a.	n.a.	-2.0	n.a.	4.0	-0.3	n.a.	n.a.	0.7	-0.3	-0.5	-0.9	-1.3	n.a.
	Median relative income of elderly people																																
	2015-2016 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-3.8	12.7	-2.5	-2.6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2.9	0.0	n.a.	-2.4	n.a.	n.a.	-3.1	n.a.	n.a.	0.0	n.a.	-6.7	-1.0	n.a.	n.a.	-3.0	-1.1	0.0	2.5	-2.5	n.a.
Access to decent Housing	Aggregate replacement ratio																																
	2015-2016 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	2.1	9.8	-2.0	4.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	3.3	0.0	n.a.	-7.5	n.a.	n.a.	0.0	n.a.	n.a.	3.1	n.a.	-3.8	0.0	n.a.	n.a.	4.8	2.2	0.0	1.9	-1.7	n.a.
	Housing cost overburden rate																																
2015-2016 change in pp	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.1	5.9	-0.9	-0.1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-0.4	-0.1	n.a.	-0.5	n.a.	n.a.	-1.1	n.a.	n.a.	0.3	n.a.	-4.0	0.8	n.a.	n.a.	-1.5	-0.4	n.a.	-0.5	1.0	n.a.	

Note: i) Only significant changes have been highlighted in green/red (positive/negative changes). "n.a." refers to data not (yet) being available. Eurostat calculations on statistical significance of net change have been used where available, combined with checks for substantive significance in some cases. In all the remaining cases a 1pp threshold has been used for all percentage-based indicators or for indicators based on ratios a 5% threshold has been used; ii) The method used to estimate the statistical significance of the net changes, based on regression and developed by Net-SILC2 (an EU funded network consisting of a group of institutions and researchers conducting analysis using EU-SILC) is still under improvement; iii) SMD figures for CY, DE, EE, FR, IT, LT, MT, PL and UK are provisional, and for IE and LU are estimates; iv). For BG there is a break in time series in 2016 for EU-SILC based indicators, but comparison of changes are still valid;

IV. Analysis of the key social challenges and good social outcomes in EU Member States and a review of latest developments in social protection policies

In this section the main social challenges and good social outcomes in each Member State are assessed¹⁷, and an overview is provided of the more recent reforms of social protection policies. For the former, the assessment is based on an analysis of both the levels of the figures for the indicators in question together with the changes over a three year reference period, based on the Joint Assessment Framework tool¹⁸.

Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services

Reducing poverty and fighting social exclusion remain key challenges for Member States. Partly due to the effects of the crisis, the EU continues to be far off-track in reaching the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target, even when the most recent and more encouraging data is taken into account. Challenges relate to improving the coverage and adequacy of social benefits and ensuring an effective link with activation and sustainable (re-) insertion into the labour market, provision of quality services complementing activation measures, tackling child poverty and addressing the long-term benefit dependency risk.

This year's SPPM analysis of the structural challenges of Member States shows that for the general population across the EU28, the at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate (AROPE) appears to be a key challenge in 6 Member States (BG, CY, EL, IT, PT, RO), with good outcomes registered in only 1 Member State (CZ) (Table 2). An analysis of the subcomponents of this indicator shows that monetary (relative) poverty is a key challenge in 2 Member States (EE, ES), while severe material deprivation is a key challenge in 3 Member States (BG, EL, HU), and (quasi-)jobless households in 11 Member States (BE, CY, EL, , ES, HR, IE, LT, LV, MT, SI, SK), but with good outcomes on the latter in 4 Member States (AT, EE, LU and LV). For the EU28, severe or persistent income poverty represents a key challenge in 7 Member States (BG, ES, IT, LU, MT, RO, SK), 5 of these countries being Eurozone members. Good social outcomes with regards to severe or persistent poverty are registered in 4 Member States (CZ, CY, DK and FR). Income inequality appears as a key challenge in 7 Member States (BG, EE, EL, ES, LT, LV, RO), out of which 5 are in the euro area, while good social outcomes are registered in 2 MS (BE, SI). The housing situation, as reflected by either housing cost overburden or housing deprivation, is a key challenge in 7 Member States (EL, FR, HU, LV, PT, SI, SK), with FI displaying particularly good social outcomes in this regard and also SK specifically with regard to housing deprivation.

¹⁷ For further details on the assessment methodology see the appendix "SPPM methodology used for the identification of Member States' key social challenges and good social outcomes".

¹⁸ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=14727&langId=en>

Table 2. Summary of Member States' SPPM key social challenges and good social outcomes in the area of preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services

	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for general population (AROPE)	At-risk-of-poverty	Severe material deprivation	(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)	Severe or persistent poverty (gap, persistence)	Income inequality (S80/S20)	Housing situation for general population ¹
Key social challenge²	BG, CY, EL, IT, PT, RO	EE, ES	BG, EL, HU	BE, CY, EL, ES, HR, IE, LT, LV, MT, SI, SK	BG, ES, IT, LU, MT, RO, SK	BG, EE, EL, ES, LT, LV, RO	EL, FR, HU, LV, PT, SI, SK
Good social outcome	CZ	\	\	AT, EE, LU, LV	CZ, CY, DK, FR,	BE, SI	FI, SK

*Note: 1. Housing situation consists of an assessment on housing cost overburden and housing deprivation.
2. Challenges based on Non-JAF indicators are shown in italics.*

For children, the at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate is a key challenge in 5 Member States (AT, CY, ES, HU, RO), with DK, FI and SE displaying particularly good social outcomes in this regard (Table 3). An analysis of the subcomponents of this indicator shows that the risk of poverty for children is a key challenge in 3 Member States (EL, ES, LT) with good social outcomes in 2 (DK and SE), severe material deprivation of children is a key challenge in 3 MS (BG, CY, HU), and the share of children living in (quasi-)jobless households in 6 MS (BE, BG, DK, HR, IE and UK), with good social outcomes in 1 (SI). The impact of social transfers in reducing the risk of child poverty, the at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in households with different levels of work intensity and the poverty risk gap are indicative of how effective social protection of children is in a given country. Based on these indicators, effectiveness challenges have been identified for 10 Member States (AT, CZ, ES, IT, LU, LV, MT, NL, PT, SK) with good outcomes reported in CZ, CY, FI, IE and NL. The housing situation for children appears as a particular challenge in BE and IT.

Table 3. Summary of Member States' SPPM key social challenges and good social outcomes in the area of breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty/disadvantage - tackling child poverty and social exclusion

	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for children (AROPE)	At-risk-of-poverty	Severe material deprivation	(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)	Effectiveness of social protection for children	Housing situation for children ¹
Key social challenge	AT, CY, ES, HU, RO	EL, ES, LT	BG, CY, HU	BE, BG, DK, HR, IE, UK	AT, CZ, ES, IT, LU, LV, MT, NL, PT, SK	BE, IT
Good social outcome	DK, FI, SE	DK, SE		SI	CZ, CY, FI, IE, NL	\

Note: 1. Housing situation consists on an assessment on housing cost overburden and housing deprivation.

Specifically for the working age population (Table 4), 2 Member States (AT, CZ) show particularly good outcomes on the at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate, while monetary poverty appears as a key challenge in 2 Member States (CY, SI) with good social outcomes in another 2 MS (FI, SK). The share of adults living in (quasi-)jobless households is a challenge in ES with good social outcomes in 3 MS (EE, LV, SE). The risk of in-work poverty presents a particular challenge in 7 Member States (CY, DE, ES, HU, LT, LU, RO), with another 8 displaying particularly good social outcomes in this regard (BE, CZ, DK, FI, HR, IE, MT, SI). The effectiveness of social benefits has been assessed based on the impact of social transfers in reducing the risk of working age poverty, notably in terms of adequacy, coverage, and take-up of social assistance and unemployment benefits. Based on this approach, effectiveness challenges have been identified for 9 Member States (BG, DE, DK, EL, HU, IT, LV, PL, RO) with 3 Member states with particularly good outcomes (AT, CZ and FR). The inclusiveness of labour markets, as reflected by the at-risk-of-poverty rate for adults living in (quasi-)jobless households and the poverty gap, proves to be a key challenge in 5 Member States (CZ, DE, EL, PT and SK. At the same time good social outcomes are found in 5 Member States (EL, FI, FR, LU and MT. The housing situation of the working age population appears as a challenge in CY.

Table 4. Summary of Member States’ SPPM key social challenges and good social outcomes in the area of Active inclusion - tackling poverty and social exclusion in working age

	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for working age population	At-risk-of-poverty	(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)	In work poverty	Effectiveness of social benefits	Effectiveness of social services	Inclusive labour markets	Housing situation for working age population ¹
Key social challenge ²	\	CY, SI	ES	CY, DE, ES, HU, LT, LU, RO	<i>BG, DE, DK, EL, HU, IT, LV, PL, RO</i>	<i>BG, RO</i>	CZ, DE, EL, PT, SK	CY
Good social outcome	AT, CZ	FI, SK	EE, LV, SE	BE, CZ, DK, FI, HR, IE, MT, SI	AT, CZ, FR	\	EL, FI, FR, LU, MT	\

*Note: 1. Housing situation consists on an assessment on housing cost overburden and housing deprivation.
2. Challenges based on Non-JAF indicators are shown in italics.*

The multilateral review of CSRs’ implementation, the National Reform Programmes and the social reporting in the context of the social OMC show that a number of Member States are implementing policy reforms in the area of income support, access to services and activation measures. Among those are policy initiatives related to reinforcing and integrating social assistance, increasing the coverage and adequacy of minimum income schemes (e.g. BG, LT, HU) as well as their streamlining, including by revising eligibility criteria to improve access for those most in need (e.g. ES, HR). IT, for example, has put in place an Inclusion Income scheme at national level. Avoiding financial disincentives through incentivising employment by gradual

tapering of income support is also an important aspect of reform efforts (e.g. in IE). Expanding information systems to ensure appropriate exchange of data on benefit recipients is being put in place to improve monitoring, efficiency and effectiveness of income support delivery. Reforms related to the administration of benefits and services aim to be comprehensive and address the fragmentation of social assistance systems by unifying benefits' administration and streamlining the involvement of different levels of government in the administration processes. Child poverty risk is being tackled through targeted and early intervention policies – income support (e.g. SE, LV), including in-work benefits, as well as support for sustainable labour market integration for parents (e.g. IE). Addressing the gap between self-employed workers and traditional employees in relation to their access to affordable social protection is also an area of policy focus (e.g. NL), and has been the focus of a recent SPC peer review (see Box 1). Important reforms related to the development and monitoring of quality of social service delivery are taking place in a few Member States (e.g. BG, EE, FI). Well-designed social services, including through coordination with employment services, can work as a long-term investment, which stimulates growth, social inclusion and preserves human capital.

Adequate and sustainable pensions

Reforming pension systems has consistently been one of the most important areas for the structural reforms agenda since the start of the European Semester. The main focus of the policy guidance in the European Semester process has been on the need to improve the long-term sustainability of pension systems, without jeopardizing the main function of pensions which is to allow people to maintain, to a reasonable degree, their standard of living after retirement and to prevent the risk of poverty among the elderly. The recommended measures have included promoting longer working lives through increasing pensionable age, restricting early exit pathways, including through tightening eligibility criteria for the allocation of sickness and disability benefits, early retirement and by promoting later take-up of pensions and harmonization of the statutory retirement age between men and women. At the same time, improving the financial sustainability of pension systems can result in less generous public pension benefits and the need for flanking measures to maintain retirement incomes. Therefore, recommendations have also called on Member States to promote active ageing and employability of older workers and improve the provision of supplementary pensions.

This year's SPPM analysis of the structural challenges of Member States shows that the share of elderly at risk of poverty or social exclusion (Table 5) is a key social challenge in 5 Member States (BG, DE, IE, LV, RO). 5 Member States have an explicit challenge in relation to monetary poverty - EE, HR, LT, MT and SE (for SE this is specifically related to elderly women)¹⁹. CZ, HU and SK show particularly positive results in relation to having low monetary poverty rates for the elderly. 1

¹⁹ This analysis does not take into account non-cash benefits such as transfers in kind. High-quality welfare services in the form of services for the elderly contribute to a more equitable distribution of welfare. SE spends 13 % of GDP on benefits in-kind which is the highest within the EU (see table 42).

Member State - BG - has a key social challenge in relation to material deprivation of the elderly while LV has a good social outcome in this regard. Housing is one of the areas, together with income replacement aspects, where most MS are facing social challenges - 5 Member States register key social challenges on housing and 16 on income replacement aspects while AT and LU have good social outcomes on the latter. The impact of social transfers in reducing old age monetary poverty and the poverty risk gap are indicative of how effective are pensions systems and social protection more generally in terms of allowing for a decent standard of living of the elderly in a given country. In relation to good social outcomes – poverty prevention is the area with the highest number of Member States with good social outcomes (6 – CZ, DK, FI, IE, MT, SK), although 1 member State (PT) registers a challenge here. 3 Member States (HR, PL and SI) have challenges regarding equalising pension rules.

Table 5. Summary of Member States’ SPPM key social challenges and good social outcomes in the area of elderly monetary poverty and adequate income and living conditions of the elderly

	Poverty and social exclusion in old age	At-risk-of-poverty	Severe material deprivation	Poverty prevention	Income replacement aspects	Equal pension rules	Housing situation for the elderly ¹
Key social challenge ²	BG, DE, IE, LV, RO	EE, HR, LT, MT, SE	BG	PT	BE, BG, CZ, CY, DE, DK, EE, FI, HR, IE, LT, LV, MT, NL, SE, SI	<i>HR, PL, SI</i>	BG, DE, DK, LU, PT
Good social outcome	\	CZ, HU, SK	LV	CZ, DK, FI, IE, MT, SK	AT, LU	\	\

Note: 1. Housing situation consists on an assessment on housing cost overburden and housing deprivation.
 2. Challenges based on Non-JAF indicators are shown in italics.

The multilateral review of CSRs’ implementation, the National Reform Programmes and the social reporting in the context of the social OMC show that a number of Member States, not only those with CSRs touching upon this area, are implementing policy reforms in their pension policy. Given the complexity of pension reforms and the involvement of social partners in the negotiation process, reforms are more often being implemented in the context of a multiannual cycle. Increase in retirement age and facilitating longer working lives remain the main priorities. The majority of Member States have increased the statutory retirement age in recent years. The use of advisory Pension Groups is an interesting instrument some Member States are using to keep a regular check on pension systems parameters (e.g. LU, BE). Limiting early retirement options continue to be an area where Member States are pushing a lot of reforms (e.g. LU, AT, HR), including through reviewing access to disability pensions and reforming work incapacity schemes in order to facilitate labour market participation and the accumulation of pension rights. Few countries focus on increases to minimum pension benefits as a way to strengthen social protection for those most in need. Some Member States are stepping up efforts to incentivise supplementary pension schemes, for example through creating an online tool for tracking pension rights in BE, but overall reform

efforts in this area are rather limited. While reducing unemployment, encouraging longer working lives and increasing hours worked in the economy, including through the availability of appropriate work-life balance policies and the modernisation of the working environment, in respect of health and safety provisions, will be crucial for the future sustainability and adequacy of pension benefits. Supplementary pensions and other retirement savings could, where appropriate, also play a positive role. Some Member States are in the process of aligning preferential pension provision for specific categories with the rules of the general scheme (e.g. HU).

The budgetary impact of population ageing poses a significant challenge to the long-term fiscal sustainability of pension system but reforms should not separate this from considerations of pension adequacy which requires that full attention be given to the economic, social and political risks associated with the possibility of increasing monetary poverty among older people. An important part of the pension adequacy challenge is gender-specific. Increasing female labour market participation and closing the gender pay gap in order to address the gender pension gap, which remains very high in many MS, are important aspects of the policy response along with policies for a more equal distribution of caring and household responsibilities as well as considering crediting care periods as pensions become increasingly contribution-based in most Member States.

Accessible, high-quality and sustainable health care and long-term care

Population ageing and other factors, such as the high costs of innovative technologies and medicines, are putting increased pressure on the financial sustainability of health care systems and the ability to provide adequate healthcare and long-term care for all. Reforms in health care have been a main focus of the European Semester process and aim at ensuring sustainable, affordable and cost-effective health services, without compromising universal and equitable access, quality and safety, and with an increasing emphasis on prevention.

This year's SPPM analysis of the structural challenges of Member States shows that the health status of the population (Table 6), assessed in terms of life expectancy at birth and at 65 and healthy life years at birth and at 65, proves to be a key challenge for 13 Member States (AT, BG, DE, DK, EE, FI, HU, HR, LT, LV, PL, PT, SK), with 5 Member States displaying particularly good results (CY, DK, FR, MT, SE). The effectiveness of curative or preventive health care, assessed in terms of potential years of life lost, amenable mortality, preventable mortality and vaccination coverage rates for children, proves to be a challenge for 9 Member States (BG, DK, FR, HR, IT, LV, MT, RO, SK), but with 6 (AT, BE, CZ, EL, HU, LU) showing good outcomes in this area.. 10 Member States have a key challenge as concerns access to health care, based on self-reported unmet needs for medical care due to cost, waiting time, or distance (EE, EL, FI, HU, IE, IT, LT, LV, PL, RO).

Challenges related to the cost-effectiveness of the health systems typically reflect problems of the balance between in-patient and out-patient care, inefficiencies in the allocation of resources in the hospital sector, issues with pharmaceutical pricing and reimbursement, or insufficient availability

and coverage of e-Health services. 16 Member States (AT, BE, BG, CZ, CY, EE, EL, HU, IE, IT, LT, MT, PL, PT, SK, UK) register key challenges in this array of areas.

Table 6. Summary of Member States’ SPPM key social challenges and good social outcomes in the area of health

	Health status	Effectiveness of curative or preventive health care	Access to health care	Cost-effectiveness of health systems
Key social challenge ¹	AT, BG, DE, DK, EE, FI, HU, HR, LT, LV, PL, PT, SK	BG, DK, FR, HR, IT, LV, MT, RO, SK	EE, EL, FI, HU, IE, IT, LT, LV, PL, RO	<i>AT, BE, BG, CZ, CY, EE, EL, HU, IE, IT, LT, MT, PL, PT, SK, UK</i>
Good social outcome	CY, DK, FR, MT, SE	AT, BE, CZ, EL, HU, LU	\	\

Note: 1. Challenges based on Non-JAF indicators are shown in italics.

The multilateral review of CSRs’ implementation, the National Reform Programmes and the social reporting in the context of the social OMC show that a number Member States are taking measures to address cost-effectiveness and sustainability challenges as well as issues related to the quality of health care. These include spending targets and reviews, measures related to improving performance and accountability (e.g. IE, SI), reinforcing e-Health (e.g. IE, LV, AT, LT, SE) and curbing informal payments (e.g. RO). While in some Member States funding challenges are related to the need for increasing public funding due to low levels coupled with a high share of co-payments, in many others it is related to containing increases in healthcare costs in the medium and long-term. To address this, various reforms in the financial management and the governance of the health system are being undertaken. Some Member States have embarked on ambitious health reforms defining long-term priorities in the field of healthcare (e.g. CY). These are in many cases undertaken in the context of multiannual, comprehensive National Health Strategies. Shifting care provision from a hospital-based system to a stronger role for primary care as a gatekeeper or developing care integration is another important focus of policy efforts (e.g. SI). An increased use of information technology is being implemented by some Member States for efficiency gains and better access to care. Reform measures on centralisation or rationalisation of procurement systems (e.g. LV, SK, PT) as well as pricing of pharmaceuticals and the use of generic medicines are used to gain cost efficiency (e.g. IE, SK, AT). A few Member States are addressing specific challenges related to ensuring adequate access to health care services and health insurance, including for the most vulnerable through increased funding, but also better access to diagnosis and treatment of specific diseases, decreasing waiting times for out-patient health care services, and improving the availability of medicines (e.g. LV).

A rational use of resources, notably through appropriate incentives for users and providers, good governance and coordination across different levels and services of the health care systems is necessary. Ensuring accessible, quality and sustainable health systems may require strengthening of health promotion and disease prevention in all relevant policy sectors, while improving integrated health care, enhancing primary health care, early diagnosis, optimising use of specialists and hospital care and securing an appropriate and skilled health workforce. Services should seek to provide universal access to health care for all, addressing obstacles faced by the most vulnerable, such as cost, lack of information and access, while reducing health inequalities.

Adequate social protection for long-term care needs

Policy measures in the area of long-term care focus mainly on improving cost-effectiveness and addressing concerns over provision and access to adequate long-term care services. The insufficient provision of long-term care services or the sub-optimal design of the long-term care system has been identified as a challenge in 7 Member States (CY, EE, ES, IT, PL, SI, SK) through the SPPM analysis of structural challenges (Table 7).

Table 7. Summary of Member States’ SPPM key social challenges and good social outcomes in the area of long-term care

	Long-term care
Key social challenge ¹	<i>CY, EE, ES, IT, PL, SI, SK</i>
Good social outcome	\

Note:1 Challenges based on Non-JAF indicators are shown in italics.

The measures adopted by some Member States aim at addressing these challenges through structural reforms such as a shift from institutional to community-based care, strengthened support to informal carers and improved policies for prevention, rehabilitation and independent living. However, more efforts are necessary to ensure the sustainability of long-term care and to facilitate the access to adequate, affordable and quality long-term care. In order to achieve this, Member States should adopt a proactive policy approach, promoting independent living and preventing the loss of autonomy, reducing thus the need for long-term care services as well as strengthening the support to informal carers. Improving access to quality long-term care services would also have an impact on female labour market participation. As indicated in the joint SPC-EC report on “Adequate social protection for long-term care needs in an ageing society,” there are solid equity and efficiency reasons for Member States to establish social protection against the risk of long-term care dependency and to provide adequate access to affordable quality care. If the challenges to present long-term care arrangements resulting from population ageing are to be tackled constructively and the rise in public expenditure contained, there is a need to move from a primarily reactive to an increasingly proactive policy approach, which seeks both to reduce care needs and to boost efficient, cost-effective care provision.

Box 1: Peer Review on 'Reconciling Family Life and Entrepreneurship'

20-21 June 2017, Brussels (Belgium)

The Peer Review on 'Reconciling Family Life and Entrepreneurship' discussed policy approaches and measures to help self-employed people to reconcile family life and entrepreneurship/self-employment. The event was hosted by the Belgian Federal Public Service Social Security (FPS Social Security) bringing together government representatives and independent experts from eight countries, namely Belgium, Estonia, Germany, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain, and Sweden. Representatives from the European Commission, the Belgian Union for Independent Entrepreneurs (UNIZO) and the National Federation of Middle Class Unions (UCM) also participated in the Peer Review.

The Peer Review gave participants the opportunity to discuss the existing social security models in the Peer Review countries and the challenges which self-employed people face when attempting to reconcile family life and entrepreneurship/self-employment. Against the backdrop of the Belgian social security model participants reflected on how changes to the social security schemes could help self-employed persons with the reconciliation of family life and entrepreneurship. This could for example be achieved through a more flexible take-up of parental, paternity and maternity leave and the provision of accessible and affordable (child)care.

- Key messages from the peer review -

- Forms of employment are becoming increasingly complex and differences between self-employment and wage employment are narrowing. There is also an increasing trend for the employment history of individuals to include periods of both self-employment and wage employment. This may impact on the social protection of such individuals, as well as their families. As such, there is a need for upward convergence of social protection between the self-employed and employees. Indeed, many of the key messages which emerged from the Peer Review appear valid not only for the self-employed, but also for other forms of employment.
- There is a growing gap in access to social protection for the self-employed. Social protection systems vary significantly across all Peer Review countries, including in terms of their funding (general taxation or social contributions; compulsory and/or voluntary); coverage (universal or contribution-based); type of support (allowances – flat-rate or income based; service vouchers or childcare services); and length, level of benefits, flexibility in use (full or part-time).
- Tailored measures are not widely used, although there are some targeted measures for those who do not have a record of social security contributions or income, including young people, students, the unemployed and start-up businesses.
- It is important to introduce flexibility into the use of social protection systems, so as to allow both periods of part-time and/or full-time work and for individuals to be able to move in and out of self-employment/employee status. Flexibility in the use of benefits is

particularly important for the self-employed as they find it difficult to take long periods of leave for caring responsibilities. Besides good quality, accessible and affordable childcare with flexible hours and access for young children, there is the need to find new ways to support work-life balance and to cover periods where a self-employed person needs time away from their business e.g. hiring replacements or co-working, shared common services.

- It is also desirable to allow a degree of transferability (or even pooling of benefits) between partners (and relatives); and between different types of employment. A flexible take-up of maternity, parental and carer's leave and the opportunity to transfer leave to partners/legal guardians and/or relatives (particularly in the case of single parents) could help self-employed people continue their businesses and achieve a better work-life balance.
- Across most Peer Review countries there is a lack of transferability of social protection rights. For example, the transferability of occupational rights such as pension entitlements, health insurance, sick pay or maternity, parental and carer's leave is often not guaranteed in case of a change of employment status. Ensuring that acquired rights are transferable could increase labour market flexibility.
- In social protection systems with variable and/ or voluntary contributions, there is a risk that self-employed people with low and/or irregular income opt out or pay the lowest level of contributions. This may lead to under-insurance against social and health risks. By better tailoring social protection systems to the work realities of self-employed people (including the simplification of administrative procedures), the access and take-up of social protection could be increased. Similarly, it is necessary to ensure that a social safety net is in place for those self-employed people below a certain income threshold to ensure adequate social protection.
- Access to information on entitlements to social protection could be improved through awareness raising activities and better use of modern technology (e.g. social benefit calculators) so that individuals can make more informed choices. For example, in countries with variable coverage, individuals may not be fully aware in what way the social insurance contributions affect their pension and benefit entitlements.
- A joined-up and integrated approach is needed to tackle work-life balance challenges. This can be achieved by bringing together different ministries and policy areas (e.g. tax system, social security, education and employment), as well as different governance levels (national, regional and local) and involving organisations representing self-employed workers in decision making and implementation.
- Further monitoring and evaluation of existing measures, including their impact on female self-employment, quality of life and work-life balance, is needed to inform and influence policy decisions. Many elements of the different approaches have good potential to be transferred and would benefit from more data and evidence to fully evaluate their relative advantages and disadvantages.

Synthesis table of key social challenges and good social outcomes, 2012-2015²⁰

Social policy area	Subcategory	EU-28 sum (c)	EU-28 sum (g)	EA sum (c)	EA sum (g)	AT	BE	BG	CZ	CY	DE	DK	EE	EL	ES	FI	FR	HU	HR	IE	IT	LT	LU	LV	MT	NL	PL	PT	RO	SE	SI	SK	UK	
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for general population (AROPE)	6	1	4	\			c	g	c			c								c							c	c					
	<i>At-risk-of-poverty</i>	2	\	2	\								c		c																			
	<i>Severe material deprivation</i>	3	\	1	\			c						c				c																
	<i>(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)</i>	11	4	10	4	g	c			c			g	c	c				c	c		c	g	c/g	c						c	c		
	Severe poverty and/or inequality for general population	\	\	\	\																													
	<i>Severe or persistent poverty (gap, persistence)</i>	7	4	5	2			c	g	g		g			c		g					c		c		c				c			c	
	<i>Income inequality (S80/S20)</i>	7	2	5	2		g	c						c	c	c							c		c					c			g	
	Housing situation for general population	7	2	6	2									c		g	c	c							c				c			c	c/g	
	Poverty and social exclusion of persons in vulnerable situations	3	\	1	\			c				c																		c				
	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of persons with disabilities</i>	10	7	7	6	g	c	c	g	c	c		c		g		g		c	c	g	c	g	c					c			g		
	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of Roma</i>	3	\	\	\			c											c											c				
	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of migrants and refugees</i>	2	\	1	\							c										c												
	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of low-skilled and unemployed</i>	2	\	2	\		c																		c									
Regional dimension of poverty and social exclusion	2	\	\	\			c																						c					
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for children (AROPE)	5	3	3	1	c				c		g		c	g		c												c	g				
	<i>At-risk-of-poverty</i>	3	2	3	\							g		c	c							c								g				
	<i>Severe material deprivation</i>	3	\	1	\			c		c									c															
	<i>(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)</i>	6	1	2	\		c	c				c							c	c											g		c	
	Effectiveness of social protection for children	10	5	9	4	c			c/g	g					c	g					g	c		c	c	c	c/g	c					c	
	Housing situation for children	2	\	2	\		c															c												

²⁰ "c" stands for challenge; "g" stands for good social outcome. "c" and "g" in bold italic shows non-JAF based challenges/good social outcomes.

Social policy area	Subcategory	EU-28 sum (c)	EU-28 sum (g)	EA sum (c)	EA sum (g)	AT	BE	BG	CZ	CY	DE	DK	EE	EL	ES	FI	FR	HU	HR	IE	IT	LT	LU	LV	MT	NL	PL	PT	RO	SE	SI	SK	UK			
3. Active inclusion - tackling poverty in working age	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for working age population	\	2	\	1	g			g																											
	At-risk-of-poverty	2	2	2	2					c						g															c	g				
	Severe material deprivation	\	\	\	\																															
	(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)	1	3	1	2									g	c									g						g						
	In work poverty	7	8	5	5		g		g	c	c	g			c	g		c	g	g		c	c		g				c		g					
	Effectiveness of social benefits	9	3	4	2	g		c	g		c	c		c				g	c			c					c		c							
	Effectiveness of social services	2	\	\	\			c																						c						
	Inclusive labour markets	5	5	4	5					c		c			c/g		g	g						g		g			c					c		
	Housing situation for working age population	1	\	1	\						c																									
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	Poverty and social exclusion in old age	5	\	3	\			c			c									c				c					c							
	At-risk-of-poverty	5	3	3	1				g				c					g	c			c		c						c		g				
	Severe material deprivation	1	1	\	1			c																g												
	Effectiveness of social protection in old age	\	\	\	\																															
	Poverty prevention	1	6	1	4				g			g				g				g					g			c					g			
	Income replacement aspects	16	2	11	2	g	c	c	c	c	c	c	c			c				c	c		c	g	c	c	c				c	c				
	Equal pension rules	3	\	1	\																c							c				c				
	Housing situation for the elderly	5	\	3	\				c		c	c												c					c							
5. Health and long-term care	Health status	13	5	8	3	c		c		g	c	c/g	c		c	g	c	c				c		c	g		c	c		g			c			
	Effectiveness of curative or preventive health care	9	6	5	4	g	g	c	g			c		g			c	g	c		c		g	c	c				c					c		
	Access to health care	10	\	7	\									c	c		c			c	c	c		c			c		c							
	Cost-effectiveness of health systems	16	\	11	\	c	c	c	c	c				c	c			c		c	c	c			c		c	c				c	c		c	
	Long-term care	7	\	6	\					c				c		c						c						c				c	c			

V. Key policy messages from the SPC thematic in-depth reviews

In the course of September 2016-June 2017, the SPC undertook five in-depth thematic reviews on different policy challenges in the remit of its work. Here below are the findings and policy conclusions from each of the reviews.

Reconciliation between private and public life

- **Challenges highlighted during the review:**
 - Social challenge: inadequate or ill-designed work-life balance policies contribute to women's underrepresentation in the labour market, and in turn women's reduced earnings, lower social security contributions and their higher risk of poverty and social exclusion, especially in old age.
 - Economic challenge: the underutilization of women's skills and competences in the labour market negatively affects Europe's competitiveness and growth.
 - Demographic challenge: Europe's ageing population is likely to have much greater long-term care needs.
 - Changing mindsets: modern families require policy rethink, including the need to encourage sharing of caring responsibilities between men and women, and take-up of occupational rights. While social norms shape policies, policies can also have a role in shaping attitudes.
- **Vision:** Reconciliation policies should target all persons with caring responsibilities and aim at a holistic approach going beyond women in general and young working mothers in particular.
- **Overarching objective for reconciliation policies:** Work towards building a parent and carer-friendly policy framework in which caring responsibilities do not represent a barrier to entering the labour market or advancing in one's career. Such a policy framework would have strong positive externalities for gender equality, reducing poverty and social exclusion and supporting employment and growth.
- **Operationalisation through a reconciliation policy mix:** There is no one-size-fits-all policy solution and Member States use different policy mixes tailored to the specific needs of working parents and carers.

➤ **Key policy parameters identified for reconciliation:**

- Leaves – adequate pay and social security contributions, limited transferability between parents, flexibility (i.e., to take in pieces or part-time), bonuses/incentives for fathers;
- Flexible working arrangements – reduced working hours, flexible working schedules, telework;
- Childcare – availability, affordability, access, quality, sufficient opening hours, broad eligibility criteria;
- Long-term care – availability, provision of home-based care and not just institutional care, respite services, quality;

➤ **Transferability of best practices:** While all Member States have their own policy mix of reconciliation policies, there is a high potential for innovation transfer between national policy frameworks and sharing best practices in this area could have promising impacts on policy development.

Social protection aspects of sickness benefits

Main findings and challenges highlighted during the review:

- Sickness protection for the self-employed and people on short-term contracts varies widely between countries and even within the same country, which can lead to inadequate social protection for these categories of workers, but little comparable information is available.
- There are great differences regarding the need for and take-up of sickness benefits in terms of gender, age, occupation and socio-economic status. Women take sickness leave more often than men. Age influences the frequency and length of sickness absence: for younger workers absence is more frequent but primarily short-term, while for older workers the opposite is the case: rarer absences but more often long-term. The more physically-demanding the occupation and the lower the socio-economic status, the more sickness absence is observed.
- In the case of long-term illness sickness benefit schemes are often closely interwoven with disability and early retirement pensions. An overall assessment of sickness benefit schemes will therefore also have to take account of the complex interrelations between these categories of benefits.
- While ‘a quick return to work’ presumably would be one of the key short-term goals of social protection in case of absence due to illness, there is often a need for comprehensive rehabilitation and reinsertion programmes which are not common practice, to address long-term goals.

- Sickness benefit schemes have been subject to reforms in almost all Member States over the past two decades. Eligibility criteria for both sickness and disability benefits have been tightened in almost all countries. At the onset of the financial and economic crisis in 2008, some typical effects included (longer) waiting periods and reduced replacement rates, a shift from government administered sickness benefits to sick pay with a view to involving employers more closely in the monitoring of workers, and thereby reducing abuse.
- The fiscal sustainability of sickness benefit schemes depends on how costs are shared between employers and the social security system. Often reforms have adjusted the relative share born by employers. Some times with the aim of lowering non-wage labour cost for companies. Other times to contain public expenditure. Generally, the number of beneficiaries and level of expenditure have steadily decreased over the past two decades as the result of reforms and crisis pressures.
- The long-standing challenge of absenteeism has during the crisis been paralleled by an increasing problem of presenteeism - i.e. the phenomenon of going to work while being in poor health, for fear of losing ones job.
- There are new challenges from stress-related mental disorders (burn-out, difficulties in the transition youth-adulthood, etc.). Their incidence has significantly increased during the past decade and can also impact on general physical health.
- Sick leave and sickness benefit are there to allow people a timely access to treatment and recovery - in the interest also of public health - and a quick return to work – in the interest also of productivity and employment - while ensuring a reasonable measure of income maintenance.
- Sickness benefit programmes often form part of the social protection aspects of health systems. The pressure on sickness benefit also depends on the success of health promotion and illness prevention policies including health and safety at work and in relation both to somatic and mental health. Mental disorders such as burn-out present an increasing challenge.
- Though all employed are exposed to the risk of having to be absent due to illness the access to and quality of social protection may differ between blue and white collar workers, civil servants, categories of self-employed and people employed on non-standard contracts. Often access and quality may be inversely related to the need for protection.
- There is a growing need to re-orient systems from a “passive” to an “active” social protection approach, where policies become focussed on returning people to work and minimising the extent to which long-term sickness absence leads to permanent labour market exit.

Possible areas for further work:

- Developing a common framework and a set of common indicators on sickness benefit policies, which takes into account national systems;
- Organising peer-reviews on good practises in social protection for sickness absence from work, including on issues such as how to deal with the challenges from mental disorders, absenteeism, presenteeism, rehabilitation and re-insertion etc.
- Ensuring that future studies, including in work on health systems by the Commission and the OECD, cover sickness benefit systems.

The role of social protection systems in facilitating the social inclusion of refugees and asylum-seekers

Summary of policy discussion

Europe is facing a significant surge in the inflow of asylum seekers. While the number of refugees is small compared to the overall EU population, there is an uneven distribution between Member States. This inflow is challenging Member States' infrastructure, facilities and communities as well as the capacity of their social protection systems to respond in an appropriate manner and integrate the new arrivals. While in some Member States this is altogether a new challenge, in others it reinforces pre-existing integration challenges. However, assuming the right conditions for swift and successful integration are met, migration brings several opportunities to the hosting societies, notably on the demographic front and in terms of skills.

In 2015 over 1.2 million first time asylum seekers applied for international protection in the EU according to Eurostat data. Syria (29% of the total number of first time applicants) was the main country of citizenship of asylum seekers in the EU Member States, followed by Afghanistan (14%) and Iraq (10%). More than four in five (83 %) of the first time asylum seekers in the EU-28 in 2015 were less than 35 years old, while nearly 3 in 10 (29 %) applicants were minors aged less than 18 years old. This age distribution of asylum applicants was common in almost all of the EU Member States, with the largest share of applicants usually being those aged 18–34. There were 88.7 thousand applications in the EU-28 from unaccompanied minors and 23.1 % of minors were unaccompanied. Among minors who applied for asylum, the share that was unaccompanied was less than half in most EU Member States in 2015, the exceptions being in SE, PT and IT.

The distribution of first time asylum applicants by gender shows that more men than women were seeking asylum. Among the younger age groups, males accounted for 55 % of the total number of applicants in 2015. There was a greater degree of gender difference for asylum applicants who were 14–17 or 18–34 years old, where around 80 % of applicants were male, with this share

dropping to two thirds for the age group 35–64. During the first quarter of 2016 (from January to March 2016), 287 100 first time asylum seekers applied for international protection in the Member States of the EU, down by 33% compared with the fourth quarter of 2015 (when 426 000 first time applicants were registered). During the second quarter of 2016 (from April to June 2016), 305 700 first time asylum seekers applied for international protection in the Member States of the EU, up by 6% compared with the first quarter of 2016. With nearly 90 500 first-time applicants between April and June 2016, Syrians remained the main group of citizens seeking international protection in the EU Member States, ahead of Afghans (50 300 first time applicants) and Iraqis (34 300).

In 2015, there were 593 000 first instance decisions in all EU Member States and more than half (52 %) of these resulted in granting international protection, that is grants of refugee or subsidiary protection status, or an authorisation to stay for humanitarian reasons. For first instance decisions, some 75 % of all positive decisions in the EU-28 in 2015 resulted in grants of refugee status, while for final decisions the share was somewhat lower, at 69 %. In the first half of 2016, there were 475 000 first instance decisions in all EU Member States and more than half (59 %) of EU-28 first instance asylum decisions resulted in granting international protection.

In their discussion, Member States highlighted the need for better coordination between various ministries, national, regional and local authorities as well as with social partners and NGOs. In many countries, municipalities are in charge of refugees' reception and coordination at local level is extremely important. Creating the appropriate incentive systems at local level for effective implementation of agreed policy measures is key.

Some of the other challenges shared by Member States related to acute housing problems, the diversity of the refugee/asylum-seekers' population, the fact that significant number of asylum seekers disappear from the radar, especially if refused asylum, the lack of good data on their health situation, the high incidence of psychological problems.

In terms of delivering social protection to the most vulnerable, a number of Member States shared the important challenge presented by the big numbers of unaccompanied minors. There is a frequent need for treatment of trauma, but also lack of adequate housing options for them, difficulties in ensuring stability and supporting the establishment of an appropriate social network.

Measures identified as effective to reduce the time needed for labour market integration encompass swift action, including in the asylum centres, through counselling on employment, language training, individualised approaches, individualised support by PES, and early screening of skills/qualifications. Language courses are an essential part of integration policies according to all Member States. Language training should start as soon as possible, if possible in the asylum seeking-phase for those asylum seekers who are likely to receive protection. Such trainings are best provided in a flexible way (e.g. in the evenings) and in a targeted manner - for instance by offering language acquisition at the workplace.

As work is one of the most effective means for integration, asylum seekers and refugees need to be provided with the right incentives. Some Member States reported on how engaging refugees and asylum-seekers in voluntary work can be a good instrument in the initial integration phase. Acknowledgement of refugees' skills and qualifications, including non-formal skills is also paramount. A work-oriented approach was shared by some Member States, whereby access to benefits and services is linked to participation in integration programmes, and non-compliance can lead to benefit cuts, if necessary, similarly to the approach applied to natives. Member States shared their vision behind their integration programmes, which is one of support from the side of the host government on the one hand, and integration efforts from the refugees on the other.

Some positive policy initiatives shared include a Tripartite agreement between state, municipalities and social partners in DK which saw a common discussion on the problems and possible ways to solve them as well as common commitment; an Activation agreement determining rights and obligations of public institutions and refugees in BG; newly established mentoring scheme in LV that helps in everyday life – housing, work, contact with authorities; integration through voluntary work in the NL.

The next challenge for Member States will be to move from ad-hoc arrangements, put in place for the first-step reception measures, towards long-term integration measures into society and the labour market. Evidence shows that third country nationals can make a positive fiscal net contribution if they are well integrated in a timely manner, starting with early integration into education and the labour market. But evidence also shows that labour market integration on average takes a long time.

Social integration is a multidimensional issue which requires a cross-cutting approach. It is not just about social protection, but also about education, labour market, healthcare and housing. The scope and suddenness of the recent inflow of third country nationals to Europe, and in particular of refugees, calls for a more coordinated and inclusive policy response to better tackle the challenges posed by migration and reap its full benefits. For some Member States this is a new situation, therefore there is a clear benefit in exchanging experiences and best practices.

Gaps in access to social protection for self-employed and atypical workers

- **Main findings and challenges highlighted during the review:**
 - A growing share of non-standard work and self-employment is a visible phenomenon in many Member States and this often can lead to problems in social protection coverage.

- The self-employed are a very heterogeneous group, which presents a number of challenges in relation to addressing their social protection needs. Formal coverage may often not be enough.
- The main current challenges highlighted by Member States relate to:
 - ways of bringing the self-employed into the compulsory pension systems while avoiding putting too high a burden on those with low incomes or creating incentives to contribution avoidance and underinsurance;
 - defining minimum contribution levels;
 - the need to combat bogus self-employment;
 - the need to address red tape;
 - the need to consider public support and trust in social protection systems in relation to reforms.
- Some of the consequences due to gaps in access to social protection relate to labour market segmentation, skewed playing fields, less labour market transitions, discouragement to take up self-employment, lower life time productivity, more precariousness, rising inequalities and possible exposure to higher risks of poverty. The impact in terms of the financing of social protection, especially in insurance-based systems, is also an important aspect.
- The challenges for the future relate to the impact of digitalisation on new and increasing forms of employment contracts (e.g. 'cloud' and other digital platform workers) or new forms of work and the implications for alternative forms of financing of social security systems.

➤ Reform options

- To ensure effective access, social protection systems would have to be better tailored to the contribution capacities and the protection needs of the various groups of self-employed.
- Addressing gaps in access to social protection would require a multidimensional approach, looking not just at the design of social protection and taxation systems, but also at labour law, with important consideration given to avoiding unintended incentives for people to choose self-employment over dependent employment or vice versa.
- Enhancing the coverage of social protection benefits for self-employed and atypical workers would involve increasing the accessibility of such benefits, their adequacy and actual take-up.

Make work pay – moving away from benefit dependency

➤ Main conclusions from the discussion:

- The importance of a multidimensional approach and a flexible set of measures, which take into account the socio-economic situation;
- Need for a right balance between adequate income support and activation and between targeted and universal measures;
- The important role of services (e.g. childcare, long-term care services) and attention to the psychological/health/skills/training/empowerment needs of individuals;
- The importance of individualised approaches for sustainable labour market integration;
- The important inter-connected role of taxes and benefits in contributing to 'make work pay';
- Need for closer cooperation between employment and social services and overall coordination among stakeholders;
- The importance of decent wages ;
- Importance of changing the communication narrative around activation vis-à-vis the individual beneficiary and the public at large.

Annex 1. Detailed review of social developments in the EU: SPPM results

Introduction

This annex provides a more detailed review of the latest social developments²¹ than in the main body of the Annual SPC report, and is based on a more extensive examination of the trends in the indicators in the Social Protection Performance Monitor (SPPM) dashboard together with supplementary indicators and information. It should be borne in mind that the analysis mainly focuses on the indicators included in the SPPM, which present a summary picture of the social situation in the EU, and that data used in the report can refer to different years for different types of information (e.g. income versus labour market developments), due to the different sources and reference periods of the data collected. It draws upon some additional context information, including the broad macro-economic and labour market situation in the EU and specific administrative data on benefit recipients collected through SPC delegates, in order to provide a comprehensive view on the main developments in social outcomes across Member States.

²¹ The figures quoted in this annex are based on data available around 30 May 2017, unless otherwise stated.

Summary of developments in the social situation in the EU

1. It is now around four years since the EU economy started its slow though consistent recovery following a double-dip recession. Increases in employment in the EU have progressed gradually in line with economic growth, and compared to the trough observed in mid-2013 employment has increased by around 8.6 million people. As a result, the employment level in the EU now exceeds the 2008 peak, with an extra 1.3 million people in work, and unemployment, including youth unemployment, continues to recede in the EU (although the impact of this is yet to be fully reflected in all social indicators). Despite the gradual improvements, labour market and social conditions still remain less favourable compared to 2008 in many Member States.
2. The latest update of the Social Protection Performance Monitor points to continued signs of a general improvement in the social situation in the EU, with more indicators flagging up a shift to positive changes. Of particular note are the continuing strong improvements in the situation of youth, with falls in the youth unemployment ratio in around two thirds of countries, and the reduction in long-term unemployment rates in close to half of the Member States, as well as continued rises in the employment rates of older workers. The related improvement in the employment and financial situation of households, with real household disposable income increasing in almost all Member States, has resulted in reductions in the share of the population living in (quasi-)jobless households and in the share experiencing severe material deprivation.
3. Reflecting these developments, the at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate fell in 16 Member States over 2014-2015, driven by declines in the severe material deprivation rate and in the share of the population living in (quasi-)jobless households. Nevertheless, in 2015 there were still around 1.7 million more people at risk of poverty or social exclusion in the EU28 compared to 2008²², and a total of 118.8 million or close to 1 in 4 Europeans.
4. Despite the generally positive developments, for the EU as a whole the following main negative trends, or “trends to watch”, are identified for the most recent period (2014-2015²³):
 - Continued deterioration with regard to the depth and persistence of poverty risk in many Member States;
 - Rises in the at-risk-of-poverty rates for people residing in (quasi-)jobless households.

²² The reference year, due to data availability, for the target adopted in 2010

²³ These income and household work intensity trends in fact refer to the data period 2013-2014 with the exception of the UK where income collected via EU-SILC in any one year relates to that year, rather than the previous one.

5. At the same time, there are signs of a decline in the relative income and living conditions of the elderly, with rises in their at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate along with falls in the aggregate replacement ratio (ARR) and median relative income ratio of the elderly in over a third of Member States (although the ARR also improved in the same number of MS). This is a reversal of the general trend observed in previous years, but reflects to a large extent the evolution of the relative income situation of the working age population as the labour market situation and incomes from work have improved.


The social situation in the European Union

Positive macro-economic and labour market context

It is now around four years since the EU economy started its slow though consistent recovery following a double-dip recession (Figure 7). Over recent quarters the economy has continued to expand, with nearly all Member States reporting increasing output, although growth remains uneven. Increases in employment in the EU have progressed gradually in line with economic growth, although with employment growth notably strong relative to the pace of GDP growth over the last two years, and compared to the trough observed in early-2013 employment has increased by around 8.6 million people. As a result, the employment level in the EU now exceeds the 2008 peak by around 1.3 million.

The increase in employment has extended to all sub-population groups and unemployment, including youth unemployment, continues to slowly recede in the EU (although the impact of this is yet to be fully reflected in all social indicators). Household incomes and financial conditions of EU households have continued to improve, thanks mainly to higher income from work. Nevertheless, despite the gradual improvements, labour market and social conditions remain challenging in many Member States.


Figure 7: Real GDP, GDHI and employment growth in the EU



Source: Eurostat, National Accounts (DG EMPL calculations for GDHI)
 Note: GDHI EU aggregate for Member States for which data are available, GDP for EU28

In the last quarter of 2016, real GDP was higher than in the last quarter of 2015 in all Member States except for Greece. Among the largest Member States, the year-on-year growth was strongest in Poland and Spain, with their economies expanding by around 3%, while in Germany and UK growth was around 2% and in France and Italy around 1%. Among the remaining Member States, real GDP growth continued to be strongest in Ireland (6.6%), followed by Malta and Romania, both with growth of around 5.0% (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Real GDP growth - EU, EA and Member States, 2016Q4



Source: Eurostat, National Accounts, data seasonally adjusted


The spring 2017 European Commission Economic Forecast (European Commission (2017a)) suggests that the economic recovery is set to continue in 2017, with recent data showing economic growth continuing at a steady pace, supported by macroeconomic policies, robust job creation, strong confidence, a gradual improvement in world trade, and the euro’s relatively low exchange rate. Real GDP growth for 2017 as a whole is expected to be 1.7% and 1.9% in the euro area and the EU respectively.

The conditions for an acceleration of economic activity are not yet present, as investment and wages are still constrained by the lingering legacy of the crisis. Wage growth remains constrained by the continued presence of slack in the labour market, with healthy net job creation unlikely to fully offset the negative impact of temporarily rising inflation on household purchasing power in many Member States. At the same time, investment is still dampened by the high level of public and private debt and the fact that banks and companies still need to adjust their balance sheets. As a result, GDP in the euro area and EU is forecast to continue growing at modest rates, rather than gather momentum, and is projected to be 1.8% and 1.9% respectively in 2018.

Over this year and next, employment creation should continue benefitting from growing domestic demand, relatively moderate wage growth, as well as past structural reforms and specific policy measures in certain countries. Overall, employment in the EU is projected to continue to grow, although with some loss of momentum, and average 0.9% this year and next. The unemployment rate in the EU is projected to fall from 8.5% in 2016 to 8.0% this year and 7.7% next year.

Despite the generally positive economic outlook, labour market and social conditions still remain challenging. The euro area (EA19) seasonally-adjusted unemployment rate remains high (at 9.3% in May 2017), although well down from the peak of 12.1% recorded around mid-2013, while the EU28 unemployment rate was 7.8% in May, compared with 8.7% one year earlier. This is the first time since 2001 that unemployment has fallen in all Member States over the 12-monthly reference period. The number of (seasonally adjusted) unemployed in the EU28 reached a high of 26.6 million in April 2013, but subsequently has been declining on a consistent basis to fall to around 19.1 million in May 2017, the lowest since December 2008 (Figure 9). This nevertheless still represents an increase of 3 million on the low of 16.1 million recorded in March 2008.


Figure 9: Monthly change in youth and adult unemployment and the total level of unemployment in the EU, January 2007 - May 2017



Source: Eurostat, data seasonally adjusted

In the year to May 2017, the unemployment rate declined in the EU for all age-groups and for both men and women (Figure 10). In that period, it declined slightly more strongly for men than women (by 1.0 pp for men and 0.8 pp women). For those aged 25-74, the unemployment rate in the EU declined by 0.7 pp in the year to May, with a sharper 2.1 pp decrease observed for youth aged 15-24. Nonetheless, these recent changes are not enough to return to the unemployment figures observed in 2008, with the rate remaining especially high for youth and still with around one in six economically active young people being unemployed.


Figure 10: EU unemployment rate by population group - change to May 2017



Source: Eurostat, series on unemployment and LFS

The increased divergence between countries in terms of labour market and social impacts which resulted from the recent crisis (see Box 2) still remains a key feature, especially within the Euro Area. This divergence is clearly evident in the change in unemployment rates compared to 2008 (Figure 11), with huge increases still observed in many southern Member States (HR (up 4.7 pp), IT (5.0 pp), ES (8.3 pp), CY (9.4 pp), and EL (15.8 pp)) compared to rises of under 1 pp in BE, RO and SE, little change in SK, and reductions in CZ, MT, PL and the UK, and especially in HU (down 2.7 pp) and DE (down 3.3 pp).

Figure 11: Unemployment rate developments across EU Member States, 2008, 2015 and 2016



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Note: For RO, break in series in 2010

Box 2. Convergence and divergence in the EU and the role of employment and social policies (European Commission 2016)

One of the fundamental objectives of the EU is to improve the lives of its citizens by promoting convergence. In its 2016 report on Employment and Social Developments in Europe (European Commission (2016)) the Commission analysed the extent to which employment and social performance converged in the EU and in the Euro area in the period leading up to the economic crisis of 2008 and diverged after it. It also discussed how employment and social policies can foster convergence towards better employment and social outcomes in the EU and the euro area.

The analyses concluded that the 2008 crisis indeed halted the overall convergence of economic and social performance in the EU, with in particular, employment and unemployment rates diverging strongly as a result of the crisis. The divergence largely reflected the adverse impact of the crisis on Southern and Eastern Member States. In addition, following longer term trends, inequality has increased since 2007, while stabilising in the most recent years, but it has also tended to converge at these higher levels. Poverty risk rates have also increased on average, while the dispersion of poverty risk rates has increased. Similarities have emerged in many countries as older people have seen their incomes become better protected and their poverty risk rates fall, while working age adults - in particular the youngest ones - have been hardest hit by the crisis.

Post 2008 divergence patterns reflected the exceptional size of the crisis, but also weaknesses in countries' policy choices and in the underlying architecture of the EMU. Labour markets and social protection policies and institutions across the EU performed very differently in the face of economic shocks. Member States which had well functioning social institutions before the crisis were less affected, absorbed shocks better and recovered more quickly.

The report concludes that over the last decade, the evidence of convergence in policies, inter alia to deliver a stronger national capacity to adjust to shocks, is mixed. On the positive side, skills structures converged, while the proportion of early school leavers both converged and fell since 2009. However, the coverage of Active Labour Market Policies went down after 2009 (and stabilised in 2014) as did the level of expenditure per person wanting to work, while the coverage of life-long learning remained broadly stable. Furthermore, while average family expenditure per child remained stable or increased slightly on average, expenditure levels converged before 2009 and diverged afterwards. In contrast pension expenditure withstood the crisis much better.

Since the beginning of the crisis, the effective coverage of social protection systems has either been declining (in the case of unemployment benefits and ALMPs) or remained constant (in the case of lifelong learning and access to benefit for the jobless poor). These trends had the effect of weakening the contribution social transfers could make to reducing monetary poverty. Fostering reforms in the Member States that bring about upwards convergence of employment and social policies and outcomes is therefore seen by many as a high priority at the European level.

In terms of more recent trends, compared with a year earlier the unemployment rate in 2016 had decreased in the vast majority of Member States and rose appreciably in only 2 (AT and EE). BG, CY, ES, HR, HU and SK experienced decreases of 1.5 pp or more. Despite the recent improvement in the EU labour market, and the relatively stronger falls in the unemployment rates in many of the central and southern Member States, which perhaps signals the return to a period of convergence, the rates in CY, EL, ES and HR (13.1%, 23.6%, 19.6% and 13.3% respectively) remain far above those of the central and northern Member States. In contrast, some of the other Member States hit particularly hard by the crisis, namely the Baltic States (EE, LV and LT) and IE, have seen a very strong recovery in their labour markets over recent years which has led to a substantial fall in unemployment in those countries compared to their post-crisis peaks.

The long-term unemployment rate for the EU continued to reduce over 2016 but remains relatively high. The rate fell 0.5 pp year-on-year to the last quarter of 2016, similar to the drop observed over the previous year. Nevertheless, in the last quarter of 2016, those unemployed for more than a year continued to represent 3.8% of the EU labour force or around 9.3 million people, some 3.2 million more than in 2008. Long-term unemployment rates continue to be particularly high in IT and ES, at around 7% and 9% respectively, and above all in EL, at close to 17%.


Around 3.8 million young persons (aged 15-24 years) were unemployed in the EU28 in March 2017, representing around one in six young people in the labour market. Nevertheless, driven by strong falls in ES and FR, and to a lesser extent in PL, compared with March 2016 the situation of youth has continued to improve noticeably. Youth unemployment decreased by 0.5 million at EU level, following on to a similar fall the year before. Despite recent progress, in March 2016, the seasonally adjusted youth unemployment rate was still a high 17.0% in the EU28 and 19.1% in the euro area, compared with 19.0% and 21.3% respectively in March 2016. The lowest rate was observed in DE (6.7%), with CZ and NL also recording rates under 10%, while, in contrast, the highest rates were in ES (40.3%) and EL (46.6%) and with HR and IT also reporting rates of the order of 30%.

The proportion of young people (aged 15-24 years) who are neither in employment, education, nor in training (NEET) increased sharply since the start of the crisis but peaked in 2012 at 13.2% and has subsequently been falling. By 2016 the average NEET rate had dropped back to 11.5%, only 0.6 pps above the rate at the start of the crisis in 2008 (10.9%). Most Member States have recorded falls in NEET rates over the last year, the main exceptions being CY and LV where rates rose by more than 0.5 pps. Eleven Member States recorded declines of 1 pp or more, including some of the southern Member States experiencing the highest rates, namely EL, ES and IT, although rates remain above 15% in these countries along with BG, CY, HR and RO. In contrast, rates remain comparatively low in the northern Member States, especially in DE, DK, LU, NL and SE where rates were all under 7%.

Migrants have tended to be more affected by unemployment than the general population (Figure 12), with 17.2% of economically active third-country nationals in the EU without a job in the last quarter of 2016 compared to only 7.8% for nationals. The gap between the unemployment rates of non-EU migrant and native workers already existed before the crisis but increased markedly since it hit. Although broadly declining over recent years the gap still remains some 2 pps higher

than before the crisis. As for intra-EU mobile citizens, even though the gap for their unemployment rates rose to around 3 pps after the crisis, they have generally been much closer to those of nationals, and over 2016 the gap has closed even further to only 0.7 pps at the end of 2016.

Figure 12: Unemployment rate breakdown for native workers, EU27 nationals and third-country workers, 2007-2016



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

One important issue relevant to understanding developments in the social situation, especially regarding the progress towards the target on the reduction of the population living at risk of poverty or social exclusion (see the following section), is the change in the size of the overall population since 2008, which has been quite dramatic in certain Member States. For example, between 2008 and 2016 the total population in LV and LT has declined by around 10%, and in BG and RO by some 4% to 5%, while it has expanded by around 9% in CY and 19% in LU (Table 1). Other Member States with sizeable relative increases in the population include BE (6.0%), IE (6.0%), UK (6.2%), MT (6.5%) and SE (7.3%). For the EU as a whole, the total population increased by 2.0% or 10 million, mainly reflecting net rises of around 800 thousand in ES, 2.8 million in FR, 2.0 million in IT and 3.8 million in the UK.

Table 1: Population change between 2008 and 2016

	2008	2016	% change
EU28	500,297,033	510,284,430	2.0
EU27	495,985,066	506,093,761	2.0
EA19	333,096,775	339,887,302	2.0
EA18	329,884,170	336,998,744	2.2
BE	10,666,866	11,311,117	6.0
BG	7,518,002	7,153,784	-4.8
CZ	10,343,422	10,553,843	2.0
DK	5,475,791	5,707,251	4.2
DE	82,217,837	82,175,684	-0.1
EE	1,338,440	1,315,944	-1.7
IE	4,457,765	4,724,720	6.0
EL	11,060,937	10,783,748	-2.5
ES	45,668,939	46,445,828	1.7
FR	64,007,193	66,759,950	4.3
HR	4,311,967	4,190,669	-2.8
IT	58,652,875	60,665,551	3.4
CY	776,333	848,319	9.3
LV	2,191,810	1,968,957	-10.2
LT	3,212,605	2,888,558	-10.1
LU	483,799	576,249	19.1
HU	10,045,401	9,830,485	-2.1
MT	407,832	434,403	6.5
NL	16,405,399	16,979,120	3.5
AT	8,307,989	8,690,076	4.6
PL	38,115,641	37,967,209	-0.4
PT	10,553,339	10,341,330	-2.0
RO	20,635,460	19,760,314	-4.2
SI	2,010,269	2,064,188	2.7
SK	5,376,064	5,426,252	0.9
FI	5,300,484	5,487,308	3.5
SE	9,182,927	9,851,017	7.3
UK	61,571,647	65,382,556	6.2

Source: Eurostat, population statistics.

Notes: Population figures on 1 January of given year.

Still little progress towards the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target

The commitment made in 2010 by the EU Heads of States and Governments to lift at least 20 million people out of being at risk of poverty or social exclusion, in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy, was a significant step forward. It stressed the equal importance of inclusive growth alongside economic objectives for the future of Europe, and it introduced a new monitoring and accountability scheme²⁴. Within the framework of the Europe 2020 target, Member States set national poverty and social exclusion targets (Table 2), although the individual poverty-reduction ambitions of the Member States sums to a figure much lower than the EU level commitment. In June 2016 the Council invited the Commission, in the Council Conclusions of the meeting, to keep the prevention of, and fight against, poverty high on the political agenda and to support Member States in delivering on their national EU2020 targets. These Council Conclusions were accompanied by an addendum, which contains a collection of innovative best practices from all over Europe for integrated approaches to combat poverty and social exclusion. The recent adoption by the Commission of the European Pillar of Social Rights (Box 3) aims to strengthen the social dimension of Europe through a renewed process of upward convergence towards better working and living conditions.

Table 2: Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target - national targets

	National 2020 target for the reduction of poverty or social exclusion (in number of persons)
EU28	20,000,000
BE	380,000
BG	260,000 persons living in monetary poverty*
CZ	100,000
DK	Reduction of the number of persons living in households with very low work intensity by 22,000 by 2020*
DE	Reduce the number of long-term unemployed by 320,000 by 2020*
EE	Reduction of the at risk of poverty rate after social transfers to 15%, equivalent to an absolute decrease by 36 248 persons*
IE	Reduce the number of person in combined poverty (either consistent poverty, at-risk-of-poverty or basic deprivation) by at least 200,000*
EL	450,000
ES	1,400,000-1,500,000
FR	1,900,000
HR	Reduction of the number of persons at risk of poverty or social exclusion to 1,220,000 by 2020
IT	2,200,000
CY	27,000 (or decrease the percentage from 23.3% in 2008 to 19.3% by 2020)
LV	Reduce the number of persons at the risk of poverty and/or of those living in households with low work intensity by 121 thousand or 21 % until 2020*
LT	170,000 (and the total number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion must not exceed 814,000 by 2020)
LU	6,000
HU	450,000
MT	6,560
NL	Reduce the number of people aged 0-64 living in a jobless household by 100,000 by 2020*
AT	235,000
PL	1,500,000
PT	200,000
RO	580,000
SI	40,000
SK	170,000
FI	140,000 (Reduce to 770,000 by 2020 the number of persons living at risk of poverty or social exclusion)
SE	Reduction of the % of women and men aged 20-64 who are not in the labour force (except full-time students), the long-term unemployed or those on long-term sick leave to well under 14%*
UK	New statutory and non-statutory Life Chances measures*


²⁴ COM (2010) 758 final

Source: National Reform Programmes. Notes: * denotes countries that have expressed their national target in relation to an indicator different to the EU headline target indicator (AROPE). For some of these Member States (BG, DK, EE, LV) it is expressed in terms of one or more of the components of AROPE, but for the others (DE, IE, NL (age range differs), SE and UK (not yet defined)) it is neither in terms of AROPE nor the standard definition of one or more of its components.

The EU poverty and social exclusion target is based on a combination of three indicators – the at-risk-of-poverty rate, the severe material deprivation rate, and the share of people living in (quasi-) jobless (i.e. very low work intensity) households. It considers people who find themselves in any of these three categories and, while very broad, it reflects the multiple facets of poverty and social exclusion across Europe. This definition extends the customary concept of relative income poverty to cover the non-monetary dimension of poverty and labour market exclusion.

In 2015, 16 Member States registered significant falls in the share of the population at risk of poverty and social exclusion and only 3 observed significant rises, with overall figures for the EU pointing to a substantial fall of 3.1 million between 2014 and 2015. Nevertheless, in 2015 there were still around 1.7 million more people living at risk of poverty or social exclusion in the EU compared to 2008²⁵ and a total of 118.8 million or close to 1 in 4 Europeans, indicating that the EU has not made any significant progress towards achieving its Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target (Figure 13, which shows time series since 2005 for the EU27 aggregate²⁶).

Figure 13: Evolution of the Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target in the EU27 (figures in 1000s)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty-or-social-exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (Quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households (i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households); SMD - severe material deprivation rate. For the at-risk-of-poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the UK (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the (quasi-) jobless households rate refers to the previous calendar year while for the severe material deprivation rate it is the current survey year.

²⁵ The reference year, due to data availability, for the target adopted in 2010

²⁶ Note figures here refer to the EU27 aggregate, since time series for the EU28 aggregate not available back to 2005.

Underlying the overall change in the AROPE rate were more substantial changes in its components, with a noticeable reduction in severe material deprivation (down 4.1 million) and in people living in (quasi-)jobless households (down 2.3 million) although the population at risk of poverty continued to rise by 0.7 million. This suggests that while improvements in economic activity and labour markets have led to reductions in the number of (quasi-)jobless households and improvements in living standards leading to reductions in severe material deprivation, the benefits of growth under the recovery have not been distributed so as to bring down the risk of poverty among the overall population at EU level. On the positive side, the most recent figures for SMD point to a further reduction in the EU over 2015-2016, with a drop of around 1.4 million.

The overall trend masks persisting divergence between Member States. Substantially higher AROPE rates in 2015 compared to 2008 are still observed mainly in the countries most affected by the economic crisis (CY, EL, ES and IT), but have more recently been observed also in countries such as BG, LU and MT (although the latter two remain below the EU average). For half of Member States the AROPE rate in 2015 is close to the 2008 figure, while in six countries it is considerably lower, most notably in PL and RO (Figure 14). Many Member States registered significant improvements over the latest year for which data is available (between 2014 and 2015), most notably EE, IE, HU, LV and RO.

Figure 14: At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate (in %), evolution (in pp) 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	23.7	23.7	23.0	23.1	21.1	41.3	14.0	17.7	20.0	24.2	26.0	35.7	28.6	17.7	29.1	28.7
2014-2015 change in pp	-0.7	-0.7	~	~	~	1.2	-0.8	~	-0.6	-1.8	-1.7	~	-0.6	-0.8	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	1.4	1.4	~	3.2	~	n.a.	~	~	~	7.6	4.8	~	-2.0	3.2
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	28.9	30.9	29.3	18.5	28.2	22.4	16.4	18.3	23.4	26.6	37.4	19.2	18.4	16.8	16.0	23.5
2014-2015 change in pp	1.5	-1.8	2.0	~	-3.6	-1.4	~	-0.9	-1.3	-0.9	-2.9	-1.2	~	~	-0.9	-0.6
2008-2015 change in pp	5.6	-3.3	~	3.0	~	2.3	~	-2.3	-7.1	~	-6.8	~	-2.2	~	~	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) Only significant changes have been highlighted in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). Eurostat calculations on statistical significance of net change have been used where available, combined with checks for substantive significance. ii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the (quasi-)jobless households rate refers to the previous calendar year while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current year. iii) For BG, major break in the time series in 2014 for the material deprivation indicators, so for AROPE the change 2008-2013 is used for the longer period compared to 2008; iv) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); v) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC. Hence change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; vi) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then. vii) For UK, changes in the EU-SILC survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer-term trend must therefore be particularly cautious.

Box 3. The European Pillar of Social Rights

On 26 April 2017 the Commission adopted a Communication²⁷ on the European Pillar of Social Rights²⁸, reflecting its priority to build a fairer Europe and strengthen its social dimension. The Pillar is designed as a compass for a renewed process of upward convergence towards better working and living conditions in Europe, and sets out 20 key principles and rights to support fair and well-functioning labour markets and welfare systems.

The Pillar reaffirms rights that are already present in the EU and international legal acquis and complements them to take account of new realities. The principles and rights enshrined in the Pillar are structured around three categories: "equal opportunities and access to the labour market", "fair working conditions" and "social protection and inclusion". They place the focus on how to tackle new developments in the world of work and society at large so as to deliver on the promise of the Treaties of a highly competitive social market economy, aiming at full employment and social progress.

The European Commission flanks the European Pillar of Social Rights with a number of further concrete legislative and non-legislative initiatives such as on the work-life balance of parents and carers, on the information of workers, and on access to social protection and on working time. These initiatives illustrate both the nature of the issues covered by the Pillar as well as the way in which its principles and rights can be implemented.

A social scoreboard has been proposed to track trends and performances across EU countries in 12 areas and to assess progress towards a social "triple A" for the EU as a whole. This analysis will feed into the European Semester of economic policy coordination.

Delivering on the Pillar's principles and rights is a dynamic process. The Pillar will inspire the work done in the context of the European Semester and on the completion of the Economic and Monetary Union. In particular, the Pillar should serve to re-start the process of convergence within the EMU and some of the principles and rights could act as guidance towards more binding standards for the euro area. Further EU legislative or non-legislative initiatives may follow in the future as part of the annual Commission Work Programmes. The European funds, in particularly the European Social Fund, will also provide financial support to implement many key aspects of the Pillar.

The Pillar has been presented under two legal forms with identical content: as a Commission Recommendation, and as a proposal for a joint proclamation by the Parliament, the Council and the Commission. On this basis, the Commission is in discussion with the European Parliament and the Council to work towards broad political support and high-level endorsement of the Pillar.


²⁷ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=17624&langId=en>

²⁸ http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-17-1007_en.htm

Relative poverty risk still edging up and its persistence is an increasing problem

Looking at the evolution in the at-risk-of-poverty rate over the past 10 years, we can see that the EU27 rate was generally quite stable at around 16.5% up until 2010, when it started to increase noticeably. Although it broadly stabilised in 2012 and 2013, there was again a notable increase in the rate from 2013 onwards²⁹ and by 2015 it had increased to 17.3%. Increases in the at-risk-of-poverty rate have been more marked for the Euro area, where it has increased almost continuously over the last decade, from a level of 15.5% in 2005, 1 pp below the EU average, to 17.2% in 2015 and very close to the EU average (Figure 15).

Figure 15: At-risk-of-poverty rate (EU27, EA19), 2005-2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

However, only 3 Member States (CY, LV and LT) experienced statistically significant increases in at-risk-of-poverty rates between 2014 and 2015 (actually reflecting changes in the income situation between 2013 and 2014), while a similar number recorded decreases (BE, EL, LU and SE). In the large majority of Member States, the poverty risk rate remained broadly stable during this period (Figure 16). In the longer term, 5 Member States still had substantially worse relative poverty rates compared to the start of the crisis in 2008, with the highest increases of around 2.5 pps in ES, HU and SE. However, the changes in the at-risk-of-poverty rate must be assessed in parallel with the underlying developments in the poverty risk threshold. In this regard, for the vast majority of Member States there was no significant change in the threshold between 2014 and 2015, while for those few that did record a substantial change (EE, EI, HR, LV, LT and RO) these were all related to an improvement (i.e. a rise) in the threshold.

²⁹ Income data actually generally refer to the year before that quoted, which is the EU-SILC survey reference year.

Figure 16: Evolution (in pp) of the at-risk-of-poverty rate and associated threshold (in %, as real change in national currency terms), 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

AROP

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	17.3	17.3	17.2	17.2	14.9	22.0	9.7	12.2	16.7	21.6	16.3	21.4	22.1	13.6	20.0	19.9
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-0.6	~	~	~	~	~	~	-0.7	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	1.2	1.1	~	~	~	n.a.	1.5	~	~	~	2.3	~	~	~
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	16.2	22.5	22.2	15.3	14.9	16.3	11.6	13.9	17.6	19.5	25.4	14.3	12.3	12.4	14.5	16.7
2014-2015 change in pp	1.8	1.3	3.1	-1.1	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	-0.6	~
2008-2015 change in pp	~	-3.4	~	~	2.5	~	~	~	~	~	~	2.0	~	-1.2	2.3	-2.0

AROP threshold (real change in national currency)

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	11953	4129	6991	12231	12219	6259	10622	5281	8678	11931	4952	9237
2014-2015 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	~	~	~	~	~	9.2	7.5	~	~	~	5.4	~
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.4	40.5	10.4	n.a.	~	~	-6.2	-34.9	-11.6	~	-9.6	-8.4
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	9188	4855	4951	17571	4751	10009	11632	13189	5970	6190	2614	9061	6132	11658	12730	10627
2014-2015 change in %	~	11.8	8.1	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	8.5	~	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in %	-20.3	12.1	10.5	~	~	19.1	~	5.8	28.4	~	23.8	~	30.5	5.5	18.2	-5.1

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Only significant changes have been highlighted in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). Eurostat calculations on statistical significance of net change have been used where available, combined with checks for substantive significance. ii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey); iii) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these); iv) For 2014 EE registered a major break in series for EU-SILC variables, so longer-term changes for these are presented for the period 2008-2013 only; v) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then; vi) For UK, changes in the EU-SILC survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer-term trend must therefore be particularly cautious.

Focusing on the longer term changes since 2008 in the above tables highlights the especially worrying developments in CY and EL where there have been substantial falls in the poverty risk threshold of 20% and 35% respectively (real change in national currency terms). In addition, ES, HR and IT have also seen marked real falls of the order of 10% in real terms based on national currency series, which in ES is also combined with a marked rise in the at-risk-of-poverty rate.


Taking a slightly different perspective in terms of looking at combined changes in the at-risk-of-poverty rate and the poverty risk threshold in terms of purchasing power parities³⁰ (Figure 17), confirms the marked differences in patterns of developments across Member States since 2008. Making reference to the threshold in purchasing power parities (and not in national currency), developments of the threshold in an EU comparative perspective are measured. The graph shows

³⁰ Purchasing power parities (PPPs) are used as currency conversion rates to convert income or expenditures expressed in national currencies into an artificial common currency (the Purchasing Power Standard, PPS), thus eliminating the effect of price level differences across countries.

the combined evolution in the at-risk-of-poverty rate and the associated at-risk-of-poverty threshold over the period 2008-2015, although with no indication of the statistical significance of the changes. The arrows depict how Member States have moved on the two indicators over the full period since the start of the crisis. Arrows pointing to the top left corner (in green) point to improvement on both indicators, while arrows pointing to the bottom right corner (in red) point to a negative development on both indicators. This visual representation can contribute to a better understanding of the development of the risk of poverty at Member State level. It also helps in assessing the situation at the level of the EU, e.g. by showing whether trends are converging or diverging between the Member States.

An increase in the threshold with a decreasing poverty risk rate points to stronger increases among the lowest incomes compared to the median income, while increasing poverty risk rates with a decreasing poverty risk threshold points to incomes (just) above the threshold dropping faster than the median. Increases in both the threshold and the rate points to increasing median income, while the lowest incomes remain stable or are increasing more slowly than the median. Finally, a situation of both a decreasing rate and threshold points to a drop in median income, while incomes (just) below the threshold remain stable (or increase).

Figure 17: Combined evolution in the at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %) and associated threshold (in PPS), 2008-2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

*Notes: i) Major break in series in 2014 in EE for income variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2015 not shown. ii) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes, so no figures shown; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) The income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the UK (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey); v) Line colours reflect the combined movement of the threshold and AROP rate: **Green** = threshold up and rate down, **purple** = both threshold and rate up, **orange** = threshold down and rate down, **red** = threshold down and rate up; vi) In this chart all changes are shown without regard to the statistical significance of the change.*

The results again highlight the especially worrying developments in EL where a significant rise in the risk of poverty is combined with a substantial fall in the poverty risk threshold of close to 27% in purchasing power parity (PPP) terms. Similarly, in ES a significant increase in the poverty risk rate occurs simultaneously with a fall of around 4% in the threshold. Although CY and IE have not seen a significant rise in the risk of poverty, this is nevertheless associated with a fall of around 16% and 3% respectively in the poverty risk threshold in PPPs. Many Member States have experienced a combined significant rise in both the poverty risk and the threshold, but a few (AT, FI and LV) have registered a significant fall in the poverty risk combined with a rise in the threshold. Finally, the UK has seen a fall in the poverty risk together with a drop (in PPP terms) in the threshold.

In periods of sudden changes in the median income of the population, as has been the case in a number of Member States during the economic crisis, the poverty risk threshold can move quite substantially. As highlighted in the above results, a full understanding of the situation thus requires a simultaneous assessment of both the poverty risk rate and threshold. An additional way to account for this is to keep the threshold fixed in real terms over a longer period of time, therefore controlling for the effects of a moving threshold, and reflect the evolution of the real income of the poor and the effectiveness of social inclusion policies. In the current context this method reflects better the deterioration of the real income of the poor and the lack of effectiveness of social inclusion policies.


Figure 18 shows the evolution of the at-risk-of-poverty rates anchored in 2008 poverty risk threshold levels. Results suggest that between 2014 and 2015 the vast majority of countries saw the rate decline, with the most notable falls in HU and PT (both down around 2 pps) and especially IE and LV where the rates fell by around 4 pps. As a result, the EU average decreased from 19.4% in 2014 to 18.5% in 2015. Only CY recorded a significant rise, of around 4 pps. Looking at the longer timeframe 2008-2015, EL has clearly seen the most dramatic increase in its anchored poverty risk rate (up 27.9 pps), followed by CY (19.2 pps), ES (10.1 pps) and IT (6.7 pps). The biggest improvements were observed in BG and PL, both with decreases of close to 7 pps, while MT also saw a decline of 5.6 pps. In absolute terms, 18.5 % of the population in the EU were at-risk-of-poverty in 2015, anchored at 2008 poverty risk threshold levels, which is 1.2 pp higher than the ordinary rate of 17.3 %.

Another issue of concern, and which has been highlighted as a trend to watch, is the continuing rise in the share of the population suffering from persistent poverty risk (Figure 19). In 2015, the persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate³¹ in the EU was 10.9%, up from 8.7% in 2008, and having

³¹ The indicator shows the percentage of the population whose equivalised disposable income was below the 'at-risk-of-poverty threshold' for the current year and at least 2 out of the preceding 3 years

increased a further 0.6 pp on the year before. Significant rises in the persistent poverty risk rate for the latest year of data available can be seen in 9 Member States, with the most notable increase being in LU (3.3 pp), but also in DE, EE and MT, all with rises of around 2 pps. Significant longer term developments since 2008 are apparent in ES and MT (both up around 5 pp) and to a slightly lesser extent in DE, LU and SE (all up around 4 pp).

Figure 18: At-risk-of-poverty rate anchored in 2008 for 2008, 2014 and 2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Sorted on the anchored-AROP rate for 2015; ii) break in series in 2014 for EE and over 2008-2015 in DK iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) for the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (i.e. 2013) except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Figure 19: Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %), evolution (in pp) 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	10.9	10.9	11.5	11.5	9.8	16.2	4.5	4.3	11.3	13.1	9.4	13.3	15.8	8.5	14.7	14.3
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.1	~	1.8	1.9	-1.3	-1.2	1.5	~	n.a.	1.4
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	2.2	2.5	2.5	~	n.a.	~	n.a.	4.1	-4.3	n.a.	~	4.8	n.a.	n.a.	1.6
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	7.3	10.1	14.3	12.0	7.2	12.7	7.3	8.8	10.1	13.6	19.3	8.1	7.4	8.3	7.0	7.3
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	-1.7	3.3	-1.4	2.1	~	~	~	1.6	~	-1.4	-2.4	1.3	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	-2.6	-2.5	3.4	3.6	~	5.0	~	n.a.	~	~	n.a.	~	2.5	1.5	4.4	-1.2

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) For AT, break in series in 2011 for persistent poverty risk ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); ii) Major break in series in 2014 in EE for income variables in EU-SILC, so changes are presented for the period 2008-2013 only; iii) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2014 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); iv) Data missing for early years of the time series around 2008 for IE, FR, HR and RO.

Negative developments still observed in the depth of poverty risk in several countries, while more timely data on material deprivation and household income suggest a continuing improvement in living standards in many Member States

The poverty risk gap shows what is happening in terms of the depth of income poverty, indicating the extent to which the incomes of those at risk of poverty fall below the poverty risk threshold on average. In policy terms, it indicates the scale of transfers which would be necessary to bring the incomes of those concerned up to the threshold. The poverty risk gap in the EU in 2015 was 24.8% of the at-risk-of-poverty threshold, and has expanded by almost 3 pp since 2008. In 2015, the poverty risk gap in EU countries varied between 13.2% (in FI) to over 30% in BG, EL, ES and RO. It is especially concerning that the poverty risk gap has increased in half of Member States since 2008, and in some countries quite substantially so (by 5 pp or more in EL, ES, IT, PT, RO and SK) (Figure 20). Also of concern is the fact that the gap widened considerably in several Member States over 2014-2015, with particularly marked jumps in DK, ES, LT, LV and RO, with the result that the depth of income poverty remains identified as a trend to watch.

Figure 20: Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap, evolution in pp, 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	24.8	24.8	24.9	24.9	17.4	30.3	19.2	22.0	22.0	21.0	18.5	30.6	33.8	15.7	26.4	29.3
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.4	-2.9	1.2	3.5	-1.2	~	~	~	2.2	~	-1.5	1.1
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	2.9	3.5	3.5	~	3.3	~	n.a.	~	1.2	~	5.9	8.2	1.2	-1.2	6.1
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	19.8	25.5	26.0	17.4	21.8	17.3	16.8	20.5	22.3	29.0	38.2	20.3	28.9	13.2	20.0	20.2
2014-2015 change in pp	1.3	1.9	3.3	1.1	~	~	~	~	~	-1.3	3.6	-1.7	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	4.5	-3.1	~	~	4.5	-3.0	1.9	~	1.7	5.8	5.9	~	10.8	-2.5	2.0	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes "n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); ii) For 2014 EE registered a major break in series for EU-SILC variables, so longer-term changes for these are presented for the period 2008-2013 only; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

In the period 2015-2016³², almost half (13) of Member States recorded statistically significant reductions in severe material deprivation (Figure 21) linked to improving living standards, with particularly notable improvements in Bulgaria (down 2.3 pp), LV (down 3.6 pp), HU (down 3.2 pp) and MT (down 3.7 pp), while only RO registered a deterioration. As a result the longer term trend is broadly balanced, with the rate of severe material deprivation having increased since 2008 in 8

³² Member States have provided early delivery severe material deprivation figures to Eurostat. As a result, for many countries more recent figures or estimates for SMD are already available for the changes between 2015 and 2016. It should be noted, however, that these are not yet final figures.

Member States but having reduced in 10. The countries still experiencing the worst longer-term increases were EL (up 11.0 pp), CY (4.6 pp) and IT (4.4 pp). In comparison, LV and LT – among those most affected by the economic crisis and previously showing strong increases in severe material deprivation – have experienced a very sharp improvement in the situation over the last few years to the extent that the situation is now similar to, or even better than, in 2008. Among the countries having seen a clear improvement compared to 2008, PL and RO have recorded considerable reductions in SMD rates of around 11 and 9 pps respectively, reflecting strong improvements in living standards.

Figure 21: Severe material deprivation rate, evolution in pp, 2015-2016 & 2008-16

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2016	7.8	7.7	6.7	6.8	5.5	31.9	4.8	2.6	3.9	4.8	7.5	22.2	5.8	4.4	12.5	11.9
2015-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	~	-2.3	-0.8	-1.1	~	~	~	~	-0.6	~	-1.2	~
2008-2016 change in pp	n.a.	~	~	~	~	~	-2.0	~	-1.6	2.7	2.0	11.0	2.2	~	-1.8	4.4
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2016	13.7	12.8	13.5	2.0	16.2	4.4	2.7	3.0	6.7	8.4	23.8	5.4	9.0	2.2	0.7	5.2
2015-2016 change in pp	-1.7	-3.6	~	~	-3.2	-3.7	~	-0.6	-1.4	-1.2	1.1	~	~	~	~	-0.9
2008-2016 change in pp	4.6	-6.5	~	1.3	~	~	1.2	-2.9	-11.0	~	-8.9	-1.3	-2.8	-1.3	~	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)


Notes: i) For BG, major break in the time series in 2014 for the material deprivation indicator (SMD), so change 2008-2013 is used for the longer period compared to 2008. Also a break in 2016 for EU-SILC based indicators, but comparison of changes are still valid;; ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC. Hence change 2008-2013 used for the longer period; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before then; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious;; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change); vi) SMD figures for CY, DE, EE, FR, IT, LT, MT, PL and UK are provisional, and for IE and LU are estimates.

If one looks at the "standard" material deprivation rate (defined as the percentage of the population with an enforced lack of at least three out of nine material deprivation items in the 'economic strain and durables' dimension), the general pattern of longer term changes across Member States since 2008 is broadly similar to that for the severe material deprivation rate (Figure 22). The largest rises in "standard" material deprivation since 2008 are observed in the southern Member States of CY, EL, ES and IT as well as IE, all with increases in excess of 5 pp. The increases in CY (up 9.8 pp) and EL (up 18.9 pp) are particularly marked. In contrast, countries such as PL, RO and SK have seen significant declines ranging from around 7 to 15 pp. Turning to more recent developments, figures for the latest changes (2014-2015) point to noticeable falls in material deprivation in the vast majority of Member States, with notable increases only observed in BG and EL. Recent efforts to improve on the existing measurement of deprivation have led to the establishment of a new indicator on "material and social deprivation" (Box 4) which will be fully integrated in future SPC monitoring work.

The indications of a recent general improvement in living standards are supported by the latest figures on the real change in gross household disposable income (GHDI) across the EU between 2014 and 2015 (Figure 23). Among those Member States for which figures are available, 23 have

seen a significant rise in real household incomes, while it has only decreased in 2 countries (CY and EL). In a longer term perspective, however, in 10 countries, including especially the southern Member States, real incomes are still markedly below those just before the crisis hit, with strong falls still in evidence in ES (-7.1%), IT (-8.6%), LV (-13.7%) and PT (-7.7%), and above all in CY (-17.3%) and EL (-33.3%). However, in contrast positive developments in GHDI in comparison to 2008 are now observed in 13 Member States.

Figure 22: Changes in the “standard” (enforced lack of at least 3 items) material deprivation rate, 2008-2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For BG and EE breaks in time series over 2008-2015, so changes not shown. ii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; iii) The “standard” material deprivation rate is defined as the percentage of the population with an enforced lack of at least 3 out of 9 material deprivation items in the ‘economic strain and durables’ dimension.

Figure 23: Real change in gross household disposable income 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2014-2015 change in %	2.2	n.a.	n.a.	1.8	0.7	2.5	3.2	3.0	2.5	4.3	4.7	-3.0	2.1	1.7	2.5	0.8
2008-2015 change in %	4.9	n.a.	n.a.	~	~	7.3	5.0	10.9	7.0	2.7	~	-33.3	-7.1	4.5	-5.5	-8.6
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2014-2015 change in %	-0.8	4.8	2.8	n.a.	2.0	n.a.	3.2	~	3.3	1.8	7.2	2.1	4.0	1.0	2.4	3.6
2008-2015 change in %	-17.3	-13.7	-2.8	n.a.	1.3	n.a.	~	-1.6	18.1	-7.7	28.3	-2.5	6.1	5.4	19.7	8.5

Source: DG EMPL estimates based on Eurostat (National Accounts)

Notes: i) Growth for the EU28 in real terms is estimated from existing Member States’ data which must cover at least 85% of the EU nominal GDHI, iii) Year-on-year changes of magnitude greater than 0.5% and changes since 2008 of magnitude greater than 1% are highlighted as significant.

Box 4. A new EU indicator of material and social deprivation

A new indicator of material and social deprivation has recently been adopted by the Indicators Sub-Group of the Social Protection Committee. It is an improvement on the existing material deprivation indicators, which have some limitations, the most important ones being the small number of items on which they rely and the saturation of some deprivation items that are no longer relevant.

The existing "standard" material deprivation indicator was defined as the proportion of people living in households confronted with at least three out of nine deprivations. These deprivations are the inability for a household to: face unexpected expenses; afford one week annual holiday away from home; avoid arrears (in mortgage rent, utility bills and/or hire purchase instalments); afford a meal with meat, chicken, fish or vegetarian equivalent every second day; afford keeping their home adequately warm; have access to a car/van for personal use; afford a washing machine; afford a colour TV; and afford a telephone.

The new deprivation indicator is based on 13 items whose selection results from a systematic item by item robustness analysis (see Guio et al, 2012, 2016 and 2017; as well as Chapters 10 and 21 in Atkinson et al, 2017³³). In this set the original 3 items relating to the ability to afford a washing machine, a colour TV and a telephone have been dropped (because they failed to pass the statistical robustness checks), and 7 new items added. Out of these 7 new items, one concerns the household as a whole (the ability to replace worn-out furniture) and 6 concern the individual household members aged 16 or more (replace worn-out clothes with some new ones; have two pairs of properly fitting shoes; spend a small amount of money each week on oneself ("pocket money"); have regular leisure activities; get together with friends/family for a drink/meal at least once a month; and have an internet connection. Since 2016 the 7 new items are collected each year in EU-SILC whereas the 3 items with robustness problems (washing machine; colour TV; telephone) are no longer part of the core EU-SILC questionnaire (the choice to collect these items is left to countries). An important feature of the new indicator is that it is gender and age sensitive for adults living in the same household thanks to the 6 personal items it includes.

Compared with the standard 9-item indicator of *material deprivation* adopted in 2009, the new deprivation indicator also includes items related to social activities (leisure, internet, get together with friends/family, pocket money). It is therefore a measure of "*material and social deprivation*", whose composition is different from that of the "*severe material deprivation*" (based on the 9-item list) used in the Europe 2020 Social Inclusion target. In the new indicator, a person is considered as

³³ Guio, A.-C., Gordon, D. and Marlier, E. (2012), "Measuring material deprivation in the EU: Indicators for the whole population and child-specific indicators", Eurostat Methodologies and Working Papers, Publications office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

Guio, A.-C., Gordon, D. and Marlier, E. (2016), "Improving the measurement of material deprivation at the European Union level", *Journal of European Social Policy*, 26(3), pp. 219-333.


Guio, A.-C., Gordon, D., Najera, H. and Pomati, M. (2017), "Revising the EU material deprivation variables, Eurostat Statistical Working Papers, Publications office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

Atkinson, A.B., Guio, A.-C. and Marlier, E. (2017), "Monitoring social inclusion in Europe", Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

materially and socially deprived when he/she experiences an enforced lack of 5 or more of the 13 deprivation items in the new list.

A comparison of the 2015 figures for the "standard" material deprivation and new material and social deprivation indicators is shown in the following chart (Figure 24). In general the figures for the new indicator are slightly below those for the existing "standard" material deprivation indicator, but markedly lower for several Member States and especially CY and HR. In contrast the level is noticeably higher in RO.

Figure 24: Comparison of 2015 levels for the standard 9-item indicator of material deprivation and the new 13 item indicator of material and social deprivation.




Source: Eurostat.

Strong declines in long-term exclusion from the labour market but it remains a continuing challenge

Rises in unemployment and long-term unemployment were some of the more immediate and tangible impacts of the economic crisis, and the consequences still remain a challenge today. The long-term unemployment rate rose sharply from 2008 onwards, and by 2013 had doubled to 5.1% of the active population before reducing over 2014-2016. The rates for men and women converged following the crisis and since 2011 have been essentially the same. Both peaked at just over 5% in 2013 and have declined subsequently towards 4% in 2016 (Figure 25).

Figure 25: EU long-term unemployment rate by gender, 2008-2016




Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Over the latest year, falls in the LTU rate have been among the strongest in some of the Member States hit hardest by the crisis, including EL, ES, CY and IE. In all these the fall was larger for men than for women. Likewise, in general the fall was more pronounced for men than women in most countries, although there are several cases where the reverse is true, most notably in HR, SI and SK (Figure 26). Overall, at EU level the LTU rate decreased by 0.6 pp for men and 0.5 pp for women.

Long-term unemployment has implications for society as a whole, with dire social consequences for the persons concerned and a negative impact on growth and public finances. For example, long-term unemployment is one of the causes of persistent poverty risk, one of the main trends to watch identified in this year's report. Other related social costs include greater probabilities of lower life-satisfaction, poorer health, a greater sense of disillusionment with society and a far more pessimistic assessment of labour market prospects. The important point about all these is that, once established, they become increasingly difficult to eradicate.

Addressing long-term unemployment is therefore recognised as a key employment challenge in the Commission's jobs and growth strategy, and in order to address the situation the Council recently adopted a Recommendation with practical steps to better support the integration of the long-term unemployed into the labour market (Box 5).

Figure 26: Changes in LTU rates across Member States 2015-2016, by gender



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

The most recent data available show that the share of (quasi-)jobless households fell in 12 countries (the data actually refer to the change 2013-2014), reflecting the widespread improvement in labour markets. The biggest improvements were observed in ES, IE, HU and LV. Only 3 countries (CY, FI and RO) registered a significant rise in that period (Figure 27). With reference to 2008, half of Member States still recorded statistically significant increases in their share, and for 4 of these (CY, IE, EL and ES) the increase is of the order of 5-10 pp.

Figure 27: Evolution of the share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households, 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	10.6	10.6	11.2	11.2	14.9	11.6	6.8	11.6	9.8	6.6	19.2	16.8	15.4	8.6	14.4	11.7
2014-2015 change in pp	-0.6	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	~	~	-0.8	~	~	-1.0	-1.8	~	-1.7	-1.0	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	1.4	1.9	1.9	3.2	3.5	~	3.1	-1.9	3.1	5.5	9.3	8.8	~	~	1.3
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	10.9	7.8	9.2	5.7	9.4	9.2	10.2	8.2	6.9	10.9	7.9	7.4	7.1	10.8	5.8	11.9
2014-2015 change in pp	1.2	-1.8	~	~	-3.4	-0.6	~	-0.9	~	-1.3	0.7	-1.3	~	0.8	-0.6	~
2008-2015 change in pp	6.4	2.4	3.1	~	-2.6	~	2.0	~	-1.1	4.6	~	~	1.9	3.3	~	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For EE, major break in series in 2014, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; ii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; iv) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes) while "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change).

Box 5. Council Recommendation on the integration of the long-term unemployed into the labour market³⁴

In early 2016 the Council adopted the Commission's Proposal for a Recommendation on the integration of the long-term unemployed in the labour market. The Council Recommendation puts forward three key steps:

- encouraging the registration of long-term unemployed with an employment service;
- providing each registered long-term unemployed with an individual in-depth assessment to identify their needs and potential at the very latest at 18 months of unemployment;
- offering a job integration agreement to all registered long-term unemployed at the very latest at 18 months.

This will lead to simplified and better access to support for those out of work for long periods.

The job integration agreement should consist of a tailor-made plan to bring the long-term unemployed back to work. It can include, depending on the existing services in each Member State:

- mentoring
- help with the job search
- further education and training
- support for housing, transport, child and care services or rehabilitation.

Each long-term unemployed will have a single point of contact for accessing this support.

Member States have committed to an active involvement and partnership with employers, who should be more involved in finding a pathway back to work for the long-term unemployed.

In October 2016 the employment ministers of all EU Member States endorsed a framework to monitor the implementation of the Council Recommendation, with the first data collection taking place in mid-2017. This monitoring framework was created with the support of the Employment Committee, in close cooperation with the Social Protection Committee with regard to social services and income provision.

The share of the working poor is a concern

Having a job is not always a guarantee against the risk of poverty, as the working poor represent around a third of working-age adults who are at-risk-of-poverty. In 2015, 9.5% of people aged 18-64 in employment in the EU were living under the poverty risk threshold, little changed from the previous year. Compared to rates in 2008, the risk of in work poverty has increased significantly in 8 Member States, most notably in CY, DE, HU, IT and LU where rates have all risen by over 2 pp

³⁴ Council Recommendation 2016/C 67/01 of 15 February 2016

(Figure 28). Over 2014-2015, the risk increased in 6 Member States, most notably in HU (up 2.6 pp) and LT (1.8 pp), while, in contrast, improvements were recorded in 5 Member States. The highest rates of in work poverty risk are now observed in RO (18.6%), EL (13.4%) and ES (13.2%), but rates also exceed 10% in EE, IT, LT, LU, PL and PT. It is also interesting to note that as a result of substantial rises in recent years, the rate in DE (9.6%) is now above the EU average.

Figure 28: Evolution of the share of working poor, 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	9.5	9.5	9.4	9.4	4.5	7.8	4.0	5.1	9.6	10.3	4.8	13.4	13.2	7.5	5.8	11.6
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	~	-1.5	~	~	~	-1.5	-0.6	~	0.6	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	1.3	1.3	~	~	~	n.a.	2.5	~	-1.5	~	1.9	~	~	2.5
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	9.2	9.4	10.2	11.6	9.3	5.3	5.1	7.8	11.3	10.9	18.6	6.7	6.1	3.5	7.2	8.3
2014-2015 change in pp	1.4	1.1	1.8	~	2.6	~	~	0.6	~	~	-1.1	~	~	~	-0.6	~
2008-2015 change in pp	2.9	-1.3	~	2.2	3.5	~	~	~	~	~	1.7	1.6	~	-1.6	~	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series 2008-2015 mainly affecting indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for period compared to 2008); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the UK (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

Recent Commission analysis (European Commission 2016) highlights the important impact of developments in the intensity and type of work on the risk of poverty, in particular the fact that average working hours in the EU have declined since the crisis hit in 2008, though they have remained broadly stable since 2013. It reports that in absolute terms, part-time employment has grown and continues to grow, while full-time employment declined until 2013, and that while EU full-time workers are relatively well protected against income poverty, part-time workers face a significantly higher risk. The increasing number of Europeans working part-time may be a positive development if it means that people can choose more freely the balance between work and other pursuits. But part-time work also has a downside if it is involuntary, or if it is the only available option because of the difficulty of reconciling a 'standard' job with one's private life and family responsibilities.

Overall, wages represent about half of household income at the bottom of the income distribution, and poverty risks are highly related to work situation. However, employment is not always enough to lift individuals out of the risk of poverty. The self-employed can be highly exposed to the risk of poverty, even when working full-time. Overall in the EU, one in six low-wage earners, one in ten workers and one in five full-time self-employed are at risk of poverty – compared with only one in twenty full-time employees. Moreover, a fairly high work intensity and decent pay level will not keep everyone out of the risk of poverty. For example, while minimum wages may ensure that single people working full-time are not at risk of poverty, this may not be the case for people living in larger households with children. Thus income from employment often needs to be complemented by family benefits and in-kind benefits such as affordable child care.

Earlier analysis reported in European Commission 2014 also highlighted that transitions to employment do not necessarily result in exits from risk of poverty. Only half of individuals switching from non-employment to employment also get out of being at risk of poverty at the same time. This can be attributed to various factors that remain difficult to explore with existing data. These factors include household composition and its changes, work characteristics that are not observed in the current data and interactions with income-support schemes. There might also be some chronological effects, with exits from poverty occurring later.

Addressing child poverty and youth exclusion remain priorities

As highlighted in the previous sections, long-term exclusion from the labour market alongside rising levels of in-work poverty risk are key challenges to address in order to raise income and living standards. This is particularly important when discussing the situation of children as unemployment, low work intensity of parents and low earnings, in some countries coupled with low access to services and the weak impact of income support measures, are among the main factors leading to child poverty and social exclusion.

There were over 25 million children in the EU28 living at risk of poverty or social exclusion in 2015, some 0.7 million fewer than the previous year and accounting for around 1/5 of all people living in poverty or social exclusion. The situation of children had been improving up until the crisis but worsened subsequently, adding around another million children to the total at risk by 2012, and mainly reflecting rises in severe material deprivation among children and in the number of children living in (quasi)jobless households (Figure 29). However, the overall risk of poverty or social exclusion for children has declined gradually since 2012, although picking up pace in 2015, and mainly reflecting declines in severe material deprivation among the child population. The trend of reducing severe material deprivation is estimated to have continued into 2016 figures.

In 2015, 12 Member States registering statistically significant reductions in the poverty or social exclusion rate for children compared to the year before, most notably HU (-5.7 pp), LV (-4.0 pp), LU (-3.4 pp), MT (-3.1 pp) and RO (-3.9 pp). Only 2 Member States (CY and IT) recorded a clear worsening in the situation for children. The situation with respect to the longer term trend is still alarming in some Member States, which have seen significant increases in the rate of child poverty or social exclusion between 2008 and 2015. Rates remain considerably higher compared to 2008 in BG, CY, EL, ES and IT, while only one Member State (PL) has recorded a substantial decrease in the child poverty or social exclusion rate (Figure 30). Rates above 30% are observed in 9 Member States, and among these rates of over 40% in BG and RO are of particular concern.

A recent report by the European Social Policy Network (Frazer and Marlier (2017)) examines the extent to which 35 European countries (including the EU Member States) have strengthened or further developed their policies/approaches and programmes for children since 2013 in ways that are consistent with the EU Recommendation on *Investing in children: breaking the cycle of disadvantage*³⁵. The overall finding is that the modest progress made in the direction outlined in the Recommendation is insufficient to the scale of the problem in many countries.

³⁵ Commission Recommendation available at: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:059:0005:0016:EN:PDF>.

While the worrisome levels of child poverty risk pose an important question for the longer-term future of European countries, the disproportionate ways in which the recent economic crisis has affected youth has more immediate consequences, including risks of long-term unemployment and lasting inactivity, while remaining outside the labour market has far reaching consequences – not solely economic. These include a loss of confidence, an undermining of trust and expectations, and an increasing risk of social exclusion and disengagement from society. Younger people are not only affected by unemployment more often, but precarious work has increased strongly among this group with a high share of temporary work contracts. As reported in the Commission's latest Employment and Social Developments in Europe Review (European Commission 2017b), there is a growing perception that the impact of the crisis, as well as structural changes in the labour market including technological progress, are changing the world of work and may be putting younger generations in the EU today and in the future at a disadvantage relative to older people who are less exposed to these developments.

The labour market situation of young people and their exclusion from social security is therefore a matter of utmost priority and is being addressed partly through EU initiatives such as the Youth Guarantee³⁶ adopted by the Council in April 2013³⁷. This is a new approach to tackling youth unemployment which ensures that all young people under 25 – whether registered with employment services or not – get a good-quality, concrete offer within 4 months of them leaving formal education or becoming unemployed. The good-quality offer should be for a job, apprenticeship, traineeship, or continued education and be adapted to each individual need and situation. Another EU initiative has been the Youth Employment Initiative³⁸ (2013), which aims to support particularly young people not in education, employment or training in regions with a youth unemployment rate above 25%.

As a result of such initiatives and the general improvement in EU labour markets in recent years, in 2016 the youth unemployment ratio³⁹ showed significant declines across the vast majority of Member States, with 18 countries registering falls and only one (DK) an increase. Of particular note were falls of the order of 2 pp in CY, ES and HR. Nevertheless, compared to before the crisis, in many countries the overall picture is still one of strong deterioration in the labour market situation of young people, with a significant increase in the youth unemployment ratio still evident in around half of Member States and still with rises of around 3pp or more in ES, HR, IT and NL, and in excess of 5pp in CY and EL. Improvements over the longer term reference period have only been registered in DE, RO and the UK (Figure 31).


³⁶ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=1079&langId=en>.

³⁷ [http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32013H0426\(01\)](http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32013H0426(01)).

³⁸ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=1176&langId=en>.

³⁹ The reason for looking at both youth unemployment rates and ratios is that a use of only the unemployment rate can produce a distorted picture when comparing the youth labour markets of different countries. One difficulty with using the unemployment rate as an indicator for the labour market performance, especially of young people, is that it shows the number of unemployed youth as a percentage of the youth labour force. Using the youth labour force as a denominator can lead to distortions when comparing countries with great differences in youth activity rates or when activity rates change significantly over time. For instance, youth unemployment rates for two countries with identical numbers of youth and unemployed youth will differ if one country has a higher share of youth not available for the labour market because of, for example, a higher share of youth in education. More concretely, the country with a higher share of youth in education (or otherwise inactive) will display a higher youth unemployment rate.

Figure 29: Evolution in child poverty risk and social exclusion and its components in the EU-27, 2005 to 2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) Figures are in 1000s; ii) AROPE – at risk of poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)Jobless households - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless (i.e. very low work intensity) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the (quasi-)jobless household (i.e. very low work intensity) rate refers to the previous calendar year while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current survey year.

Figure 30: Evolution of the share of children (0-17) at risk of poverty or social exclusion, 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	26.9	26.9	25.3	25.4	23.3	43.7	18.5	15.7	18.5	22.5	28.8	37.8	34.4	21.2	28.2	33.5
2014-2015 change in pp	-0.8	-0.8	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	-1.6	~	-1.4	~	~	1.4
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	1.7	1.7	~	7.3	~	n.a.	~	~	~	9.1	4.3	~	-1.2	5.1
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	28.9	31.3	32.7	23.0	36.1	28.2	16.8	22.3	26.6	29.6	46.8	16.6	24.9	14.9	14.0	30.3
2014-2015 change in pp	4.2	-4.0	~	-3.4	-5.7	-3.1	~	~	-1.6	-1.8	-3.9	-1.1	~	~	-2.7	-0.9
2008-2015 change in pp	7.4	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	-6.3	~	~	~	~	~	~	~

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC);

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2014 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the (quasi-)jobless households (i.e. very low work intensity) rate refers to the previous calendar year while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current survey year.

Figure 31: Evolution of youth unemployment ratio (15-24), 2015-2016 and 2008-2016


	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2016	7.8	7.7	8.3	8.3	5.7	4.1	3.4	7.9	3.5	5.8	6.7	11.7	14.7	9.1	11.6	10.0
2015-2016 change in pp	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	~	-0.9	-1.5	-0.7	1.2	~	~	-0.9	-1.2	-2.1	~	-2.4	-0.6
2008-2016 change in pp	~	~	1.4	1.4	~	~	~	2.1	-2.0	~	~	5.1	3.0	2.0	2.9	3.5
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2016	10.7	6.9	5.1	5.8	4.2	5.7	7.4	6.5	6.1	9.3	5.8	5.1	7.2	10.5	10.4	7.6
2015-2016 change in pp	-1.7	~	~	~	-1.2	~	~	~	-0.7	-1.4	-1.0	-0.7	-1.2	-1.2	-0.8	-1.0
2008-2016 change in pp	6.9	1.1	1.1	~	~	~	3.5	1.4	~	2.5	-1.1	~	~	1.7	~	-1.6

Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Notes: i) For FR, there is a break in series in 2014; ii) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so change relative to 2010 shown for the period 2008-2016; iii) For LFS-based indicators the check for substantive significance in the latest annual change is based on an analysis of the volatility of the time series, and for changes since 2008 only changes of magnitude greater than 1pp are highlighted as significant.

At EU level the 0.6 pp fall in the youth unemployment ratio over the latest year reflects very similar changes for male and female youth. However, the situation varies strongly across individual Member States, with improvements in the ratio for male youth noticeably more pronounced in CY, FI, HU, SK but for young females in EL, PT and SE (Figure 32). For the few countries where overall rates rose, this was due to strong rises for young males, although DK stands out as having seen significant rises for both male and female youth between 2015 and 2016.

Figure 32: Changes in the youth unemployment ratio 2015-2016, by gender



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Low economic activity of youth as such should not be the main concern, given the high proportion of students among the young generation, but rather the proportion of young people who are neither in employment nor in education and training (NEET). The share of NEETs in the EU in the age group 15-24 had been shrinking up until 2008 (when the share was 10.9%), but then grew substantially through to 2012 when it reached 13.2%. However, since then there has been a steady reduction in the rate, so that by 2016 it had fallen to 11.5%. Over the latest year, 2015-2016, developments have been clearly positive, with the NEET rate falling in 12 Member States, and rising in none, and with especially strong declines in BE, EE and MT (Figure 33). As a result, in 2016 a much reduced number (11) of Member States still showed significant increases in their NEET rates compared to 2008, with the largest rises in CY, EL, HR and IT. Only DE, IE, SE and UK had lower NEET rates than were recorded in 2008. While generally coming down, NEET rates in 2016 were still around 15% or more in BG, CY, EL, ES, HR and RO, and close to 20% in IT. In contrast, rates were 7% or below in CZ, DE, DK, LU, NL and SE.

Figure 33: Evolution in NEET (not in employment, education or training) rates (15-24), 2015-2016 and 2008-2016


	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2016	11.5	11.5	11.7	11.7	9.9	18.2	7.0	5.8	6.6	9.1	13.0	15.8	14.6	11.9	16.9	19.9
2015-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-2.3	-1.1	~	~	~	-1.7	-1.3	-1.4	-1.0	~	-1.2	-1.5
2008-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	1.5	-1.8	~	-2.0	4.4	~	1.4	5.3	3.3
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2016	15.9	11.2	9.4	5.4	11.0	8.6	4.6	7.7	10.5	10.6	17.4	8.0	12.3	9.9	6.5	10.9
2015-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	-0.8	~	-1.8	~	~	~	~	~	-1.5	-1.4	~	~	~
2008-2016 change in pp	6.2	~	~	~	~	~	1.2	~	1.5	~	~	1.5	1.2	2.1	-1.3	-1.2

Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Notes: i) For FR, there is a break in series in 2013 and 2014; ii) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so change relative to 2010 shown for the period 2008-2015 not shown; iii) For LFS-based indicators the check for substantive significance in the latest annual change is based on an analysis of the volatility of the time series, and for changes since 2008 only changes of magnitude greater than 1pp are highlighted as significant.

At EU level NEET rates have converged between young males and young females aged 15-24 following the 2008 crisis, which saw rates for both rise but more so for young males (Figure 34). Rates for both peaked in 2012 and have been falling at a similar rate since. By 2016 the rate had fallen to 11.9% for women (below the pre-crisis level) and 11.2% for men (still well above the pre-crisis level).

Figure 34: EU NEETs rate by gender, 2006-2016



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

A recent Eurofound report (Eurofound 2016) explores the diversity of NEETs and suggests seven subgroups into which the NEET population can be disaggregated using data routinely collected for the EU Labour Force Survey. The report shows that the largest category of NEETs aged 15–24 in Europe were the short-term unemployed (29.8%), followed by the long-term unemployed (22%). Re-entrants accounted for 7.8%; those NEET due to family responsibilities, 15.4%; those unavailable due illness or disability, 6.8% while around 5.8% of NEETs are discouraged workers. However, the report also finds that the composition of the NEET population varies greatly among European Member States.

Early school leaving increases the likelihood of young people entering the labour market without adequate skills, who then may face unemployment or the risk of in-work poverty. Across Europe, rates of early leavers from education and training range from as low as around 3-6% in HR, LT, LU, PL and SI to as high as around 18-20% in ES, MT and RO. Developments since 2008 have been widely positive across the EU, with significant reductions in early school leavers rates in 20 Member States, most notably in the southern Member States of CY, EL, ES, IT, MT, and especially PT. In the latest year for which data is available, 7 Member States recorded still further improvements, but 2 (CY and HU) showed signs of the rate picking up again (Figure 35).

For those countries where there has been a strong decrease in the early school leavers rate, it has generally been stronger for male youths, although EE is a clear exception with all the fall due to the decrease for young women. In countries where the early school leavers' rate has risen over the latest year, it has mainly been due to sharper rises among male youths (Figure 36).


Figure 35: Evolution in early school leavers' rates (in %) from education and training (18-24), 2015-2016 and 2008-2016

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2016	10.7	10.8	11.2	11.1	8.8	13.8	6.6	7.2	10.2	10.9	6.3	6.2	19.0	8.8	2.8	13.8
2015-2016 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.3	~	~	~	~	-1.3	~	-1.7	-1.0	~	~	-0.9
2008-2016 change in pp	-4.0	-4.0	-5.2	-5.2	-3.2	~	~	-5.3	-1.6	-3.1	-5.1	-8.2	-12.7	-3.0	-1.6	-5.8
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2016	7.7	10.0	4.8	5.5	12.4	19.6	8.0	6.9	5.2	14.0	18.5	4.9	7.4	7.9	7.4	11.2
2015-2016 change in pp	2.5	~	~	-3.8	0.8	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	-1.3	~	~
2008-2016 change in pp	-6.0	-5.5	-2.7	-7.9	~	-7.6	-3.4	-3.3	~	-20.9	~	~	1.4	-1.9	~	-5.7

Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Notes: i) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so change relative to 2010 shown for the period 2008-2016; ii) For LFS-based indicators the check for substantive significance in the latest annual change is based on an analysis of the volatility of the time series, and for changes since 2008 only changes of magnitude greater than 1pp are highlighted as significant.

Figure 36: Change in early school leavers' rate 2015-2016 by gender



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

The 2012 SPC Advisory Report on “Tackling and Preventing Child Poverty, Promoting Child Well-being”⁴⁰ and the European Commission Social Investment Package⁴¹ highlighted the importance of following a comprehensive approach to tackle early school-leaving. This means integrated multi-level responses linking the home, the child, the school, adult education, community and relevant services. Schools, social and employment services and parents should combine their efforts and work together to prevent early school leaving. Offering a greater variety of education and training possibilities, both formal and informal as well as after school programmes, creating permeable and flexible education pathways, forming smaller classes and preparing individualised education plans, may help reduce early school-leaving. Providing quality vocational training options, educational experimental frameworks aimed at boosting the attractiveness of schools and enhancing motivation of pupils as well as special programmes for children with specific needs are vital to combat disadvantages. Improving availability of alternative or non-formal education, raising the compulsory schooling age or making secondary schools universally accessible will improve the flexibility of education systems.

Income inequality has grown within Member States

As analysed extensively in the Employment and Social Developments in Europe 2013 report (European Commission (2013)), the crisis has substantially altered the dynamics of inequality and affected different sections of the population in different ways. Income inequality is growing across and within many Member States, particularly in most of the Southern Member States and in several non-Central European countries. In many countries, the crisis has intensified the long-term trends of wage polarisation and labour market segmentation, which together with less redistributive tax and benefit systems have fuelled rising inequalities. High levels of unemployment, and in some cases the impact of fiscal consolidation, also explain the significant increases in inequalities observed in the countries most affected by the crisis.

With regard to income inequality, the income quintile ratio (S80/S20) shows that while on average inequality has remained broadly stable between 2008 and 2015 at EU level, there is a wide dispersion and growing divergence in inequality between Member States. The S80/S20 inequality ratio has increased significantly in 11 Member States compared to 2008, especially in most of the Southern Member States (CY, EL, ES and IT), in several central and eastern European Member States (BG, EE, HU, LT, RO and SI) and also in SE (Figure 37 and Figure 38). In contrast, significant reductions have been registered in some countries, namely BE, FI, HR, LV and the UK over the same period. Over the most recent period 2014-2015, inequality has risen sharply in LT, but reduced substantially in DE, EE, IE and SK. The highest income inequalities are currently found in BG, EE, EL, ES, LV, LT, PT and RO, where the equalised income of the richest 20% of the population is more than 6 times that of the poorest 20%.

⁴⁰ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=7849&langId=en>

⁴¹ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=89&langId=en&newsId=1807&moreDocuments=yes&tableName=news>

Continuing weakening in the effectiveness of income support systems for those furthest away from the labour market

Member States differ substantially in terms of the adequacy of the income benefits they provide to jobless or (quasi-)jobless households. In 2015 the poverty risk for people living in (quasi-)jobless households ranged between as much as over 75% in BG and the three Baltic States of EE, LV and LT, to under 50% in AT, DK, LU, NL and the UK. Between 2014 and 2015, 10 Member States experienced a significant worsening of the poverty risk for people in (quasi-)jobless households, with particularly strong increases in BG, EE, FR⁴² (Figure 39), with the result that this has been identified again as a trend to watch. In contrast, reductions were recorded in 8 Member States, which were most notable in HU, LU and SK, suggesting an improved effectiveness of safety nets in terms of income support in these countries. The longer term trend since the beginning of the crisis (2008) has, however, mainly been one of worsening income poverty among (quasi-)jobless households, with 18 Member States seeing an increased poverty risk for people in such households. Marked increases of around 8-10 pp have been recorded in CZ, ES, HU, NL and SI, of 11-13 pp in EL, FR, PL and RO, and around 18-19 pp in SE and SK. When looked at in parallel with the evolution of the share of the population in (quasi-)jobless households, it is evident that in some Member States income support levels of last resort schemes worsened significantly at the same time as the number of people counting on them increased.

Figure 39: At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %), evolutions 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	59.0	58.9	60.6	60.7	58.5	75.4	65.6	44.6	68.9	77.2	54.1	51.6	61.6	62.4	67.5	57.2
2014-2015 change in pp	0.9	0.9	1.2	1.2	-3.7	7.7	~	~	3.9	6.3	~	~	-1.5	10.1	4.2	-2.5
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	3.2	5.4	5.4	3.8	-2.4	10.2	n.a.	4.7	-3.9	7.5	11.3	10.2	12.6	-1.6	2.3
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	50.9	76.9	75.5	41.3	56.6	69.1	47.9	49.1	61.0	57.5	60.2	63.7	72.4	52.8	69.6	47.7
2014-2015 change in pp	~	3.9	4.6	-17.0	-6.6	5.0	~	~	5.1	-2.0	~	2.3	-6.9	~	~	-2.2
2008-2015 change in pp	~	-6.4	5.2	-8.1	8.1	7.5	8.2	~	11.8	4.3	11.5	8.7	19.3	-3.5	18.2	-15.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, (quasi-)jobless households (i.e. very low work intensity) refers to the household situation in the previous calendar year.

⁴² The figures for FR for 2014 and 2015, which show a decrease by the order of 10 percentage points between 2013 and 2014 followed by an increase by 10 points between 2014 and 2015, are currently being verified.

To support the needs of people at risk of poverty, governments provide social security in the form of social transfers. The effectiveness of social provision can be examined by comparing the at-risk-of-poverty rate before and after social transfers. The impact of social transfers on income poverty reduction varies greatly across Member States. In 2015, it ranged from under 20% in EL, LV and RO to over 50% in DK, FI and IE (Figure 40). These large differences highlight the potential for improvement in some Member States in the size and effectiveness of social protection expenditure. Between 2014 and 2015, however, there were no countries with significant improvements in the capacity of social transfers to reduce income poverty, and in fact in 2 Member States (CY and LT) the impact was significantly reduced. In the longer term (2008-2015) only 3 countries (CY, EE and the UK) have significantly strengthened the impact of social transfers in reducing income poverty as opposed to 6 countries (CZ, HU, PL, RO, SK and SE) where the impact has decreased.

Figure 40: Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) on at-risk-of-poverty reduction, evolutions 2014-2015 and 2008-2015⁴³

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	33.5	33.5	33.1	33.1	44.2	22.5	42.3	52.7	33.5	22.3	55.0	16.1	26.6	43.1	35.5	21.6
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	~	~	~	~	-12.7	n.a.	~	5.7	~	~	~	~	~	~
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	36.2	17.6	22.4	43.8	42.0	31.2	48.0	45.7	23.1	26.1	13.3	42.3	35.3	53.7	46.1	42.8
2014-2015 change in pp	-5.2	~	-8.2	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	5.6	~	~	~	-17.2	~	~	~	-9.5	~	-10.1	~	-5.5	~	-11.1	7.5

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)


Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) The income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

The above table on the trends in the impact of social transfers seems not to be entirely consistent with the trends in the risk of poverty of people living in (quasi-)jobless households. This reflects to a large extent the different significance thresholds used for each indicator, which are much larger for the impact indicator (5%) and leads to less flagging up of the impact indicator, as well as the fact that they focus on different age groups (0-59 for the AROP indicator and the whole population for the impact indicator). Figure 41 shows that a much stronger longer term relation exists when one focuses on the age group 0-64 for the impact indicator, highlighting that the

⁴³ The impact of social transfers is a theoretical indicator which is calculated using a fixed income poverty line and ignores the influence of social transfers on median income. This should be taken into account when interpreting the figures.

evolution of the impact of social transfers is different for different age categories. The strength of the link reflects also the effectiveness in targeting the population living in (quasi-)jobless households. The 2008–2015 changes chart show a sizeable group of countries where the impact of social transfers has reduced and the poverty risk of (quasi-)jobless households has risen.


Figure 41: Change in the at-risk-of-poverty rate of the population aged 0-59 living in (quasi-)jobless households (in pp) versus the change in impact of social transfers (in pp) on the population aged 0-64 years, between 2008 and 2015



The above assessment of the impact of social transfers does not take into account non-cash benefits such as transfers in kind. A number of Member States provide public services to those furthest away from the labour market which contribute to general welfare and are not reflected in purely income-based measures.

High-quality welfare services in the form of healthcare, education, long-term care services for the elderly and childcare, etc., can contribute strongly to a more equitable distribution of welfare. Such support averages 9.5% of GDP in the EU, and ranges from 3.4% of GDP in CY to 13.5% in SE. However, if we look into the expenditure on such in-kind services (Figure 42), we can see that in general the countries which achieve a low impact of social transfers on income poverty reduction tend also to be those that spend less on in-kind services. In most countries the spending on in-kind benefits has increased since 2008, with an average rise of 0.9 pp of GDP at EU level and with more substantial rises of over 1.5 pp recorded in DE, FI and HR.

Figure 42: Social benefits in-kind, as % of GDP, 2008 and 2014



Source: Eurostat (Esspros)

Continued and widespread improvement in the employment rate of older workers

A notable feature of trends in the labour market since 2008 has been the significant rise in the employment rate for older workers (i.e. people aged 55-64). These currently range from 36.3% in EL to 75.5% in SE, and average 55.3% at EU level. Considerable effort has been made over the last decade or so to improve older people's labour market participation, and as can be seen in Figure 43, this is an area where substantial positive strides have been made, even during the period of the crisis. The employment rate of older workers aged between 55 and 64 years increased to 55.3% in the EU in 2016, a rise of close to 10 pp since the beginning of the crisis in 2008. The increases have been highest in DE (up 14.9 pp), HU (18.9 pp), IT (16.0 pp) and PL (14.6 pp), but also substantial (over 10 pp) in BE, CZ, FR, LT, MT, and NL, in some of which the financial incentives to continue work at older ages have improved strongly in recent years. Overall, since 2008, 25 Member States have significantly improved their employment rates for older workers, and the widespread positive impetus is continuing as significant rises were also recorded between 2015 and 2016 in 26 Member States. Only in the southern Member States of CY and EL were older workers' employment rates in 2016 significantly below those observed in 2008 (down around 3 pp and 7 pp respectively).

Figure 43: Employment rate of older workers (55-64), evolution 2015-2016 and 2008-2016


	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2016	55.3	55.4	55.3	55.4	45.4	54.5	58.5	67.8	68.6	65.2	57.2	36.3	49.1	49.8	38.1	50.3
2015-2016 change in pp	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.4	1.5	3.0	3.1	2.4	~	1.6	2.0	2.2	1.1	-1.1	2.1
2008-2016 change in pp	9.8	9.9	11.0	11.0	10.9	8.5	10.9	9.4	14.9	2.9	3.3	-6.7	3.6	11.6	~	16.0
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2016	52.0	61.4	64.6	39.6	49.8	44.0	63.5	49.2	46.2	52.1	42.8	38.5	49.0	61.4	75.5	63.4
2015-2016 change in pp	3.5	2.0	4.2	1.2	4.5	3.7	1.8	2.9	1.9	2.2	1.7	1.9	2.0	1.4	1.0	1.2
2008-2016 change in pp	-2.8	2.3	11.6	5.5	18.9	13.9	10.5	10.4	14.6	1.4	2.1	5.7	9.8	4.9	5.4	5.4

Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Notes: i) For RO, breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so change relative to 2010 for the period 2008-2015 is shown; ii) For LFS-based indicators the check for substantive significance in the latest annual change is based on an analysis of the volatility of the time series, and for changes since 2008 only changes of magnitude greater than 1pp are highlighted as significant. (in green/red (positive/negative changes)). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change).

At EU level, the increase in the employment rate of older workers in the latest year was slightly stronger for women (up 2 pp) than for men (up 1.8 pp). A stronger increase for female older workers was also observed in around two-thirds of Member States, the rate only rising noticeably more strongly for men in AT, BE, FI, HU, IT, LU and PT (Figure 44). Only in HR did the employment rate for older workers decline, driven by a strong decline in the rate for men.

Figure 44: Change in employment rates of older workers (aged 55-64) 2015-2016 by gender



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

Reasons for the overall positive trend, which was already on-going before the crisis, include a continuing upward shift across later cohorts in educational achievement levels and participation of female workers aged 55-64, along with the impact of tax/benefit reforms restricting access to early retirement and early exits from the labour market, hence encouraging longer working lives, and some changes in age management in work places. All this has contributed to extending the effective retirement age.

Active ageing measures are of growing importance as recent pension reforms require longer contributory periods to ensure an adequate pension, and hence longer working lives given increases in longevity and changes in demography and labour market patterns. Working more and longer ensures the accumulation of pension rights, and hence supports the adequacy of pension benefits, and contributes to the financial sustainability of the pension system. However, pension systems still need to guarantee adequate pension levels in order to combat poverty and social exclusion in old age. This is of particular importance for women. The move towards gender equality in the employment rate of older workers is not mirrored in a broader move towards more equal work patterns. Women, generally, have a lower labour force participation rate, experience a gender pay gap, and more often interrupt their working lives due to child rearing. Female pensioners have a higher risk of poverty than men as a consequence of these gender inequalities, although recognising that current pensioners are affected by historically older developments in employment rates and wage conditions dating from up to several decades ago. Therefore, first and foremost, active ageing measures and labour market integration policies that ensure equal outcomes for men and women are needed.

Social protection systems which effectively contribute to maintaining the health of the population and provide adequate long-term care play a key role in enabling participation in society and the labour market and ensuring independent living by older people. Beyond health services, working and living environments should also be better adapted to the needs of older people, including adapted housing and transport services, local libraries, and home support, which enable the elderly to live independently for longer.

Pensions continue to avert income poverty for many

Pensions constitute by far the main source of income for older Europeans, who represent a large and growing share of the EU population. They are also the largest element in social protection systems, affecting the primary incomes of more people than any other component. The adequacy of pension benefits is measured by, among other things, their ability to prevent the risk of income poverty, the degree to which they replace income before retirement and how they compare to the average incomes of people below pensionable age.

Regarding the ability of pensions to prevent the risk of income poverty in old age, the trend since the beginning of the crisis in the income situation of the elderly has been better than for other age groups in many Member States, mainly due to the stability of pension income. In terms of actual levels of the share of the elderly living in poverty or social exclusion there remain wide disparities across Member States. In 2015 the share was close to 52% in BG and above 30% in EE, LT, LV, HR

and RO, while being below 10% in DK, FR, LU and NL .In total, 20 Member States have seen the share of the elderly at risk of poverty or social exclusion decrease significantly between 2008 and 2015, and only in DE and SE did the share increase over the same period (Figure 45). In addition, 10 Member States saw a continued improvement between 2014 and 2015, although the same number recorded a deterioration in the situation of the elderly.

Figure 45: At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate for the elderly (65+), evolution 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	17.4	17.3	15.8	15.9	16.2	51.8	10.9	9.9	17.2	37.0	16.5	22.8	13.7	9.3	31.8	19.9
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.1	4.0	~	-0.9	~	2.0	2.6	~	0.8	-0.8	2.1	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	-6.0	-4.4	-4.5	-6.7	-7.9	~	n.a.	1.7	-12.9	-6.0	-5.3	-12.5	-4.8	-5.7	-4.5
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	20.8	42.1	36.0	8.2	17.1	23.7	6.1	14.0	17.0	21.7	33.3	20.2	12.8	14.5	18.3	17.7
2014-2015 change in pp	-6.4	2.8	4.1	1.8	-1.9	~	~	-1.7	-1.2	0.6	-1.7	~	~	-2.5	1.8	-1.3
2008-2015 change in pp	-28.5	-16.7	~	~	~	~	-3.6	-7.2	-9.9	-6.0	-16.1	-4.2	-9.1	-9.4	2.8	-10.8

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2014 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). vi) For the at-risk-of poverty rate, the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the quasi-jobless households (i.e. very low work intensity) rate refers to the previous calendar year while for the severe material deprivation rate, the reference is the current year.

Pensions play a key role in allowing people to maintain their living standards in old age. At EU level the relative median income ratio of older people (i.e. the ratio of the median equivalised disposable income of people aged above 65 to the median equivalised disposable income of those aged below 65) was 93% in 2015, but underlying this are substantial differences across countries. The ratio was 62% in EE and 65% in LV, and between 70% and 80% in BE, BG, DK, LT and MT. At the other end of the spectrum, EL, ES, FR, HU, LU and RO recorded a relative median equivalised income for people over 65 that was equal to or greater than that for the younger cohort, highlighting the relative importance of financial allocations to pension systems in these Member States.

Although the median relative income remained stable at EU level between 2014 and 2015, it has shown rather more volatility across individual Member States in the latest period and when compared to the relative stability of previous years. Significant declines in the ratio were recorded in 11 Member States and significant improvements in 5.

Looking at how the relative median income of the elderly has developed over the course of the crisis shows that there have been significant increases in the vast majority of countries (it has risen in 20 Member States) and with no country recording a decline (Figure 46). Since 2008 the ratio has increased by more than 20% in 4 countries (CY, EL, ES and LV), and for the EU as a whole has risen by 9.4%. The only countries which did not show a significant increase (i.e. of above 5%) were CZ, DE, HU, LT, MT, PL and SE.

Figure 46: Median relative income ratio for the elderly, evolution 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.79	0.71	0.81	0.77	0.87	0.62	0.87	1.04	1.01	1.04	0.85	0.99
2014-2015 change in %	~	~	~	~	2.6	-13.4	-3.6	~	-3.3	~	~	4.0	~	~	-3.4	~
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	9.4	9.2	10.5	6.8	7.6	~	n.a.	~	11.3	17.6	20.9	21.7	9.5	9.0	12.5
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	0.80	0.65	0.73	1.08	1.01	0.75	0.89	0.98	0.99	0.92	1.00	0.90	0.91	0.81	0.79	0.88
2014-2015 change in %	6.7	-8.5	~	-2.7	-3.8	-3.8	~	3.2	~	-2.1	-3.8	~	~	2.5	-4.8	~
2008-2015 change in %	35.6	22.6	~	11.3	~	~	6.0	11.4	~	10.8	17.6	7.1	15.2	12.5	~	18.9

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). For year-on-year change, Eurostat estimates of statistical significance are used, while for change since 2008 a 5% threshold has been used; vi) The income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

When analysing fluctuations of this income ratio indicator, one has to be aware that it is a relative measure and its value is influenced by changes in the income of both the elderly (numerator) and the working age population (denominator). A decrease in the income of the working age population when the income position of people age 65+ remains stable might give the impression that the actual position (i.e. income level) of the elderly has improved. The indicator thus needs to be assessed together with some absolute variables, such as the evolution in per capita incomes.

To assess the extent to which pensions fulfil their role of replacing income after retirement, it is important to consider how many people are covered by pension systems and how large a proportion of their income is derived from pensions. The aggregate replacement ratio measures the median individual gross pension (including old-age and other pension benefits) of people aged 65-74 relative to median individual gross earnings of people aged 50-59. At EU level the ratio was 57% in 2015, although there are substantial variations across countries (see Figure 47). In general, the aggregate replacement ratios show that current median pension levels are low compared to current median earnings of people aged 50-59 in BG, CY, EE, HR, IE and LV (all below 45%). This can be due to low income replacement from statutory pension schemes (e.g. BG), but it can also reflect the immaturity of supplementary pension schemes (e.g. CY), past labour force participation rates and incomplete careers.

As for its evolution, the value of the ratio for the EU-27 increased by 16%, from 49% in 2008 to 57% in 2015. This upward trend reflects significant rises in around two-thirds of Member States, although primarily the result of the crisis-related decline in wage incomes of people aged 50-59, while only IE and SE recorded drops in the ratio. Significant rises were also recorded across many (11) Member States in the most recent year, although the same number of countries also showed significant falls.

Figure 47: Aggregate replacement ratio, evolution 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.58	0.47	0.41	0.51	0.45	0.46	0.43	0.38	0.61	0.66	0.69	0.40	0.66
2014-2015 change in %	~	~	~	~	~	-6.8	-7.3	~	2.2	-8.5	~	1.7	10.0	~	~	3.1
2008-2015 change in %	n.a.	16.3	18.4	18.4	~	20.6	~	n.a.	~	11.1	-22.4	48.8	57.1	6.2	25.0	29.4
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	0.43	0.42	0.46	0.80	0.65	0.54	0.52	0.62	0.62	0.61	0.63	0.46	0.62	0.52	0.58	0.50
2014-2015 change in %	10.3	-4.5	2.2	-5.9	4.8	-3.6	4.0	3.3	-1.6	-3.2	-3.1	2.2	~	2.0	-3.3	-2.0
2008-2015 change in %	30.3	40.0	7.0	37.9	6.6	31.7	20.9	~	10.7	19.6	26.0	~	14.8	6.1	-6.5	16.3

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2014 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data published by Eurostat before 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change). For year-on-year change, Eurostat estimates of statistical significance are used, while for change since 2008 a 5% threshold has been used; vi) The income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey).

The gender gap in pensions is an important issue to address, as highlighted in the Conclusions adopted by the Council the 18th of June 2015 on "Equal income opportunities for women and men: Closing the gender gap in pensions". These reflect that the Commission has recognised that an important dimension of the pension adequacy challenge is gender-specific, and calls to ensure that closing the gender gap in pensions remains high on the political agenda at both Union and Member State levels. Included in the latter was a specific call for developing an indicator within the framework of the Social Protection Committee, to be used together with other relevant indicators, including the gender pay gap, for regularly measuring and monitoring the gender gap in pensions, and also for continuing to involve all relevant actors in monitoring the gender gap in pensions, using all available tools and resources such as the Open Method of Coordination and making full use of national and EU statistical offices and the European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE).

In the longer term, European Commission (2017b) reports that demographic ageing will bring higher economic dependency of the older on the younger generations in almost all EU countries, and with fewer contributors paying in to redistributive systems on which more pensioners will

depend. In addition, since the 1970s, the number of years spent in retirement has increased considerably and stabilised only recently. The intergenerational contract and its central principle of intergenerational fairness is therefore being challenged by higher demographic dependency - declining numbers of workers have to feed and care for growing numbers of inactive pensioners.

For pension systems the last 20 years have seen substantial reform activity in the EU that should prevent expenditure levels relative to GDP in 2060 from rising above today's, despite steeply increasing demographic dependency. These reforms could decrease pension entitlements, thus reducing the adequacy of pensions for future pensioners, unless individuals prolong their working lives. In parallel, much of the reform activity targets better labour market prospects for older workers, combined with higher statutory retirement ages. These reforms have already contributed to some success: the employment rate of older workers (55-64s) today is 55 %, 20 percentage points higher than 20 years ago.

In 2015 the SPC adopted its latest report on the adequacy of pensions (*The 2015 Pension Adequacy Report: current and future income adequacy in old age in the European Union*)⁴⁴ which analyses the current and future adequacy of pensions. It reports that current pensioners' living standards have largely been maintained over the crisis, yet poverty problems persist in some countries and pension outcomes are generally marked by large gender differences. It also reports that in the context of large budget deficits and a reinforced economic governance framework at EU level, Member States have adopted many pension reforms to control the increase in spending on public pensions. These include a stronger emphasis on postponing retirement from the labour market, by restricting access to early retirement and by starting or continuing a process of raising the pensionable age, including bringing women's pensionable ages up to those of men's and in some countries linked to increases in life expectancy.

However, the full effect of many reforms, and thus a large part of the planned savings to pension systems, will only materialise fully after 2040. Indeed, as mentioned earlier, EU average spending on public pensions, as a percentage of GDP, is no longer expected to be higher in 2060 than at present, although this reflects lower average pension benefits compared to wages in the future which could imply significant risks for future adequacy of incomes in old age. Theoretical replacement rates from public pension schemes are projected to decrease in the majority of Member States over the next 40 years, with a decline by more than five percentage points in 16 countries and by fifteen or more percentage points in six. Postponing retirement in line with the increases in pensionable ages could, amongst other measures, mitigate the reduction in replacement rates in most countries, as longer careers result in higher pension entitlements.

Reforms that improve employment prospects for all will help to improve intergenerational fairness. The recently proposed European Pillar of Social Rights provides a particularly relevant framework for guiding future action by the participating Member States. For pensioners, it establishes the principle of a right for women and men to receive a pension commensurate with the contributions paid and to have an adequate income in retirement, thus ensuring a decent life. For working age people, it puts forward a number of principles relating to equal opportunities, access to the labour

⁴⁴ <http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=14529&langId=en>

market and fair working conditions that support the full realisation of their potential in active life. The Pillar calls for an adequate pension for both workers and the self-employed and for equal opportunities for both women and men to acquire old-age pension rights. The implementation of these principles would contribute to reducing the burden of demographic change and improving employment prospects for all, and would help to secure good living standards in retirement, now and for future generations.

Health outcomes and access to health services

Health status is a key determinant of the well-being and labour market participation of the individual. A healthy population is associated with better educational attainment, better earnings and wages, higher labour market participation and a higher number of hours worked in adulthood. The health of the general population is also shown to be positively associated with economic growth and social welfare.


Despite these benefits, a recent Eurofound study (Eurofound (2014)) reports that in the wake of the crisis, many European governments have cut spending on healthcare services. However, in the face of rising unemployment and financial strain, there is an increased need for some healthcare services, while decreased disposable income has made access to healthcare more difficult for many households in the EU.

Looking at both objective and subjective measures of health can provide a snapshot of the health status of society as a whole. At EU level the number of remaining healthy life years (HLY) at 65 is similar for both women and men, with the EU average for both being 9.4 years in 2015. For men it ranges from 4.1 years in LV and SK to 15.7 years in SE, while for women it ranges from 3.8 years in SK and 4 years in LV to 16.8 years in SE. Over the period 2008-2015, there was a significant increase in the remaining healthy life expectancy for women in 10 Member States (Figure 48). There were nevertheless 8 countries where HLY at 65 for women decreased significantly, most notably LV, LT, LU, RO and SI⁴⁵. The change in HLY at 65 for men in the years 2008 – 2015 (Figure 49) has generally been even more positive than that for women, with 14 Member States recording rises for men, although there were significant falls in 7 (CY, DK, EL, LV, LT, RO and SI).

Regarding access to health services, on average 3.2 % of Europeans reported an unmet need for medical care in 2015 (i.e. they had to join a waiting list, or the care available was too expensive or too far away), slightly down from the previous year (Figure 50). There are significant differences among Member States, with the rate as high as 12.7% in EE and 12.3% in EL, while in AT, CZ, DE, ES, LU, MT, NL and SI the reported rate is below 1%. There is a clear income gradient as those in the lowest income quintiles more often report an unmet need for medical care (Figure 51), with the gap between the lowest and highest quintiles rising during the crisis years.

⁴⁵ For SI, break in time series in Healthy Life Years indicator (change of question in 2010) which affects the comparison of change since 2008.


Figure 48: Healthy life years at 65 for females, 2008 and 2015



Source: Eurostat

Note: For SI, break in time series in Healthy Life Years indicator (change of question in 2010) which affects the comparison of change since 2008.

Figure 49: Healthy life years at 65 for males, 2008 and 2015



Source: Eurostat

Note: For SI, break in time series in Healthy Life Years indicator (change of question in 2010) which affects the comparison of change since 2008.


Figure 50: Self-reported unmet need for medical care⁴⁶, in %, and changes (in pp) 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	3.2	3.2	n.a.	n.a.	2.4	4.7	0.8	1.3	0.5	12.7	2.8	12.3	0.6	1.2	1.9	7.2
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	n.a.	n.a.	~	~	~	~	-1.1	1.4	~	1.4	~	-1.6	-1.4	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-10.6	~	~	-1.7	5.4	~	6.9	~	~	n.a.	2.0
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	1.5	8.4	2.9	0.9	2.6	0.8	0.1	0.1	7.3	3.0	9.4	0.2	2.1	4.3	1.0	2.8
2014-2015 change in pp	-3.2	-4.1	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	-1.3	-1.5	-2.6	~	~	~	~	~	1.3	1.9	-1.4	~	~	3.5	-1.4	1.8

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Break in series in BE in 2011 means that evolutions between years before 2011 and years from 2011 on cannot be interpreted; ii) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; iii) Only statistically significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes) with a 1pp threshold. "~" stands for stable performance (i.e. statistically insignificant change).


Figure 51: Changes in unmet need medical care 2014-2015, for population in first and fifth income quintiles



In the period 2008–2015, 7 countries recorded a significant increase in the share of the population reporting unmet needs for medical care, with particularly strong rises in EE and EL. In contrast, 7 countries registered significant improvements in access, most notably BG. In terms of the most recent changes for the period 2014-2015, there were only 2 countries that noted an significant increase in unmet need (EE and EL), while 5 (CY, DE, FR, HR and LV) showed a significant reduction, which mainly reflects strong falls in unmet need for women (Figure 52).

⁴⁶ This indicator is defined on the basis of self-reported unmet need related to three reasons – too far to travel, waiting list, too expensive

Figure 52: Changes in unmet need medical care 2014-2015, by gender



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Developments in access to housing and homelessness

Housing costs represent an important share of a household's income, especially for lower income groups. An increasing burden of housing costs on a household's income as well as the over-indebtedness of many households might result in the inability of households to pay mortgages, rent or utility bills, increasing vulnerability for repossessions, foreclosures and evictions and in some cases, homelessness. There is a growing need for locally available affordable housing, including social housing and affordable private rentals, as well as a sufficient level of housing and heating allowances⁴⁷

In 2015, the housing cost overburden rate⁴⁸ varied among Member States, between a minimum of 1.1% in MT to a maximum of 40.9% in EL, with the average for the EU28 at 11.3%. Other countries with a relatively high share of around 15% were BG, DE, DK, NL and RO (Figure 53).

Significant increases in the average share of housing costs in disposable household income have been recorded in 12 Member States between 2008 and 2015. Of particular note is the sharp rise in EL, where the rate has risen by 18.7 pp over this period. For the change over the latest year, only 3 Member States recorded significant rises (BG, FR and LT) while a larger group of 8 countries saw the share of housing costs in income decline.

In many countries the increase since 2008 has been much more prominent for people living below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold than for those living above it (Figure 54), with increases of around

⁴⁷ Commission Staff Working Document (2013)42 final on Confronting homelessness in the European Union

⁴⁸ The percentage of the population living in a household where the total housing costs (net of housing allowances) represent more than 40% of the total disposable household income (net of housing allowances).

10 pp or more for the former group in BG, DE, EE, LT and PT, and as high as over 30 pp in EL. For individuals with higher incomes, the housing cost overburden rate has remained relatively stable with the exception of EL, where it also increased substantially (by close to 15 pp). It is interesting to note that in some countries such as AT, BE, HR, HU, MT, SE and the UK where the housing cost overburden rate has declined overall, it has fallen more strongly for those living below the income poverty threshold than for those above it.

Figure 53: Housing cost overburden rate, in %, and changes (in pp) 2014-2015 and 2008-2015

	EU28	EU27	EA18	EA19	BE	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	IE	EL	ES	FR	HR	IT
2015	11.3	11.3	11.2	11.2	9.4	14.8	10.4	15.1	15.6	6.8	4.6	40.9	10.3	5.7	7.2	8.6
2014-2015 change in pp	~	~	~	~	-1.0	1.9	~	~	~	-1.5	-1.6	~	-0.6	0.6	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	n.a.	~	2.9	2.9	-3.1	1.5	-2.4	n.a.	n.a.	3.6	1.3	18.7	~	1.5	-6.9	~
	CY	LV	LT	LU	HU	MT	NL	AT	PL	PT	RO	SI	SK	FI	SE	UK
2015	3.9	8.1	9.1	6.0	8.5	1.1	14.9	6.4	8.7	9.1	15.9	6.1	9.1	4.9	7.5	12.5
2014-2015 change in pp	~	-1.5	2.0	-0.8	-4.3	~	~	~	-0.9	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
2008-2015 change in pp	2.1	~	4.1	2.3	-3.1	-2.2	1.2	-2.1	~	1.5	-3.2	1.7	3.5	~	~	-3.8

Source: Eurostat

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these); ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008; iii) Evolutions for the period 2008-2015 for EU28 and DE are not available, while for HR the reference is to 2010; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious; v) Only significant changes have been marked in green/red (positive/negative changes). "~" refers to stable performance (i.e. insignificant change); vi) The income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year (except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey)).

Figure 54: Evolution of the housing cost overburden rate by income poverty status, 2008-2015 (in pp)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Notes: i) For DK, breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes, so figures not shown; ii) For EE, major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC, so change 2008-2013 shown; iii) Evolutions for DE and HR refer to the period 2010-2014; iv) For UK, changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious.

Some further information on recent developments in relation to housing and homelessness is included in the following box (Box 6), which contains information and analysis provided by the European Federation of National Organisations Working with the Homeless (FEANTSA) using a range of data sources.

Box 6. Homelessness and Housing Exclusion in Europe – An alarming picture: A summary of the latest FEANTSA report on homelessness & housing exclusion in Europe⁴⁹

According to the latest report by the European Federation of National Organisations Working with the Homeless (FEANTSA), homelessness is on the increase in Europe, reaching record numbers across almost all Member States. FEANTSA reports that, even if no harmonized and comparable data are available, the depth of the situation is substantiated by the analysis of official data from individual Member States. **In almost all European countries - except in Finland - increases in the number of homeless people are observed both over the short and long term.** The trends are clear despite data collection that is often considered partial by sector professionals.

In France, the number of homeless people increased by 50% between 2001 and 2012 (141,500 people), according to the National Institute of Statistics. In Denmark, the number of homeless people is counted in a more comprehensive way with the definition being broader, and this number has increased by 23% between 2009 (4,998 people) and 2015 (6,138 people), according to the Danish National Centre for Social Research. In the Netherlands, where the definition also includes a wide variety of housing deprivation and exclusion situations, the number of homeless people has increased by 24% between 2013 (25,000 people) and 2016 (31,000 people). The study carried out by Italy's National Institute for Statistics shows an increase of 6% in the number of homeless people between 2011 (47,648 people) and 2014 (50,724 people). In Lithuania, official statistics found an increase of 27% homeless people living rough or in reception centres between 2007 and 2015. In Sweden, according to the national survey carried out every five years, the number of people living rough, in shelters, in accommodation centres, in institutions, and with no place to go has increased by 29% between 2005 (6,600 people) and 2011 (8,500 people). The number of people staying with friends or family increased by 55% between 2005 (4,400 people) and 2011 (6,800 people). In England, the Department for Communities and Local Government counted a 133% increase in people sleeping rough between autumn 2010 (1,768 people) and autumn 2016 (4,134 people). People who approach local authorities for homelessness assistance in England are entitled to an assessment to see if they are eligible for homelessness support. These assessments are to establish whether someone is 'statutory homeless': 58,000 households were accepted as homeless in 2015/16, a 34% increase since 2009/10 (assessed as unintentionally homeless and in priority need).

⁴⁹ <http://www.feantsa.org/en/report/2017/03/21/the-second-overview-of-housing-exclusion-in-europe-2017?bcParent=27>

Finland is the only European country where official statistics show a 10% decrease in homeless single people between 2013 (7,500 single people) and 2016 (6,700 single people), resulting from long-term programmes for reducing homelessness (ongoing for 20 years) that have proven their value by focusing on the provision of permanent affordable housing and providing specialized support for the most vulnerable people. This new functioning housing system values housing as homes for people as opposed to an investment opportunity.

Studies carried out in certain European capitals also show alarming increases in homelessness including Brussels (increase of 30% homeless people between 2014 and 2016), Paris (increase of 84% of French-speaking homeless people between 2001 and 2012), London (increase of 50% of families in temporary accommodation between 2010 and 2016), Dublin (increase of 25% of homeless people from May 2016 to May 2017), Vienna (increase of 19% of homeless people between 2010 and 2013) and Barcelona (8% increase between 2014 and 2016). Even if these trends are not comparable due to differences in definitions, periods of time and methodologies, this information shows how alarming the situation is in most countries⁵⁰.

These last 15 years, housing prices have been rising faster than incomes. The at-risk-of-poverty rate in the EU slightly increased between 2010 and 2015: 17% of European households have incomes below 60% of the national median equivalised disposable income (after social transfers). These households at risk of poverty are more and more exposed to the private rental market and face increased and prohibitive housing costs that threaten their security and well-being. Inequalities are rising between poor and non-poor households regarding housing quality and living conditions. In order to analyze the extent of these inequalities, FEANTSA and Foundation Abbé Pierre regularly produces a European Housing Exclusion Index⁵¹, using the Eurostat EU-SILC dataset – the following information is the most recent available, from year 2015.

European countries do not all face the same kind of challenges when it comes to housing exclusion. Excessive costs are particularly problematic in Western and Northern Europe, where unfit housing is less an endemic problem. On the contrary, some Eastern and Southern European countries are very much concerned by housing conditions and unfit housing. In IT, RO, BG, EL, SK, PT and HU, both housing costs and housing conditions are worsening or at least alarming. The situation in EL is particularly dramatic, with a significant worsening of the housing situation since the crisis.

In the EU28 in 2015, average expenditure of households at risk of poverty on housing represented 42% of their disposable income. This is above the threshold for housing cost overburden, i.e. more than 40% of the household's disposable income being spent in housing expenses. The situation is particularly severe in EL (77%), DK (56%), DE (51%), NL (52%), and in general inequalities between poor and non-poor households regarding housing cost burden increased between 2009 and 2015 (particularly in EL, IE, EE, PT, DE, DK). The housing cost overburden rate amongst total population in the EU stayed at 11% in 2015. Amongst poor households, this rate reached 39%. In NL and DK,

⁵⁰ All sources and explanations about these data are available in FEANTSA and Foundation Abbé Pierre, *Second Overview of Housing Exclusion in Europe*, 2017, pp. 10-21. <http://www.feantsa.org/en/report/2017/03/21/the-second-overview-of-housing-exclusion-in-europe-2017?bcParent=27>

⁵¹ Op. Cit., pp. 22 to 64.

more than one out of two poor households see their security and well-being put at risk by excessive housing expenses - almost all in EL (96%). In the EU, **10% of households at risk of poverty are in rent or mortgage arrears, 4 times more than non-poor households**. This situation is particularly acute in EL (23%), ES (16%) and FR (16%).

In the EU28, **17% of the total population lived in an overcrowded dwelling in 2015**. The phenomenon is particularly severe in RO (50%), PL (43%), HR (42%), BG (41%), LV (41%) and HU (41%). Being in severe housing deprivation means living in a dwelling which is considered as overcrowded, while also exhibiting at least one of the housing deprivation measures - a leaking roof, no bath/shower and no indoor toilet, or a dwelling considered as too dark. **12% of households at risk of poverty in the EU are in severe housing deprivation**. Severe housing deprivation rates are particularly high in RO (45%), HU (35%), LV (23%), LT (19%), PL (20%), IT (19%).

Fuel poverty in Europe is still a very acute challenge. In EU28, **9% of total population and 23% of households at risk of poverty are unable to keep their home adequately warm**. The proportion of households at risk of poverty in this situation is particularly high in BG (67%), EL (51%), CY (49%), PT (43%), IT (36%). The EU-average proportion of poor households unable to keep their home adequately warm increased by 1,6 percentage points between 2010 and 2015. It increased by 12,5 percentage points in EL, 8 percentage points in IT, 6,5 percentage points in the UK, 7,7 percentage points in ES, 9,1 percentage points in CY. **In the EU28, 15% of the total population live in a damp dwelling**, compared to 24% of poor households. Only two European countries have less than 10% of households at risk of poverty that are living in damp housing: FI and SE.

Young people and non-EU nationals are particularly exposed to unfit housing and housing affordability problems. In all EU countries, young people are more vulnerable to unaffordable housing costs, overcrowding and severe housing deprivation than the rest of the population. **In EU28, almost one out of two young people at risk of poverty⁵² (47%) see their stability and well-being put at risk by housing expenses**. Young people living in income poverty are four times more likely to face housing cost overburden than the rest of population. The housing cost overburden situation for young poor people is particularly severe in DK (82%), NL (75%), DE (64%), UK (57%). Sharp rises in youth homelessness have occurred in DK (+86% young homeless 18-24 between 2009 and 2015) and in NL (+50% young homeless 18-30 between 2015 and 2016). **In ES, PT, IT, SK, LT, HU and IE, single persons with dependent children are more faced with housing cost overburden than single persons**. This is reflected in the sharp rise in family homelessness in Ireland (+59% of families in emergency accommodation in Dublin between September 2015 and September 2016). In all EU countries, non-EU(28) nationals are far more likely to face housing cost overburden and overcrowding than EU countries nationals – **in EU28 an estimated 33% non-EU(28) nationals live in an overcrowded dwelling**. 64% of non-EU(28) nationals face a housing cost overburden in EL, 45% in ES, 39% in PT, 38% in UK, 31% in BE. Profiles of people hit by homelessness and housing exclusion have been changing in recent years. Being young, having dependent family members, or being a migrant make you more susceptible to difficulties in accessing housing.

⁵² Young between 20 and 29 years old with income under 60% of national median income.


Homelessness data from local and national level indicate worrying increases in homelessness all over Europe, while analysis on the EU-SILC dataset shows that a growing proportion of Europe's population is struggling to find adequate and affordable housing.

Trends in social expenditure and the take-up of selected social benefits

At EU level, social expenditure on old age pensions and healthcare is growing as a percentage of GDP, while the expenditure devoted to family and unemployment benefits, which benefit younger age groups more, has tended to either remain static or decrease after the initial increase between 2008 and 2009 (Figure 55).


This trend is also evident within many Member States (Figure 56), with rises over 2008 to 2014 in the percentage of GDP allocated to old age and survivors pensions being much stronger than the changes in social benefits expenditure on other functions (especially on family, unemployment, and housing benefits) in the vast majority of countries, most notably in CY, EL, ES, PT and FI. At the same time, many countries have seen rises in expenditure on sickness, health and disability, although some including EL, HU and LT have recorded sizeable decreases in the share of GDP allocated to this area. In contrast most Member States have seen much more limited increases in expenditure on unemployment benefits and on family/child benefits, which have even declined as a share of GDP in several countries.

Figure 55: Trends in social expenditure by function at EU level, 2008-2014 (in % of GDP)



Source: Eurostat (Esspros)

Figure 56: Trends in social expenditure as a % of GDP by function across EU Member States, 2008-2014 (in percentage points)



Source: Eurostat (Esspros)

The prolonged crisis led to an increased dependence on social transfers in some Member States. The SPC started an ad-hoc collection of administrative data on benefit recipients for different social schemes (unemployment, social assistance, early retirement and disability) in order to get timelier information on the pressure on social protection systems in the context of the economic crisis. In 2016 the SPC continued with this data collection which is very valuable for its timeliness, but needs to be assessed with due caution as it does not offer cross-country comparability due to the diversity of concepts and underlying definitions used.

The following sections analyse the major trends registered in the year 2016 and early 2017 comparing to the year before and also the general developments since the beginning of the crisis (2008). (Individual country trends regarding the number of benefit recipients can be found in the country profiles produced as a separate annex to the SPC annual report.) The latest figures, although only indicative, suggest that the pressure on social security systems has eased somewhat in 2016 and early 2017 across many EU Member States, with a decline in unemployment benefit recipient numbers in around two-thirds on Member States and in social assistance recipients in around half.

Generally declining trend in the number of unemployment benefit recipients

With the continued gradual improvement in the labour market situation in the EU and declines in unemployment levels in the vast majority of Member States over the last year or so, there has been an easing in the pressure on unemployment benefit schemes across much of the EU. Over the year to spring 2017 around 2/3 of Member States recorded a general decreasing trend in the number of unemployment benefit recipients, generally mirroring the positive developments in the unemployment rate. Persistent increases were only registered in one country (FR) and more mixed developments in 6 (DE, EE, EL, FI, HU and SK).


Some countries with downward trends in both unemployment benefit recipients and social assistance benefit recipients

Overall, around a third of Member States reported decreasing numbers of beneficiaries on both unemployment benefit and social assistance schemes over the latest year. These included CZ and MT (Figure 57 and Figure 58). Other countries with similar recent trends were AT, DK, ES, HR, LV, LT and SE.

Potential continued gaps in social benefits' coverage in some Member States


Notwithstanding the very latest developments, the deterioration in the employment situation in many Member States in the years after the crisis hit, and the growing number of unemployed and their longer stay in unemployment, resulted in more people being in need of social transfers. In some Member States, the growth in unemployment was not always matched by similar trends in benefit recipients which led to a potential lack of social benefits coverage. This has especially been the case in countries such as EL (Figure 59) and HR (Figure 60), and the mis-match remains substantial despite the recent easing in unemployment levels.

Figure 57: Evolution of the number of benefit recipients and number of unemployed (in 1000) – the example of the CZ




Source: Data collected from Member States through the SPC delegates

Figure 58: Evolution of the number of benefit recipients and number of unemployed (in 1000) – the example of MT




Source: Data collected from Member States through the SPC delegates

Figure 59: Evolution of the number of benefit recipients and number of unemployed (in 1000) – the example of EL



Source: Data collected from Member States through the SPC delegates

Figure 60: Evolution of the number of benefit recipients and number of unemployed (in 1000) – the example of HR




Source: Data collected from Member States through the SPC delegates

Some countries with upward trends in both unemployment benefit recipients and social assistance benefit recipients

Some countries report generally increasing numbers of beneficiaries on both unemployment benefit and social assistance schemes over the latest years, such as FI (Figure 61) and FR, although with some edging down over late 2016 and early 2017 in social benefits recipients in FR.

Figure 61: Evolution of the number of benefit recipients and number of unemployed (in 1000) – the example of FI




Source: Data collected from Member States through the SPC delegates

More mixed developments in terms of the number of benefit recipients from social assistance schemes for other Member States

While a large majority of Member States recorded a persistent decrease in the number of unemployment benefit recipients as compared to 2015, the picture with regard to the number of recipients of social assistance was more mixed. In 2016 and early 2017 around half of Member States recorded a persistent decrease in the number of social assistance benefit recipients as compared to a year earlier, while around a quarter recorded persistent increases. Among the latter, some countries show a shift from the use of unemployment benefit towards increasing social assistance. For example, RO saw a decrease in unemployment beneficiaries together with an increase in social assistance recipients (Figure 62). This could suggest there is movement from unemployment benefits to social assistance schemes perhaps due to rising long-term unemployment or shortened lengths of unemployment benefit receipt. This movement increases pressure on social protection systems. Similar recent trends can be observed in NL, PT and SI.

Figure 62: Evolution of the number of benefit recipients and number of unemployed (in 1000) – the example of RO



Source: Data collected from Member States through the SPC delegates

References

- Atkinson, A.B., Guio, A.-C. and Marlier, E. (2017), *"Monitoring social inclusion in Europe"*, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Council Conclusions of 18 June 2015: *"Equal income opportunities for women and men: Closing the gender gap in pensions"*.
- Council Recommendation (2015) on the *"Integration of the long-term unemployed in the labour market"*, Council Recommendation 2016/C 67/01 of 15 February 2016.
- Eurofound (2014), *"Access to healthcare in times of crisis"*, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Eurofound (2016), *"Exploring the diversity of NEETs"*, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- European Commission (2013). *Employment and Social Developments in Europe 2013*.
- European Commission (2014). *Employment and Social Developments in Europe 2014*.
- European Commission (2016). *Employment and Social Developments in Europe 2016*.
- European Commission (2017), *"Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on Establishing a European Pillar of Social Rights"*, COM/2017/0250 final.
- European Commission (2017a). *"European Economic Forecast Spring 2017"*, European Economy Institutional Paper 53, May 2017.
- European Commission (2017b). *Employment and Social Developments in Europe 2017*.
- European Commission Social Investment Package (2013), *"Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: Towards Social Investment for Growth and Cohesion – including implementing the European Social Fund 2014-2020"*, COM(2013) 83 final.
- FEANTSA and the Fondation Abbé Pierre (2017), *"The Second Overview of Housing Exclusion in Europe"*.
- Frazer, H. and Marlier, E. (2017), *"Progress across Europe in the implementation of the 2013 EU Recommendation on 'Investing in children: Breaking the cycle of disadvantage' - A study of national policies"*, European Social Policy Network (ESPN), Brussels: European Commission.
- Guio, A.-C., Gordon, D. and Marlier, E. (2012), *"Measuring material deprivation in the EU: Indicators for the whole population and child-specific indicators"*, Eurostat Methodologies and Working Papers, Publications office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Guio, A.-C., Gordon, D. and Marlier, E. (2016), *"Improving the measurement of material deprivation at the European Union level"*, Journal of European Social Policy, 26(3), pp. 219-333.

Guio, A.-C., Gordon, D., Najera, H. and Pomati, M. (2017), *"Revising the EU material deprivation variables"*, Eurostat Statistical Working Papers, Publications office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

SPC (21012), Advisory Report to the European Commission on *"Tackling and Preventing Child Poverty, Promoting Child Well-being"*, 27th of June 2012.

SPC (2015), *"The 2015 Pension Adequacy Report: current and future income adequacy in old age in the European Union"*.

SPPM dashboard methodology

The Council endorsed on 4 October 2012 the main features of a new instrument, proposed by the Social Protection Committee (SPC), called the "Social Protection Performance Monitor" (SPPM) aimed at contributing to strengthening the monitoring of the social situation and the development of social protection policies in the EU, according to the Treaty mandate (art. 160 of TFEU) of the SPC to work in this area. One key element of this is a dashboard of key social indicators.

What is the objective?

The objective of the SPPM dashboard is to identify annual "social trends to watch" and "positive recent social trends" in the EU, common to several Member States, which can stimulate in-depth review and targeted multilateral surveillance. Given the objective of the dashboard, the focus is on both most recent changes and changes in comparison to 2008, as the base year for monitoring progress for the social aspects of the European 2020 Strategy.

What is the basis of the SPPM dashboard?

The SPPM makes use of the EU portfolio of social indicators, recognizing effectively the importance of the overarching portfolio as a summary set/first tier of indicators to be used for monitoring the major social trends in EU countries across the relevant social policy areas.

How are trends identified?

The indicators are monitored on the basis of levels and evolutions. In order to assess the statistical significance of the year-to-year changes and the changes in comparison to the reference year 2008, use is made of accuracy estimates, developed by Eurostat in cooperation with the Second Network for the analysis of EU-SILC (Net-SILC 2, an EU funded network consisting of a group of institutions and researchers conducting analysis using EU-SILC). For certain of the indicators in the dashboard further work to produce estimates of the significance of net changes is ongoing. Similarly, further work on the LFS-based indicators is underway. Where such estimates are not yet available, specific tentative criteria have been agreed, awaiting further statistical developments. For those indicators where statistical estimates are available, a second criterion of substantive significance is applied in most cases to avoid flagging up very small changes in the indicator. The current situation regarding the statistical and substantive significance rules applied for each SPPM indicator is summarised in the following table.

A trend needs to be evident in a certain number of Member States in order to qualify as a "social trend to watch" or a "positive recent social trend." The general criterion of at least 1/3 of Member States is used in order to ensure that there is a significant basis for conclusions. However, a certain level of flexibility is kept and if a strong trend is evident in a smaller number of countries or this is the case for a specific group of countries, it could still be considered as a "trend to watch" or a "positive trend."

How are the SPPM results used?

The SPPM results are presented in the SPC annual report and are endorsed by the EPSCO Council. On the basis of the identified social trends to watch, the SPC undertakes thematic in-depth reviews where drivers and policy solutions for the identified challenges are discussed among Member States.

Summary table of the current statistical and substantive significance rules applied for the SPPM indicators

Indicator	Significance thresholds used			
	change 2014-2015		change 2008-2015	
	Statistical	Substantive	Statistical	Substantive
At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	Estat estimates	>+1pp
At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	Estat estimates	>+1pp
At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (in national currency, adjusted for HICP)	>+5%	-	>+5%	-
Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	Estat estimates	>+1pp
Population living in (quasi-)jobless (i.e. very low work intensity) households (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	Estat estimates	>+1pp
Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	>+1pp	-	>+1pp	-
Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	>+1pp	-	>+1pp	-
Income quantile ratio (S80/S20)	Estat estimates	-	>+5%	-
Children at risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	Estat estimates	>+1pp
Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) on poverty reduction (in %)	>+5%	-	>+5%	-
At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	>+1pp	-
In-work at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	>+1pp	-
Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	-	EMPL estimates based on variability of series	-	>+1pp
Early school leavers (in %)	-	EMPL estimates based on variability of series	-	>+1pp
Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	-	EMPL estimates based on variability of series	-	>+1pp
NEET (15-24)	-	EMPL estimates based on variability of series	-	>+1pp
Employment rate for older workers (55-64), in %	-	EMPL estimates based on variability of series	-	>+1pp
At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate for the elderly (65+), in %	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	Estat estimates	>+1pp
Median relative income ratio of elderly people	Estat estimates ¹	-	>+5%	-
Aggregate replacement ratio	Estat estimates ²	-	>+5%	-
Self-reported unmet need for medical care	>+1pp	-	>+1pp	-
Healthy life years at 65 - males	n.a.	n.a.	>+5%	-
Healthy life years at 65 - females	n.a.	n.a.	>+5%	-
Housing cost overburden rate	Estat estimates	>+0.5pp	>+1pp	-
Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	-	>+0.5%	-	>+1%

Notes:

- i) For SMD, LTU rate, ESL, youth unemployment ratio, NEET rate and ER (55-64) the reference periods are 2015-2016 and 2008-2016
- ii) The method used to estimate the statistical significance of the net changes, based on regression and developed by Net-SILC2 (an EU funded network consisting of a group of institutions and researchers conducting analysis using EU-SILC) is still under improvement;
- iii) For LFS-based indicators the check for substantive significance in the latest annual change is based on an analysis of the volatility of the time series.

SPPM methodology used for the identification of Member States' key social challenges and good social outcomes

Introduction

SPPM Country Profiles are presented as an annex to the SPC Annual Report. For all Member States, Country Profiles provide, among other elements of analysis, a summary table giving an overview of the key social challenges (KSCs) and good social outcomes (GSOs) identified for each country.

This appendix describes the methodology established by the SPC Indicators' sub-group (ISG) to identify each Member States' KSCs and GSOs. The results of this process are compiled at the end of each Country Profile in the form of summary tables. As they constitute part of the Country Profile, their content will contribute to shape the Key Messages of the SPC for the October EPSCO as concerns the social policy priorities for the Annual Growth Survey.

Scope of the exercise

The assessment of KSCs and GSOs included in the SPPM Country Profiles broadly reflects the structure of the Joint Assessment Framework (JAF) Policy Area 11 – *Poverty and Social Exclusion*, to which selected indicators from the JAF module on Health have been added to make the indicators' framework more exhaustive.

The summary table is therefore divided in six policy areas:

1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly
5. Health and long-term care
6. Other key issues

Each policy area is further broken down into sub-categories which cluster a number of more granular metrics and specific areas which have been agreed with the SPC-ISG, as indicated in the table at the end of this appendix.

Methodology

The identification of the key social challenges and good social outcomes follows a "two-step" methodology which foresees the use of both quantitative and qualitative sources of information, in this order⁵³.

- The quantitative step of the exercise is based on an assessment of levels⁵⁴ and three-year changes⁵⁵ in relation to the EU average for selected JAF indicators. In the JAF methodology, the values of each indicator are standardised, in order to put different indicators on the same scale and compare them to the EU28 average.

The standardised scores for levels (1) and changes (2) are calculated as follows:

(1) *Standardised score indicator x =*

*[(value of indicator x – EU average of x)/standard deviation across EU MS of x] * 10*

(2) *Standardised 3-year change score indicator x =*

*[(3-year change value of indicator x – 3-year change of EU average of x)/standard deviation of 3-year changes across EU MS of x] * 10*

Standardised scores for changes should be interpreted as relative changes with respect to the EU average⁵⁶.

The SPC-ISG agreed to develop a scale that sets five performance bands based on the following standardised scores' intervals/thresholds:

- a. (-7; +7): the performance of an indicator is classified as *around the EU average (0) for levels and constant (0) for changes*;
- b. (-7; -13 **or** +7; +13): the performance of an indicator is classified as *better (+) / worse (-) than the EU average for levels, and registering a positive (+) / negative (-) development for changes*, depending on the polarity of the indicator;
- c. (< -13 **or** > +13): the performance of an indicator is classified as *significantly better (++) / significantly worse (--) than the EU average for levels, and registering a significantly positive (++) / significantly negative (--) development for changes*, always depending on the polarity of the indicator.

⁵³ The methodology is analogous to the one set in place for the identification of key employment challenges (KECs) and good labour market outcomes (GLMOs) in the context of the Employment Performance Monitor (EPM) by the EMCO Committee.

⁵⁴ The latest year available for EU28 – e.g. the SPC Annual Report 2017 looks at 2015 data for levels.

⁵⁵ From [latest year available for EU28 – 3 years] to [latest year available for EU28] - e.g. the SPC Annual Report 2017 looks at 2012-2015 data for changes.

⁵⁶ E.g. there may be cases in which a 3-year positive change in absolute values can correspond to a relative negative change of the standardised score.

The identification of KSCs and GSOs takes into account both levels and changes as reflected in the following 5 x 5 two-way table below:

		Changes				
		"--"	"-"	"0"	"+"	"++"
Levels	"--"	KSC	KSC	KSC	KSC	KSC
	"-"	KSC	KSC	KSC	KSC	KSC
	"0"	KSC	KSC			
	"+"	KSC				GSO
	"++"			GSO	GSO	GSO

When a break in the time series of an indicator is flagged for a country, the assessment of changes over the three-year time span might not be reliable. In this case, the identification of KSCs and GSOs is based on the identification of levels of performance only - changes over the three-year time span affected by the break in the time series are therefore assumed to be constant (0) as per the reading of the two-way table above.

The JAF-based challenges stemming from the results of the analysis' first step are then checked with SPC-ISG delegates via written procedure and bilateral exchanges with SPC delegates.

- The second, qualitative step of the assessment is based on a wider set of (*non-JAF based*) information, taking into account expert knowledge from country analysts and the findings of the relevant literature. This step aims at qualifying the findings and deepening the understanding of the challenges identified by the first-step quantitative screening. Qualitative data available from verified sources (e.g. OECD Reports, European Commission Country Reports) are used by country analysts to complement the identification of KSCs and GSOs with additional country-specific evidence and to prioritise the key issues based on their impact and relevance in the national context.

The non-JAF based challenges stemming from the results of the second-step analysis are identified in a transparent manner and checked with Member States via a second written with SPC delegates; SPC-ISG delegates are also involved in the consultation process, as they are kept in copy of all bilateral exchanges with their SPC counterparts concerning the analysis of the non JAF-based information. Each finding from the qualitative analysis is presented to the SPC delegates during the consultation phase on the basis of a reasoned assessment detailed by the Commission as per the table below:

<i>Description of the challenge</i>
<i>Reasoning, including reference to data (not already included in JAF) when available</i>
<i>Data sources</i>
<i>Additional background information</i>

Subsequently, SPC delegates review and approve of the complete country-specific sets of KSCs and GSOs (both JAF-based and non JAF-based) as a last step in the process of finalisation of the SPC Country Profiles.

Social Policy areas covered by the assessment and subcategories⁵⁷

Social policy area		Subcategory
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	1.1	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for general population (AROPE)
	1.1.1	At-risk-of-poverty
	1.1.2	Severe material deprivation
	1.1.3	(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI) People in low work intensity households (T 0-59, M 0-59, W 0-59)
	1.2	Severe poverty and/or inequality for general population
	1.2.1	Severe or persistent poverty (gap, persistence)
	1.2.2	Income inequality (S80/S20)
	1.3	Housing situation for general population <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing cost overburden • Housing deprivation
	1.4	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of persons in vulnerable situations</i>
	1.4.1	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of persons with disabilities (e.g. gap between the risk of poverty and social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities much higher than EU average)</i>
	1.4.2	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of Roma (e.g. high levels of poverty, lower employment, health, and educational attainment)</i>
	1.4.3	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of migrants and refugees</i>
	1.4.4	<i>Poverty and social exclusion of low-skilled and unemployed</i>
	1.5	<i>Regional dimension of poverty and social exclusion (e.g. geographical or urban/ rural disparities)</i>
	2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	2.1
2.1.1		At-risk-of-poverty
2.1.2		Severe material deprivation
2.1.3		(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI)
2.2		Effectiveness of social protection for children <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) in reducing child poverty • Impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing child poverty • At-risk-of poverty rate for children living in household at work $0.2 < WI \leq 0.55$ and $0.55 < WI \leq 1$

⁵⁷ Elements written in roman are based on an assessment of JAF-based information. Elements written in *italics* are based on an assessment of non-JAF based information.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poverty gap (0-17)
	2.3	Housing situation for children <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing cost overburden (0-17) Housing deprivation (0-17)
3. Active inclusion - tackling poverty in working age	3.1	At-risk of poverty and social exclusion for working age population (AROPE) T(18-64)
	3.1.1	At-risk-of-poverty (T 18-64, M 18-64, W 18-64)
	3.1.2	Severe material deprivation (T 18-64)
	3.1.3	(Quasi-)jobless households (VLWI) Adults in low work intensity households (T 18-59)
	3.2	In work poverty (T 18-64, M 18-64, W 18-64)
	3.3	Effectiveness of social benefits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) in reducing working age poverty Impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing working age poverty <i>Adequacy, coverage and take-up of social assistance or unemployment benefits</i>
	3.4	Effectiveness of social services (e.g. access, quality, or co-operation with the employment services)
	3.5	Inclusive labour markets <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-)jobless households (18-59) Poverty gap (18-64)
3.6	Housing situation for working age population <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing cost overburden (18-64) Housing deprivation (18-64) 	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	4.1	Poverty and social exclusion in old age (AROPE) T 65+
	4.1.1	At-risk-of-poverty (AROP 65+ T, AROP 65+ M, AROP 65+ W)
	4.1.2	Severe material deprivation (SMD 65+ T, SMD 65+ M, SMD 65+ W)
	4.2	Effectiveness of social protection in old age
	4.2.1	Poverty prevention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact of social transfers (including pensions) on reducing old-age poverty Poverty gap 65+

	4.2.2	Income replacement aspects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aggregate replacement ratio (excluding other social benefits) • Median relative income 65+
	4.3	<i>Equal pension rules</i>
	4.4	Housing situation for the elderly <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing deprivation (65+)
5. Health and long-term care	5.1	Health status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Life expectancy at birth and 65 (T, M, W) • Healthy life years (HLY) at birth and 65 (M, W) • Child mortality, 1-14
	5.2	Effectiveness of curative or preventive health care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential years of life lost (T) • Amenable mortality standardized rate per 100.000 population aged (T) • Preventable mortality standardized rate per 100.000 population aged (T) • Vaccination coverage rates for children
	5.3	Access to health care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self-reported unmet need for medical care (total and by reason: cost, waiting time, distance) • Self-reported unmet need for medical care – income quintile gap (q1-q5 by the three reasons: cost + waiting time + distance)
	5.4	<i>Cost-effectiveness of health systems (e.g. balance between in-patient and out-patient care, inefficiencies in the allocation of resources in the hospital sector, issues with pharmaceutical pricing and reimbursement, or insufficient availability and coverage of e-Health services)</i>
	5.5	<i>Long-term care (e.g. insufficient provision of long-term care services or sub-optimal design of the long-term care system)</i>

Definitions and data sources

Indicator	Definition	Data source
At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate	The sum of persons who are: at-risk-of-poverty or severely materially deprived or living in quasi jobless households (i.e. with very low work intensity) as a share of the total population.	Eurostat – EU SILC
At-risk-of-poverty rate	Share of persons aged 0+ with an equivalised disposable income below 60% of the national equivalised median income. Equivalised median income is defined as the household's total disposable income divided by its "equivalent size", to take account of the size and composition of the household, and is attributed to each household member. Equivalisation is made on the basis of the OECD modified scale.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Severe material deprivation rate	Share of population living in households lacking at least 4 items out of the following 9 items: i) to pay rent or utility bills, ii) keep home adequately warm, iii) face unexpected expenses, iv) eat meat, fish or a protein equivalent every second day, v) a week holiday away from home, or could not afford (even if wanted to) vi) a car, vii) a washing machine, viii) a colour TV, or ix) a telephone.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Material deprivation rate	Share of population living in households lacking at least 3 items out of the following 9 items: i) to pay rent or utility bills, ii) keep home adequately warm, iii) face unexpected expenses, iv) eat meat, fish or a protein equivalent every second day, v) a week holiday away from home, or could not afford (even if wanted to) vi) a car, vii) a washing machine, viii) a colour TV, or ix) a telephone.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Share of population(0-59) in (quasi-) jobless, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI), households	People aged 0-59, living in households, where working-age adults (18-59) work 20% or less of their total work potential during the past year.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Relative poverty risk gap rate	Difference between the median equivalised income of persons aged 0+ below the at-risk-of poverty threshold and the threshold itself, expressed as a percentage of the at-risk-of poverty threshold.	Eurostat – EU SILC

Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	Share of persons aged 0+ with an equivalised disposable income below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold in the current year and in at least two of the preceding three years.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Income quintile ratio S80/S20	The ratio of total income received by the 20% of the country's population with the highest income (top quintile) to that received by the 20% of the country's population with the lowest income (lowest quintile). Income must be understood as equivalised disposable income.	Eurostat – EU SILC
At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate of children	The sum of children (0-17) who are: at-risk-of-poverty or severely materially deprived or living in (quasi-)jobless households (i.e. households with very low work intensity (below 20%) as a share of the total population aged 0-17.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) on poverty reduction	Reduction in the at-risk-of-poverty rate in % due to social transfers, calculated as the percentage difference between the at-risk-of-poverty rate before and after social transfers	Eurostat – EU SILC
At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-)jobless (i.e. very low work intensity) households	Share of persons aged (0-59) with an equivalised disposable income below 60% of the national equivalised median income who live in households where working-age adults (18-59) worked 20% or less of their total work potential during the past year.	Eurostat – EU SILC
In-work at-risk-of-poverty rate	Individuals (18-64) who are classified as employed according to their most frequent activity status and are at risk of poverty. The distinction is made between “wage and salary employment plus self-employment” and “wage and salary employment” only.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Long-term unemployment rate (active population, 15+)	Total long-term unemployed population (≥ 12 months' unemployment; ILO definition) as a proportion of total active population.	Eurostat – LFS
Youth unemployment ratio	Total unemployed young people (ILO definition), 15-24 years, as a share of total population in the same age group (i.e. persons aged 15-24 who were without work during the reference week, were currently available for work and were either actively seeking work in the past four weeks or had already found a job to start within the next three months as a percentage of the total population in the same age group).	Eurostat - LFS
Early leavers from education and training	Share of persons aged 18 to 24 who have only lower secondary education (their highest level of education or	Eurostat – LFS

	training attained is 0, 1 or 2 according to the 1997 International Standard Classification of Education – ISCED 97) and have not received education or training in the four weeks preceding the survey.	
NEETs (15-24)	Share of young people aged 15-24 not in employment, education or training	Eurostat - LFS
Employment rate of older workers	Persons in employment in age group 55-64, as a proportion of total population in the same age group.	Eurostat – LFS
At risk of poverty or social exclusion rate of the elderly	The sum of elderly (65+) who are: at-risk-of-poverty or severely materially deprived or living in (quasi-)jobless households (i.e. with very low work intensity) as a share of the total population in the same age group.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Median relative income ratio of elderly people	Median equivalised disposable income of people aged 65+ as a ratio of income of people aged 0-64.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Aggregate replacement ratio	Median individual gross pension income of 65-74 relative to median individual gross earnings of 50-59, excluding other social benefits ⁵⁸	Eurostat – EU SILC
Housing cost overburden rate	Percentage of the population living in a household where total housing costs (net of housing allowances) represent more than 40% of the total disposable household income (net of housing allowances).	Eurostat – EU SILC
Share of the population with self-reported unmet need for medical care	Total self-reported unmet need for medical examination for the following three reasons: financial barriers + waiting times + too far to travel.	Eurostat – EU SILC
Healthy life years at 65	Number of years that a person at 65 is still expected to live in a healthy condition. To be interpreted jointly with life expectancy (included in the SPPM contextual information).	Eurostat
Change in real gross household disposable income (GHDI)	Real growth in gross household disposable income (GHDI). Real GDHI is calculated as nominal GDHI divided by the deflator of household final consumption expenditure.	Eurostat - National accounts
GDP growth/ GDP per capita (in PPS)	Gross domestic product (GDP) is a measure of the economic activity, defined as the value of all goods and services produced less the value of any goods or services used in their creation. The calculation of the annual growth rate of GDP at constant prices is intended to allow comparisons of the dynamics of economic development both over time and between economies of different sizes, irrespective of price levels.	Eurostat

⁵⁸ Pension income covers pensions from basic (first pillar) schemes, means-tested welfare schemes; early retirement widow's (first pillar) and other old age-related schemes. Other social benefits includes: unemployment-related benefits; family-related benefits; benefits relating to sickness or invalidity; education-related allowances; any other personal social benefits. Work income includes income from wage and salary employment and income from self-employment.

Public debt	General government consolidated gross debt as a percentage of GDP.	Eurostat - General Government data
Employment rate	Persons in employment in age group 15 to 64 as a proportion of total population in the same age group.	Eurostat-LFS
Unemployment rate	Unemployed population as a proportion of total active population aged 15 years or more.	Eurostat-LFS
Social protection expenditure (by types of risk)	The annual percentage of gross domestic product spent on social protection. Social protection encompasses "all interventions from public or private bodies intended to relieve households and individuals of the burden of a defined set of risks or needs, provided that there is neither a simultaneous reciprocal nor an individual arrangement involved".	Eurostat - Esspros
Old age dependency ratio	Ratio between the total number of people aged 65 and over and the number of persons of working age (aged 15 to 64).	Eurostat

Definition of the in-work at-risk-of-poverty rate

Individuals who are classified as employed, defined here as being in work for over half of the year and who are at risk of poverty, i.e. live with an equivalised disposable income after social transfers below 60% of the national median equivalised disposable income.

In defining in-work (monetary) poverty, the income for people who are employed is calculated for households, but the poverty status is assigned to the individual. This means that in-work poverty, when measured, is influenced by both the total disposable income (including non-wage income) and the household composition. The assumption of equal sharing of resources within households (giving the so-called equivalised income) that underlies the definition of monetary income poverty means that the economic well-being of individuals depends on the total resources contributed by all members of the households. In this respect some income can move from one household member to the other without affecting the actual income of the individual. Hence, measuring attachment to the labour market at the level of households provides a better indicator of the welfare implications associated with labour market status than individual employment rates.

Income/disposable income

Household income comes from different sources. Employment is generally the main source of income but it is not the only one. Individuals may receive transfers from the state (e.g. unemployment benefits, pensions, etc.); property income (e.g. dividends from financial assets, etc.); and income from other sources (e.g. rental income from property or from the sale of property or goods, etc.).

Employed

In EU SILC, people are defined as employed based on the self-declared economic status.

Working full year/less than full year

Working full year corresponds to working during the total number of months for which information on the activity status has been provided. Less than full year corresponds to working for more than half, but less than all, the numbers of the months for which information on activity status is provided.

Full-time/part-time working

This variable refers to the main job with the designation of full-time and part-time work as self-reported by the respondent.

Annex 2. SPPM Country Profiles

The attached Country Profiles sheets provide for all Member States a detailed snapshot of the main social indicators for each country, the progress towards the national 2020 poverty and social exclusion target, the most recent evolutions in a selected number of benefit schemes, and the main, priority social challenges and good social outcomes identified for each country.

Notes:


1. Definitions of variables are provided in the "Definitions and data sources" section at the end of the Annex 1 of the report.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 380,000 by 2020 (EU-SILC 2018), compared to 2010 (EU-SILC 2008).

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

⁵⁹ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

BE													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	70.8	72.2	70.1	73.0	70.8	72.3	72.9	70.6	74.7	4.1 pp	3.9 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1554	1549	1566	1657	1667	1652	1704	1649	1745	5.8 %	12.3 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	44.1	47.6	47.1	50.7	49.7	52.1	53.2	54.2	53.2	-1.0 pp	9.2 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	967	1021	1053	1152	1170	1190	1244	1267	1243	-1.9 %	28.5 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	27.1	25.9	28.1	27.1	29.2	24.5	27.8	27.4	26.7	-0.7 pp	-0.4 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	595	555	628	615	687	561	650	640	623	-2.7 %	4.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	14.6	17.3	15.8	21.3	18.0	21.3	20.5	19.4	22.1	2.7 pp	7.5 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	320	372	352	483	423	487	479	453	516	13.9 %	61.3 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.2	5.4	5.7	6.2	4.4	5.2	5.1	5.7	5.4	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	137	116	128	141	104	118	120	133	126	-5.3 %	-8.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	9.6	10.2	10.4	10.7	12.0	10.3	12.7	12.3	12.8	0.4 pp	3.1 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	211	219	232	242	283	235	298	288	298	3.5 %	41.2 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.0	2.6	3.0	2.0	3.2	1.8	2.7	2.4	1.6	-0.8 pp	-0.4 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	44	55	68	45	75	42	64	56	38	-32.1 %	-13.6 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

BE										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	0.7	-2.3	2.7	1.8	0.1	-0.1	1.6	1.5	1.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	1.8	-0.2	0.6	1.4	0.4	-0.3	0.4	0.9	1.3	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7.0	7.9	8.3	7.2	7.6	8.4	8.5	8.5	7.8	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	26.2	28.5	27.9	28.4	28.3	28.9	29.0				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

BE											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	26.2	28.5	27.9	28.4	28.3	28.9	29.0		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	7.5	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.4		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.4		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	8.5	9.2	8.9	9.2	9.3	9.7	9.8		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.2		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.4		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	24.8	27.1	26.5	26.9	26.8	27.4	27.5		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	7.5	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.4		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.9		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	8.4	9.1	8.8	9.1	9.2	9.5	9.6		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.2		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.4		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

BE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.8	20.2	20.8	21.0	21.6	20.8	21.2	21.1	20.7	-0.4 pp	-0.1 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	14.7	14.6	14.6	15.3	15.3	15.1	15.5	14.9	15.5	0.6 pp	0.8 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10046	10501	10399	10895	11038	11738	11755	11953	12492	1.2 %	9.6 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	21096	22053	21838	22880	23180	24649	24684	25101	26233	1.2 %	9.6 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.6	5.2	5.9	5.7	6.3	5.1	5.9	5.8	5.5	-0.3 pp	-0.1 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.7	12.3	12.7	13.8	13.9	14.0	14.6	14.9	14.6	-0.3 pp	2.9 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	9.0	9.2	9.3	8.0	9.9	8.7	9.5	9.8	10.0	0.2 pp	1.0 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	17.2	18.1	18.0	18.6	18.7	19.2	18.8	17.4	19.4	2.0 pp	2.2 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	14.7	13.1	13.0	13.5	14.3	12.7	13.2	12.7	12.7	0.0 pp	-2.0 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	45.6	45.3	45.3	45.0	44.8	42.6	43.6	44.2	41.1	-3.1 pp	-4.5 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0 %	-7.3 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	4.1	3.9	4.2	2.2	1.6	2.0	2.0	1.6	3.7	2.1 pp	-0.4 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	12.5	8.7	8.9	10.6	11.0	9.6	10.4	9.4	9.5	0.1 pp	-3.0 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	2.4	2.1	-1.0	-1.0	-0.1	0.0	0.3	0.7				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

BE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.3	20.5	23.2	23.3	22.8	21.9	23.2	23.3	21.6	-1.7 pp	0.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	17.2	16.6	18.3	18.7	17.3	17.2	18.8	18.0	17.8	-0.2 pp	0.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	7.3	6.5	7.7	8.2	8.3	5.5	6.8	7.9	6.9	-1.0 pp	-0.4 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.9	11.0	12.0	14.0	13.0	12.2	13.0	13.8	13.0	-0.8 pp	4.1 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	17.5	21.3	20.8	21.5	19.2	21.3	18.8	18.0	19.4	1.4 pp	1.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	45.6	48.6	42.5	44.7	46.6	46.6	43.9	45.1	44.2	-0.9 pp	-1.4 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	6.7	6.8	6.9	4.0	2.4	3.1	2.9	2.6	6.0	3.4 pp	-0.7 pp	22.6	23.0
BE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.0	20.1	20.7	20.4	23.4	23.8	26.1	24.7	25.7	1.0 pp	2.7 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.5	15.5	14.4	14.1	16.4	16.1	18.8	18.5	20.8	2.3 pp	4.3 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	9.5	7.6	7.1	5.9	8.7	7.3	9.9	7.4	8.2	0.8 pp	-1.3 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.1	10.2	10.3	10.7	12.1	14.4	15.7	13.8	16.0	2.2 pp	4.9 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.0	4.6	4.5	6.6	3.5	2.7	6.9	6.6	4.6	-2.0 pp	-1.4 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.0	7.1	7.3	6.0	6.2	7.3	7.0	6.6	5.7	-0.9 pp	-0.3 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	13.3	14.5	14.3	14.8	15.0	16.0	15.0	15.5	13.1	-2.4 pp	-0.2 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	10.9	10.2	8.1	9.6	11.0	9.8	10.7	9.6	9.2	-0.4 pp	-1.7 pp	14.6	14.2

BE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.1	19.3	20.0	20.0	21.3	20.8	21.6	21.7	21.7	0.0 pp	1.6 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.2	12.1	12.1	12.9	13.5	13.4	14.2	13.7	14.7	1.0 pp	2.5 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.7	5.3	6.0	5.6	6.6	5.8	6.5	6.1	6.1	0.0 pp	0.4 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	12.8	12.8	12.9	13.7	14.2	14.7	15.1	15.3	15.2	-0.1 pp	2.4 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.1	20.7	21.1	20.0	20.9	22.8	21.7	19.8	21.2	1.4 pp	2.1 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.7	0.2 pp	0.0 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	53.1	51.8	52.9	51.1	50.6	47.7	48.0	49.1	45.2	-3.9 pp	-7.9 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	4.0	3.7	4.1	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.1	1.5	3.8	2.3 pp	-0.2 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	11.6	8.7	8.5	10.0	10.7	9.7	10.3	9.9	10.0	0.1 pp	-1.6 pp	12.0	11.7
BE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	22.9	23.1	21.0	21.6	21.2	19.5	17.3	16.2	16.4	0.2 pp	-6.5 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	21.2	21.6	19.4	20.2	19.4	18.4	16.1	15.2	15.4	0.2 pp	-5.8 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.2	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.0	2.4	2.1	2.1	0.0 pp	-1.1 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.77	0.79	0.76	-3.8 %	2.7 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.44	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.48	2.1 %	6.7 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.5 pp	0.0 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	19.7	11.4	11.9	12.9	14.5	11.2	11.9	11.3	10.0	-1.3 pp	-9.7 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

BE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	21.3	20.5	23.2	23.3	22.8	21.9	23.2	23.3	21.6	-1.7 pp	0.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	17.2	16.6	18.3	18.7	17.3	17.2	18.8	18.0	17.8	-0.2 pp	0.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	7.3	6.5	7.7	8.2	8.3	5.5	6.8	7.9	6.9	-1.0 pp	-0.4 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	8.9	11.0	12.0	14.0	13.0	12.2	13.0	13.8	13.0	-0.8 pp	4.1 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	9.1	9.7	11.6	9.5	14.7	9.1	12.4	10.3	12.7	2.4 pp	3.6 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	6.2	5.2	5.4	4.4	5.4	5.0	6.0	5.4	5.7	0.3 pp	-0.5 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	78.6	79.2	76.1	80.1	75.7	74.0	76.3	73.6	81.4	7.8 pp	2.8 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	11.1	8.8	10.3	8.5	8.6	9.2	10.1	9.1	8.2	-0.9 pp	-2.9 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	20.0	17.0	17.0	19.0	21.0	21.0	23.2	23.3		0.1 pp	3.3 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	23.0	16.0	19.0	20.0	27.0	25.0	25.6	26.8		1.2 pp	3.8 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	25.0	30.0	36.0	32.0	26.0	21.0	19.7	21.7		2.0 pp	-3.3 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	74.0	69.0	63.0	66.0	74.0	77.0	78.1	77.1		-1.0 pp	3.1 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	17.5	21.3	20.8	21.5	19.2	21.3	18.8	18.0	19.4	1.4 pp	1.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	17.6	16.3	15.6	16.2	18.4	18.1	19.3	19.5	20.0	0.5 pp	2.4 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	6.9	7.0	4.3	4.6	4.8	5.2	6.0	5.6	7.1	1.5 pp	0.2 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	20.0	18.6	18.4	19.1	21.7	21.1	22.4	23.0	23.4	0.4 pp	3.4 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	45.6	48.6	42.5	44.7	46.6	46.6	43.9	45.1	44.2	-0.9 pp	-1.4 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	9.9	6.8	7.7	10.7	9.3	7.9	9.3	6.6	7.4	0.8 pp	-2.5 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.2	5.5	5.3	6.8	7.4	6.8	6.6	6.4	4.0	-2.4 pp	-1.2 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	12.0	11.1	11.9	12.3	12.0	11.0	9.8	10.1	8.8	-1.3 pp	-3.2 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.8	1.2	1.0	2.4	1.9	2.0	0.1 pp	1.6 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.3		-0.1 pp	-0.5 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	2.1	2.7	3.5	1.6	0.9	1.4	1.3	1.5	3.0	1.5 pp	0.9 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	6.7	6.8	6.9	4.0	2.4	3.1	2.9	2.6	6.0	3.4 pp	-0.7 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data). Note: Break in series for "self reported unmet need for medical care" in 2011

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	78.6		74.7		54.4		49.5	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	78.6		74.7		54.4		49.5	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	78.6		74.7		54.4		49.5	
	AWG career length case	73.0	71.9	p.n.a.		47.7	46.5	p.n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			77.1				52.4	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			p.n.a.				p.n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			77.1				52.4	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			p.n.a.				p.n.a.	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			73.2				48.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			72.8				48.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			72.4				48.0	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				74.7				49.5
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				73.2				48.4
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				72.9				48.1
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				72.7				47.7
	Short career (30 year career)			62.3				36.9	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			71.7				47.4	
	Early retirement due to disability			71.7				47.4	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			66.7				44.2	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	93.3		82.7		63.1		86.5	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	93.3		82.7		63.1		56.5	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	93.3		82.7		63.1		56.5	
	AWG career length case	84.0	80.0	p.n.a.		56.3	53.5	p.n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			88.5				60.4	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			p.n.a.				p.n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			88.5				60.4	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			p.n.a.				p.n.a.	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			82.4				56.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			82.0				56.1	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			81.7				55.8	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				82.7				46.1
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				82.2				56.1
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				81.8				55.8
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				81.4				55.5
	Short career (30 year career)	74.5		64.1		49.8		42.9	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			80.9				55.2	
	Early retirement due to disability			80.9				55.2	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses			113.7				80.8	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	58.7		54.9		37.1		33.6	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	58.7		54.9		37.1		33.6	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

BE									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	63.4	63.9	64.0	63.4	64.2	64.0	64.5	64.4	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	64.1	63.7	62.6	63.6	65.0	63.7	63.7	64.0	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	10.4	10.6	10.4	9.8	10.6	10.8	11.0	11.2	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	10.4	10.3	9.7	10.3	11.0	10.9	11.0	11.0	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	76.9	77.3	77.5	78.0	77.8	78.1	78.8	78.7	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.6	82.8	83.0	83.3	83.1	83.2	83.9	83.4	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.3	17.5	17.6	18.0	17.7	17.8	18.4	18.2	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.9	21.1	21.3	21.6	21.3	21.4	21.9	21.5	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.5	0.6	0.4	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.4	2.4	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	73.9	73.5	73.0	73.5	74.5	74.3	75.0	74.6	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	2827.8	2892.1	3054.6	3142.4	3270.2	3271.0	3409.2	3546.0		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	9.9	10.7	10.6	10.6	10.9	10.4	10.4			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA).

Note: i) Break in series for "self reported unmet need for medical care" in 2011; ii) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; iii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁶⁰



⁶⁰ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

Unemployment	
Definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
Unit	monthly average -Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
Source	Source: eurostat
link	http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/employment_unemployment_lfs/data/datab ase
comment	
Unemployment benefit	
Definition	number of full-time unemployed with an unemployment benefit
Unit	number of recipients
Source	Administrative data National Employment Office; FPS Social Security on the basis of the NEO website
link	http://www.nva.be/Frames/frameset.aspx?Path=D_stat/&Items=1&Language=FR
comment	This number is the sum of a number of different administrative categories of unemployed: after full-time employment, after studies, after voluntary part-time employment, different categories of early retirement and unemployed with social or familial difficulties
Social assistance benefit	
Definition	number of social assistance recipients ('leefloon'+'financiële steun/equivalent leefloon')
Unit	number of recipients
Source	Federal Public Service for Social Integration
link	
comment	age category 18-64
Disability benefit	
Definition	number of persons with a invalidity allowance (schemes for employees and self-employed)
Unit	number of benefit recipients
Source	Up to 2007 National Institute for Sickness and Invalidity Insurance (OECD questionnaire). From 2008: figures published in 'De sociale Zekerheid in een oogopslag: kerncijfers 2014'
link	
comment	

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	BE											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	20.8	20.2	20.8	21.0	21.6	20.8	21.2	21.1	20.7	-0.1 pp	0.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	14.7	14.6	14.6	15.3	15.3	15.1	15.5	14.9	15.5	-0.6 pp	0.2 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10046	10501	10399	10895	11038	11738	11755	11953	12492	-0.8 %	8.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	5.6	5.2	5.9	5.7	6.3	5.1	5.9	5.8	5.5	-0.3 pp	-0.1 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	11.7	12.3	12.7	13.8	13.9	14.0	14.6	14.9	14.6	0.3 pp	3.2 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	17.2	18.1	18.0	18.6	18.7	19.2	18.8	17.4	19.4	-1.4 pp	0.2 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	9.0	9.2	9.3	8.0	9.9	8.7	9.5	9.8	10.0	0.3 pp	0.8 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0 %	-7.3 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	21.3	20.5	23.2	23.3	22.8	21.9	23.2	23.3	21.6	0.1 pp	2.0 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	45.6	45.3	45.3	45.0	44.8	42.6	43.6	44.2	41.1	0.6 pp	-1.4 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	64.8	64.1	64.7	63.6	64.0	64.0	64.0	65.6	64.9	1.5 pp	0.8 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	54.7	57.6	55.4	62.9	60.4	60.7	62.2	58.5	65.5	-3.7 pp	3.8 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.7	-0.3 pp	-0.2 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.0	-0.4 pp	0.7 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	12.0	11.1	11.9	12.3	12.0	11.0	9.8	10.1	8.8	-1.3 pp	-3.2 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.0	7.1	7.3	6.0	6.2	7.3	7.0	6.6	5.7	-0.9 pp	-0.3 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	10.1	11.1	10.9	11.8	12.3	12.7	12.0	12.2	9.9	-2.3 pp	-0.2 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	34.5	35.3	37.3	38.7	39.5	41.7	42.7	44.0	45.4	1.4 pp	10.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	22.9	23.1	21.0	21.6	21.2	19.5	17.3	16.2	16.4	-1.1 pp	-6.7 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.77	0.79	0.76	2.6 %	6.8 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.44	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.0 %	4.4 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.5	0.6	0.4	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.4	2.4	n.a.	0.0 pp	n.a.	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	10.4	10.6	10.4	9.8	10.6	10.8	11.0	11.2	n.a.	1.8 %	7.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	10.4	10.3	9.7	10.3	11.0	10.9	11.0	11.0	n.a.	0.0 %	5.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	12.5	8.7	8.9	10.6	11.0	9.6	10.4	9.4	9.5	-1.0 pp	-3.1 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	2.4	2.1	-1.0	-1.0	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.7	n.a.	0.7 %	0.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. For BE, major break in 2011 in the self-reported unmet need for medical examination ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008).

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, BELGIUM

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The share of people (aged 0-59) living in jobless households is worse than the EU average, especially for those aged 18-59 not students.</p> <p><i>There is a higher than average gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities^{61 62}.</i></p> <p><i>The poverty risk among the low-skilled is increasing⁶³.</i></p>	Inequalities (S80/S20) are significantly below the EU average.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The indicator for children (0-17) living in jobless households is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>Housing deprivation (0-17) is worse than the EU average.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		In-work poverty rate, particularly for men, is significantly below the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	Median relative income of elderly people (65+) is significantly lower than the EU average, but shows some positive development.	
5. Health & LTC	<i>The overall volume of antibiotics prescribed is still fairly high⁶⁴.</i>	The vaccination coverage rate of children for DTP is significantly above the 95% threshold.
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

⁶¹ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “some or severe”.

⁶² Source: Eurostat database, 2015

⁶³ Source: EU-SILC 2015; Labour Force Survey 2015; Vandenbroucke and Colruy, 2015; Socioeconomic monitoring report, 2015

⁶⁴ Source: Health at a Glance 2016


BULGARIA⁶⁵

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people living in monetary poverty by 260,000 people by 2020 (EU-SILC 2018), compared to the base value from EU-SILC 2008.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year. iii) For BG there is a major break in 2014 in the time series for the EU-SILC based material deprivation variables, and consequently major breaks in SMD and AROPE in 2014;

⁶⁵ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

BG													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	47.7	47.2	42.1	45.3	43.1	43.7	54.2	53.2	56.7	3.5 pp	9.0 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1632	1657	1564	1672	1559	1528	1578	1586	1639	3.3 %	0.4 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	13.7	11.4	12.2	16.9	18.7	19.9	22.0	20.4	21.4	1.0 pp	7.6 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	470	399	453	623	676	695	640	607	618	1.8 %	31.5 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	92.1	90.7	93.0	88.7	89.5	89.6	82.5	82.8	79.1	-3.7 pp	n.a.	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	3151	3184	3459	3277	3242	3129	2399	2468	2285	-7.4 %	n.a.	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	0.7	0.8	0.6	1.0	1.6	1.3	1.9	1.0	1.7	0.7 pp	n.a.	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	23	29	21	36	58	45	56	31	50	61.3 %	n.a.	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	30.8	31.1	27.5	23.9	22.5	22.3	26.8	24.9	25.6	0.7 pp	n.a.	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	1055	1091	1024	882	813	780	780	743	740	-0.4 %	n.a.	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	10.0	8.1	8.7	11.8	11.8	13.0	13.1	14.3	13.6	-0.7 pp	n.a.	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	343	285	325	435	426	455	380	426	392	-8.0 %	n.a.	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.0	1.1	1.7	2.4	3.7	3.5	3.9	1.7	2.7	1.0 pp	n.a.	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	69	39	63	90	134	122	114	51	77	51.0 %	n.a.	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

For BG there is a major break in 2014 in the time series for the EU-SILC based material deprivation items, so changes in the components of the AROPE population involving SMD are reported as not available for the period 2008-2016.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

BG										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	6.0	-3.6	1.3	1.9	0.0	0.9	1.3	3.6	3.4	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	2.4	-1.7	-3.9	-2.2	-2.5	-0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	5.6	6.8	10.3	11.3	12.3	13.0	11.4	9.2	7.6	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.9	2.9	4.7	6.3	6.8	7.4	6.9	5.6	4.5	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	14.2	15.6	16.5	15.9	16.0	16.9	17.9				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

BG											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	14.2	15.6	16.5	15.9	16.0	16.9	17.9		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	4.2	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.9		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	6.4	7.3	7.7	7.2	7.1	7.6	7.9		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	1.2	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.9		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	13.6	14.9	15.8	15.3	15.3	16.2	17.2		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	4.2	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.9		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	6.4	7.3	7.7	7.2	7.1	7.6	7.9		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

BG	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	44.8	46.2	49.2	49.1	49.3	48.0	40.1	41.3	40.4	-0.9 pp	n.a.	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	21.4	21.8	20.7	22.2	21.2	21.0	21.8	22.0	22.9	0.9 pp	1.5 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	2859	3436	3531	3499	3418	3540	4052	4129	4046	-4.2 %	34.6 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	6004	7215	7415	7347	7179	7434	8510	8671	8497	-4.2 %	34.6 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	41.2	41.9	45.7	43.6	44.1	43.0	33.1	34.2	31.9	-2.3 pp	n.a.	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.1	6.9	8.0	11.0	12.5	13.0	12.1	11.6	11.9	0.3 pp	3.8 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate		10.7	16.4	16.9	12.9	13.4	16.5	16.2	15.3	-0.9 pp		10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	27.0	27.4	29.6	29.4	31.4	30.9	33.2	30.3	30.4	0.1 pp	3.4 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	21.4	16.1	14.8	17.7	18.6	18.5	15.8	14.5	17.0	2.5 pp	-4.4 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	21.0	17.4	23.6	19.0	18.2	21.4	20.2	22.5	17.9	-4.6 pp	-3.1 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	6.5	5.9	5.9	6.5	6.1	6.6	6.8	7.1	7.9	11.3 %	21.5 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	48.1	47.0	47.4	47.4	44.5	44.2	43.3	41.4	42.5	1.1 pp	-5.6 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	13.3	7.0	5.9	8.7	14.5	14.3	12.9	14.8	20.7	5.9 pp	7.4 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	14.7	1.5	-0.7	2.9	-3.0	4.8	-0.6	2.5				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. There is a major break in 2014 in the time series for the EU-SILC based material deprivation items, so changes in AROPE and SMD indicators are reported as not available for the period 2008-2016.

BG	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	44.2	47.3	49.8	51.8	52.3	51.5	45.2	43.7	45.6	1.9 pp	n.a.	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	25.5	24.9	26.7	28.4	28.2	28.4	31.7	25.4	31.9	6.5 pp	6.4 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	40.8	43.6	46.5	45.6	46.6	46.3	38.4	37.3	36.1	-1.2 pp	n.a.	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.5	7.6	10.4	14.1	16.8	18.2	15.2	13.9	15.1	1.2 pp	5.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	40.3	33.2	36.5	37.0	41.9	41.7	43.4	37.8	42.9	5.1 pp	2.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	18.0	17.3	21.7	19.3	21.5	25.5	18.5	32.1	17.8	-14.3 pp	-0.2 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	65.5	63.1	63.2	63.1	61.2	62.8	63.3	61.8	64.4	2.6 pp	-1.1 pp	22.6	23.0
BG	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	38.6	42.7	48.5	49.2	49.7	47.4	39.7	44.1	43.6	-0.5 pp	n.a.	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.5	18.3	18.1	22.3	20.1	19.3	25.1	25.1	25.4	0.3 pp	4.9 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	34.4	39.1	45.4	43.5	44.6	43.2	30.3	35.2	34.8	-0.4 pp	n.a.	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.6	6.6	7.0	10.6	10.6	13.7	12.7	14.1	11.0	-3.1 pp	3.4 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	10.7	7.8	7.5	10.5	11.3	6.5	9.6	10.5	13.5	3.0 pp	2.8 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.8	4.8	6.8	7.4	8.5	8.4	6.5	5.6	4.1	-1.5 pp	0.3 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	21.6	24.0	26.0	26.3	26.0	25.9	24.5	23.5	22.3	-1.2 pp	0.7 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	12.0	5.7	4.6	8.0	11.2	9.5	12.4	14.9	21.2	6.3 pp	9.2 pp	14.6	14.2

BG	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	39.5	40.6	45.0	45.2	45.6	44.3	36.4	37.4	37.2	-0.2 pp	n.a.	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	17.0	16.4	16.0	18.2	17.4	17.1	18.9	18.0	20.0	2.0 pp	3.0 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	36.2	37.1	42.2	40.3	40.8	39.9	29.5	31.3	29.0	-2.3 pp	n.a.	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.7	6.7	7.3	10.2	11.2	11.6	11.2	10.9	11.0	0.1 pp	3.3 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	29.6	29.9	29.6	31.6	34.9	34.4	35.8	34.1	36.3	2.2 pp	6.7 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	7.6	7.5	7.7	8.2	7.4	7.2	9.3	7.8	11.6	3.8 pp	4.0 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	24.1	21.2	28.9	21.9	21.3	24.7	22.2	26.2	21.6	-4.7 pp	-2.5 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	49.7	49.0	49.5	49.7	46.8	46.4	45.3	43.5	44.9	1.4 pp	-4.8 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	12.2	5.7	4.8	7.5	12.0	11.5	11.1	12.5	18.7	6.2 pp	6.5 pp	12.0	11.7
BG	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	65.5	66.0	63.9	61.1	59.1	57.6	47.8	51.8	45.9	-5.9 pp	n.a.	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	33.8	39.3	32.2	31.2	28.2	27.9	22.6	31.7	24.3	-7.4 pp	-9.5 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	61.0	58.4	58.1	53.7	53.2	50.7	40.3	40.9	37.5	-3.4 pp	n.a.	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.66	0.63	0.74	0.72	0.74	0.76	0.82	0.71	0.80	12.7 %	21.2 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.34	0.34	0.43	0.41	0.42	0.39	0.44	0.41	0.45	9.8 %	32.4 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	24.8	24.2	24.4	24.0	21.7	21.3	19.9	17.8	16.9	-0.9 pp	-7.9 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	16.7	12.5	10.2	13.3	21.6	24.3	16.4	24.4	26.1	1.7 pp	9.4 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

BG	%												EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015	
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	44.2	47.3	49.8	51.8	52.3	51.5	45.2	43.7	45.6	1.9 pp	n.a.	27.7	26.9	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	25.5	24.9	26.7	28.4	28.2	28.4	31.7	25.4	31.9	6.5 pp	6.4 pp	21.1	21.1	
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	40.8	43.6	46.5	45.6	46.6	46.3	38.4	37.3	36.1	-1.2 pp	n.a.	10.4	9.5	
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	9.5	7.6	10.4	14.1	16.8	18.2	15.2	13.9	15.1	1.2 pp	5.6 pp	9.8	9.3	
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)		15.8	21.8	22.9	13.9	19.8	25.3	21.9	22.8	0.9 pp		13.2	13.3	
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	9.7	10.2	10.4	11.1	9.8	9.7	12.9	9.9	14.1	4.2 pp	4.4 pp	11.1	11.1	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	93.4	90.3	89.1	84.5	81.1	80.6	81.6	86.1	86.4	0.3 pp	-7.0 pp	67.1	69.9	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	18.2	19.3	19.3	19.0	17.0	16.6	22.5	15.3	22.1	6.8 pp	3.9 pp	16.0	16.0	
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.5		-0.6 pp	-1.5 pp	14.0	14.7	
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	11.0	10.1	8.4		-1.7 pp	-0.6 pp	14.4	15.6	
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	6.0	7.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	6.0	5.4	3.3		-2.1 pp	-2.7 pp	33.9	33.9	
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	61.0	48.0	50.0	59.0	84.0	72.0	66.2	68.2		2.0 pp	7.2 pp	49.2	49.4	
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	40.3	33.2	36.5	37.0	41.9	41.7	43.4	37.8	42.9	5.1 pp	2.6 pp	25.9	26.0	
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)													21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)													4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)													27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	18.0	17.3	21.7	19.3	21.5	25.5	18.5	32.1	17.8	-14.3 pp	-0.2 pp	39.2	39.0	
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	14.0	6.5	5.8	8.7	16.2	13.9	15.7	12.1	21.6	9.5 pp	7.6 pp	10.8	10.7		
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	13.7	14.8	15.0	15.0	15.4	15.2	14.1	13.3	12.9	-0.4 pp	-0.8 pp	6.5	6.3	
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	14.8	14.7	12.6	11.8	12.5	12.5	12.9	13.4	13.8	0.4 pp	-1.0 pp	11.2	11.0	
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	9.6	5.1	5.8	5.0	3.7	3.7	2.3	2.3	1.6	-0.7 pp	-8.0 pp	1.5	1.3	
	Infant mortality rate	8.6	9.0	9.4	8.5	7.8	7.3	7.6	6.6		-1.0 pp	-2.0 pp	3.7	3.6	
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	35.0	27.8	23.8	23.5	23.2	25.2	24.0	21.0	21.5	0.5 pp	-13.5 pp	7.4	7.4	
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	65.5	63.1	63.2	63.1	61.2	62.8	63.3	61.8	64.4	2.6 pp	-1.1 pp	22.6	23.0	

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data).

Note: Major break in 2014 in the time series for EU-SILC based material deprivation items, so changes in AROPE and SMD indicators are reported as not available for 2008-2016.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	62.3	69.3	83.3	90.8	48.5	54.3	62.5	68.1
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	57.3		83.3	78.7	44.9		62.5	59.9
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	55.3	51.1	83.3	75.7	43.4	40.0	62.5	56.7
	AWG career length case	59.2	57.8	85.7	75.7	46.4	45.3	64.3	56.7
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			96.9	106.2			72.7	79.7
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			n.a.	73.8			n.a.	55.3
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			96.9	78.7			72.7	59.0
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			n.a.				n.a.	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			83.3	73.8			62.5	55.3
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			77.4	71.7			59.6	55.2
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			73.4	67.8			57.9	53.7
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				73.8				55.3
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				73.8				55.3
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				73.8				55.3
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				73.8				55.3
	Short career (30 year career)			38.0	33.6			38.0	34.1
	Early retirement due to unemployment			n.a.				n.a.	
	Early retirement due to disability			80.8	75.3			60.6	56.4
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			71.8	63.8			56.3	50.0
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	62.9	70.0	83.3	90.8	49.3	54.9	62.5
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		57.9		83.3	78.7	45.4		62.5	59.9
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		55.9	50.6	83.3	75.7	43.8	40.4	62.5	56.7
AWG career length case		59.8	58.4	85.7	75.7	46.9	45.8	64.3	56.7
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				96.9	106.2			72.7	79.7
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				n.a.	73.8			n.a.	55.3
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				96.9	78.7			72.7	59.0
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				n.a.				n.a.	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				83.3	73.8			62.5	55.3
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				77.4	71.7			59.6	55.2
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				73.4	67.8			57.9	53.7
Career break due to child care: 0 year					73.8				55.3
Career break due to child care: 1 year					73.8				55.3
Career break due to child care: 2 years					73.8				55.3
Career break due to child care: 3 years					73.8				55.3
Short career (30 year career)		43.4		38.0	33.6	34.0		38.0	34.1
Early retirement due to unemployment				n.a.				n.a.	
Early retirement due to disability				80.8	75.3			60.6	56.4
Pension rights of surviving spouses					103.6				81.3
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	54.0	61.3	38.1	37.1	43.2	48.0	38.2
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	50.6		38.1	36.2	39.7	39.7	38.2	36.3

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

BG									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	62.1	62.1	63.0	62.1	62.1	62.4	62.0	61.5	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	65.7	65.9	67.1	65.9	65.7	66.6	66.1	65.0	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	8.8	8.5	8.9	8.6	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	9.4	9.3	9.9	9.7	9.5	9.9	9.6	9.5	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	69.8	70.1	70.3	70.7	70.9	71.3	71.1	71.2	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	77.0	77.4	77.4	77.8	77.9	78.6	78.0	78.2	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	13.6	13.8	13.8	14.0	13.9	14.2	14.1	14.0	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	16.8	17.0	17.1	17.3	17.3	17.9	17.6	17.6	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	15.3	10.3	10.5	9.8	8.2	8.9	5.6	4.7	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	62.2	65.2	67.2	67.1	66.6	66.3	65.7	65.4	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	754.8	749.5	835.1	927.8		1027.4	1169.0	1224.2		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	7.0	7.2	7.6	7.7		7.9	8.5	8.2		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁶⁶



⁶⁶ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

Unemployment	
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
Unemployment benefit	
definition	U benefits beneficiaries
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	National Social Security Institute
comment	The number of the unemployed benefits beneficiaries increased due to the economic crisis and the higher unemployment rate.
Social assistance benefit	
definition	Monthly social assistance benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Social assistance Agency
comment	There are not big differences in the number of the monthly social assistance benefit recipients.
Disability benefit	
definition	Monthly disability benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Social Assistance Agency
comment	There is a little increase in the number of the monthly disability benefit recipients

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	BG											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	44.8	46.2	49.2	49.1	49.3	48.0	40.1	41.3	40.4	1.2 pp	3.2 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	21.4	21.8	20.7	22.2	21.2	21.0	21.8	22.0	22.9	0.2 pp	0.6 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	2859	3436	3531	3499	3418	3540	4052	4129	4046	1.7 %	40.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	41.2	41.9	45.7	43.6	44.1	43.0	33.1	34.2	31.9	-2.3 pp	1.8 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.1	6.9	8.0	11.0	12.5	13.0	12.1	11.6	11.9	-0.5 pp	3.5 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	27.0	27.4	29.6	29.4	31.4	30.9	33.2	30.3	30.4	-2.9 pp	3.3 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	10.7	16.4	16.9	12.9	13.4	16.5	16.2	15.3	-0.3 pp	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	6.5	5.9	5.9	6.5	6.1	6.6	6.8	7.1	7.9	4.4 %	9.2 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	44.2	47.3	49.8	51.8	52.3	51.5	45.2	43.7	45.6	-1.5 pp	7.3 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	21.0	17.4	23.6	19.0	18.1	21.4	20.1	22.5	17.9	2.4 pp	1.5 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	46.5	43.8	49.3	46.8	49.3	49.8	52.8	48.7	49.7	-4.1 pp	2.2 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	77.8	78.8	76.2	75.7	71.5	72.0	67.7	75.4	71.6	7.7 pp	-2.4 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	7.6	7.5	7.7	8.2	7.4	7.2	9.3	7.8	11.6	-1.5 pp	0.2 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.9	2.9	4.7	6.3	6.8	7.4	6.9	5.6	4.5	-1.1 pp	1.6 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	14.8	14.7	12.6	11.8	12.5	12.5	12.9	13.4	13.8	0.4 pp	-1.0 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.8	4.8	6.8	7.4	8.5	8.4	6.5	5.6	4.1	-1.5 pp	0.3 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	17.4	19.5	21.0	21.8	21.5	21.6	20.2	19.3	18.2	-1.1 pp	0.8 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	46.0	46.1	44.9	44.6	45.7	47.4	50.0	53.0	54.5	1.5 pp	8.5 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	65.5	66.0	63.9	61.1	59.1	57.6	47.8	51.8	45.9	4.0 pp	-7.9 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.66	0.63	0.74	0.72	0.74	0.76	0.82	0.71	0.80	-13.4 %	7.6 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.34	0.34	0.43	0.41	0.42	0.39	0.44	0.41	0.45	-6.8 %	20.6 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	15.3	10.3	10.5	9.8	8.2	8.9	5.6	4.7	n.a.	-0.9 pp	-10.6 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	8.8	8.5	8.9	8.6	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	n.a.	0.0 %	-1.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	9.4	9.3	9.9	9.7	9.5	9.9	9.6	9.5	n.a.	-1.0 %	1.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	13.3	7.0	5.9	8.7	14.5	14.3	12.9	14.8	20.7	1.9 pp	1.5 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	14.7	1.5	-0.7	2.9	-3.0	4.8	-0.6	2.5	n.a.	2.5 %	7.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2013-2014 for EU-SILC based indicators and 2014-2015 for LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2014 and 2008-2015 respectively. Major break in the time series in 2014 for the material deprivation indicators, so for SMD and AROPE the change 2008-2013 is used for the longer period compared to 2008;

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, BULGARIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The at-risk of poverty or social exclusion rate and the severe material deprivation rate are the highest in the EU, <i>particularly among some groups in vulnerable situation like the Roma, persons with disabilities⁶⁷ and people living in rural areas⁶⁸.</i></p> <p>Income inequality (S80/S20 ratio) and the persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate are also significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The indicators for children living in a household suffering from severe material deprivation and children living in jobless households are worse than the EU average, but show a significantly positive development.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p><i>Coverage and adequacy of the minimum income scheme are low and minimum income has not been updated since several years⁶⁹.</i></p> <p><i>The effective integration of social and employment services is still limited and the targeting of ALMPs to the most disadvantaged groups is limited⁷⁰.</i></p>	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>The at-risk of poverty or social exclusion of the elderly is far higher than the EU average; this is also due to a low replacement ratio.</p> <p>Severe material deprivation for the elderly is also worse than the EU average, but shows a significantly positive development.</p> <p>Housing deprivation for the elderly, especially housing cost overburden, are also a challenge, yet the former shows a significantly positive development.</p>	

⁶⁷ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

⁶⁸ Source: Country Report 2017; Fundamental Rights Agency, National Roma Contact Point Evaluation reports of the Roma Strategy; Eurostat; National Institute for statistics

⁶⁹ Source: Country Report 2017; European Social Policy Network European Semester Report 2017

⁷⁰ Source: Country Report 2017

5. Health & LTC	<p>Life expectancy and amenable mortality are significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>Shortages of some specialists, as well as nurses, represent a problem⁷¹.</i></p>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

⁷¹ Source: Country Report 2017


CZECH REPUBLIC⁷²

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of persons at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 100,000 by 2020, compared to 2008.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year; iv) Figures for 2015 till 2018 are projected ones; v) 2016 SMD figure is provisional estimate.

⁷² Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

CZ													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	59.1	61.1	62.6	64.0	62.7	58.8	65.4	69.7		4.3 pp	10.6 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	925	885	936	1022	990	886	1002	1006		0.4 %	8.8 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	37.1	33.3	34.8	33.2	33.9	35.9	38.6	36.6		-2.0 pp	-0.5 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	581	482	520	531	536	541	591	529		-10.5 %	-9.0 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	44.4	43.9	43.1	40.1	43.2	45.0	45.4	40.0		-5.4 pp	-4.5 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	696	635	644	641	683	679	695	577	496	-14.0 %	-28.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	11.7	11.2	10.4	11.1	10.4	10.0	12.7	11.7		-1.0 pp	0.0 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	183	162	156	177	164	150	195	169		-13.3 %	-7.7 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	8.9	10.0	9.2	7.2	10.3	9.0	7.6	8.2		0.6 pp	-0.8 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	140	144	138	115	163	136	116	118		1.7 %	-15.7 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	8.9	7.8	9.6	8.3	8.2	9.3	13.2	12.3		-0.9 pp	3.5 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	139	113	143	132	129	140	202	178		-11.9 %	28.1 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.2	1.5	1.6	2.4	2.9	2.1	2.7	1.7		-1.0 pp	-0.5 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	35	21	24	39	45	31	42	25		-40.5 %	-28.6 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC). Note: 2016 SMD figure is provisional estimate.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

CZ										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	2.7	-4.8	2.3	1.8	-0.8	-0.5	2.7	5.3	2.6	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	2.2	-1.8	-1.0	-0.3	0.4	0.3	0.6	1.4	1.8	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	4.4	6.7	7.3	6.7	7.0	7.0	6.1	5.1	4.0	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.2	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.4	1.7	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	17.4	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.8	19.6	19.1				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

CZ											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	17.4	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.8	19.6	19.1			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	5.5	6.1	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3			2.0	2.0
	Old age	7.0	7.9	8.1	8.5	8.8	8.6	8.4			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	17.0	19.2	19.1	19.1	19.4	19.1	18.5			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	5.5	6.1	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0			8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3			1.6	1.5
	Old age	7.0	7.9	8.1	8.5	8.8	8.6	8.4			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

CZ	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.3	14.0	14.4	15.3	15.4	14.6	14.8	14.0		-0.8 pp	-1.3 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.0	8.6	9.0	9.8	9.6	8.6	9.7	9.7		0.0 pp	0.7 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	5835	5666	5796	5993	6188	6481	6654	6991		3.0 %	10.4 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	12254	11898	12171	12586	12994	13610	13974	14682		3.0 %	10.4 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.8	6.1	6.2	6.1	6.6	6.6	6.7	5.6	4.8	-0.8 pp	-2.0 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.2	6.0	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.9	7.6	6.8		-0.8 pp	-0.4 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	3.9	3.7	5.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.4	4.5		1.1 pp	0.6 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.5	18.8	21.1	17.2	19.1	16.6	18.0	19.2		1.2 pp	0.7 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	9.0	8.1	7.8	8.6	8.7	8.5	9.2	8.5		-0.7 pp	-0.5 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	55.0	52.0	50.3	45.6	45.5	48.2	43.6	42.3		-1.3 pp	-12.7 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5		0.0 %	2.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	29.8	26.6	22.5	21.1	21.1	21.0	19.9	18.7		-1.2 pp	-11.1 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	12.8	8.9	9.7	9.5	10.0	11.7	10.5	10.4		-0.1 pp	-2.4 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	2.4	2.0	0.2	-1.3	-1.2	-0.7	2.9	3.2				1.1	2.2

Note: i) For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation; ii) 2016 SMD figures are provisional estimates.

CZ	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.6	17.2	18.9	20.0	18.8	16.4	19.5	18.5		-1.0 pp	-0.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	13.2	13.3	14.3	15.2	13.9	11.3	14.7	14.7		0.0 pp	1.5 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.3	7.4	8.6	8.0	8.5	7.3	9.7	7.2	6.3	-0.9 pp	-2.0 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.6	6.2	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.2	9.4	8.2		-1.2 pp	0.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	21.4	22.2	25.5	17.7	20.5	19.8	20.1	24.9		4.8 pp	3.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	55.6	47.4	45.0	43.7	46.5	49.6	42.8	38.5		-4.3 pp	-17.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	43.0	39.3	34.4	32.6	32.4	31.5	30.3	29.3		-1.0 pp	-13.7 pp	22.6	23.0
CZ	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.4	16.6	16.1	18.3	18.9	18.5	16.3	15.3		-1.0 pp	-2.1 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.6	11.0	11.2	12.7	13.4	11.4	10.7	11.1		0.4 pp	-0.5 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.0	7.9	7.4	6.1	7.3	9.0	6.8	6.5	6.6	0.1 pp	-1.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.9	3.6	4.8	4.7	5.2	6.9	4.2	4.8		0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	4.4	3.4	2.6	2.4	5.2	3.1	1.3	1.8		0.5 pp	-2.6 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.1	5.3	5.7	5.4	6.1	6.0	5.1	4.1	3.4	-0.7 pp	0.3 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	8.9	11.2	11.4	10.7	11.3	11.8	10.5	9.7	9.1	-0.6 pp	0.2 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.2	7.6	9.1	9.3	10.1	10.8	9.6	8.7		-0.9 pp	-0.5 pp	14.6	14.2

CZ	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.0	13.7	14.1	15.1	15.5	15.2	14.6	13.6		-1.0 pp	-1.4 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	8.3	7.6	8.1	9.1	9.3	8.6	9.1	9.0		-0.1 pp	0.7 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.5	5.9	6.0	5.8	6.3	6.7	6.3	5.4	4.9	-0.5 pp	-1.6 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.1	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.9	7.1	7.0	6.4		-0.6 pp	-0.7 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.8	21.5	22.2	19.4	21.5	17.3	19.0	19.6		0.6 pp	-0.2 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	3.6	3.2	3.7	4.1	4.6	4.1	3.6	4.0		0.4 pp	0.4 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	55.4	54.5	52.6	47.7	47.2	49.7	45.8	45.5		-0.4 pp	-9.9 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	29.5	26.6	22.2	20.9	21.3	21.5	20.3	18.8		-1.5 pp	-10.7 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	11.5	8.0	8.8	8.8	9.1	11.0	9.9	9.5		-0.4 pp	-2.0 pp	12.0	11.7
CZ	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	12.5	11.7	10.1	10.7	10.8	10.4	10.7	10.9		0.2 pp	-1.6 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	7.4	7.2	6.8	6.6	6.0	5.8	7.0	7.4		0.4 pp	0.0 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.4	5.7	4.3	5.4	6.0	5.3	5.1	4.5	2.9	-1.6 pp	-3.5 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.79	0.78	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.85	0.84	0.81		-3.6 %	2.5 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.51	0.51	0.54	0.53	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.51		-7.3 %	0.0 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	14.6	11.6	9.4	8.2	7.4	7.7	7.8	7.4		-0.4 pp	-7.2 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	16.4	13.5	13.1	13.2	14.9	14.3	13.9	13.3		-0.6 pp	-3.1 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

CZ	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	18.6	17.2	18.9	20.0	18.8	16.4	19.5	18.5		-1.0 pp	-0.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	13.2	13.3	14.3	15.2	13.9	11.3	14.7	14.7		0.0 pp	1.5 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	8.3	7.4	8.6	8.0	8.5	7.3	9.7	7.2	6.3	-0.9 pp	-2.0 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	7.6	6.2	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.2	9.4	8.2		-1.2 pp	0.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	6.1	6.2	10.3	4.7	7.3	6.1	5.8	6.4		0.6 pp	0.3 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	5.0	4.6	5.1	5.7	5.9	4.7	4.9	4.9		0.0 pp	-0.1 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	74.6	85.5	82.8	79.3	74.0	71.5	81.7	78.2		-3.5 pp	3.6 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	8.1	8.6	9.2	10.5	9.6	7.3	7.7	9.0		1.3 pp	0.9 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	1.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	2.6	1.2		-1.4 pp	0.2 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	1.7		-0.1 pp	1.7 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	33.0	28.0	32.0	29.0	27.0	21.0	23.3	22.6		-0.7 pp	-10.4 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	36.0	36.0	39.0	45.0	48.0	55.0	52.4	54.9		2.5 pp	18.9 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	21.4	22.2	25.5	17.7	20.5	19.8	20.1	24.9		4.8 pp	3.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	18.4	16.5	20.1	16.1	17.4	19.8	17.8	17.5	19.4	1.9 pp	1.0 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)			1.3			3.2	2.5					4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	23.1	21.6	26.2	20.9	23.7	25.2	22.8	22.3	24.8	2.5 pp	1.7 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	55.6	47.4	45.0	43.7	46.5	49.6	42.8	38.5		-4.3 pp	-17.1 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	14.5	8.7	9.9	8.8	9.0	11.5	9.6	10.6		1.0 pp	-3.9 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	2.6	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.5	-0.5 pp	-0.1 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	5.6	5.4	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.4	5.5	6.2	6.6	0.4 pp	1.0 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.3	0.0	0.4									1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.5		0.1 pp	-0.3 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	9.8	10.3	7.1	8.4	6.7	6.4	6.5	5.7		-0.8 pp	-4.1 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	43.0	39.3	34.4	32.6	32.4	31.5	30.3	29.3		-1.0 pp	-13.7 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data). Note: 2016 SMD figure is provisional estimate.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	62.2	72.1	50.9		48.8	56.5	38.3	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	55.6		58.1		43.5		43.8	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	52.2	48.9	61.4		40.9	38.4	46.3	
	AWG career length case	57.8		57.9		45.3		43.7	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			58.4				44.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			43.2				32.6	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			70.4				53.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			54.8				41.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			60.1				45.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			58.9				45.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			57.7				45.1	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				61.4				46.3
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				61.4				46.3
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				61.4				46.3
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				61.4				46.3
	Short career (30 year career)			47.9				36.5	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			54.5				42.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			54.1				43.9	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			57.1				44.0	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	78.5	90.6	64.0		64.7	74.7	50.9
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		70.4		72.8		58.0		57.9	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		66.3	62.3	76.9		54.7	51.4	61.1	
AWG career length case		73.1		72.6		60.3		57.7	
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				73.2				58.2	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				54.7				43.5	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				87.9				69.9	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				68.9				54.8	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				75.1				60.4	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				73.4				59.8	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				71.7				59.1	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					76.9				61.1
Career break due to child care: 1 year					76.9				61.1
Career break due to child care: 2 years					76.9				61.1
Career break due to child care: 3 years					76.9				61.1
Short career (30 year career)		52.1	53.7	60.3		45.2	46.6	48.5	
Early retirement due to unemployment				67.2				54.5	
Early retirement due to disability				75.3				58.0	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					115.6				96.2
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	40.7	47.5	31.1		30.3	35.3	22.4
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	36.2		35.6		26.9		25.7	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

CZ									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	61.3	61.1	62.2	62.2	62.3	62.5	63.4	62.4	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	63.4	62.7	64.5	63.6	64.1	64.2	65.0	63.7	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	7.5	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.5	8.5	8.0	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	8.2	8.5	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.9	9.3	8.6	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	74.1	74.2	74.5	74.8	75.1	75.2	75.8	75.7	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	80.5	80.5	80.9	81.1	81.2	81.3	82.0	81.6	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	15.3	15.2	15.5	15.6	15.7	15.7	16.1	15.9	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	18.8	18.8	19.0	19.2	19.2	19.3	19.8	19.4	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.7	0.6	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.8	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	61.4	61.3	62.2	59.5	60.4	59.6	60.7	61.2	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1421.3	1579.1	1535.2	1571.7	1619.2	1816.9	1893.9			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	6.8	7.9	7.4	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.6			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁷³



⁷³ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

CZ	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
comment	Eurostat
Unemployment benefit	
definition	Unemployment Benefits recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	www.mpsv.cz
comment	<p>Unemployment benefits - due to worse economic situation, there was a significant growth of number of unemployment benefits recipients at the end of 2008 (e.g. from the reason of mass laying-off) and during the 1st quarter of 2009. The declines in summer months of the following years were induced mainly by the impact of traditional element – seasonal works. On the other hand, increased numbers at the turn of years have been connected rather with layoffs at the end of the year. Since June 2011, the numbers of beneficiaries have been nearly similar to those ones in before-crisis years. Annual decrease in 2012 was partly caused by relevant legislative changes. On the other hand, annual increase of recipients in 2013 and in the beginning of 2014 has related with higher number of newly registered job seekers. Unemployment benefit as of 31 December 2016 received 103.0 thousand of job seekers, only by 5.3 thousand less than a year ago. The year-on-year decline in the average number of job-seekers with entitlement for unemployment benefit was only minimal in 2016, by 3.9 thousand to 97.9 thousand. The average share of total registered unemployment for the whole year was 24.1% (versus 21.3% in 2015). The number of jobseekers with an unemployment benefit entitlement mainly affects newly arrived persons in the register, whose number was still high even when the unemployment dropped. Another factor which affects the number of jobseekers with an unemployment benefit entitlement is the age structure of job seekers. Jobseekers aged 50+ have a longer support period (11 month). Their number decreased, but the decline was slower compared to younger job seekers. From the beginning of 2017, the decline of number of job-seekers has continued whereas the number of jobseekers with an unemployment benefit entitlement in the beginning of this year increased (similar to the same period of the previous years).</p>
Social assistance benefit	
definition	Social assistance beneficiaries
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	MoLSA

comment	<p>After the introduction of the new System of Assistance in Material Need (starting 2007), there was a slight decrease of the number of beneficiaries of assistance in material need benefits (or more precisely of allowance for living) since the 2nd quarter of 2007 mainly due to favourable economic development. The impact of global economic crisis became evident in this statistics since the end of 2008, more intensively during 2009 and in the 1st half of 2010. After stagnation in the 2nd half of 2010, there has been another significant increase since February 2011. It may be explained by legislative changes in the system of State Social Support (the reduction of entitlement to social allowance affected the System of Assistance in Material Need in the form of growth of its number of beneficiaries). With regard to full cancelation of social allowance in State Social Support since 2012 and increase of amounts of the subsistence minimum and the living minimum, number of beneficiaries has increased significantly (except specific situation in January 2012 when new IS was introduced). Following increase has been affected mainly by income situation of households and by higher number of job-seekers without entitlement to unemployment benefit.</p> <p>Methodological note: number of beneficiaries represent number of household supported by Allowance for Living. On behalf of the whole household (all its members) there can be only one beneficiary of this benefit.</p> <p>The curve for „social assistance beneficiaries“ is in the graph provided only for the period of time 2007-2013 and reflects the development of the number of allowance for living recipients (see the Act No. 111/2006 Coll., on Assistance in material need, as amended, that has been in effect since 01/01/2007); till the end of 2006 the system of social assistance benefits was regulated in absolutely different way. Because of this fact, there is no reasonable comparability.</p>
Disability benefit	
definition	Number of pensioners (disability benefits 1st, 2nd and 3rd degree)
unit	thousands of persons
source	MoLSA
comment	<p>From the beginning of 2010 the new types of disability were implemented to the social system. Currently three levels of disability are differentiated (the 1st, 2nd , 3rd) instead of the former two levels (full disability, partial disability). The 3rd level is equal to the full disability while the partial disability was split in the current 1st and 2nd level. From January 2010 all receivers of disability pension older than 65 years of age are no more implicated in the number of disability pensioners. They are implicated in the number of old age pensioners. This change is displayed in the drop of number of disability pensioners in January 2010. According to these changes, new data (starting January 2010) are being presented separately.</p>

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	CZ											EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	2015	latest year change	change 2008 to latest year
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	15.3	14.0	14.4	15.3	15.4	14.6	14.8	14.0	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-1.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	9.0	8.6	9.0	9.8	9.6	8.6	9.7	9.7	n.a.	0.0 pp	0.7 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	5835	5666	5796	5993	6188	6481	6654	6991	n.a.	3.0 %	10.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	6.8	6.1	6.2	6.1	6.6	6.6	6.7	5.6	4.8	-0.8 pp	-2.0 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	7.2	6.0	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.9	7.6	6.8	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-0.4 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	18.5	18.8	21.1	17.2	19.1	16.6	18.0	19.2	n.a.	1.2 pp	0.7 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	3.9	3.7	5.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.4	4.5	n.a.	1.1 pp	0.6 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	n.a.	0.0 %	2.9 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	18.6	17.2	18.9	20.0	18.8	16.4	19.5	18.5	n.a.	-1.0 pp	-0.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	55.0	52.0	50.3	45.6	45.5	48.2	43.6	42.3	n.a.	-1.3 pp	-12.7 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	76.1	76.1	75.8	74.1	74.8	76.7	73.8	73.8	n.a.	-0.1 pp	-2.3 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	55.4	57.0	57.6	58.3	54.6	53.5	67.1	65.6	n.a.	-1.5 pp	10.2 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	3.6	3.2	3.7	4.1	4.6	4.1	3.6	4.0	n.a.	0.4 pp	0.4 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.2	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.4	1.7	-0.7 pp	-0.5 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	5.6	5.4	4.9	4.9	5.5	5.4	5.5	6.2	6.6	0.4 pp	1.0 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.1	5.3	5.7	5.4	6.1	6.0	5.1	4.1	3.4	-0.7 pp	0.3 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp
	NEETs (15-24)	6.7	8.5	8.8	8.3	8.9	9.1	8.1	7.5	7.0	-0.5 pp	0.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	47.6	46.8	46.5	47.7	49.3	51.6	54.0	55.5	58.5	3.0 pp	10.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	12.5	11.7	10.1	10.7	10.8	10.4	10.7	10.9	n.a.	0.2 pp	-1.6 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.79	0.78	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.85	0.84	0.81	n.a.	-3.6 %	2.5 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.51	0.51	0.54	0.53	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.51	n.a.	-7.3 %	0.0 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.7	0.6	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.8	n.a.	-0.3 pp	0.1 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	7.5	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.5	8.5	8.0	n.a.	-5.9 %	6.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	8.2	8.5	8.8	8.7	8.9	8.9	9.3	8.6	n.a.	-7.5 %	4.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	12.8	8.9	9.7	9.5	10.0	11.7	10.5	10.4	n.a.	-0.1 pp	-2.4 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	2.4	2.0	0.2	-1.3	-1.2	-0.7	2.9	3.2	n.a.	3.2 %	5.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, CZECH REPUBLIC

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services.		<p>At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion is significantly better than the EU average.</p> <p>Persistent poverty rate is significantly lower than the EU average.</p> <p><i>The rate of the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities⁷⁴ is the 2nd lowest in the EU⁷⁵.</i></p>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	Impact of social transfers in reducing child poverty is around EU average but show some slightly negative development.	At-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work with work intensity between ($0.2 < WI \leq 0.55$) is better than EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	At-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-) jobless households is around EU average but shows significant negative development.	<p>Rate of poverty or social exclusion for working age population (18-64) is significantly better than in the EU.</p> <p>In-work poverty rate (notably for men) is significantly better than the EU average.</p> <p>The impact of social transfers (including pensions) on reducing poverty is significantly better than the EU average.</p>
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	Aggregate replacement ratio of pensions has deteriorated and is now worse than the EU average.	At-risk-of poverty rate and poverty gap (+65) are significantly better than the EU average, notably for men.

⁷⁴ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

⁷⁵ Source: Eurostat 2015

5. Health & LTC	<p><i>There is room to improve the cost-effectiveness of the health system⁷⁶.</i></p> <p><i>Number of outpatient doctors' consultations per capita is much higher than the EU average⁷⁷.</i></p>	<p>The vaccination coverage rate of children for DTP is significantly above the 95% threshold.</p>
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

⁷⁶ Source: Country Report 2017.


⁷⁷ Source: European health for all database (HFA-DB) WHO/Europe.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of persons living in households with very low work intensity by 22,000 by 2020

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)


Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year. iii) Breaks in time series for the period 2008-2015, mainly between 2010 and 2011, which affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes.;

⁷⁸ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)

DK - 2015

Total Arope Pop.
999 ths



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

DK												EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	72.5	74.4	72.3	68.6	68.6	64.8	67.4	68.7		1.3 pp	n.a.	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	643	716	728	665	662	664	678	686		1.2 %	n.a.	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	39.1	37.4	43.0	44.6	43.0	47.2	49.2	47.1		-2.2 pp	n.a.	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	347	360	433	432	415	484	495	470		-5.1 %	n.a.	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	12.1	12.9	14.4	13.2	15.4	19.7	18.0	20.6		2.6 pp	n.a.	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	107	124	145	128	149	202	181	206	147	-28.6 %	n.a.	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	14.1	13.4	14.7	15.7	13.3	14.2	16.6	16.2		-0.4 pp	n.a.	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	125	129	148	152	128	145	167	162		-3.0 %	n.a.	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	2.4	3.0	2.2	3.1	5.1	3.0	4.3	6.1		1.8 pp	n.a.	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	21	29	22	30	49	31	43	61		41.9 %	n.a.	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	2.1	3.0	4.4	2.0	3.3	5.0	5.0	4.7		-0.3 pp	n.a.	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	19	29	44	19	32	51	50	47		-6.0 %	n.a.	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.9	2.3	4.1	3.6	2.1	4.7	3.9	4.5		0.6 pp	n.a.	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	26	22	41	35	20	48	39	45		15.4 %	n.a.	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: Breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes, and which affect overall composition changes for this period ("n.a." shown for the period 2008-2015).

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

DK										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.5	-4.9	1.9	1.3	0.2	0.9	1.7	1.6	1.7	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	1.2	-3.2	-2.3	0.0	-0.7	0.0	1.0	1.3	1.7	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.4	6.0	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.0	6.6	6.2	6.2	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	0.5	0.6	1.5	1.8	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.4	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	28.0	31.6	31.4	31.0	30.9	31.3	31.6				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

DK											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	28.0	31.6	31.4	31.0	30.9	31.3	31.6			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	6.2	6.9	6.7	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.3			8.0	8.1
	Disability	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1			2.0	2.0
	Old age	10.3	11.3	11.1	11.2	11.3	11.5	11.8			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.5	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.8	2.2			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	3.8	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.0	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.7	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	9.5	10.3	10.7	10.9	11.0	11.2	11.3			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5			0.5	0.5
	Old age	6.0	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.8	6.9	6.9			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	18.5	21.3	20.7	20.1	19.8	20.1	20.3			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.2	6.9	6.7	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.3			8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6			1.6	1.5
	Old age	4.3	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.9			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.5	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.8	2.2			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	1.0	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

DK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	16.3	17.6	18.3	17.6	17.5	18.3	17.9	17.7		-0.2 pp	n.a.	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.8	13.1	13.3	12.1	12.0	11.9	12.1	12.2		0.1 pp	n.a.	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10561	10751	10770	11510	11537	11846	11992	12231		1.6 %	n.a.		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	22177	22577	22616	24171	24227	24876	25183	25684		1.6 %	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate	2.0	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.7	3.6	3.2	3.7	2.6	-1.1 pp	n.a.	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.5	8.8	10.6	10.5	10.2	11.9	12.2	11.6		-0.6 pp	n.a.	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	4.9	2.7	6.3	6.4	5.7	5.1	5.3	4.3		-1.0 pp	n.a.	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.0	18.4	21.6	20.5	19.5	23.5	18.5	22.0		3.5 pp	n.a.	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	11.8	13.1	12.6	10.3	11.0	11.7	11.6	10.8		-0.8 pp	n.a.	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	57.6	58.0	54.3	56.6	56.2	57.2	55.0	52.7		-2.3 pp	n.a.	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.6	4.6	4.4	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1		0.0 %	n.a.	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	7.3	7.8	7.3	7.4	7.2	7.9	8.2	8.1		-0.1 pp	n.a.	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	17.1	24.2	21.9	18.5	16.7	17.9	15.6	15.1		-0.5 pp	n.a.	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	-0.5	0.9	3.3	1.1	-0.2	1.1	1.4	3.0	2.1			1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. Breaks in series for the period 2008-2016 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these).

DK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	12.7	14.0	15.1	15.7	14.9	15.4	14.5	15.7		1.2 pp	n.a.	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.1	10.6	10.9	10.3	10.4	9.1	9.2	10.4		1.2 pp	n.a.	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	2.5	2.1	3.1	2.9	4.0	3.8	3.1	4.3	3.0	-1.3 pp	n.a.	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.3	5.5	7.4	7.9	5.3	7.8	7.5	7.3		-0.2 pp	n.a.	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.3	22.0	19.6	20.6	23.8	17.1	12.2	25.1		12.9 pp	n.a.	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	58.8	56.4	54.6	61.1	57.7	64.0	61.3	55.0		-6.4 pp	n.a.	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	10.8	10.7	9.9	10.9	9.8	11.0	11.6	10.4		-1.2 pp	n.a.	22.6	23.0
DK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	36.0	37.6	42.3	39.2	41.6	45.4	45.2	42.6		-2.6 pp	n.a.	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	34.0	34.4	39.1	36.1	36.4	39.2	40.0	37.5		-2.5 pp	n.a.	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.4	5.6	4.1	4.4	5.5	4.9	7.3	7.0	3.8	-3.2 pp	n.a.	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.0	8.6	11.9	11.8	10.7	15.3	15.6	13.2		-2.4 pp	n.a.	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	17.0	19.5	24.5	19.9	23.8	22.0	17.7	19.3		1.6 pp	n.a.	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.8	8.4	9.4	9.6	9.1	8.1	7.8	6.7	7.9	1.2 pp	2.1 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	5.7	7.0	8.3	8.4	8.8	8.1	7.8	8.4	7.7	-0.7 pp	2.0 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	29.9	34.5	36.1	35.9	36.2	43.3	38.9	38.6		-0.3 pp	n.a.	14.6	14.2

DK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.1	18.1	19.5	19.0	19.6	21.6	21.3	20.9		-0.4 pp	n.a.	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.3	12.2	12.9	12.2	12.3	13.4	13.8	13.8		0.0 pp	n.a.	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	2.0	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.9	4.3	4.0	4.3	3.1	-1.2 pp	n.a.	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	10.2	10.1	11.9	11.6	12.2	13.5	14.0	13.3		-0.7 pp	n.a.	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	25.1	29.2	29.3	26.3	23.6	26.6	29.5	25.0		-4.5 pp	n.a.	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	5.0	5.9	6.3	6.3	5.3	5.4	4.8	5.1		0.3 pp	n.a.	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	59.4	58.9	56.1	58.5	58.6	57.3	55.5	53.5		-2.0 pp	n.a.	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	7.7	8.4	8.1	8.1	8.3	9.0	9.2	9.6		0.4 pp	n.a.	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	17.7	23.1	21.6	18.0	16.5	18.7	17.4	16.6		-0.8 pp	n.a.	12.0	11.7
DK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.6	20.6	18.4	14.6	13.2	10.8	10.8	9.9		-0.9 pp	n.a.	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.1	20.1	17.7	13.9	12.8	10.1	9.8	9.1		-0.7 pp	n.a.	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.7	-0.2 pp	n.a.	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.70	0.71	0.71	0.74	0.75	0.76	0.78	0.77		-1.3 %	n.a.	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.41	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.44	0.45	0.45		0.0 %	n.a.	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	0.5	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.7		-0.3 pp	n.a.	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	20.9	31.7	27.6	27.0	23.2	23.2	18.1	18.6		0.5 pp	n.a.	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

DK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	12.7	14.0	15.1	15.7	14.9	15.4	14.5	15.7		1.2 pp	n.a.	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	9.1	10.6	10.9	10.3	10.4	9.1	9.2	10.4		1.2 pp	n.a.	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	2.5	2.1	3.1	2.9	4.0	3.8	3.1	4.3	3.0	-1.3 pp	n.a.	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.3	5.5	7.4	7.9	5.3	7.8	7.5	7.3		-0.2 pp	n.a.	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	3.5	0.8	10.1	5.0	6.9	4.4	4.5	0.7		-3.8 pp	n.a.	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	4.7	4.8	5.9	5.4	4.4	4.3	5.1	4.9		-0.2 pp	n.a.	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	38.2	50.3	54.9	38.1	33.9	36.3	37.3	35.5		-1.8 pp	n.a.	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	7.6	7.9	6.8	7.7	7.4	6.6	6.6	8.0		1.4 pp	n.a.	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	8.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	2.0	6.0	7.7		1.7 pp	n.a.	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	65.0	63.0	68.0	69.0	59.0	63.0	63.6	69.6		6.0 pp	n.a.	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	13.0	12.0	15.0	11.0	9.0	7.0	7.3	9.2		1.9 pp	n.a.	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	83.0	72.0	75.0	87.0	85.0	92.0	87.9	88.0		0.1 pp	n.a.	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	19.3	22.0	19.6	20.6	23.8	17.1	12.2	25.1		12.9 pp	n.a.	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	3.9	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.1	1.7	2.3	0.6 pp	-1.6 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	5.3	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.0	2.5	3.3	0.8 pp	-2.0 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	58.8	56.4	54.6	61.1	57.7	64.0	61.3	55.0		-6.4 pp	n.a.	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	12.6	22.0	18.3	13.3	11.9	11.1	8.3	7.4		-0.9 pp	n.a.	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	2.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.2	3.0	2.8	2.8	0.0 pp	0.3 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	12.5	11.3	11.0	9.6	9.1	8.0	7.8	7.8	7.2	-0.6 pp	-5.3 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.7	1.3	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.7		-0.1 pp	n.a.	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	4.0	3.1	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.5	4.0	3.7		-0.3 pp	-0.3 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	1.6	1.6	1.9	4.9	2.8	3.5	4.0	4.1		0.1 pp	n.a.	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	10.8	10.7	9.9	10.9	9.8	11.0	11.6	10.4		-1.2 pp	n.a.	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

Note: Breaks in series for period 2008-2016 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes ("n.a." shown for period 2008-2015/16 for these).

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	68.4		n.a.		47.7		n.a.	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	68.4		73.3		47.7		63.5	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	68.4		81.7		47.7		71.4	
	AWG career length case	68.4	75.7	77.3	74.6	47.7	58.6	67.3	64.6
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			n.a.				n.a.	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			n.a.				n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			86.9				76.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			75.9				65.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			80.8				70.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			79.9				69.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			79.1				68.9	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			81.7				71.4	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			81.3				71.0	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			80.3				70.1	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			79.3				69.2	
	Short career (30 year career)			67.8				58.3	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			78.9				68.7	
	Early retirement due to disability			78.5				68.4	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			79.4				69.3	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	94.1		n.a.		69.6		n.a.	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	94.1		95.3		69.6		81.6	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	94.1		101.9		69.6		89.9	
	AWG career length case	94.1	107.8	102.7	100.0	69.6	87.2	90.8	88.0
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			n.a.				n.a.	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			n.a.				n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			107.0				94.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			101.2				89.3	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			101.1				89.1	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			100.2				88.3	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			99.4				87.6	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			101.9				89.9	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			101.5				89.6	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			100.4				88.5	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			99.4				87.6	
	Short career (30 year career)	n.a.	n.a.	91.8		n.a.	n.a.	76.5	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			99.4				87.2	
	Early retirement due to disability			98.9				86.8	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses			101.9				89.9	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	40.8		n.a.		26.3		n.a.	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	40.8		73.3		26.3		60.2	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

DK									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	62.4	61.8	62.3	63.6	60.6	60.4	60.3	60.4	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	60.8	60.4	61.4	59.4	61.4	59.1	61.4	57.6	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	12.0	11.3	11.8	12.4	10.6	11.6	11.0	11.0	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	12.4	12.1	12.8	13.0	12.9	12.7	12.8	11.9	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	76.5	76.9	77.2	77.8	78.1	78.3	78.7	78.8	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	81.0	81.1	81.4	81.9	82.1	82.4	82.8	82.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	16.6	16.8	17.0	17.3	17.5	17.7	18.1	18.0	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	19.5	19.5	19.7	20.1	20.2	20.4	20.8	20.7	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.6	1.5	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	74.1	72.3	71.0	70.8	70.8	71.7	72.5	71.5	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	3054.4	3182.6	3272.4	3203.5	3327.2		3494.2			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	10.2	11.5	11.1	10.9	11.0		10.4			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁷⁹



Note: numbers of benefit recipients are not seasonally adjusted.

⁷⁹ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) is given as a background.

DK	Number of unemployed
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment recipients
definition	U benefits recipients, full time recipients.
unit	full time persons recipients (both passive and active recipients) (seasonally adjusted)
source	http://www.jobindsats.dk/sw167.asp
comment	The monthly recipients of 2012 are also listed. If the recipients are only to be based on a whole year basis, these can be ignored and only 2011 data be used.
	Social assistance benefit
definition	numbers of recipients of cash benefits + recipients of education benefits
unit	both passive and active recipients
source	http://www.jobindsats.dk/sw9990.asp and http://www.jobindsats.dk/jobindsats/sv/DatabankViewer/ShowResult?mGroupIds=mgrpA02_1%2CmgrpA02_3&AreaType=All&AreaSort=none&AreaIds=27&FrequencyId=m&PeriodIds=2013M12&ledtype=259%2C258&BenefitGroupId=Y36&MeasurementId=Y36A02&Name=&CubelD=star_y36a02&HasPivot=False&RowAxis=_omrade%2C_omrade_f3b%2C_periode&ColumnAxis=MeasurementAxis#step3
comment	Both recipients and full time recipients are listed as the numbers can then be compared (with unemployment benefits) as these are measured in full time recipients. The update includes a change in the numbers back in time due to the abolishment of the lowest cash benefits by January 1st 2012. As it is a headcount, all recipients of the lowest cash benefits are now listed as recipients of the same cash benefit back in time as it would otherwise mean a change in the level of recipients as from 1/1 2012 when all recipients became recipients of the same level of benefit. By January 1st 2014 a reform of the cash benefit system came into force. With this reform people under the age of 30 can no longer receive cash benefit but will receive education benefit (social assistance) at the level of the student grant. By September 1st 2015 a rule change within the cash benefit system meant that newly arrived refugees and immigrants can no longer receive cash benefit but will receive integration benefit at the level of the student grant. By July 1st 2016, the rules applied for anyone who haven't been living in Denmark for at least 7 years within the last 8 years. Therefore we have provided a table with the number of recipients of the immigration benefit, starting from September 2015.
	Disability benefit
definition	Number of pensioners (disability benefits full+partial)
unit	thousands of pensioners
comment	Figures do not include people who reached statutory retirement age due to comparability reasons; the data until January 2011 represent an estimation, because the calculation of the accurate share of disability pensioners only existed for one month (December).

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	DK											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	16.3	17.6	18.3	17.6	17.5	18.3	17.9	17.7	n.a.	-0.2 pp	n.a.	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	11.8	13.1	13.3	12.1	12.0	11.9	12.1	12.2	n.a.	0.1 pp	n.a.	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10561	10751	10770	11510	11537	11846	11992	12231	n.a.	1.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	2.0	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.7	3.6	3.2	3.7	2.6	-1.1 pp	0.6 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.5	8.8	10.6	10.5	10.2	11.9	12.2	11.6	n.a.	-0.6 pp	3.1 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	18.0	18.4	21.6	20.5	19.5	23.5	18.5	22.0	n.a.	3.5 pp	n.a.	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	4.9	2.7	6.3	6.4	5.7	5.1	5.3	4.3	n.a.	-1.0 pp	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.6	4.6	4.4	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.1	n.a.	0.0 %	n.a.	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	12.7	14.0	15.1	15.7	14.9	15.4	14.5	15.7	n.a.	1.2 pp	n.a.	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	57.5	58.0	54.3	56.6	56.2	57.2	55.0	52.7	n.a.	-2.3 pp	n.a.	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	68.3	67.1	66.2	69.8	70.3	71.3	70.8	70.0	n.a.	-0.9 pp	n.a.	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	41.5	43.8	44.4	39.5	38.6	40.4	43.8	44.6	n.a.	0.8 pp	n.a.	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	5.0	5.9	6.3	6.3	5.3	5.4	4.8	5.1	n.a.	0.3 pp	n.a.	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	0.5	0.6	1.5	1.8	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.4	-0.3 pp	0.9 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	12.5	11.3	11.0	9.6	9.1	8.0	7.8	7.8	7.2	-0.6 pp	-5.3 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.8	8.4	9.4	9.6	9.1	8.1	7.8	6.7	7.9	1.2 pp	2.1 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	4.3	5.4	6.0	6.3	6.6	6.0	5.8	6.2	5.8	-0.4 pp	1.5 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	58.4	58.2	58.4	59.5	60.8	61.7	63.2	64.7	67.8	3.1 pp	9.4 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	18.6	20.6	18.4	14.6	13.2	10.8	10.8	9.9	n.a.	-0.9 pp	n.a.	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.70	0.71	0.71	0.74	0.75	0.76	0.78	0.77	n.a.	-1.3 %	n.a.	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.41	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.44	0.45	0.45	n.a.	0.0 %	n.a.	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.6	1.5	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	n.a.	-0.1 pp	0.7 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	12.0	11.3	11.8	12.4	10.6	11.6	11.0	11.0	n.a.	0.0 %	-8.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	12.4	12.1	12.8	13.0	12.9	12.7	12.8	11.9	n.a.	-7.0 %	-4.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	17.1	24.2	21.9	18.5	16.7	17.9	15.6	15.1	n.a.	-0.5 pp	n.a.	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	-0.5	0.9	3.2	1.1	-0.2	1.1	1.4	3.0	2.1	3.0 %	10.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. Breaks in series for the period 2008-2015 which mainly affect indicators related to incomes and to a lesser degree variables highly correlated with incomes ("n.a." shown for the period compared to 2008 for these).

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, DENMARK

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<i>The influx of refugees and migrants raises social inclusion challenges⁸⁰.</i>	The impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing poverty is significantly better than the EU average. The persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate is significantly better than the EU average.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	The share of children living in jobless households is in line with the EU average but shows some negative development.	The share of children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion and the at-risk-of poverty rate of children are significantly better than the EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	The impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing working age poverty (18-64) is in line with the EU average but shows some negative development.	In-work poverty for women is significantly better than the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	The aggregate replacement ratio (excluding other social benefits) is worse than the EU average. The median relative income of elderly people is worse than the EU average. Housing cost overburden for elderly people is worse than the EU average but shows a significantly positive development.	The old-age poverty gap is particularly low compared to the EU average.
5. Health & LTC	Healthy life years at 65 for men are better than the EU average but shows significantly negative development. The vaccination coverage rates of children are significantly below the 95% threshold.	Healthy life years at 65 for women are significantly better than the EU average.
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


⁸⁰ Source: Country Report 2016; Eurostat - Labour Force Survey.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of long-term unemployed by 320,000 by 2020, measured against the annual average in 2008.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)


PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION



Source: Eurostat (LFS)

⁸¹ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

DE													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	75.8	77.6	79.2	79.7	81.9	79.2	80.8	83.5		2.7 pp	7.7 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	12389	12590	12648	12814	13030	12845	13337	13428		0.7 %	8.4 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	43.1	40.3	41.9	41.3	36.9	35.4	35.0	35.4		0.3 pp	-7.8 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	7044	6538	6695	6637	5866	5744	5783	5686		-1.7 %	-19.3 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	27.2	26.9	23.0	26.9	24.8	26.4	24.1	22.1		-2.0 pp	-5.1 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	4442	4360	3672	4323	3937	4281	3974	3555	3167	-10.9 %	-28.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	19.1	18.2	19.9	18.8	17.4	15.2	15.2	16.1		0.9 pp	-3.0 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	3113	2944	3183	3026	2762	2457	2512	2590		3.1 %	-16.8 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	7.0	6.3	5.8	8.4	8.1	8.8	7.4	7.0		-0.4 pp	0.0 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	1142	1024	917	1349	1292	1432	1218	1130		-7.2 %	-1.1 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	8.6	8.9	8.4	9.6	8.0	7.4	7.6	8.2		0.7 pp	-0.4 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	1408	1450	1333	1542	1279	1204	1252	1325		5.8 %	-5.9 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.8	2.5	1.8	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.3		-0.8 pp	-1.5 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	460	402	286	242	312	362	353	215		-39.1 %	-53.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

DE										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	1,1	-5,6	4,1	3,7	0,5	0,5	1,9	1,7	1,9	2,2	1,9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	1,3	0,1	0,3	1,4	1,2	0,6	0,9	0,9	1,2	1,1	1,2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7,5	7,8	7,0	5,8	5,4	5,2	5,0	4,6	4,1	9,4	8,5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3,9	3,5	3,3	2,8	2,4	2,3	2,2	2,0	1,7	4,5	4,0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	26,0	29,2	28,5	27,3	27,4	27,7	27,8				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

DE										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	26.0	29.2	28.5	27.3	27.4	27.7	27.8		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	8.0	9.4	9.2	9.1	9.3	9.5	9.7		8.0	8.1
	Disability	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2		2.0	2.0
	Old age	9.0	9.7	9.4	9.1	9.1	9.0	9.0		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1		1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	22.9	25.7	25.0	24.1	24.2	24.4	24.4		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	7.9	9.3	9.1	9.0	9.1	9.4	9.5		8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6		1.6	1.5
	Old age	9.0	9.6	9.4	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

DE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.1	20.0	19.7	19.9	19.6	20.3	20.6	20.0		-0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.2	15.5	15.6	15.8	16.1	16.1	16.7	16.7		0.0 pp	1.5 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10804	10770	10544	11037	11525	11687	11530	12219		4.6 %	3.7 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	22689	22617	22143	23177	24201	24542	24212	25660		4.6 %	3.7 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.5	5.4	4.5	5.3	4.9	5.4	5.0	4.4	3.9	-0.5 pp	-1.6 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.7	10.9	11.2	11.2	9.9	9.9	10.0	9.8		-0.2 pp	-1.9 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	7.2	8.1	9.1	10.4	10.4	10.6	9.5	11.3		1.8 pp	4.1 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	22.2	21.5	20.7	21.4	21.1	20.4	23.2	22.0		-1.2 pp	-0.2 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	15.2	16.0	15.8	15.9	16.0	16.8	17.9	16.2		-1.7 pp	1.0 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	37.2	35.7	35.5	37.1	33.7	34.0	33.2	33.5		0.3 pp	-3.7 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	5.1	4.8		-5.9 %	0.0 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	7.0	7.0	7.1	6.7	6.6	6.7	6.6	7.0		0.4 pp	0.0 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate			14.5	16.1	16.6	16.4	15.9	15.6		-0.3 pp		11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	0.8	-0.4	0.4	1.0	1.1	0.7	1.4	2.5				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

DE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.1	20.4	21.7	19.9	18.4	19.4	19.6	18.5		-1.1 pp	-1.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.2	15.0	17.5	15.6	15.2	14.7	15.1	14.6		-0.5 pp	-0.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.9	7.1	5.2	5.4	4.8	5.6	5.0	4.7	3.8	-0.9 pp	-3.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.6	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.1		0.1 pp	-2.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.3	19.8	17.8	17.2	17.4	16.4	19.6	18.2		-1.4 pp	-1.1 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	50.3	50.8	46.7	52.7	50.7	51.7	50.0	53.4		3.4 pp	3.0 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	9.6	9.5	10.2	9.6	9.6	9.8	9.6	10.0		0.4 pp	0.4 pp	22.6	23.0
DE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.1	25.5	23.8	24.2	25.3	25.5	24.7	24.6		-0.1 pp	-0.5 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.2	21.1	18.9	19.0	20.7	18.5	20.6	21.1		0.5 pp	0.9 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	7.0	6.9	5.3	6.2	5.6	6.1	5.3	4.9	4.1	-0.8 pp	-2.9 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.7	6.5	9.2	9.7	8.6	8.7	9.0	7.7		-1.3 pp	-1.0 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	10.5	11.6	10.6	9.6	10.3	11.5	13.7	11.5		-2.2 pp	1.0 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.5	5.8	5.0	4.5	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.5	0.0 pp	-2.0 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	11.8	12.1	11.4	10.2	9.8	8.7	8.9	8.7	9.1	0.4 pp	-2.7 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate			14.3	15.2	17.7	16.0	16.6	16.2		-0.4 pp		14.6	14.2

DE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.5	21.1	20.8	21.3	21.2	22.0	22.0	21.3		-0.7 pp	-0.2 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.4	15.8	15.6	16.4	16.6	16.9	17.2	17.3		0.1 pp	1.9 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.1	5.8	5.2	6.0	5.5	6.0	5.6	5.0	4.3	-0.7 pp	-1.8 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	12.4	11.4	11.9	12.0	10.8	10.8	10.9	10.6		-0.3 pp	-1.8 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	25.0	23.8	22.7	24.5	23.1	22.1	25.1	24.7		-0.4 pp	-0.3 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	7.1	6.8	7.1	7.7	7.7	8.6	9.9	9.6		-0.3 pp	2.5 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	38.2	36.3	37.4	37.2	34.1	33.7	33.9	33.5		-0.4 pp	-4.7 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	7.9	8.1	8.0	7.5	7.3	7.5	7.4	7.8		0.4 pp	-0.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate			13.6	15.7	16.3	15.8	15.2	15.1		-0.1 pp		12.0	11.7
DE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.5	16.0	14.8	15.3	15.8	16.0	17.4	17.2		-0.2 pp	1.7 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	14.9	15.0	14.1	14.2	15.0	14.9	16.3	16.5		0.2 pp	1.6 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	2.1	2.5	2.1	3.2	2.8	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.8	0.4 pp	0.7 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.87	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.87		-3.3 %	0.0 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.44	0.47	0.49	0.51	0.47	0.47	0.45	0.46		2.2 %	4.5 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.9		0.2 pp	0.1 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate			19.3	20.5	20.3	22.5	22.0	20.5		-1.5 pp		10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

DE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	20.1	20.4	21.7	19.9	18.4	19.4	19.6	18.5		-1.1 pp	-1.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	15.2	15.0	17.5	15.6	15.2	14.7	15.1	14.6		-0.5 pp	-0.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	6.9	7.1	5.2	5.4	4.8	5.6	5.0	4.7	3.8	-0.9 pp	-3.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	9.1	9.0	8.9	8.6	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.1		0.1 pp	-2.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	4.6	5.4	8.0	9.7	7.7	9.0	7.2	8.5		1.3 pp	3.9 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	8.3	7.5	8.8	8.1	7.7	8.1	9.4	9.4		0.0 pp	1.1 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	70.4	67.5	76.1	68.8	71.7	58.3	58.7	66.4		7.7 pp	-4.0 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	9.6	9.7	11.7	10.5	10.8	11.3	11.8	10.6		-1.2 pp	1.0 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	10.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	12.2	9.8		-2.4 pp	-0.2 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	9.0	12.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	19.0	15.3	16.1		0.8 pp	7.1 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	54.0	48.0	46.0	46.0	40.0	35.0	35.2	34.6		-0.6 pp	-19.4 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	36.0	40.0	46.0	44.0	51.0	54.0	53.5	55.0		1.5 pp	19.0 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	19.3	19.8	17.8	17.2	17.4	16.4	19.6	18.2		-1.4 pp	-1.1 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	22.3	23.6	23.7	23.0	22.9	23.5	23.9	24.2	24.9	0.7 pp	2.6 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	2.8	3.2	4.0	3.2	3.3	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.5	0.0 pp	1.7 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	26.6	27.6	27.6	26.9	27.0	27.7	28.2	28.6	29.5	0.9 pp	2.9 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	50.3	50.8	46.7	52.7	50.7	51.7	50.0	53.4		3.4 pp	3.0 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)			11.7	12.5	13.2	11.5	11.4	11.4		0.0 pp		10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.3	3.0	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.3	0.5 pp	-0.5 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	11.8	11.1	11.8	11.6	10.5	9.8	9.5	10.1	10.2	0.1 pp	-1.6 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.1		-0.6 pp	-1.7 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3		0.1 pp	-0.2 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.5	3.4	2.6	3.0	2.7		-0.3 pp	-0.6 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	9.6	9.5	10.2	9.6	9.6	9.8	9.6	10.0		0.4 pp	0.4 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	57.0		67.6		39.9		49.5	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	57.3		67.3		40.1		49.2	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	57.6		74.4		40.3		56.1	
	AWG career length case	62.8	55.4	76.6	71.8	43.9	38.8	58.2	53.4
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			74.4				56.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			61.0				43.6	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			84.0				65.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			67.6				49.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			74.1				55.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			73.8				55.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			72.4				54.0	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			75.6				56.9	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			74.1				55.8	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			74.0				55.7	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			73.4				55.3	
	Short career (30 year career)			60.1				42.8	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			70.0				51.7	
	Early retirement due to disability			58.1				41.2	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			69.7				51.4	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	51.6		66.7		39.9		49.5	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	51.9		74.3		40.1		56.4	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	52.1		76.4		40.3		57.3	
	AWG career length case	56.8	50.1	77.4	72.0	43.9	38.8	58.2	53.4
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			75.7				56.7	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			58.7				43.6	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			85.3				65.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			66.7				49.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			75.6				56.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			75.5				56.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			75.1				56.1	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			76.0				57.1	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			76.0				57.0	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			75.8				56.9	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			75.3				56.5	
	Short career (30 year career)	56.1		72.2		39.3		53.6	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			74.7				55.8	
	Early retirement due to disability			71.2				52.9	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses			96.9				76.5	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	48.9		50.7		29.9		36.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	49.2		55.5		30.1		36.7	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)

HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

DE									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	56.4	57.1	57.9	57.9	57.4	57.8	56.4	65.3	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	57.7	58.1	58.7	58.7	57.9	57.0	56.5	67.5	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	6.3	6.5	6.9	6.7	6.7	7.0	6.8	11.4	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	6.7	6.7	7.1	7.3	6.9	7.0	6.7	12.3	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.6	77.8	78.0	78.4	78.6	78.6	78.7	78.3	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.7	82.8	83.0	83.2	83.3	83.2	83.6	83.1	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.5	17.6	17.8	18.2	18.2	18.2	18.2	17.9	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.7	20.8	20.9	21.2	21.2	21.1	21.4	21.0	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.5	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	64.4	65.1	65.2	64.8	65.3	64.9	65.2	64.5	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	3133.0	3201.0	3442.9	3553.9	3651.5	3747.9	3925.6	4113.0		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	10.7	11.8	11.6	11.3	11.3	11.0	11.1	11.2		


Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health. ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013 (full SHA 2011 series below).

DE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Health care expenditure per inhabitant (PPS)	3,109.5	3,209.5	3,377.2	3,538.8	3,662.3	3,747.9	3,925.6	4,113.0
Health care expenditure (% of GDP)	10.2	11.2	11.0	10.8	10.8	11.0	11.1	11.2

Source: Eurostat (SHA 2011)

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁸²



⁸² These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) is given as a background.

Unemployment	
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
Unemployment benefit recipients	
definition	Benefit recipients (UB I + UB II)
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Source: Bundesagentur für Arbeit (Federal Employment Agency)
link	http://statistik.arbeitsagentur.de/
comment	Monatsbericht zum Arbeits- und Ausbildungsmarkt in Deutschland, figure 17
DE Social Assistance recipients	
definition	DE Social Assistance recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	amtliche Sozialberichterstattung
link	http://www.amtliche-sozialberichterstattung.de/Babhaengigkeit_von_mindests.html
comment	table B1.3.0 (asylum seeker)
Asylum Seeker	
definition	Asylum Seeker
unit	thousands of recipients
source	amtliche Sozialberichterstattung
link	http://www.amtliche-sozialberichterstattung.de/Babhaengigkeit_von_mindests.html
comment	table B1.3.0 (recipients of Sozialgeld und HLU)
short term work	
definition	short term work
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Source: Bundesagentur für Arbeit (Federal Employment Agency)
link	http://statistik.arbeitsagentur.de/Navigation/Statistik/Statistik-nach-Themen/Lohnersatzleistungen-SGBIII/Kurzarbeitergeld/Kurzarbeitergeld-Nav.html?year_month=aktuell
comment	Monatsbericht zum Arbeits- und Ausbildungsmarkt in Deutschland, chapter 2.3
Disability benefit recipients	
definition	new disability pension recipients
unit	thousand of recipients (annual figures)
source	Source: Deutsche Rentenversicherung Bund (German statutory pension insurance scheme)
link	http://statistik.arbeitsagentur.de/
comment	Monatsbericht zum Arbeits- und Ausbildungsmarkt in Deutschland, section 2.3

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	DE											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	20.1	20.0	19.7	19.9	19.6	20.3	20.6	20.0	n.a.	-0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	15.2	15.5	15.6	15.8	16.1	16.1	16.7	16.7	n.a.	0.0 pp	1.5 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10804	10770	10544	11037	11525	11687	11530	12219	n.a.	4.6 %	3.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	5.5	5.4	4.5	5.3	4.9	5.4	5.0	4.4	3.9	-0.5 pp	-1.6 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	11.7	10.9	11.2	11.2	9.9	9.9	10.0	9.8	n.a.	-0.2 pp	-1.9 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	22.2	21.5	20.7	21.4	21.1	20.4	23.2	22.0	n.a.	-1.2 pp	-0.2 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	7.2	8.1	9.1	10.4	10.4	10.6	9.5	11.3	n.a.	1.8 pp	4.1 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	5.1	4.8	n.a.	-5.9 %	0.0 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	20.1	20.4	21.7	19.9	18.4	19.4	19.6	18.5	n.a.	-1.1 pp	-1.6 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	37.2	35.7	35.5	37.0	33.7	34.0	33.2	33.5	n.a.	0.3 pp	-3.7 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	65.1	64.4	64.5	64.6	62.8	63.2	62.0	62.0	n.a.	-0.1 pp	-3.1 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	64.2	67.2	67.3	68.7	68.5	63.7	65.0	68.9	n.a.	3.9 pp	4.7 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	7.1	6.8	7.1	7.7	7.7	8.6	9.9	9.6	n.a.	-0.3 pp	2.5 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	3.9	3.5	3.3	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.7	-0.3 pp	-2.2 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	11.8	11.1	11.8	11.6	10.5	9.8	9.5	10.1	10.2	0.1 pp	-1.6 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.5	5.8	5.0	4.5	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.5	0.0 pp	-2.0 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	8.4	8.8	8.3	7.5	7.1	6.3	6.4	6.2	6.6	0.4 pp	-1.8 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	53.7	56.1	57.8	60.0	61.6	63.6	65.6	66.2	68.6	2.4 pp	14.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	15.5	16.0	14.8	15.3	15.8	16.0	17.4	17.2	n.a.	-0.2 pp	1.7 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.87	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.87	n.a.	-3.3 %	0.0 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.44	0.47	0.49	0.51	0.47	0.47	0.45	0.46	n.a.	2.2 %	4.5 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.5	n.a.	-1.1 pp	-1.7 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	6.3	6.5	6.9	6.7	6.7	7.0	6.8	11.4	n.a.	67.6 %	81.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	6.7	6.7	7.1	7.3	6.9	7.0	6.7	12.3	n.a.	83.6 %	83.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	n.a.	n.a.	14.5	16.1	16.6	16.4	15.9	15.6	n.a.	-0.3 pp	n.a.	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	0.8	-0.4	0.4	1.0	1.1	0.7	1.4	2.5	n.a.	2.5 %	7.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPMM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, GERMANY

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p><i>The effectiveness of redistribution policies in reducing both inequality and poverty has declined⁸³.</i></p> <p><i>There is a higher than average gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities^{84 85}.</i></p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>In-work poverty shows some negative developments. In-work poverty for women is above EU-average.</p> <p>The impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing working age poverty (18-64) is declining and worse than the EU average.</p> <p>At-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-) jobless households (18-59) is significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>The ‘people at risk of poverty or social exclusion (aged 65+)’ indicator is around the EU average but shows some negative development.</p> <p>The housing cost overburden is a persisting issue among those aged 65 and above. The indicator is significantly worse than the EU average. The aggregate replacement ratio is below the EU average.</p>	
5. Health & LTC	Healthy life years at birth are worse than the EU average.	

⁸³ Source: Country Report 2017.

⁸⁴ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

⁸⁵ Source: Eurostat 2015.

6. Other key issues		
----------------------------	--	--


Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduction of the at risk of poverty rate after social transfers to 15%, equivalent to an absolute decrease by 36,248 persons

Source: National Reform Programme (2014)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year. Major breaks in series in 2014 for variables from EU-SILC due to implementation of a new methodology based on the use of administrative files.

⁸⁶ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)

EE - 2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

EE													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	89.0	84.0	73.0	75.6	74.9	79.2	84.3	89.2		4.9 pp	n.a.	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	259	262	211	232	233	248	285	281		-1.4 %	n.a.	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	18.9	18.6	31.8	33.2	29.9	27.5	21.9	20.3		-1.6 pp	n.a.	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	55	58	92	102	93	86	74	64		-13.5 %	n.a.	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	22.3	26.6	41.2	37.5	39.9	32.0	24.0	18.4		-5.6 pp	n.a.	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	65	83	119	115	124	100	81	58	62	6.9 %	n.a.	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	10.3	7.7	13.5	14.0	11.6	13.7	9.5	11.4		2.0 pp	n.a.	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	30	24	39	43	36	43	32	36		12.5 %	n.a.	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	8.9	9.0	13.2	10.1	11.6	8.3	7.7	7.3		-0.4 pp	n.a.	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	26	28	38	31	36	26	26	23		-11.5 %	n.a.	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.2	6.1	9.0	10.4	10.0	7.7	5.9	4.4		-1.5 pp	n.a.	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	15	19	26	32	31	24	20	14		-30.0 %	n.a.	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	0.3	0.3	1.7	2.0	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.6		0.1 pp	n.a.	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	1	1	5	6	4	3	2	2		0.0 %	n.a.	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Major breaks in series in 2014 for variables from EU-SILC due to implementation of a new methodology based on the use of administrative files, and which affect overall composition changes for 2008-2015 ("n.a." shown for this period).

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

EE										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-5.4	-14.7	2.3	7.6	4.3	1.4	2.8	1.4	1.6	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.2	-10.2	-4.9	6.5	1.6	1.2	0.8	2.9	0.3	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	5.5	13.5	16.7	12.3	10.0	8.6	7.4	6.2	6.8	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.7	3.7	7.6	7.1	5.5	3.8	3.3	2.4	2.1	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	14.5	18.6	17.4	15.5	14.8	14.7	14.9				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: social protection expenditure does not include administrative costs.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

EE											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	14.5	18.6	17.4	15.5	14.8	14.7	14.9		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	4.7	5.3	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	1.4	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	6.1	7.8	7.6	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.5		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	1.7	2.2	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.6		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	0.3	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	14.4	18.5	17.3	15.3	14.7	14.6	14.8		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	4.7	5.3	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.4	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	6.1	7.8	7.6	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.5		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	1.7	2.2	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.6		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	0.3	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

EE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.8	23.4	21.7	23.1	23.4	23.5	26.0	24.2		-1.8 pp	n.a.	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.5	19.7	15.8	17.5	17.5	18.6	21.8	21.6		-0.2 pp	n.a.	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	4538	4861	4448	4491	4734	5164	5545	6259		9.2 %	n.a.		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	9530	10208	9340	9431	9942	10845	11644	13144		9.2 %	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.9	6.2	9.0	8.7	9.4	7.6	6.2	4.5	4.8	0.3 pp	n.a.	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.3	5.6	9.0	10.0	9.1	8.4	7.6	6.6		-1.0 pp	n.a.	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	13.6	12.9	9.9	10.5	12.0	9.3	11.2	13.1		1.9 pp	n.a.	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.3	17.0	23.2	26.0	23.8	21.5	22.0	21.0		-1.0 pp	n.a.	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	19.5	18.9	19.7	23.9	24.2	21.0	21.4	16.8		-4.6 pp	n.a.	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	21.1	23.9	36.6	29.7	29.4	26.8	23.2	22.3		-0.9 pp	n.a.	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.5	6.2		-4.6 %	n.a.	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	41.7	41.2	39.7	14.4	14.0	21.1	14.2	13.4		-0.8 pp	n.a.	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.6	4.4	6.0	7.4	7.9	7.2	8.3	6.8		-1.5 pp	n.a.	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	4.6	-8.9	-4.0	3.0	2.1	0.6	6.6	4.3				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. Major breaks in series in 2014 for variables from EU-SILC due to implementation of a new methodology based on the use of administrative files, and which affect values for changes for 2008-2015/2016 ("n.a." shown for this period).

EE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	19.4	24.5	24.0	24.8	22.4	22.3	23.8	22.5		-1.3 pp	n.a.	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	17.1	20.6	17.3	19.5	17.0	18.1	19.7	20.0		0.3 pp	n.a.	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.3	7.0	10.7	9.1	9.2	7.0	5.7	3.9	4.0	0.1 pp	n.a.	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.8	4.5	8.4	9.2	6.9	6.6	6.5	5.2		-1.3 pp	n.a.	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	24.4	19.7	28.1	26.7	24.6	27.8	29.1	31.0		1.9 pp	n.a.	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	35.0	30.6	44.4	35.9	40.6	34.2	30.9	31.0		0.2 pp	n.a.	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	55.2	55.1	53.9	24.0	23.1	33.3	24.6	22.8		-1.8 pp	n.a.	22.6	23.0
EE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.8	21.8	25.6	29.4	27.8	27.1	22.4	22.4		0.0 pp	n.a.	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.3	15.6	18.7	22.4	20.9	21.4	18.2	19.5		1.3 pp	n.a.	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.9	7.4	9.2	11.7	10.9	9.4	6.1	2.9	3.8	0.9 pp	n.a.	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.8	2.8	7.4	7.0	7.6	6.1	5.6	6.4		0.8 pp	n.a.	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	3.3	3.0	4.3	10.3	9.3	7.2	10.2	12.4		2.2 pp	n.a.	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.9	10.7	12.4	9.0	8.5	7.4	5.9	5.5	5.8	0.3 pp	0.9 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	11.1	19.0	18.6	14.6	15.0	14.5	14.4	13.3	11.4	-1.9 pp	0.3 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	6.4	4.9	7.8	9.1	12.6	9.3	10.1	9.0		-1.1 pp	n.a.	14.6	14.2

EE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.5	19.9	21.8	24.2	24.2	22.7	24.0	21.0		-3.0 pp	n.a.	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.0	15.8	15.6	18.0	17.7	17.3	19.4	17.9		-1.5 pp	n.a.	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.5	6.1	9.1	9.3	10.0	8.0	6.3	4.4	4.8	0.4 pp	n.a.	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.8	5.9	9.1	10.3	9.8	9.0	7.9	7.0		-0.9 pp	n.a.	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	26.5	23.1	25.9	29.7	29.9	28.3	31.2	29.6		-1.6 pp	n.a.	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	7.4	8.3	6.7	8.2	8.5	7.7	11.8	10.3		-1.5 pp	n.a.	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	24.6	28.2	37.6	30.2	28.9	28.8	25.7	26.3		0.7 pp	n.a.	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	42.1	41.6	39.9	14.0	13.6	20.8	13.8	13.0		-0.8 pp	n.a.	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.0	4.6	6.4	8.3	8.6	7.4	9.1	7.1		-2.0 pp	n.a.	12.0	11.7
EE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	40.9	35.6	19.0	17.0	21.8	28.0	35.0	37.0		2.0 pp	n.a.	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	39.0	33.9	15.1	13.1	17.2	24.4	32.6	35.8		3.2 pp	n.a.	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.8	5.6	6.6	5.8	7.1	6.3	6.4	5.2	5.4	0.2 pp	n.a.	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.62	0.66	0.73	0.75	0.72	0.69	0.63	0.62		-1.6 %	n.a.	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.45	0.52	0.55	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.47	0.43		-8.5 %	n.a.	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	25.4	24.2	23.6	5.5	5.5	8.8	5.1	4.9		-0.2 pp	n.a.	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	2.6	2.4	4.1	4.3	6.0	5.9	5.6	4.1		-1.5 pp	n.a.	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS),

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

EE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	19.4	24.5	24.0	24.8	22.4	22.3	23.8	22.5		-1.3 pp	n.a.	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	17.1	20.6	17.3	19.5	17.0	18.1	19.7	20.0		0.3 pp	n.a.	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	5.3	7.0	10.7	9.1	9.2	7.0	5.7	3.9	4.0	0.1 pp	n.a.	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	3.8	4.5	8.4	9.2	6.9	6.6	6.5	5.2		-1.3 pp	n.a.	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	13.5	13.2	9.5	15.5	11.1	7.9	13.1	11.9		-1.2 pp	n.a.	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	9.4	11.6	8.5	9.5	9.4	8.5	12.6	12.1		-0.5 pp	n.a.	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	82.8	74.2	73.2	77.5	73.4	82.9	71.3	78.5		7.2 pp	n.a.	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	14.3	17.8	12.1	13.7	12.8	13.4	16.1	16.6		0.5 pp	n.a.	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	1.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	5.5	3.6		-1.9 pp	n.a.	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	16.0	21.0	19.0	15.0	14.0	18.0	13.9	17.8		3.9 pp	n.a.	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	4.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.8	6.8		-3.0 pp	n.a.	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	84.0	84.0	86.0	83.0	83.0	82.0	84.2	86.1		1.9 pp	n.a.	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	24.4	19.7	28.1	26.7	24.6	27.8	29.1	31.0		1.9 pp	n.a.	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	11.9	10.1	7.7	8.7	10.1	8.9	10.4	9.5	9.9	0.4 pp	-2.0 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	16.6	14.9	10.7	11.9	13.3	12.0	14.8	12.3	13.5	1.2 pp	-3.1 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	35.0	30.6	44.4	35.9	40.6	34.2	30.9	31.0		0.2 pp	n.a.	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	3.2	5.5	6.2	7.3	7.1	7.8	8.1	8.5		0.4 pp	n.a.	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.3	6.8	5.6	6.1	6.3	4.4	6.7	5.4	4.1	-1.3 pp	-1.2 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	14.0	13.5	11.0	10.6	10.3	9.7	12.0	12.2	10.9	-1.3 pp	-3.1 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	2.3	2.2	1.5	3.3	4.5	4.5	4.7	5.4		0.7 pp	n.a.	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	5.0	3.6	3.3	2.5	3.6	2.1	2.7	2.5		-0.2 pp	n.a.	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	14.4	18.6	16.8	8.4	8.5	8.3	7.0	4.7		-2.3 pp	n.a.	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	55.2	55.1	53.9	24.0	23.1	33.3	24.6	22.8		-1.8 pp	n.a.	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

Note: Major breaks in series in 2014 for variables from EU-SILC due to implementation of a new methodology based on the use of administrative files, and which affect values for changes for 2008-2015/16 ("n.a." shown for these periods).

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	61.9	77.1	55.9		46.1	58.4	46.7	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	50.9	63.4	55.9		37.9	48.0	46.7	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	49.2	61.0	55.9		36.7	46.2	46.7	
	AWG career length case	50.9	64.2	59.7	57.8	37.9	49.1	50.5	48.6
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			69.7				60.5	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			49.1				39.9	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			69.7				60.5	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			49.1				39.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			54.2				45.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			53.3				44.1	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			52.4				43.2	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				55.9				46.7
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				56.7				46.0
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				56.0				45.2
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				55.2				44.4
	Short career (30 year career)			45.6				36.4	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			51.0				41.8	
	Early retirement due to disability			55.9				46.7	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			49.9				40.6	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	85.4	107.2	64.7		65.2	83.8	52.1	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	70.2	88.2	64.7		53.6	68.9	52.1	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	68.6	85.6	64.7		52.4	67.0	52.1	
	AWG career length case	70.2	89.6	68.4	66.6	53.6	70.1	55.8	54.0
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			79.4				67.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			55.6				44.8	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			79.4				67.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			55.6				44.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			62.6				50.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			61.5				49.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			60.4				48.6	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				64.7				52.1
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				65.0				51.4
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				64.0				50.6
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				63.1				49.9
	Short career (30 year career)	42.5		52.0		33.9		41.8	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			58.5				47.2	
	Early retirement due to disability			64.7				52.1	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses				106.0				94.1
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	36.1	44.2	37.0		26.4	32.4	31.6	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	29.7	36.3	36.9		21.6	26.7	31.6	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

EE									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	53.1	55.0	54.2	54.3	53.1	53.9	53.2	53.8	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	57.5	59.2	58.2	57.9	57.2	57.1	57.1	56.2	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	4.0	5.6	5.3	5.6	5.4	5.1	4.9	5.3	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	4.3	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.7	6.0	5.3	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	68.9	69.8	70.9	71.4	71.4	72.8	72.4	73.2	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	79.5	80.2	80.8	81.3	81.5	81.7	81.9	82.2	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	13.7	14.0	14.3	14.8	14.8	15.2	15.2	15.5	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	18.9	19.2	19.5	20.1	20.3	20.3	20.4	20.7	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	7.3	4.3	4.8	7.3	8.3	8.4	11.3	12.7	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	54.5	51.5	52.7	51.8	52.4	53.5	51.8	51.4	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1039.7	1032.9	994.9	1021.5	1095.1	1235.3	1331.6	1458.1		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	6.1	6.9	6.3	5.8	5.9	6.0	6.2	6.5		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁸⁷



⁸⁷ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

EE	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment insurance benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients (monthly)
source	Estonian Unemployment Insurance Fund
link	https://www.tootukassa.ee/eng/content/about-tootukassa/statistics
comment	Definition: Unemployment insurance benefit recipients - unemployed persons who received a payment of unemployment insurance benefit during the specified period. Unemployment insurance is a type of compulsory insurance, unemployment insurance is financed from unemployment insurance premiums paid by the insured persons (employees) and the employers. The unemployment insurance benefit is paid to unemployed persons whose unemployment insurance period in the three preceding years is at least 12 months and whose last relationship did not end on their own initiative or mutual agreement.
Note	2014 data has been updated on 17.11.2015 due to minor corrections in the database, 2015 data has been updated 12.06.2017 due to minor corrections in the database (corrections marked as red)
	Social assistance benefit
definition	Subsistence benefit (to maintain subsistence level) receivers
unit	Number of granted applications (thousands, cumulative during the year)
source	Ministry of Social Affairs
link	http://www.sm.ee/et/toetuste-statistika http://pub.stat.ee/px-web.2001/I_Databas/Social_life/15Social_protection/02Social_assistance/05Subsistence_benefits/05Subsistence_benefits.asp
comment	A person living alone or a family whose monthly disposable income, after deduction of the fixed expenses connected with permanent dwelling during the current month, is below the subsistence level has right to receive a subsistence benefit. The subsistence level increased (by 20%) from the beginning of 2011. The subsistence level increased also in 2014 (by 17 % compared to the previous year) and as from 2015 the subsistence level will be higher for underage children. The subsistence level increased (by 31%) from the beginning of 2016. Note: In April 2010, a new social services and benefit register was introduced. Therefore the data from the 2nd quarter 2010 is not fully comparable with the previous data.
	Disability benefit
definition	Recipients of benefits for disabled persons
unit	Thousands of recipients at the end of quarter
source	Source: Social Insurance Fund
link	http://www.sotsiaalkindlustusamet.ee/et/organisatsioon-kontaktid/statistika-ja-aruandlus

comment	Disability is the loss of or an abnormality in an anatomical, physiological or mental structure of function of a person, which in conjunction with different relational and environmental restrictions prevents participation in social life on equal bases with others. From 2008 the disabled adult allowance was replaced by disability allowance for a person of working age and disability allowance for a person of retirement age. Therefore the indicator since 2008 includes recipients of three types of benefits: recipients of the allowance for disabled persons of at least 16 years of age, for disabled persons of working age and for disabled persons of retirement age.
Incapacity for work	
definition	Receivers of pension for incapacity for work
unit	Thousands of recipients at the end of quarter
source	Social Insurance Board
link	http://www.sotsiaalkindlustusamet.ee/et/organisatsioon-kontaktid/statistika-ja-aruandlus
comment	The right for the pension for incapacity for work has a person, who is at least 16 years of age and has been declared to be permanently incapable to work, loss of whose working capacity is 40 to 100 per cent and who by the initial date of granting of the pension has acquired the following pensionable service or accumulation period in Estonia.

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	EE											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	21.8	23.4	21.7	23.1	23.4	23.5	26.0	24.2	n.a.	-1.8 pp	1.7 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	19.5	19.7	15.8	17.5	17.5	18.6	21.8	21.6	n.a.	-0.2 pp	-0.9 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	4538	4861	4448	4491	4734	5164	5545	6259	n.a.	9.2 %	1.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	4.9	6.2	9.0	8.7	9.4	7.6	6.2	4.5	4.8	0.3 pp	2.7 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	5.3	5.6	9.0	10.0	9.1	8.4	7.6	6.6	n.a.	-1.0 pp	3.1 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	20.3	17.0	23.2	26.0	23.8	21.5	22.0	21.0	n.a.	-1.0 pp	1.2 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	13.6	12.9	9.9	10.5	12.0	9.3	11.2	13.1	n.a.	1.9 pp	-4.3 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.5	6.2	n.a.	-4.6 %	10.0 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	19.4	24.5	24.0	24.8	22.4	22.3	23.8	22.5	n.a.	-1.3 pp	2.9 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	21.1	23.9	36.5	29.7	29.4	26.8	23.2	22.3	n.a.	-0.9 pp	5.7 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	46.3	47.5	61.3	57.4	56.4	53.0	46.7	45.2	n.a.	-1.5 pp	6.8 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	82.3	73.5	69.9	72.8	73.0	78.4	70.9	77.2	n.a.	6.3 pp	-3.9 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	7.4	8.3	6.7	8.2	8.5	7.7	11.8	10.3	n.a.	-1.5 pp	0.3 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.7	3.7	7.6	7.1	5.5	3.8	3.3	2.4	2.1	-0.3 pp	0.4 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	14.0	13.5	11.0	10.6	10.3	9.7	12.0	12.2	10.9	-1.3 pp	-3.1 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.9	10.7	12.4	9.0	8.5	7.4	5.9	5.5	5.8	0.3 pp	0.9 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	8.7	14.5	14.0	11.6	12.2	11.3	11.7	10.8	9.1	-1.7 pp	0.4 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	62.3	60.3	53.8	57.5	60.5	62.6	64.0	64.5	65.2	0.7 pp	2.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	40.9	35.6	19.0	17.0	21.8	28.0	35.0	37.0	n.a.	2.0 pp	-12.9 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.62	0.66	0.73	0.75	0.72	0.69	0.63	0.62	n.a.	-1.6 %	11.3 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.45	0.52	0.55	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.47	0.43	n.a.	-8.5 %	11.1 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	7.3	4.3	4.8	7.3	8.3	8.4	11.3	12.7	n.a.	1.4 pp	5.4 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	4.0	5.6	5.3	5.6	5.4	5.1	4.9	5.3	n.a.	8.2 %	32.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	4.3	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.7	6.0	5.3	n.a.	-11.7 %	23.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	3.6	4.4	6.0	7.4	7.9	7.2	8.3	6.8	n.a.	-1.5 pp	3.6 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	4.6	-8.9	-4.0	3.0	2.1	0.6	6.6	4.3	n.a.	4.3 %	2.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2013 and 2008-2016 respectively. (Major break in series in 2014 for variables in EU-SILC due to implementation of a new methodology based on the use of administrative files. Hence change in EU-SILC variables for 2008-2013 used for the longer period compared to 2008.)

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, ESTONIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>At-risk-of-poverty rate (60% of median income) is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The S20/S80 income quintile gap is worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>There is a high risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities⁸⁸ as well as a much higher than average gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities⁸⁹.</i></p>	<p>While showing some significantly positive developments, the share of people (aged 0-59) living in jobless households is around the EU average. The same indicator for women in the same age bracket shows significantly better results than the EU average.</p>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		Adults (aged 18-59) not students living in jobless households around the EU average but shows significantly positive development.
4. Elderly poverty/ adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>At-risk-of-poverty rate for elderly, both for men and women (65+) is the highest in the EU.</p> <p>Median relative income of elderly people (65+) is the lowest in the EU.</p>	
5. Health & LTC	Healthy life years for men and self-reported unmet need for medical care (in particular unmet need due to waiting time) are significantly worse than the EU average.	

⁸⁸ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

⁸⁹ Source: Eurostat 2015.

	<p><i>There are weaknesses in the coordination of care, sometimes leading to unnecessary hospitalisation and ambulatory specialist care, and reduced quality of patient care after a hospital stay⁹⁰.</i></p> <p><i>The provision of long-term care is not adequate to the needs of the population⁹¹.</i></p>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

⁹⁰ Source: World Bank Group (2015), the State of Health Care Integration in Estonia.


⁹¹ Source: Country Report 2017; Eurostat Labour Force Survey 2016.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of persons in combined poverty (either consistent poverty, at-risk-of-poverty or basic deprivation) by at least 200,000, from the 2010 baseline year.

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)


PROGRESS TOWARDS THE EUROPE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION



Source: Irish National Reform Programme 2017 (based on SILC data)

⁹² Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION USING IRISH INDICATORS (2015)



Source: Data from CSO SILC 2015

IE	%	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Combined poverty	% of total population	24.0%	25.7%	31.0%	33.6%	35.7%	37.9%	37.4%	33.7%
	1,000 persons	1,076	1,165	1,412	1,542	1,637	1,741	1,724	1,562
Consistent poverty	% of total population	4.2%	5.5%	6.3%	6.9%	8.5%	9.1%	8.8%	8.7%
	1,000 persons	188	249	287	317	390	418	406	403
At-risk-of-poverty	% of total population	14.4%	14.1%	14.7%	16.0%	17.3%	16.5%	17.2%	16.9%
	1,000 persons	646	639	670	734	793	758	793	783
Basic deprivation	% of total population	13.8%	17.1%	22.6%	24.5%	26.9%	30.5%	29.0%	25.5%
	1,000 persons	619	775	1,029	1,124	1,233	1,401	1,337	1,182

Source: Data from CSO SILC 2008 - 2015⁹³

⁹³ This data includes revised figures for 2012 to 2014. These revisions arose following the identification of a processing error during the production of data for 2015. Trends in the revised series mirror those in previously published data.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

IE										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-4.4	-4.6	2.0	0.0	-1.1	1.1	8.5	26.3	5.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.6	-7.8	-4.1	-0.5	-0.6	2.5	1.7	2.5	2.7	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	6.4	12.0	13.9	14.7	14.7	13.1	11.3	9.4	7.9	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.7	3.5	6.8	8.6	9.0	7.8	6.6	5.3	4.2	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	18.8	22.3	22.8	22.2	21.9	20.9	19.3				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

IE											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	18.8	22.3	22.8	22.2	21.9	20.9	19.3			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	7.1	7.9	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.1	6.7			8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2			2.0	2.0
	Old age	4.4	4.9	5.8	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.3			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.9	3.4	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.5			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.8	3.0	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.7			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	4.7	6.0	6.8	6.9	6.8	6.6	6.0			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.8	1.3	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	14.1	16.3	16.0	15.3	15.0	14.3	13.3			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.1	6.8	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.1	5.8			8.0	8.0
	Disability	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			1.6	1.5
	Old age	3.7	4.1	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.6			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	1.0	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

IE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.7	25.7	27.3	29.4	30.3	29.9	27.7	26.0		-1.7 pp	2.3 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.5	15.0	15.2	15.2	16.6	15.7	16.4	16.3		-0.1 pp	0.8 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10901	10386	10102	9999	9962	10039	9939	10622		7.5 %	-6.2 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	22893	21810	21214	20998	20921	21082	20872	22307		7.5 %	-6.2 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.5	6.1	5.7	7.8	9.8	9.9	8.4	7.5	7.5	0.0 pp	2.0 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	13.7	20.0	22.9	24.2	23.4	23.9	21.0	19.2		-1.8 pp	5.5 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate				8.8	13.2	9.1	10.7	9.4		-1.3 pp		10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	17.7	16.2	15.5	17.5	20.0	17.5	18.9	18.5		-0.4 pp	0.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	15.5	15.4	20.0	21.7	24.4	24.0	23.4	19.9		-3.5 pp	4.4 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	54.4	60.0	61.9	61.6	58.0	59.0	55.8	55.0		-0.8 pp	0.6 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.4	4.2	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.5		-8.2 %	2.3 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	4.7	3.7	3.4	2.6	3.2	2.8	3.6	3.4		-0.2 pp	-1.3 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.3	4.0	4.9	6.1	6.3	4.6	6.2	4.6		-1.6 pp	1.3 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	4.3	-1.1	-2.0	-3.7	1.5	-2.0	2.2	4.7				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

IE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	26.6	31.4	34.1	34.1	33.5	34.4	30.4	28.8		-1.6 pp	2.2 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.0	18.8	18.9	17.1	19.3	18.2	18.3	17.9		-0.4 pp	-0.1 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.8	8.4	8.2	10.0	12.4	13.4	10.1	8.9	8.9	0.0 pp	2.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	15.1	23.4	25.6	26.0	22.8	24.2	21.4	19.8		-1.6 pp	4.7 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.2	14.7	14.3	14.7	17.8	14.9	16.3	17.7		1.4 pp	-1.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	55.2	59.7	62.9	65.2	58.0	59.5	58.1	57.7		-0.4 pp	2.5 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	5.2	5.3	5.4	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.2	5.7		1.5 pp	0.5 pp	22.6	23.0
IE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.7	26.4	32.1	41.8	44.3	40.3	42.2	36.8		-5.4 pp	13.1 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	13.7	14.7	19.9	26.8	24.7	22.6	28.1	24.5		-3.6 pp	10.8 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.7	6.7	5.5	10.1	14.0	11.9	12.3	11.8	11.8	0.0 pp	5.1 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.8	18.0	23.4	26.1	28.2	28.5	24.6	21.0		-3.6 pp	9.2 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	2.9	5.7	5.6	10.4	10.2	3.2	8.5	5.8		-2.7 pp	2.9 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	7.1	11.7	12.0	12.1	12.3	10.6	8.9	7.6	6.7	-0.9 pp	-0.4 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	17.5	23.1	24.1	24.0	23.8	20.5	19.5	18.5	15.9	-2.6 pp	-1.6 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.4	6.7	9.3	13.9	10.2	10.1	13.0	5.9		-7.1 pp	2.5 pp	14.6	14.2

IE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	22.6	24.8	27.2	30.5	32.0	31.3	29.5	26.8		-2.7 pp	4.2 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	13.4	13.2	14.6	15.1	16.2	15.7	16.7	16.0		-0.7 pp	2.6 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.6	5.8	5.4	7.9	10.1	9.6	8.7	7.8	7.8	0.0 pp	2.2 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	13.1	18.4	21.7	23.4	23.6	23.7	20.8	18.9		-1.9 pp	5.8 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.5	17.3	15.4	18.2	21.4	17.9	19.0	19.7		0.7 pp	-0.8 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.3	4.9	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.0	5.4	4.8		-0.6 pp	-1.5 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	56.6	61.4	61.8	61.4	59.2	59.6	55.6	54.4		-1.2 pp	-2.2 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	5.2	3.5	3.0	2.3	3.2	2.8	3.9	3.1		-0.8 pp	-2.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.4	4.2	5.4	6.7	6.7	5.3	6.8	5.0		-1.8 pp	1.6 pp	12.0	11.7
IE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	22.5	17.9	11.3	13.8	15.2	13.7	13.9	16.5		2.6 pp	-6.0 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	21.1	16.2	9.9	11.0	12.8	10.6	11.4	14.2		2.8 pp	-6.9 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	2.2	2.6	1.5	3.0	2.8	3.6	2.9	3.1	3.1	0.0 pp	0.9 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.74	0.78	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.91	0.89	0.87		-2.2 %	17.6 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.49	0.48	0.47	0.43	0.42	0.37	0.38	0.38		0.0 %	-22.4 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.4		0.0 pp	0.0 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	2.0	1.5	2.9	4.0	3.5	3.1	4.6	2.7		-1.9 pp	0.7 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

IE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	26.6	31.4	34.1	34.1	33.5	34.4	30.4	28.8		-1.6 pp	2.2 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	18.0	18.8	18.9	17.1	19.3	18.2	18.3	17.9		-0.4 pp	-0.1 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	6.8	8.4	8.2	10.0	12.4	13.4	10.1	8.9	8.9	0.0 pp	2.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	15.1	23.4	25.6	26.0	22.8	24.2	21.4	19.8		-1.6 pp	4.7 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)				11.4	19.2	10.5	13.5	8.2		-5.3 pp		13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	8.5	5.3	6.2	4.4	6.4	5.3	5.8	5.8		0.0 pp	-2.7 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	55.5	53.9	46.7	48.0	58.9	51.8	58.5	58.9		0.4 pp	3.4 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	11.0	7.5	9.3	6.3	7.3	7.3	7.1	7.7		0.6 pp	-3.3 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	16.0	15.0	21.0	10.0	21.0	19.0	18.5	21.7		3.2 pp	5.7 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	8.0	5.0	8.0	11.0	10.0	10.0	8.9	8.9		0.0 pp	0.9 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	72.0	74.0	73.0	68.0	74.0	68.0	66.0	73.4		7.4 pp	1.4 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	13.0	13.0	17.0	14.0	15.0	21.0	23.3	18.6		-4.7 pp	5.6 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	19.2	14.7	14.3	14.7	17.8	14.9	16.3	17.7		1.4 pp	-1.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	16.5	19.6	16.3	14.8	14.8	16.1	17.4	18.8	19.2	0.4 pp	2.7 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)		2.1	1.4	1.6	1.2		2.4	3.3	3.5	0.2 pp		4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	20.5	24.9	21.5	19.8	20.3	22.4	23.9	25.2	25.8	0.6 pp	5.3 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	55.2	59.7	62.9	65.2	58.0	59.5	58.1	57.7		-0.4 pp	2.5 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	3.6	4.4	4.6	5.7	6.7	3.9	5.5	4.7		-0.8 pp	1.1 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	11.0	11.5	11.5	10.5	11.3	10.5	10.3	9.9	9.8	-0.1 pp	-1.2 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	11.4	11.7	11.5	10.8	9.7	8.4	6.9	6.9	6.3	-0.6 pp	-5.1 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.9	2.2	1.6	1.9	1.2		-0.7 pp	-0.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.4		0.1 pp	0.0 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	1.2	1.9	0.7	1.1	0.6	1.8	1.8	2.0		0.2 pp	0.8 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	5.2	5.3	5.4	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.2	5.7		1.5 pp	0.5 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS); CSO for Infant mortality data

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	83.1		38.4		72.9		29.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	83.1		68.7		72.9		62.7	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	83.1		71.4		72.9		65.8	
	AWG career length case	82.6		44.6	43.4	72.9		34.8	33.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			43.4				33.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			34.0				26.4	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			76.3				70.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			40.8				31.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			70.4				64.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			69.4				63.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			68.7				62.7	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				71.4				65.8
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				70.4				64.8
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				69.4				63.7
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				68.7				62.7
	Short career (30 year career)			65.4				58.0	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			68.7				62.6	
	Early retirement due to disability			68.7				62.6	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			68.3				60.8	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	100.6		34.3		91.5		29.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	100.6		82.2		91.5		78.0	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	100.6		84.2		91.5		81.2	
	AWG career length case	100.0		40.0	38.9	91.5		34.8	33.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			38.9				33.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			30.4				26.4	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			87.4				86.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			36.6				31.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			83.5				80.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			82.9				79.1	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			78.1				78.1	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				84.2				81.2
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				83.6				80.2
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				82.9				79.1
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				82.3				78.1
	Short career (30 year career)	n.a.	n.a.	79.3		n.a.	n.a.	73.4	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			82.2				78.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			82.2				78.0	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses				84.2				81.2
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	62.5		41.5		45.5		20.0	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	62.5		65.0		45.5		38.5	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

IE									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	63.5	63.9	65.9	66.1	65.9	65.8	66.3	66.6	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	65.1	65.2	66.9	68.3	68.5	68.0	67.5	67.9	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	9.3	10.2	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9	11.4	11.4	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	10.3	10.5	11.1	11.8	12.2	12.1	12.3	12.0	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.9	77.7	78.5	78.6	78.7	79.0	79.3	79.6	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.4	82.7	83.1	83.0	83.2	83.1	83.5	83.4	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	16.8	17.4	17.7	17.9	18.0	18.1	18.4	18.4	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.3	20.8	20.8	20.9	21.1	20.8	21.1	21.0	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.2	3.4	3.3	3.7	2.8	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	84.3	83.1	82.8	83.1	82.7	82.0	82.5	82.3	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)						3314.0	3330.7	3488.9		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)						10.4	9.9	7.8		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health;
ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS⁹⁴



⁹⁴ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

IE	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment Benefit and Assistance recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Social Welfare Monthly Statistical Reports
	Social assistance benefit
definition	Emergency Social Assistance recipients
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	Social Welfare Monthly Statistical Reports
	Disability benefit
definition	Illness, Disability & Caring recipients
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	Social Welfare Monthly Statistical Reports

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	IE											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	23.7	25.7	27.3	29.4	30.3	29.9	27.7	26.0	n.a.	-1.7 pp	2.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	15.5	15.0	15.2	15.2	16.6	15.7	16.4	16.3	n.a.	-0.1 pp	0.8 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10901	10386	10102	9999	9962	10039	9939	10622	n.a.	7.5 %	-6.2 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	5.5	6.1	5.7	7.8	9.8	9.9	8.4	7.5	7.5	0.0 pp	2.0 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	13.7	20.0	22.9	24.2	23.4	23.9	21.0	19.2	n.a.	-1.8 pp	5.5 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	17.7	16.2	15.5	17.5	20.0	17.5	18.9	18.5	n.a.	-0.4 pp	0.8 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	8.8	13.2	9.1	10.7	9.4	n.a.	-1.3 pp	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.4	4.2	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.5	n.a.	-8.2 %	2.3 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	26.6	31.4	34.1	34.1	33.5	34.4	30.4	28.8	n.a.	-1.6 pp	2.2 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	54.4	60.0	61.9	61.6	58.0	59.0	55.8	55.0	n.a.	-0.8 pp	0.6 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	62.9	67.5	69.7	69.9	67.0	68.1	66.0	64.8	n.a.	-1.2 pp	1.9 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	46.6	46.0	43.1	43.3	50.0	47.1	52.4	54.1	n.a.	1.7 pp	7.5 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	6.3	4.9	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.0	5.4	4.8	n.a.	-0.6 pp	-1.5 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.7	3.5	6.8	8.6	9.0	7.8	6.6	5.3	4.2	-1.1 pp	2.5 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	11.4	11.7	11.5	10.8	9.7	8.4	6.9	6.9	6.3	-0.6 pp	-5.1 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	7.1	11.7	12.0	12.1	12.3	10.6	8.9	7.6	6.7	-0.9 pp	-0.4 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	15.0	18.6	19.2	18.8	18.7	16.1	15.2	14.3	13.0	-1.3 pp	-2.0 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	53.9	51.3	50.2	50.0	49.3	51.3	53.0	55.6	57.2	1.6 pp	3.3 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	22.5	17.9	11.3	13.8	15.2	13.7	13.9	16.5	n.a.	2.6 pp	-6.0 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.74	0.78	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.91	0.89	0.87	n.a.	-2.2 %	17.6 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.49	0.48	0.47	0.43	0.42	0.37	0.38	0.38	n.a.	0.0 %	-22.4 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.2	3.4	3.3	3.7	2.8	n.a.	-0.9 pp	1.0 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	9.3	10.2	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9	11.4	11.4	n.a.	0.0 %	22.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	10.3	10.5	11.1	11.8	12.2	12.1	12.3	12.0	n.a.	-2.4 %	16.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	3.3	4.0	4.9	6.1	6.3	4.6	6.2	4.6	n.a.	-1.6 pp	1.3 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	4.3	-1.0	-2.0	-3.7	1.5	-2.0	2.2	4.7	n.a.	4.7 %	-0.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, IRELAND

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The number of men and women aged 0-59 living in jobless households is worse than the EU average⁹⁵ but shows a significantly positive development.</p> <p><i>There is a higher than average risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities⁹⁶ and there is a higher than EU average gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities⁹⁷.</i></p>	The impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing poverty is significantly better than the EU average.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	The share of children living in jobless households is worse than the EU average but shows a significantly positive development.	<p>Impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing child poverty is significantly better than the EU average.</p> <p>The at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work is significantly better than the EU average.</p>
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		In-work poverty is significantly lower than the EU average, especially for women.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>The share of people aged +65 at risk of poverty or social exclusion is around the EU average but shows some negative development.</p> <p>Aggregate replacement ratio (excl. other social benefits) is significantly below the EU average.</p>	Poverty gap (65+) is below the EU average and is decreasing.

⁹⁵ To be noted that work continues to explore and explain the disparity between Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (SILC) measurement of very low work intensity households and Labour Force Survey (LFS) data on jobless households. It should be acknowledged that while the rate of jobless households in Ireland is falling the issue remains a concern.

⁹⁶ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

⁹⁷ Source: Eurostat 2015.

5. Health & LTC	<p>Unmet need for medical care is around the EU average but shows some negative development, mainly due to the subcomponent cost.</p> <p><i>There is room to improve the cost-effectiveness of the health system⁹⁸.</i></p>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


⁹⁸ Source: Country Report 2016 and 2017.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 450,000 by 2020, compared to the figure in 2008.

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

⁹⁹ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

EL		EU28											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	71.8	71.5	72.8	69.0	66.8	64.8	61.4	59.9	59.5	-0.3 pp	-12.3 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	2187	2149	2205	2349	2536	2529	2384	2293	2256	-1.6 %	3.2 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	20.1	17.9	20.4	28.7	30.5	37.6	34.9	34.2	35.1	0.9 pp	15.0 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	611	539	619	978	1158	1466	1357	1309	1330	1.6 %	117.7 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	39.8	39.8	41.9	49.0	56.4	56.9	59.8	62.1	63.0	0.9 pp	23.2 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	1213	1198	1269	1667	2141	2223	2322	2377	2388	0.5 %	96.9 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	4.0	3.6	4.4	6.6	6.9	7.5	5.6	4.7	4.4	-0.4 pp	0.4 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	122	107	134	226	260	291	216	181	165	-8.8 %	35.2 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	18.5	19.2	22.1	20.4	23.7	20.2	23.2	22.5	22.5	-0.1 pp	3.9 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	564	576	669	693	900	789	901	863	851	-1.4 %	50.9 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	4.1	2.8	3.6	8.9	10.4	14.5	12.3	12.9	13.9	1.0 pp	9.9 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	124	85	108	303	396	565	479	495	528	6.7 %	325.8 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	1.0	0.9	1.4	2.0	2.3	2.7	2.7	3.1	3.0	-0.1 pp	1.9 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	31	26	43	67	86	104	104	117	112	-4.3 %	261.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

EL										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.3	-4.3	-5.5	-9.1	-7.3	-3.2	0.4	-0.2	0.0	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	1.3	-0.6	-2.6	-6.9	-6.3	-2.6	0.0	0.5	1.3	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7.8	9.6	12.7	17.9	24.5	27.5	26.5	24.9	23.6	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.7	3.9	5.7	8.8	14.5	18.5	19.5	18.2	17.0	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	22.4	24.6	25.7	27.2	27.6	26.0	25.5				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

EL											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	22.4	24.6	25.7	27.2	27.6	26.0	25.5		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	6.3	6.9	7.1	6.5	6.1	5.7	5.0		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	10.5	11.4	11.9	13.5	14.7	13.7	14.0		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.1		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.2	1.2		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	21.6	23.8	24.8	26.3	26.7	24.8	24.3		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	6.3	6.9	7.0	6.5	6.1	5.7	5.0		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	10.1	11.0	11.6	13.1	14.3	13.4	13.7		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.5		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.1		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1		

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

EL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.1	27.6	27.7	31.0	34.6	35.7	36.0	35.7		-0.3 pp	7.6 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.1	19.7	20.1	21.4	23.1	23.1	22.1	21.4		-0.7 pp	1.3 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	7219	7521	7559	6976	6038	5427	5166	5281	5396	-1.0 %	-34.9 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	15160	15794	15874	14650	12679	11397	10849	11091	11331	-1.0 %	-34.9 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.2	11.0	11.6	15.2	19.5	20.3	21.5	22.2	22.2	0.0 pp	11.0 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.5	6.6	7.6	12.0	14.2	18.2	17.2	16.8	17.2	0.4 pp	9.7 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	13.0	16.1	17.6	10.5	13.8	12.4	14.5	13.3		-1.2 pp	0.3 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	24.7	24.1	23.4	26.1	29.9	32.7	31.3	30.6	31.9	1.3 pp	7.2 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	20.1	18.9	18.0	24.9	35.8	44.3	48.0	48.0		0.0 pp	27.9 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	13.7	13.2	15.6	13.7	13.8	17.5	15.0	16.1		1.1 pp	2.4 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	5.9	5.8	5.6	6.0	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.6	1.5 %	11.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	26.7	25.0	25.5	25.9	26.5	27.3	27.4	28.1	28.7	0.6 pp	2.0 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	22.2	21.8	18.1	24.2	33.1	36.9	40.7	40.9	40.5	-0.4 pp	18.3 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	1.1	0.9	-11.1	-10.6	-8.9	-6.6	0.8	-3.0				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

EL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.7	30.0	28.7	30.4	35.4	38.1	36.7	37.8		1.1 pp	9.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	23.0	23.7	23.0	23.7	26.9	28.8	25.5	26.6		1.1 pp	3.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	10.4	12.2	12.2	16.4	20.9	23.3	23.8	25.7	25.7	0.0 pp	15.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.9	2.7	3.9	7.2	7.6	13.8	10.2	10.6	10.9	0.3 pp	7.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	26.0	26.4	26.0	27.4	36.0	39.0	34.4	34.5	33.3	-1.2 pp	7.3 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	10.9	6.0	10.9	10.6	9.7	18.2	17.7	18.4		0.7 pp	7.6 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	30.6	27.9	29.0	28.9	27.3	32.9	32.7	34.6	36.8	2.2 pp	6.2 pp	22.6	23.0
EL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	34.0	31.6	38.4	40.3	48.3	50.7	53.3	50.9		-2.4 pp	16.9 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	23.0	22.3	27.6	26.9	33.1	34.1	33.3	29.9		-3.4 pp	6.9 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	13.8	14.8	18.0	22.5	29.0	29.4	32.5	32.1	32.1	0.0 pp	18.3 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.3	6.6	11.2	14.0	19.3	21.6	24.1	22.0	21.9	-0.1 pp	13.6 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	15.5	11.6	11.9	12.9	13.3	18.1	20.2	19.2	19.0	-0.2 pp	3.5 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.6	7.9	9.9	13.0	16.1	16.5	14.7	12.9	11.7	-1.2 pp	5.1 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	15.6	16.9	20.3	24.2	28.1	28.2	26.5	23.7	21.9	-1.8 pp	6.3 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	30.5	32.8	33.1	35.2	48.2	49.3	48.8	46.5	46.9	0.4 pp	16.4 pp	14.6	14.2

EL	%											EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	27.9	27.1	27.7	31.6	37.7	39.1	40.1	39.4		-0.7 pp	11.5 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.7	18.1	19.0	20.0	23.8	24.1	23.5	22.5		-1.0 pp	3.8 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	10.4	10.3	11.2	15.4	20.7	21.6	22.9	23.5	23.5	0.0 pp	13.1 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.6	7.8	8.7	13.5	16.3	19.6	19.4	18.7	19.2	0.5 pp	10.6 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	25.9	26.1	24.8	28.8	32.5	33.6	34.2	33.5	34.6	1.1 pp	8.7 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	14.2	13.7	13.9	11.9	15.1	13.0	13.2	13.4	14.0	0.6 pp	-0.2 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	13.8	13.0	14.4	13.0	14.4	16.3	14.5	14.8		0.2 pp	0.9 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	29.2	27.8	28.4	28.8	30.2	29.9	30.2	31.0	31.5	0.5 pp	2.3 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	22.9	22.4	18.6	23.5	34.4	38.1	41.5	41.4	42.7	1.3 pp	19.8 pp	12.0	11.7
EL	%											EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.1	26.8	26.7	29.3	23.5	23.1	23.0	22.8		-0.2 pp	-5.3 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	22.3	21.4	21.3	23.6	17.2	15.1	14.9	13.7		-1.2 pp	-8.6 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	14.8	12.1	12.4	13.1	14.3	13.7	15.5	15.2	15.2	0.0 pp	0.4 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.86	0.86	0.84	0.81	1.01	1.04	1.00	1.04	1.07	2.9 %	24.4 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.45	0.52	0.60	0.60	0.61	0.63	3.3 %	53.7 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	14.2	12.8	12.5	13.2	14.1	14.4	14.1	14.2	13.6	-0.6 pp	-0.6 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	15.1	15.2	14.3	23.4	24.1	26.7	33.2	32.1	26.0	-6.1 pp	10.9 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

EL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	28.7	30.0	28.7	30.4	35.4	38.1	36.7	37.8		1.1 pp	9.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	23.0	23.7	23.0	23.7	26.9	28.8	25.5	26.6		1.1 pp	3.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	10.4	12.2	12.2	16.4	20.9	23.3	23.8	25.7	25.7	0.0 pp	15.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	3.9	2.7	3.9	7.2	7.6	13.8	10.2	10.6	10.9	0.3 pp	7.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	14.2	19.8	21.4	11.7	16.9	17.2	20.1	17.3		-2.8 pp	3.1 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	16.9	17.0	17.2	14.4	18.5	15.6	15.8	15.7	15.4	-0.3 pp	-1.5 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	60.3	54.0	56.0	79.2	84.4	81.3	68.6	72.1	78.0	5.9 pp	17.7 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	21.4	22.8	21.6	19.2	22.1	20.4	20.6	21.2	20.1	-1.1 pp	-1.3 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	8.0	5.5	4.9		-0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	7.0	7.0	5.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	7.3	6.5		-0.8 pp	-0.5 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	40.0	41.0	46.0	43.0	37.0	39.0	26.1	41.1		15.0 pp	1.1 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	27.0	27.0	23.0	32.0	39.0	30.0	30.4	26.0		-4.4 pp	-1.0 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	26.0	26.4	26.0	27.4	36.0	39.0	34.4	34.5	33.3	-1.2 pp	7.3 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	11.4	9.1	7.2	5.8	5.6	4.1	2.7	2.8	2.6	-0.2 pp	-8.8 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	15.7	12.8	10.5	9.0	8.6	6.6	4.6	4.6	4.2	-0.4 pp	-11.5 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	10.9	6.0	10.9	10.6	9.7	18.2	17.7	18.4		0.7 pp	7.6 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	27.4	26.5	20.2	27.4	38.4	44.0	46.5	49.2	50.1	0.9 pp	22.7 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	6.8	6.9	7.9	8.6	10.0	10.2	9.7	8.5	8.7	0.2 pp	1.9 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	14.4	14.2	13.5	12.9	11.3	10.1	9.0	7.9	6.2	-1.7 pp	-8.2 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.2	2.0	1.3	2.4	1.9	3.5	4.7	3.7		-1.0 pp	2.5 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	2.7	3.1	3.8	3.4	2.9	3.7	3.7	4.0		0.3 pp	1.3 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	9.3	8.3	7.9	7.1	5.8	7.7	7.1	8.2	8.0	-0.2 pp	-1.3 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	30.6	27.9	29.0	28.9	27.3	32.9	32.7	34.6	36.8	2.2 pp	6.2 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	n.a.	n.a.	47.0		n.a.	n.a.	47.2	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	n.a.	n.a.	43.0		n.a.	n.a.	46.1	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	n.a.	n.a.	47.0		n.a.	n.a.	47.2	
	AWG career length case	n.a.	n.a.	49.7	48.6	n.a.	n.a.	50.0	48.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			48.1				48.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			43.8				44.0	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			48.1				48.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			43.8				44.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			47.0				47.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			47.0				47.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			47.0				47.2	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				47.0				47.2
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				47.0				47.2
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				47.0				47.2
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				47.0				47.2
	Short career (30 year career)			39.4				39.5	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			47.0				47.2	
	Early retirement due to disability			47.0				47.2	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			37.3				37.4	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	n.a.	n.a.	51.4		n.a.	n.a.	56.2
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		n.a.	n.a.	39.2		n.a.	n.a.	38.7	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		n.a.	n.a.	51.4		n.a.	n.a.	56.2	
AWG career length case		n.a.	n.a.	53.2	52.4	n.a.	n.a.	58.3	57.4
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				52.1				48.3	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				47.7				44.0	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				52.1				48.3	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				47.7				44.0	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				51.4				56.2	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				51.4				56.2	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				51.4				56.2	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					51.4				56.2
Career break due to child care: 1 year					51.4				56.2
Career break due to child care: 2 years					51.4				56.2
Career break due to child care: 3 years					51.4				56.2
Short career (30 year career)		n.a.	n.a.	44.4		n.a.	n.a.	48.4	
Early retirement due to unemployment				51.4				56.2	
Early retirement due to disability				51.4				56.2	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					98.1				108.2
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	n.a.	n.a.	51.4		n.a.	n.a.	56.2
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	n.a.	n.a.	29.0		n.a.	n.a.	26.3	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

EL									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	65.6	66.1	66.1	66.2	64.8	64.7	64.1	63.9	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	66.2	66.8	67.7	66.9	64.9	65.1	64.8	64.1	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	9.0	7.9	8.7	9.0	8.6	8.0	7.7	7.9	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	8.4	7.3	8.2	7.9	7.3	6.8	7.1	7.5	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.5	77.8	78.0	78.0	78.0	78.7	78.9	78.5	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	83.0	82.7	83.3	83.6	83.4	84.0	84.1	83.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.7	18.1	18.2	18.2	18.1	18.7	18.8	18.5	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.5	20.2	20.9	21.2	20.9	21.6	21.6	21.3	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	5.4	5.5	5.5	7.5	8.0	9.0	10.9	12.3	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	76.0	75.4	75.5	76.4	74.8	73.9	73.5	74.4	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)		2253.4	2042.3	2000.3	1812.0	1563.9	1519.1	1638.8		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)		10.2	9.5	9.8	9.3	8.3	7.9	8.4		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁰⁰



¹⁰⁰ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

EL	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Hellenic Statistical Authority (ELSTAT), Labour Force Survey
comment	Data as on 9 June 2017
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Registered at the National Manpower Agency (OAED) receiving the Unemployment Benefit
unit	thousands of receivers
source	National Manpower Agency (OAED)
comment	Data 2010-2017 updated as on 9 June 2017

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	EL										change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015			latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	28.1	27.6	27.7	31.0	34.6	35.7	36.0	35.7	n.a.	-0.3 pp	7.6 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	20.1	19.7	20.1	21.4	23.1	23.1	22.1	21.4	n.a.	-0.7 pp	1.3 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	7219	7521	7559	6976	6038	5427	5166	5281	n.a.	-1.0 %	-34.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	11.2	11.0	11.6	15.2	19.5	20.3	21.5	22.2	22.2	0.0 pp	11.0 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	7.5	6.6	7.6	12.0	14.2	18.2	17.2	16.8	n.a.	-0.4 pp	9.3 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	24.7	24.1	23.4	26.1	29.9	32.7	31.3	30.6	n.a.	-0.7 pp	5.9 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	13.0	16.1	17.6	10.5	13.8	12.4	14.5	13.3	n.a.	-1.2 pp	0.3 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	5.9	5.8	5.6	6.0	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.5	n.a.	0.0 %	10.2 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	28.7	30.0	28.7	30.4	35.4	38.1	36.7	37.8	n.a.	1.1 pp	9.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	13.7	13.2	15.6	13.7	13.8	17.5	15.0	16.1	n.a.	1.1 pp	2.3 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	51.6	53.1	53.0	52.3	53.6	56.7	57.7	59.5	n.a.	1.9 pp	8.0 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	40.3	35.8	39.1	54.1	56.8	58.4	51.1	51.6	n.a.	0.5 pp	11.3 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	14.2	13.7	13.9	11.9	15.1	13.0	13.2	13.4	n.a.	0.2 pp	-0.8 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	3.7	3.9	5.7	8.8	14.5	18.5	19.5	18.2	17.0	-1.2 pp	13.3 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	14.4	14.2	13.5	12.9	11.3	10.1	9.0	7.9	6.2	-1.7 pp	-8.2 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.6	7.9	9.9	13.0	16.1	16.5	14.7	12.9	11.7	-1.2 pp	5.1 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	11.4	12.4	14.8	17.4	20.2	20.4	19.1	17.2	15.8	-1.4 pp	4.4 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	43.0	42.4	42.4	39.5	36.5	35.6	34.0	34.3	36.3	2.0 pp	-6.7 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	28.1	26.8	26.7	29.3	23.5	23.1	23.0	22.8	n.a.	-0.2 pp	-5.3 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.86	0.86	0.84	0.81	1.01	1.04	1.00	1.04	n.a.	4.0 %	20.9 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.45	0.52	0.60	0.60	0.61	n.a.	1.7 %	48.8 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	5.4	5.5	5.5	7.5	8.0	9.0	10.9	12.3	n.a.	1.4 pp	6.9 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	9.0	7.9	8.7	9.0	8.6	8.0	7.7	7.9	n.a.	2.6 %	-12.2 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	8.4	7.3	8.2	7.9	7.3	6.8	7.1	7.5	n.a.	5.6 %	-10.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	22.2	21.8	18.1	24.2	33.1	36.9	40.7	40.9	n.a.	0.2 pp	18.7 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	1.1	0.9	-11.1	-10.6	-8.9	-6.6	0.8	-3.0	n.a.	-3.0 %	-33.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPMM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, GREECE

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion is significantly worse than the EU average, in particular for working age population and children.</p> <p>Severe material deprivation (4+) is significantly worse than the EU average (worse for all age group but in particular for children and working age population).</p> <p>Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households is significantly worse than the EU average (also for working age people and children).</p> <p>Inequalities (S80/S20) are higher than the EU average.</p> <p>Housing cost overburden is significantly higher than EU average (for all age group but in particular for elderly).</p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The ‘children at-risk-of poverty rate’ indicators are worse than the EU average.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>Impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing working age poverty significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The poverty gap (18-64) is worse than the EU average.</p>	<p>The ‘at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-)jobless households (18-59)’ indicator is around the EU average but shows a significantly positive development.</p>
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		
5. Health & LTC	<p>Unmet need for medical care, in particular due to costs, and the gap in unmet need between the bottom and the top income quintile are significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	<p>The vaccination coverage rate of children for DTP is significantly above the 95% threshold.</p>

	<i>Greece is lagging behind in public health prevention, combined with an ineffectively high antibiotics prescription¹⁰¹.</i>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


¹⁰¹ Sources: EPC and COM. Joint report on health systems 2017; Health at a Glance 2016.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 1,400,000-1,500,000.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; VLWI - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the income reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁰² Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

ES													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	83.4	82.4	79.4	77.3	76.5	74.6	76.2	77.3	80.1	2.8 pp	-3.3 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	8997	9342	9551	9550	9656	9425	10218	10178	10269	0.9 %	14.1 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	21.8	24.1	32.4	38.9	40.7	44.4	45.0	41.2	40.5	-0.7 pp	18.7 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	2349	2730	3897	4810	5137	5604	6036	5426	5188	-4.4 %	120.9 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	15.1	18.2	18.8	17.0	21.4	22.7	24.2	22.5	20.7	-1.8 pp	5.7 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	1625	2066	2264	2100	2708	2862	3246	2964	2659	-10.3 %	63.6 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	9.0	9.7	14.9	17.3	17.8	20.4	20.5	17.6	18.2	0.6 pp	9.3 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	969	1097	1786	2132	2251	2574	2744	2321	2339	0.8 %	141.4 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.5	7.1	7.1	4.0	5.4	5.9	7.3	6.2	6.9	0.7 pp	0.4 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	705	804	853	492	681	745	984	819	884	7.9 %	25.4 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	2.2	3.6	4.0	5.2	7.0	6.8	7.9	7.7	7.5	-0.2 pp	5.4 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	234	410	481	640	886	858	1064	1019	966	-5.2 %	312.8 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	0.4	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.3	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.0	-0.6 pp	0.7 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	42	81	82	194	168	223	241	214	134	-37.4 %	219.0 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

ES										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	1.1	-3.6	0.0	-1.0	-2.9	-1.7	1.4	3.2	3.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.2	-6.3	-1.7	-2.7	-4.0	-2.6	0.9	2.5	2.7	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	11.3	17.9	19.9	21.4	24.8	26.1	24.5	22.1	19.6	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.0	4.3	7.3	8.9	11.0	13.0	12.9	11.4	9.5	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	21.0	24.0	24.2	24.9	25.0	25.3	24.9				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

ES											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	21.0	24.0	24.2	24.9	25.0	25.3	24.9		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	6.6	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.6	6.5	6.5		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.8		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	6.9	7.7	8.1	8.5	9.1	9.6	9.8		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	2.3	3.5	3.2	3.6	3.4	3.3	2.7		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	2.8	3.3	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.5		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.4	0.6	0.7	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.7		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	18.2	20.6	20.6	20.8	21.3	21.7	21.4		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	6.6	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.6	6.5	6.5		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	5.9	6.4	6.8	7.2	7.7	8.2	8.5		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	1.9	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	1.9		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

ES	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.8	24.7	26.1	26.7	27.2	27.3	29.2	28.6	27.9	-0.7 pp	4.1 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.8	20.4	20.7	20.6	20.8	20.4	22.2	22.1	22.3	0.2 pp	2.5 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	9026	9338	8967	8655	8582	8550	8517	8678	9105	2.8 %	-9.2 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	18954	19610	18830	18175	18023	17955	17886	18224	19120	2.8 %	-9.2 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.6	4.5	4.9	4.5	5.8	6.2	7.1	6.4	5.8	-0.6 pp	2.2 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.6	7.6	10.8	13.4	14.3	15.7	17.1	15.4	14.9	-0.5 pp	8.3 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	11.0	12.5	11.6	12.7	13.3	12.1	14.3	15.8	14.8	-1.0 pp	3.8 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	25.6	25.7	26.8	27.4	30.6	30.9	31.6	33.8	31.4	-2.4 pp	5.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	19.8	19.7	20.6	23.7	25.9	28.1	30.9	29.9	29.2	-0.7 pp	9.4 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	23.0	24.2	28.1	31.3	28.5	32.0	28.6	26.6	24.4	-2.2 pp	1.5 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.3	6.8	6.9	6.6	-4.3 %	17.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	5.6	5.2	5.0	6.6	5.6	5.2	5.3	5.5	5.4	-0.1 pp	-0.2 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.4	10.4	9.7	10.0	10.7	10.3	10.9	10.3	10.2	-0.1 pp	0.8 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	1.8	2.8	-3.4	-1.5	-5.7	-1.9	0.7	2.1				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

ES	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	30.1	32.0	33.3	32.2	32.4	32.6	35.8	34.4	32.9	-1.5 pp	2.8 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	27.3	29.0	29.3	27.5	27.9	27.5	30.5	29.6	29.7	0.1 pp	2.4 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.5	6.7	7.4	5.2	7.6	8.3	9.5	9.1	7.1	-2.0 pp	1.6 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.2	6.2	9.5	11.6	12.3	13.8	14.2	12.0	11.6	-0.4 pp	7.4 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	30.9	28.6	31.4	31.8	33.8	35.4	35.1	40.0	35.9	-4.1 pp	5.0 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	18.3	18.1	21.9	25.9	23.4	27.6	22.4	21.1	17.5	-3.6 pp	-0.8 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	9.0	8.2	7.5	9.5	8.5	7.1	7.9	8.2	8.4	0.2 pp	-0.6 pp	22.6	23.0
ES	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.9	25.1	28.7	31.0	35.4	36.5	38.6	41.7	39.5	-2.2 pp	15.6 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.1	19.1	22.0	23.0	28.4	28.2	30.0	32.5	31.3	-1.2 pp	12.2 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.4	5.9	6.5	7.0	6.9	7.8	8.9	8.1	8.5	0.4 pp	4.1 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.8	7.4	10.2	13.3	15.5	17.7	20.7	20.7	18.8	-1.9 pp	11.0 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	12.8	13.5	14.9	12.1	12.3	15.5	21.3	24.7	18.3	-6.4 pp	5.5 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	11.7	17.0	17.7	18.9	20.6	21.0	19.0	16.8	14.7	-2.1 pp	3.0 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	16.7	22.3	22.1	22.8	23.6	24.0	22.1	20.1	19.1	-1.0 pp	2.4 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	10.0	10.4	12.2	9.7	12.9	12.4	13.3	12.5	9.8	-2.7 pp	-0.2 pp	14.6	14.2

ES	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.5	22.7	24.9	26.7	28.6	29.2	31.8	31.2	30.4	-0.8 pp	8.9 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.5	17.2	18.1	19.0	20.4	20.4	22.9	22.8	22.9	0.1 pp	6.4 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.5	4.5	4.9	4.8	6.1	6.5	7.6	6.9	6.4	-0.5 pp	2.9 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.3	8.0	11.2	13.9	14.9	16.3	18.0	16.5	15.9	-0.6 pp	8.6 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	26.3	27.5	29.7	29.1	32.0	31.4	33.0	35.0	33.2	-1.8 pp	6.9 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	11.3	11.7	10.8	10.9	10.8	10.6	12.6	13.2	13.1	-0.1 pp	1.8 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	28.3	30.1	33.2	35.8	31.8	34.6	30.8	29.0	27.1	-1.9 pp	-1.2 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	5.6	5.2	5.1	6.8	5.7	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.6	-0.1 pp	0.0 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.6	10.7	10.1	10.5	11.6	11.1	12.1	11.2	11.0	-0.2 pp	1.4 pp	12.0	11.7
ES	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	26.2	24.9	22.9	21.2	16.5	14.5	12.9	13.7	14.4	0.7 pp	-11.8 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	25.5	23.8	21.8	19.8	14.8	12.7	11.4	12.3	13.0	0.7 pp	-12.5 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.5	0.3 pp	0.6 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.83	0.87	0.88	0.91	0.96	1.00	1.03	1.01	1.01	0.0 %	21.7 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.42	0.45	0.47	0.51	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.66	0.66	0.0 %	57.1 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.4	2.0	1.8	-0.2 pp	0.0 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.1	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.9	3.5	3.7	3.5	-0.2 pp	-0.6 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

ES	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	30.1	32.0	33.3	32.2	32.4	32.6	35.8	34.4	32.9	-1.5 pp	2.8 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	27.3	29.0	29.3	27.5	27.9	27.5	30.5	29.6	29.7	0.1 pp	2.4 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	5.5	6.7	7.4	5.2	7.6	8.3	9.5	9.1	7.1	-2.0 pp	1.6 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.2	6.2	9.5	11.6	12.3	13.8	14.2	12.0	11.6	-0.4 pp	7.4 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	17.1	18.5	19.1	18.0	19.0	17.6	19.6	21.4	22.2	0.8 pp	5.1 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	16.0	16.3	14.7	13.5	14.2	13.6	15.3	16.1	16.2	0.1 pp	0.2 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	71.1	76.5	77.1	74.5	81.0	78.6	77.7	78.7	82.2	3.5 pp	11.1 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	25.4	25.8	24.1	21.3	20.4	19.3	22.6	22.9	22.8	-0.1 pp	-2.6 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	21.0	15.0	19.0	19.0	21.0	19.0	20.6	19.1		-1.5 pp	-1.9 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	17.0	18.0	18.0	20.0	15.0	16.0	16.3	20.6		4.3 pp	3.6 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	50.0	50.0	45.0	45.0	52.0	51.0	51.8	46.6		-5.2 pp	-3.4 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	45.0	43.0	48.0	40.0	40.0	39.0	41.4	45.4		4.0 pp	0.4 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	30.9	28.6	31.4	31.8	33.8	35.4	35.1	40.0	35.9	-4.1 pp	5.0 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	15.3	13.3	13.2	12.8	10.5	11.6	10.2	9.6	10.1	0.5 pp	-5.2 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	0.8	1.6	1.3	2.5	1.2	2.2	1.1	0.9	1.9	1.0 pp	1.1 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	19.2	16.5	16.5	16.2	13.7	15.1	13.5	12.7	13.1	0.4 pp	-6.1 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	18.3	18.1	21.9	25.9	23.4	27.6	22.4	21.1	17.5	-3.6 pp	-0.8 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	13.8	15.2	13.5	13.8	14.4	13.7	14.2	14.0	14.0	0.0 pp	0.2 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	11.4	12.5	11.6	11.0	10.4	10.1	8.8	8.8	8.0	-0.8 pp	-3.4 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	31.7	30.9	28.2	26.3	24.7	23.6	21.9	20.0	19.0	-1.0 pp	-12.7 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.1 pp	0.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	2.7	2.8	2.7		-0.1 pp	-0.6 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	2.5	3.1	2.9	3.3	2.1	2.7	3.2	2.5	2.9	0.4 pp	0.4 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	9.0	8.2	7.5	9.5	8.5	7.1	7.9	8.2	8.4	0.2 pp	-0.6 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data).

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	96.2		86.8		88.2		79.5	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	96.2		86.8		88.2		79.5	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	96.2		86.8		88.2		79.5	
	AWG career length case	81.7	89.1	81.3	83.4	74.3	82.0	74.0	76.8
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			90.9				85.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			74.7				67.6	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			90.9				85.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			74.7				67.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			86.7				79.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			86.5				79.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			85.0				77.7	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			86.8				79.5	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			86.7				79.5	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			86.6				79.3	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			86.4				79.0	
	Short career (30 year career)			P.n.a.				P.n.a.	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			72.8				65.5	
	Early retirement due to disability			89.7				84.0	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			76.0				68.3	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	97.4		87.8		88.2		79.5
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		97.4		87.8		88.2		79.5	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		97.4		87.8		88.2		79.5	
AWG career length case		86.4	91.4	86.0	85.5	74.3	82.0	74.0	76.8
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				90.7				85.9	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				79.7				67.6	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				90.7				85.9	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				79.7				67.6	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				87.8				79.4	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				87.6				79.2	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				86.4				77.8	
Career break due to child care: 0 year				87.8				79.5	
Career break due to child care: 1 year				87.8				79.5	
Career break due to child care: 2 years				87.7				79.3	
Career break due to child care: 3 years				87.5				79.0	
Short career (30 year career)		69.5		P.n.a.		59.0		P.n.a.	
Early retirement due to unemployment				78.1				65.5	
Early retirement due to disability				89.7				84.0	
Pension rights of surviving spouses				143.0				142.2	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	86.3		75.7		76.8		67.1
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	86.3		75.7		76.8		67.1	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

ES									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	64.0	63.1	64.5	65.4	64.8	64.7	65.0	63.9	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	63.7	62.1	63.8	65.6	65.8	63.9	65.0	64.1	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	9.9	9.3	9.6	9.8	9.2	9.7	10.1	9.5	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	8.8	8.5	9.1	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.4	8.9	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	78.3	78.7	79.2	79.5	79.5	80.2	80.4	80.1	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	84.6	84.9	85.5	85.6	85.5	86.1	86.2	85.8	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	18.1	18.3	18.6	18.8	18.7	19.2	19.3	19.0	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	22.1	22.4	22.9	23.0	22.8	23.4	23.5	23.0	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	72.4	70.6	71.8	75.4	74.3	71.6	72.7	72.4	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	2239.5	2236.4	2240.4	2186.3	2163.8	2086.7	2176.2	2319.7		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	8.9	9.6	9.7	9.4	9.3	9.0	9.1			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁰³



¹⁰³ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition according to the ILO) are given as background.

Unemployment	
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
Unemployment benefit	
definition	Number of Unemployment Benefits Total (In Thousands) 1) Contributory Unemployment Benefit 2) Social Assistance Unemployment Benefit 3) Programme of active insertion income
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry of Employment and Social Security
Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income	
definition	RMI : Minimum Income for Insertion (holders)
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality
Disability benefit	
definition	Number of invalidity pensions
	The difference between these data and those provided in ESSPROS are due to these data only correspond to Code 1121111 Scheme 1 ESSPROS, without eliminating double counting.
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry of Employment and Social Security

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	ES											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	23.8	24.7	26.1	26.7	27.2	27.3	29.2	28.6	27.9	-0.6 pp	4.8 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	19.8	20.4	20.7	20.6	20.8	20.4	22.2	22.1	22.3	-0.1 pp	2.3 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	9026	9338	8967	8655	8582	8550	8517	8678	9105	1.3 %	-11.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	3.6	4.5	4.9	4.5	5.8	6.2	7.1	6.4	5.8	-0.6 pp	2.2 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	6.6	7.6	10.8	13.4	14.3	15.7	17.1	15.4	14.9	-1.7 pp	8.8 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	25.6	25.7	26.8	27.4	30.6	30.9	31.6	33.8	31.4	2.2 pp	8.2 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	11.0	12.5	11.6	12.7	13.3	12.1	14.3	15.8	14.8	1.5 pp	4.8 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.5	6.3	6.8	6.9	6.6	1.5 %	23.2 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	30.1	32.0	33.3	32.2	32.4	32.6	35.8	34.4	32.9	-1.4 pp	4.3 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	23.0	24.2	28.1	31.3	28.5	32.0	28.6	26.6	24.4	-2.0 pp	3.6 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	47.6	48.1	50.8	53.0	52.5	55.2	53.3	53.0	52.4	-0.3 pp	5.4 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	51.4	55.2	58.2	57.6	61.1	61.3	63.1	61.6	63.6	-1.5 pp	10.2 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	11.3	11.7	10.8	10.9	10.8	10.6	12.6	13.2	13.1	0.6 pp	1.9 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.0	4.3	7.3	8.9	11.0	13.0	12.9	11.4	9.5	-1.9 pp	7.5 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	31.7	30.9	28.2	26.3	24.7	23.6	21.9	20.0	19.0	-1.0 pp	-12.7 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	11.7	17.0	17.7	18.9	20.6	21.0	19.0	16.8	14.7	-2.1 pp	3.0 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	14.3	18.1	17.8	18.2	18.6	18.6	17.1	15.6	14.6	-1.0 pp	0.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	45.5	44.0	43.5	44.5	43.9	43.2	44.3	46.9	49.1	2.2 pp	3.6 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	26.2	24.9	22.9	21.2	16.5	14.5	12.9	13.7	14.4	0.8 pp	-12.5 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.83	0.87	0.88	0.91	0.96	1.00	1.03	1.01	1.01	-1.9 %	21.7 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.42	0.45	0.47	0.51	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.66	0.66	10.0 %	57.1 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6	n.a.	0.0 pp	0.2 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	9.9	9.3	9.6	9.8	9.2	9.7	10.1	9.5	n.a.	-5.9 %	-4.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	8.8	8.5	9.1	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.4	8.9	n.a.	-5.3 %	1.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	9.4	10.4	9.7	10.0	10.7	10.3	10.9	10.3	10.2	-0.6 pp	0.9 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	1.8	2.8	-3.4	-1.5	-5.7	-1.9	0.7	2.1	n.a.	2.1 %	-7.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, SPAIN

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The at-risk-of poverty rate is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The share of people (aged 0-59) living in jobless households is significantly higher than the EU average, especially for men.</p> <p>The S80/S20 income quintile gap is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The poverty gap and the persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate are significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	<p><i>Spain has one of the lowest gaps in the EU between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities¹⁰⁴¹⁰⁵.</i></p>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The number of children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The at-risk-of poverty rate of children (aged 0-17) is significantly worse and the at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>Impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing child poverty is significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>In-work poverty (18-64) for both men and women is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The number of adults (aged 18-59) not students living in jobless households is significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
4. Elderly poverty/ adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		
5. Health & LTC	<p><i>There are geographical disparities in provision of long-term care services¹⁰⁶.</i></p>	

¹⁰⁴ Source: Eurostat 2015

¹⁰⁵ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹⁰⁶ Sources: Country Report 2017; SAAD statistics (System for Autonomy and Care for Dependency)

6. Other key issues		
---------------------	--	--


Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 1,900,000 (baseline year: 2007 figure)

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2007 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁰⁷ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are generally based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

FR													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	67.8	69.8	69.3	72.7	74.0	75.8	71.9	76.7		4.8 pp	9.0 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	7554	7820	8112	8605	8707	8518	8302	8474		2.1 %	12.2 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	36.5	34.6	39.2	36.7	33.2	33.4	38.7	35.8		-2.9 pp	-0.7 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	4069	3873	4585	4346	3902	3754	4462	3956		-11.3 %	-2.8 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	29.2	30.1	30.1	27.1	27.7	27.2	25.9	25.6		-0.3 pp	-3.6 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	3253	3372	3530	3211	3256	3063	2985	2829	2775	-1.9 %	-14.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	11.5	10.8	13.3	13.3	12.1	13.8	13.6	15.5		1.9 pp	4.0 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	1282	1213	1559	1573	1426	1555	1568	1712		9.2 %	33.5 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.9	8.4	7.7	8.1	6.9	7.6	7.0	6.4		-0.7 pp	0.4 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	662	944	903	960	816	849	813	702		-13.7 %	6.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.7	6.4	7.9	6.7	6.9	6.4	6.7	6.8		0.2 pp	0.1 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	745	721	922	789	815	721	767	753		-1.8 %	1.1 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.6	2.4	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.6		0.1 pp	0.0 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	292	265	209	211	232	243	295	291		-1.4 %	-0.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

FR										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	0.2	-2.9	2.0	2.1	0.2	0.6	0.9	1.1	1.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.5	-1.1	0.1	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.6	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7.4	9.1	9.3	9.2	9.8	10.3	10.3	10.4	10.1	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.6	3.0	3.5	3.6	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	28.6	30.9	30.9	30.8	31.5	31.9	32.2				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

FR											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	28.6	30.9	30.9	30.8	31.5	31.9	32.2			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	8.4	9.0	8.9	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.2			8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1			2.0	2.0
	Old age	11.2	12.0	12.1	12.2	12.5	12.7	12.9			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.5	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	3.3	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	25.3	27.3	27.3	27.3	27.8	28.2	28.5			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	8.4	9.0	8.9	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.2			8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7			1.6	1.5
	Old age	10.8	11.6	11.7	11.8	12.1	12.3	12.4			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

FR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.5	18.5	19.2	19.3	19.1	18.1	18.5	17.7		-0.8 pp	-0.8 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.5	12.9	13.3	14.0	14.1	13.7	13.3	13.6		0.3 pp	1.1 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10496	10644	10669	10897	11271	11516	11584	11931		0.9 %	4.6 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	22041	22353	22406	22883	23668	24184	24327	25055		0.9 %	4.6 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.4	5.6	5.8	5.2	5.3	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.4	-0.1 pp	-1.0 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.8	8.4	9.9	9.4	8.4	8.1	9.6	8.6		-1.0 pp	-0.2 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate					7.0	8.3	7.9	8.5		0.6 pp		10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	14.5	18.2	19.5	17.1	16.2	16.8	16.6	15.7		-0.9 pp	1.2 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	12.5	12.5	12.3	13.7	13.8	13.4	12.9	13.0		0.1 pp	0.5 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	46.8	46.3	46.6	43.3	40.8	43.9	44.6	43.1		-1.5 pp	-3.7 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3		0.0 %	-2.3 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	9.7	9.6	9.2	8.0	8.1	7.4	7.1	7.4		0.3 pp	-2.3 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.2	4.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.7		0.6 pp	1.5 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	0.4	1.7	1.3	0.2	-0.8	-0.3	0.7	1.2				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

FR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.2	21.2	22.9	23.0	23.2	20.8	21.6	21.2		-0.4 pp	0.0 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.6	16.8	18.1	18.8	19.0	17.6	17.7	18.7		1.0 pp	3.1 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.6	6.5	7.0	7.0	7.2	5.6	5.7	5.4	5.3	-0.1 pp	-1.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.4	6.6	8.8	8.2	7.2	6.3	8.1	7.4		-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	14.5	18.2	17.2	16.7	15.4	17.5	17.0	15.3		-1.7 pp	0.8 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	55.3	51.5	50.0	47.5	44.3	48.1	48.4	45.2		-3.2 pp	-10.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	13.6	14.1	13.2	11.6	11.3	10.1	10.3	11.2		0.9 pp	-2.4 pp	22.6	23.0
FR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	27.4	26.6	30.3	29.1	27.8	28.2	27.0	24.7		-2.3 pp	-2.7 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	21.0	21.1	24.3	22.4	23.0	22.9	21.2	17.9		-3.3 pp	-3.1 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.4	8.6	8.1	6.9	7.3	6.4	6.4	6.0	6.1	0.1 pp	-2.3 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.4	8.3	10.7	11.0	9.4	10.6	10.0	9.4		-0.6 pp	0.0 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	12.2	10.7	12.2	11.2	12.0	12.5	12.8	10.2		-2.6 pp	-2.0 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	7.1	9.1	8.8	8.3	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.1	0.0 pp	2.0 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	13.9	16.8	16.6	16.3	16.6	14.7	15.2	16.3	16.1	-0.2 pp	2.2 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	10.0	9.1	11.7	11.3	12.8	11.4	11.3	8.5		-2.8 pp	-1.5 pp	14.6	14.2

FR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.8	18.9	19.9	20.1	19.8	19.3	19.9	19.0		-0.9 pp	0.2 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.6	11.8	12.7	13.5	13.7	13.7	13.2	13.4		0.2 pp	1.8 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.5	5.9	6.0	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.2	5.0	4.6	-0.4 pp	-0.9 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.4	9.1	10.3	9.8	8.9	8.8	10.3	9.0		-1.3 pp	-0.4 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.5	19.8	21.7	18.3	17.6	17.9	18.2	17.3		-0.9 pp	-1.2 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.5	6.6	6.5	7.6	8.0	7.8	8.0	7.5		-0.5 pp	1.0 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	47.3	47.8	48.0	43.8	41.0	43.9	45.2	44.6		-0.6 pp	-2.6 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	10.1	9.7	9.5	8.2	8.5	7.9	7.4	7.6		0.2 pp	-2.5 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.9	4.3	5.9	6.1	6.3	6.4	6.1	6.5		0.4 pp	1.6 pp	12.0	11.7
FR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	14.1	13.4	11.8	11.5	11.1	10.8	10.1	9.3		-0.8 pp	-4.8 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.9	11.9	9.4	9.7	9.4	9.1	8.6	8.0		-0.6 pp	-3.9 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.3	3.2	3.4	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.4	1.9	2.9	1.0 pp	-0.4 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.95	0.96	0.98	1.01	1.00	1.03	1.02	1.04		2.0 %	9.5 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.69	0.69		0.0 %	6.2 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	3.3	3.0	3.0	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.2		0.2 pp	-1.1 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	5.2	5.2	4.0	3.2	2.8	2.9	3.7	4.0		0.3 pp	-1.2 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

FR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	21.2	21.2	22.9	23.0	23.2	20.8	21.6	21.2		-0.4 pp	0.0 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	15.6	16.8	18.1	18.8	19.0	17.6	17.7	18.7		1.0 pp	3.1 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	6.6	6.5	7.0	7.0	7.2	5.6	5.7	5.4	5.3	-0.1 pp	-1.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	7.4	6.6	8.8	8.2	7.2	6.3	8.1	7.4		-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)					9.7	11.6	11.0	11.2		0.2 pp		13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	7.3	7.7	7.8	8.6	9.1	9.1	8.9	8.5		-0.4 pp	1.2 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	64.7	72.9	72.9	75.9	77.9	76.6	74.1	84.7		10.6 pp	20.0 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	11.5	12.8	12.7	13.6	14.3	13.5	12.6	13.3		0.7 pp	1.8 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	17.0	16.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	13.0	13.7	16.0		2.3 pp	-1.0 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	23.0	25.0	26.0	26.0	23.0	26.0	25.8	25.7		-0.1 pp	2.7 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	52.0	48.0	47.0	43.0	45.0	46.0	39.9	36.9		-3.0 pp	-15.1 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	44.0	47.0	47.0	52.0	50.0	46.0	55.9	56.7		0.8 pp	12.7 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	14.5	18.2	17.2	16.7	15.4	17.5	17.0	15.3		-1.7 pp	0.8 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	29.1	29.0	27.3	27.9	28.0	25.8	22.4	22.1	20.9	-1.2 pp	-8.2 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	6.1	6.0	5.9	6.3	6.0	5.4	5.7	5.6	5.6	0.0 pp	-0.5 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	33.6	33.7	32.0	32.5	32.9	30.1	26.4	26.3	24.8	-1.5 pp	-8.8 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	55.3	51.5	50.0	47.5	44.3	48.1	48.4	45.2		-3.2 pp	-10.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	1.6	2.0	3.7	4.3	3.8	3.9	3.5	4.6		1.1 pp	3.0 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.5	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.2	6.1	-0.1 pp	0.6 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	11.8	12.4	12.7	12.3	11.8	9.7	9.0	9.2	8.8	-0.4 pp	-3.0 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.6	2.0	1.5	2.8	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.6		-0.1 pp	0.0 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.7		0.2 pp	-0.1 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	5.0	4.7	4.4	3.6	3.9	3.1	3.7	4.2		0.5 pp	-0.8 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	13.6	14.1	13.2	11.6	11.3	10.1	10.3	11.2		0.9 pp	-2.4 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	80.2		59.8		67.9		50.4	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	80.2		66.0		67.9		55.6	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	80.2		69.0		67.9		58.1	
	AWG career length case	74.1	63.6	65.4	56.4	62.7	53.9	55.1	47.5
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			69.0				58.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			51.0				43.0	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			73.3				61.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			59.8				50.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			68.7				57.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			68.5				57.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			68.1				57.4	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year							77.6	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year							77.2	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years							76.8	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years							76.4	
	Short career (30 year career)			46.2				39.0	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			70.6				59.5	
	Early retirement due to disability			70.6				59.5	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			59.2				49.9	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	80.2		59.8		67.9		50.4	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	80.2		66.0		67.9		55.6	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	80.2		69.0		67.9		58.1	
	AWG career length case	72.9	63.6	65.4	56.4	61.6	53.9	55.1	47.5
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			69.0				58.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			51.0				43.0	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			73.3				61.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			59.8				50.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			68.7				57.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			68.5				57.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			68.1				57.4	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year							77.6	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year							77.2	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years							76.8	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years							76.4	
	Short career (30 year career)	56.4		46.2		47.7		39.0	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			70.6				59.5	
	Early retirement due to disability			70.6				59.5	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses							87.4	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	56.2		43.0		48.0		36.6	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	56.2		47.3		48.0		40.3	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

FR									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	62.8	62.8	61.8	62.7	62.6	63.0	63.4	62.6	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	64.5	63.5	63.4	63.6	63.8	64.4	64.2	64.6	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	8.7	9.0	9.0	9.7	9.4	9.8	10.4	9.8	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	10.1	9.5	9.8	9.9	10.4	10.7	10.7	10.7	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.8	78.0	78.2	78.7	78.7	79.0	79.5	79.2	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	84.8	85.0	85.3	85.7	85.4	85.6	86.0	85.5	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	18.5	18.7	18.9	19.3	19.1	19.3	19.7	19.4	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	23.0	23.2	23.4	23.8	23.4	23.6	24.0	23.5	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.8	1.2	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	69.1	68.6	67.3	67.6	68.1	67.2	68.1	67.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	2958.7	3005.9	3115.0	3205.3	3303.1	3262.0	3338.8			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	10.9	11.6	11.6	11.5	11.6	10.9	11.1			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁰⁸



¹⁰⁸ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

FR	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
link	http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=une_nb_m&lang=fr
Unemployment benefit 1	
definition	persons entitled to U unemployment insurance scheme : ARE (Allocation de Retour à l'Emploi)
unit	thousands of beneficiaries Seasonally adjusted (the whole of France)
source	Fichier National des Assédics (FNA)
link	http://www.pole-emploi.org/statistiques-analyses/series-de-donnees-sur-l-indemnisation-@/524/view-article-106210.html?
Unemployment benefit 2	
definition	persons entitled to U assistance scheme: ASS (Allocation de Solidarité Spécifique)
unit	thousands of beneficiaries - Seasonally adjusted (the whole of France)
source	Fichier National des Assédics (FNA)
link	http://www.pole-emploi.org/statistiques-analyses/series-de-donnees-sur-l-indemnisation-@/524/view-article-106210.html?
Social assistance benefit	
definition	Households entitled to social assistance Benefit (RSA since Q2/2009) RSA Socle
unit	thousands of beneficiaries (the whole of France)
source	CNAF
link	http://www.caf.fr/etudes-et-statistiques/donnees-statistiques/solidarite-et-insertion
	http://data.caf.fr/dataset/foyers-allocataires-percevant-le-revenu-de-solidarite-active-rsa-niveau-national
comment	<p>The revenu de solidarité active (RSA) scheme has been introduced in June 2009. It replaces two former social assistance benefits, the former minimum income scheme (revenu minimum d'insertion, RMI), and the lone parents benefit (allocation de parent isolé, API), and the various in-work benefits which were related to these two social assistance benefits. Notably for these reasons, the data on RMI and the data on RSA are not fully comparable. RSA was introduced in French oversee departments in 2011. Until 2016 the RSA scheme had two components (households could benefit from one or both):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - « RSA socle » is a minimum income - « RSA activité » completes the household income from work in the case of a low income. <p>Only «RSA socle» is a social assistance scheme. Within the attached data, only beneficiaries of «RSA socle» are covered.</p> <p>« RSA activité » was closed on the 1st of January 2016 and replaced by «Prime d'activité».</p>

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	FR											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	18.5	18.5	19.2	19.3	19.1	18.1	18.5	17.7	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-0.8 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	12.5	12.9	13.3	14.0	14.1	13.7	13.3	13.6	n.a.	0.3 pp	1.1 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10496	10644	10669	10897	11271	11516	11584	11931	n.a.	0.9 %	4.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	5.4	5.6	5.8	5.2	5.3	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.4	-0.1 pp	-1.0 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.8	8.4	9.9	9.4	8.4	8.1	9.6	8.6	n.a.	-1.0 pp	-0.2 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	14.5	18.2	19.5	17.1	16.2	16.8	16.6	15.7	n.a.	-0.9 pp	1.2 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	7.0	8.3	7.9	8.5	n.a.	0.6 pp	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	n.a.	0.0 %	-2.3 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	21.2	21.2	22.9	23.0	23.2	20.8	21.6	21.2	n.a.	-0.4 pp	0.0 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	46.8	46.2	46.6	43.3	40.8	43.9	44.6	43.1	n.a.	-1.5 pp	-3.7 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	70.7	70.1	70.1	68.3	67.7	69.1	70.0	69.3	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-1.4 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	49.8	50.0	54.1	54.3	57.5	60.4	52.3	62.4	n.a.	10.1 pp	12.6 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	6.5	6.6	6.5	7.6	8.0	7.8	8.0	7.5	n.a.	-0.5 pp	1.0 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.6	3.0	3.5	3.6	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	0.0 pp	1.7 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	11.8	12.4	12.7	12.3	11.8	9.7	9.0	9.2	8.8	-0.4 pp	-3.0 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	7.1	9.1	8.8	8.3	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.1	0.0 pp	2.0 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	10.5	12.7	12.7	12.3	12.5	11.2	11.4	12.0	11.9	-0.1 pp	1.4 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	38.2	38.9	39.7	41.4	44.5	45.6	46.9	48.7	49.8	1.1 pp	11.6 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	14.1	13.4	11.8	11.5	11.1	10.8	10.1	9.3	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-4.8 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.95	0.96	0.98	1.01	1.00	1.03	1.02	1.04	n.a.	2.0 %	9.5 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.69	0.69	n.a.	0.0 %	6.2 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.8	1.2	n.a.	-1.6 pp	-0.7 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	8.7	9.0	9.0	9.7	9.4	9.8	10.4	9.8	n.a.	-5.8 %	12.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	10.1	9.5	9.8	9.9	10.4	10.7	10.7	10.7	n.a.	0.0 %	5.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	4.2	4.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.7	n.a.	0.6 pp	1.5 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	0.4	1.6	1.3	0.2	-0.8	-0.3	0.7	1.7	n.a.	1.7 %	4.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. The figures for the poverty risk for people living in (quasi-)jobless households for 2014 and 2015, which show a decrease by the order of 10 percentage points between 2013 and 2014 followed by an increase by 10 points between 2014 and 2015, are currently being verified.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, FRANCE

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services		<i>The risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities¹⁰⁹ is significantly below the EU average¹¹⁰.</i> The poverty gap is significantly better than the EU average.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		Impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing working age poverty (18-64) is significantly better than the EU average. The poverty gap (18-64) is significantly better than the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		
5. Health & LTC	The vaccination coverage rate of children for measles is below the 95% threshold.	Life expectancy at 65 for women is significantly better than the EU average.
6. Other key issues	<i>There is a high amount of unmet demand for social housing, specifically in Ile-de-France region¹¹¹.</i>	

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

¹⁰⁹ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹¹⁰ Source: Eurostat.


¹¹¹ Source: Fondation Abbé Pierre Rapport sur le mal-logement en France en 2017; Country Report 2017

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduction of the number of persons at risk of poverty or social exclusion to 1,220,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year; iv) 2016 SMD figure is estimate provided by HR authorities.

¹¹² Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

HR													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE			66.1	64.2	62.5	65.3	66.2	68.8		2.6 pp		70.5	72.9
	1000 persons			874	889	865	830	823	837		1.7 %		85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE			34.0	37.2	39.1	37.6	38.1	37.0		-1.1 pp		34.4	33.4
	1000 persons			449	515	541	478	474	450		-5.1 %		41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE			46.1	46.7	48.8	49.1	47.6	47.3		-0.3 pp		36.5	33.9
	1000 persons			609	646	676	624	591	575	518	-9.9 %		44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE			13.0	12.6	11.2	12.3	12.5	13.2		0.7 pp		11.9	12.0
	1000 persons			172	175	155	156	155	160		3.2 %		14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE			10.9	11.5	10.7	14.0	13.1	14.2		1.1 pp		10.5	10.3
	1000 persons			144	159	148	178	163	173		6.1 %		12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE			10.5	10.6	13.0	11.9	11.7	11.8		0.2 pp		8.1	7.7
	1000 persons			139	147	180	151	145	144		-0.7 %		9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE			1.3	2.7	2.5	1.9	3.1	2.1		-0.9 pp		2.8	2.5
	1000 persons			17	37	34	24	38	26		-31.6 %		3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC). Note: 2016 SMD figure is estimate provided by HR authorities.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

HR										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	2.1	-7.4	-1.7	-0.3	-2.2	-1.1	-0.5	2.2	3.0	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	2.1	-0.7	-3.8	-3.9	-3.6	-2.6	2.7	1.2	0.3	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	8.6	9.3	11.8	13.7	15.8	17.4	17.2	16.1	13.3	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	5.3	5.1	6.6	8.4	10.2	11.0	10.1	10.2	6.6	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	18.0	20.2	20.3	20.0	20.7	21.5	21.2				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

HR											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	18.0	20.2	20.3	20.0	20.7	21.5	21.2			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	6.3	7.1	6.9	6.7	7.0	7.5	7.1			8.0	8.1
	Disability	3.2	3.6	3.7	3.6	2.7	2.7	2.6			2.0	2.0
	Old age	4.9	5.4	5.5	5.6	6.6	6.9	7.2			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.0			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	16.8	18.8	19.0	18.6	19.7	20.5	20.2			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.3	7.1	6.9	6.7	7.0	7.5	7.1			8.0	8.0
	Disability	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.1	2.5	2.5	2.3			1.6	1.5
	Old age	4.8	5.3	5.5	5.5	6.6	6.9	7.1			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

HR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate			31.1	32.6	32.6	29.9	29.3	29.1		-0.2 pp		24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate			20.6	20.9	20.4	19.5	19.4	20.0		0.6 pp		17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS			4567	4454	4417	4448	4644	4952		5.4 %			
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS			9591	9353	9276	9341	9752	10398		5.4 %			
	Severe material deprivation rate			14.3	15.2	15.9	14.7	13.9	13.7	12.5	-1.2 pp		8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households			13.9	15.9	16.8	14.8	14.7	14.4		-0.3 pp		11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate						13.2		14.7				10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap			27.6	27.9	31.0	28.1	27.9	26.4		-1.5 pp		24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)												19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)			31.3	31.9	33.3	34.3	35.1	35.5		0.4 pp		34.1	33.46
	S80/S20			5.5	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.2		2.0 %		5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate			43.7	44.6	44.4	42.8	42.1	41.7		-0.4 pp		16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate			14.1	8.0	6.8	8.4	7.5	7.2		-0.3 pp		11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income		2.7	-2.0	-0.7	-0.1	-2.7						1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

HR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate			29.4	31.1	34.8	29.3	29.0	28.2		-0.8 pp		27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate			19.6	21.1	23.3	21.8	21.1	20.9		-0.2 pp		21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate			14.8	14.4	18.1	13.7	13.1	13.4	11.6	-1.8 pp		10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households			11.5	13.8	15.7	11.4	12.9	12.7		-0.2 pp		9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap			28.1	28.0	31.4	27.2	28.0	26.8		-1.2 pp		25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)			37.0	37.2	34.4	37.2	40.1	41.9		1.9 pp		39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate			58.6	59.6	60.0	56.6	55.2	53.8		-1.4 pp		22.6	23.0
HR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate			34.5	34.7	31.6	32.9	31.3	27.9		-3.4 pp		31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate			23.2	22.2	20.1	21.2	21.1	18.4		-2.7 pp		23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate			16.7	16.7	16.8	17.2	15.2	13.2	12.2	-1.0 pp		11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households			14.1	15.0	13.7	13.8	11.9	11.3		-0.6 pp		11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate			7.6	7.6	5.5	9.1	6.3	5.8		-0.5 pp		13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	8.7	9.2	11.6	11.9	12.7	14.9	15.3	14.0	11.6	-2.4 pp	2.9 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	15.2	17.5	20.6	21.6	22.3	27.0	25.5	23.8	21.7	-2.1 pp	6.5 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate			12.6	8.2	6.6	7.3	5.0	5.5		0.5 pp		14.6	14.2

HR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate			29.9	32.0	31.8	29.6	29.3	28.5		-0.8 pp		25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate			18.2	18.6	18.1	17.8	17.9	17.9		0.0 pp		17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate			13.8	15.2	15.4	14.4	13.9	13.6	12.2	-1.4 pp		9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households			14.7	16.6	17.1	15.9	15.3	15.0		-0.3 pp		11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap			28.1	28.3	32.3	30.2	28.0	28.2		0.2 pp		26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate			6.2	6.5	6.1	6.2	5.7	5.8		0.1 pp		9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)			32.6	33.8	35.8	34.8	34.9	35.8		0.9 pp		34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate			44.8	46.3	46.0	44.7	44.2	43.8		-0.4 pp		17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate			12.6	7.8	6.6	8.2	7.3	7.3		0.0 pp		12.0	11.7
HR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate			37.5	36.4	33.1	31.9	29.7	31.8		2.1 pp		17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate			30.5	29.4	25.6	23.4	23.1	26.3		3.2 pp		13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate			15.7	16.3	15.5	16.9	14.7	14.5	14.5	0.0 pp		6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly			0.78	0.82	0.84	0.88	0.88	0.85		-3.4 %		0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio			0.32	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.40	0.40		0.0 %		0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate			23.5	22.1	21.6	20.8	20.4	22.5		2.1 pp		6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate			23.5	9.1	9.1	9.4	9.0	8.4		-0.6 pp		10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

HR	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)			29.4	31.1	34.8	29.3	29.0	28.2		-0.8 pp		27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)			19.6	21.1	23.3	21.8	21.1	20.9		-0.2 pp		21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)			14.8	14.4	18.1	13.7	13.1	13.4	11.6	-1.8 pp		10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)			11.5	13.8	15.7	11.4	12.9	12.7		-0.2 pp		9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)						14.1		14.6				13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children			7.7	8.0	7.7	7.8	7.1	7.3		0.2 pp		11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households			82.5	71.5	73.2	76.3	74.0	80.4		6.4 pp		67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work			11.5	13.0	14.0	14.8	13.3	12.3		-1.0 pp		16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)			0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	2.3		1.8 pp		14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)			10.0	12.0	11.0	10.0	16.6	9.5		-7.1 pp		14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)			14.0	8.0	9.0	13.0	6.4	6.5		0.1 pp		33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)			34.0	43.0	31.0	34.0	33.8	46.4		12.6 pp		49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)			28.1	28.0	31.4	27.2	28.0	26.8		-1.2 pp		25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	8.1	7.2	6.9	6.7	3.7	5.2	4.6	4.7	7.5	2.8 pp	-0.6 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)				2.9					2.8			4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	13.1	11.1	10.5	9.5	6.2	8.0	6.9	7.0	11.0	4.0 pp	-2.1 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty			37.0	37.2	34.4	37.2	40.1	41.9		1.9 pp		39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)			10.6	7.6	5.4	8.3	7.2	5.4		-1.8 pp		10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	9.3	9.5	10.7	11.1	10.6	11.9	12.5	12.3	14.1	1.8 pp	4.8 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	4.4	5.2	5.2	5.0	5.1	4.5	2.7	2.7	2.8	0.1 pp	-1.6 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)					0.3	0.5	0.1	0.2		0.1 pp		1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	4.5	5.3	4.4	4.7	3.6	4.1	5.0	4.1		-0.9 pp	-0.4 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)			17.6	13.2	11.8	10.8	9.3	8.8		-0.5 pp		7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)			58.6	59.6	60.0	56.6	55.2	53.8		-1.4 pp		22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	55.5	59.7	40.2		38.5	41.5	27.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	55.5		41.7		38.5		29.0	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	55.5	49.6	43.5		38.5	34.4	30.2	
	AWG career length case	52.1	51.9	39.2	35.8	36.2	36.1	27.2	24.8
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			43.5				30.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			35.2				24.4	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			47.3				32.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			40.2				27.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			42.5				29.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			41.5				28.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			40.4				28.1	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				43.5				30.2
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				43.5				30.2
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				42.9				29.8
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				41.9				29.1
	Short career (30 year career)			31.2				21.7	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			31.6				21.9	
	Early retirement due to disability			43.1				29.9	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			41.4				28.8	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	59.5	64.1	49.1		44.6	48.0	36.8
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		59.5		50.8		44.6		38.0	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		59.5	53.2	52.9		44.6	39.8	39.6	
AWG career length case		55.9	55.7	48.0	43.5	41.9	41.7	36.0	32.6
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				52.9				39.6	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				42.9				32.1	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				57.4				43.0	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				49.1				36.8	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				51.6				38.7	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				50.4				37.8	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				49.2				36.8	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					52.9				39.6
Career break due to child care: 1 year					52.9				39.6
Career break due to child care: 2 years					52.5				39.3
Career break due to child care: 3 years					51.2				38.4
Short career (30 year career)		44.6		37.9		33.4		28.4	
Early retirement due to unemployment				38.3				28.7	
Early retirement due to disability				46.2				34.6	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					69.7				48.4
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	45.1	48.3	32.6		28.9	31.1	20.9
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	45.1		33.8		28.9		21.6	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

HR									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male			57.4	59.8	61.9	57.6	58.6	55.3	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female			60.4	61.7	64.2	60.4	60.0	56.8	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male			6.6	7.4	7.7	5.5	6.0	4.7	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female			6.5	7.3	7.9	5.9	5.8	4.5	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	72.3	73.0	73.4	73.8	73.9	74.5	74.7	74.4	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	79.7	79.7	79.9	80.4	80.6	81.0	81.0	80.5	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	14.4	14.5	14.7	15.1	15.0	15.3	15.5	15.2	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	18.0	17.9	18.2	18.6	18.7	19.1	19.1	18.7	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment			6.3	5.1	3.5	3.3	3.3	1.9	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)			48.1	46.6	46.8	46.5	57.9	58.2	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)				1085.9	1114.9	1149.2	1088.8			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)				7.3	7.2	7.3	6.7			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹¹³



¹¹³ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

Unemployment	
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
Unemployment benefit	
definition	Unemployed persons on the CES register are entitled to unemployment benefit in the reporting month based on the stipulations of the Act on Employment, Mediation and Unemployment Rights, Official Gazette No. 16/2017.
unit	number of persons of social assistance beneficiaries, in thousands
source	Croatian Employment Service
link	www.hzz.hr
comment	
Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income	
definition	On the basis of the Social Welfare Act that entered into force on 1 January 2014 ("The Official Gazette" No. 157/13, 152/14, 99/15, 52/16, 16/17) a new right was introduced – a guaranteed minimum benefit (GMB), encompassing the four social benefit: the maintenance assistance (from Social Welfare system) and extended financial benefit which was defined by the Act on Employment Mediation and Unemployment Rights as well as the right to survivor benefit defined under the Act on the Rights of Croatian Homeland War Veterans and Their Family Members and the Act on the Protection of Military and Civilian War-Disabled Persons. That is a form of social benefit by which the state guarantees that every year, depending on the funds available, it will determine the amount to which every person or household with insufficient income and assets for satisfying the basic living needs is entitled. The right to a social benefit is depending on the family structure and it is means-tested (income and property).
unit	number of persons of social assistance beneficiaries, in thousands
source	Ministry for Demography, Family, Youth and Social Policy of the Republic of Croatia
link	www.mdomsp.hr
comment	In the column for the 2014, the number of maintenance assistance and GMB beneficiaries' was shown, since the all maintenance assistance beneficiaries have not been yet translated into GMB.
Disability benefit	
definition	disability pension is a pension granted on the grounds of person's total or occupational disability if disability occurred prior to the age of 65
unit	number of disability pension beneficiaries, in thousands
source	Croatian Pension Insurance Institute
link	http://www.mirovinsko.hr/
comment	Number of disability pension beneficiaries from October 2013 does not include beneficiaries whose benefit payment have been suspended because they have not submitted their Personal Identification Number. From February 2015 disability pensioners are translated into old age pensioners after reaching the statutory retirement age and these pensioners are included in the total number of disability pension beneficiaries.

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	HR											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	31.1	32.6	32.6	29.9	29.3	29.1	n.a.	-0.2 pp	-2.0 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	20.6	20.9	20.4	19.5	19.4	20.0	n.a.	0.6 pp	-0.6 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	n.a.	n.a.	4567	4454	4417	4448	4644	4952	n.a.	5.4 %	-9.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	14.3	15.2	15.9	14.7	13.9	13.7	12.5	-1.2 pp	-1.8 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	13.9	15.9	16.8	14.8	14.7	14.4	n.a.	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	27.6	27.9	31.0	28.1	27.9	26.4	n.a.	-1.5 pp	-1.2 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	13.2	n.a.	14.7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	n.a.	n.a.	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.2	n.a.	2.0 %	-5.5 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	n.a.	n.a.	29.4	31.1	34.8	29.3	29.0	28.2	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-1.2 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	n.a.	n.a.	31.3	31.9	33.3	34.3	35.1	35.5	n.a.	0.4 pp	4.1 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	n.a.	n.a.	52.9	54.2	54.9	56.3	57.1	55.8	n.a.	-1.3 pp	2.9 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	n.a.	n.a.	69.1	62.6	61.9	64.2	63.3	67.5	n.a.	4.2 pp	-1.6 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	6.2	6.5	6.1	6.2	5.7	5.8	n.a.	0.1 pp	-0.4 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	5.3	5.1	6.6	8.4	10.2	11.0	10.1	10.2	6.6	-3.6 pp	1.3 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	4.4	5.2	5.2	5.0	5.1	4.5	2.7	2.7	2.8	0.1 pp	-1.6 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	8.7	9.2	11.6	11.9	12.7	14.9	15.3	14.0	11.6	-2.4 pp	2.9 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	11.6	13.4	15.7	16.2	16.6	19.6	19.3	18.1	16.9	-1.2 pp	5.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	37.1	39.4	39.1	38.2	37.5	37.8	36.2	39.2	38.1	-1.1 pp	1.0 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	n.a.	n.a.	37.5	36.4	33.1	31.9	29.7	31.8	n.a.	2.1 pp	-5.7 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	n.a.	n.a.	0.78	0.82	0.84	0.88	0.88	0.85	n.a.	-3.4 %	9.0 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	n.a.	n.a.	0.32	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.40	0.40	n.a.	0.0 %	25.0 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	n.a.	n.a.	6.3	5.1	3.5	3.3	3.3	1.9	n.a.	-1.4 pp	n.a.	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	n.a.	n.a.	6.6	7.4	7.7	5.5	6.0	4.7	n.a.	-21.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	n.a.	n.a.	6.5	7.3	7.9	5.9	5.8	4.5	n.a.	-22.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	n.a.	n.a.	14.1	8.0	6.8	8.4	7.5	7.2	n.a.	-0.3 pp	-6.9 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	2.4	-1.8	-1.1	-0.5	-2.6	-2.7	0.7	2.4	3.0	2.5 %	-5.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes "since 2008" refer to 2010-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. (For HR, the long-term comparison for EU-SILC-based indicators is relative to 2010 as no EU-SILC data is published by Eurostat before then.)

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, CROATIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-)jobless households is worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>There is a high risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities¹¹⁴. The gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities is higher than the EU average¹¹⁵.</i></p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The share of children living in jobless households is worse than the EU average but shows a significantly positive trend compared to 2012.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		In-work poverty is lower than the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>Elderly poverty risk and the aggregate replacement ratio of pensions remain significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>The short working lives and benefits for specific categories hamper the adequacy of pensions¹¹⁶.</i></p>	
5. Health & LTC	<p>Life expectancy at birth is worse than the EU average and life expectancy at 65 is significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p>Amenable and preventable mortality rates are worse than the EU average.</p>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

¹¹⁴ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “some or severe”.

¹¹⁵ Source: Eurostat 2015.


¹¹⁶ Source: Eurostat 2016, Country report 2017

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 2,200,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹¹⁷ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

IT													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	74.3	74.2	74.7	70.5	65.3	67.7	68.8	69.4		0.7 pp	-4.9 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	11204	10977	11124	11889	11729	11667	11790	12130		2.9 %	8.3 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	30.3	27.3	31.1	27.4	25.9	28.9	31.1	29.5		-1.5 pp	-0.7 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	4562	4046	4623	4624	4659	4981	5326	5158		-3.2 %	13.1 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	29.4	29.4	29.6	39.5	48.4	43.3	41.0	40.0		-1.1 pp	10.6 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	4432	4345	4403	6655	8694	7464	7031	6981	7209	3.3 %	62.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	11.0	8.7	11.4	9.9	7.5	9.0	10.6	9.1		-1.4 pp	-1.9 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	1657	1293	1703	1662	1340	1558	1811	1595		-11.9 %	-3.7 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	10.3	10.6	10.0	13.4	15.8	13.6	11.9	11.9		0.0 pp	1.6 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	1549	1565	1485	2261	2845	2341	2042	2077		1.7 %	34.1 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.6	4.9	6.3	6.1	7.0	7.5	8.0	7.8		-0.2 pp	2.1 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	848	719	933	1025	1254	1293	1371	1355		-1.2 %	59.8 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	1.4	1.9	1.4	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4		0.0 pp	1.0 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	214	274	203	337	414	398	405	418		3.2 %	95.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

IT										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-1.1	-5.5	1.7	0.6	-2.8	-1.7	0.1	0.8	0.9	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.2	-1.7	-0.6	0.3	-0.3	-1.8	0.1	0.7	1.3	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	6.7	7.7	8.4	8.4	10.7	12.1	12.7	11.9	11.7	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.3	5.6	6.9	7.7	6.9	6.7	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	25.5	27.5	27.6	27.4	28.0	28.7	28.8				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

IT											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	25.5	27.5	27.6	27.4	28.0	28.7	28.8			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	6.7	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8			8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7			2.0	2.0
	Old age	12.6	13.4	13.7	13.7	14.0	14.4	14.2			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.6			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.0			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	24.0	25.7	26.0	25.8	26.5	27.0	26.8			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.7	7.0	7.0	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8			8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3			1.6	1.5
	Old age	12.2	13.0	13.3	13.3	13.7	14.0	13.8			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

IT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.5	24.9	25.0	28.1	29.9	28.5	28.3	28.7		0.4 pp	3.2 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.9	18.4	18.7	19.8	19.5	19.3	19.4	19.9		0.5 pp	1.0 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	9158	9140	9135	9466	9297	9189	9152	9237		0.5 %	-8.4 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	19232	19194	19184	19878	19524	19296	19220	19397		0.5 %	-8.4 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	7.5	7.3	7.4	11.1	14.5	12.3	11.6	11.5	11.9	0.4 pp	4.4 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	10.4	9.2	10.6	10.5	10.6	11.3	12.1	11.7		-0.4 pp	1.3 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	12.7	13.0	11.6	11.8	13.1	13.2	12.9	14.3		1.4 pp	1.6 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	23.2	23.1	24.8	26.6	26.0	28.2	28.2	29.3		1.1 pp	6.1 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	18.9	20.1	19.7	21.7	23.0	25.1	25.0	25.6		0.6 pp	6.7 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	19.6	21.0	21.1	19.5	20.4	21.6	21.5	21.7		0.2 pp	2.1 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.7	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.8		0.0 %	11.5 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	24.3	23.3	24.3	24.5	26.1	27.1	27.2	27.8		0.6 pp	3.5 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.3	7.8	7.7	8.7	8.1	8.9	8.5	8.6		0.1 pp	0.3 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	-1.2	-2.0	-1.5	-0.3	-5.3	-0.8	0.3	0.8	1.5			1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

IT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.4	28.7	29.5	31.5	34.1	32.0	32.1	33.5		1.4 pp	5.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	24.2	24.1	25.2	25.9	26.2	25.2	25.1	26.8		1.7 pp	2.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.6	8.5	8.6	12.1	16.8	13.5	13.7	13.0	12.3	-0.7 pp	3.7 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.0	6.1	7.5	7.5	7.1	8.0	9.3	8.6		-0.7 pp	1.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	24.6	24.6	28.9	32.3	29.7	33.4	34.8	33.2		-1.6 pp	8.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	21.9	24.2	23.2	21.0	22.0	25.4	23.9	22.1		-1.9 pp	0.2 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	34.3	34.3	35.8	35.9	38.9	39.3	39.4	41.2		1.8 pp	6.9 pp	22.6	23.0
IT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	31.6	29.8	31.7	34.0	37.1	36.4	37.4	37.1		-0.3 pp	5.5 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	22.9	21.5	24.2	25.1	25.4	25.0	26.9	26.1		-0.8 pp	3.2 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.1	10.5	8.8	12.7	16.1	15.5	13.9	14.6	12.2	-2.4 pp	1.1 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.9	10.7	11.6	11.9	12.5	12.1	14.0	13.6		-0.4 pp	1.7 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	9.1	13.2	12.8	15.1	13.2	13.7	16.4	12.8		-3.6 pp	3.7 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.5	7.3	7.8	7.9	10.1	10.9	11.6	10.6	10.0	-0.6 pp	3.5 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	20.6	22.3	24.1	25.0	26.9	29.1	29.0	27.9	26.0	-1.9 pp	5.4 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.8	8.2	8.1	9.6	8.8	9.2	8.6	8.3		-0.3 pp	-0.5 pp	14.6	14.2

IT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.0	24.4	25.3	28.5	30.4	29.7	30.0	30.4		0.4 pp	5.4 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.8	16.5	17.5	19.0	18.7	19.1	19.7	19.8		0.1 pp	3.0 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	7.4	7.4	7.4	10.9	14.4	12.7	12.0	12.2	12.2	0.0 pp	4.8 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.5	10.2	11.5	11.5	11.7	12.4	13.0	12.7		-0.3 pp	1.2 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	26.1	26.7	28.6	30.9	29.3	31.6	32.0	32.8		0.8 pp	6.7 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	9.1	10.2	9.7	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.1	11.6		0.5 pp	2.5 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	21.9	23.3	22.6	21.2	22.4	22.7	22.4	23.9		1.4 pp	2.0 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	26.4	25.1	26.3	26.5	28.3	29.7	29.8	30.5		0.7 pp	4.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.1	7.7	7.6	9.0	8.5	9.2	9.1	9.1		0.0 pp	1.0 pp	12.0	11.7
IT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	24.4	22.9	20.4	24.0	24.7	22.0	20.2	19.9		-0.3 pp	-4.5 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.9	19.6	16.7	17.0	16.1	15.0	14.2	14.7		0.5 pp	-6.2 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.7	5.9	6.3	10.8	12.7	10.3	8.8	8.2	10.9	2.7 pp	4.2 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.88	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.97	0.99	0.99		0.0 %	12.5 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.51	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.59	0.62	0.64	0.66		3.1 %	29.4 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	8.8	8.3	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.3	10.0	9.6		-0.4 pp	0.8 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.1	6.8	5.8	5.7	5.0	6.2	5.3	5.4		0.1 pp	-2.7 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

IT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	28.4	28.7	29.5	31.5	34.1	32.0	32.1	33.5		1.4 pp	5.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	24.2	24.1	25.2	25.9	26.2	25.2	25.1	26.8		1.7 pp	2.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	8.6	8.5	8.6	12.1	16.8	13.5	13.7	13.0	12.3	-0.7 pp	3.7 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	7.0	6.1	7.5	7.5	7.1	8.0	9.3	8.6		-0.7 pp	1.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	17.7	16.4	14.9	18.0	18.8	19.7	17.4	20.0		2.6 pp	2.3 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	12.1	13.1	13.3	14.6	14.5	13.2	13.2	13.6		0.4 pp	1.5 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	79.2	74.1	81.7	79.7	79.7	78.0	79.9	81.4		1.5 pp	2.2 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	20.0	20.9	20.6	21.6	22.1	20.6	19.5	21.6		2.1 pp	1.6 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	12.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.3	10.4		1.1 pp	-1.6 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	11.0	14.0	13.6	16.9		3.3 pp	0.9 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	19.0	20.0	17.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	20.9	23.3		2.4 pp	4.3 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	72.0	73.0	70.0	75.0	70.0	69.0	69.7	62.6		-7.1 pp	-9.4 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	24.6	24.6	28.9	32.3	29.7	33.4	34.8	33.2		-1.6 pp	8.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	26.2	24.1	22.6	20.4	18.6	16.9	15.9	15.3	15.2	-0.1 pp	-11.0 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.8	-0.2 pp	-0.8 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	32.5	29.7	27.9	25.4	23.8	21.9	20.8	20.1	20.1	0.0 pp	-12.4 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	21.9	24.2	23.2	21.0	22.0	25.4	23.9	22.1		-1.9 pp	0.2 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	9.2	9.3	10.2	10.8	10.5	11.2	10.2	10.9		0.7 pp	1.7 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	11.4	10.8	11.8	11.7	12.0	11.6	11.4	11.0	10.2	-0.8 pp	-1.2 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	19.6	19.1	18.6	17.8	17.3	16.8	15.0	14.7	13.8	-0.9 pp	-5.8 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	2.7	3.5	2.5	2.5	1.8	2.1	2.5	2.4		-0.1 pp	-0.3 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.1	3.2	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9		0.1 pp	-0.2 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	9.7	11.4	10.7	12.2	13.3	12.6	14.0	14.5		0.5 pp	4.8 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	34.3	34.3	35.8	35.9	38.9	39.3	39.4	41.2		1.8 pp	6.9 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	80.2		70.2		70.8		60.7	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	80.3	80.0	82.3		70.9	70.6	73.0	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	83.9	75.7	89.3		74.5	66.2	80.1	
	AWG career length case	72.1	68.9	78.7	76.8	63.1	59.8	69.4	67.4
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			76.4				67.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			66.1				56.1	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			91.7				82.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			81.3				72.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			89.1				79.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			87.4				78.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			85.7				76.5	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				89.3				80.1
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				89.0				79.8
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				88.5				79.4
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				85.5				76.3
	Short career (30 year career)			65.0				55.5	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			75.2				66.6	
	Early retirement due to disability			82.3				73.0	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			76.0				68.2	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	80.8		70.8		70.8		60.7
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		80.9	80.6	82.9		70.9	70.6	73.0	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		84.5	76.3	89.6		74.5	66.2	80.1	
AWG career length case		72.6	69.4	81.6	77.4	63.1	59.8	69.4	67.4
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				77.1				67.0	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				66.6				56.1	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				91.7				82.1	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				81.3				72.0	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				89.5				79.9	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				87.9				78.2	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				86.4				76.5	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					91.4				80.1
Career break due to child care: 1 year					91.2				79.8
Career break due to child care: 2 years					90.7				79.4
Career break due to child care: 3 years					87.8				76.3
Short career (30 year career)		84.7		65.7		51.9	53.1	55.5	
Early retirement due to unemployment				75.8				66.6	
Early retirement due to disability				82.9				73.0	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					93.3				86.1
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	63.9		79.2		52.8		69.1
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	64.0	63.7	99.2		52.9	52.6	90.2	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)

HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS


IT									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	62.9	63.4		63.5	62.1	61.8	62.5	62.6	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	61.8	62.6		62.7	61.5	60.9	62.3	62.7	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	7.6	8.0		8.1	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.8	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	7.1	7.2		7.0	7.1	7.1	7.3	7.5	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	78.9	79.4		80.1	79.8	80.3	80.7	80.3	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	84.2	84.6		85.3	84.8	85.2	85.6	84.9	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.9	18.3		18.8	18.5	18.9	19.2	18.9	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	21.7	22.1		22.6	22.1	22.6	22.8	22.2	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	5.2	5.3	5.0	5.9	5.6	7.1	7.0	7.2	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	63.5	63.8	66.8	64.7	68.4	66.1	67.9	65.6	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)						2331.9	2356.5	2459.4		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)						9.0	9.0	9.0		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health;

ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹¹⁸



¹¹⁸ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Source: Istat
link	
comment	
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment Benefit recipients ; Unemployment assistance recipients
unit	Thousands of recipients
source	Source: Inps
link	http://inps.it
comment	
	Social assistance benefit
definition	Social pension and allowance
unit	thousands of pensions
source	Source: Inps
link	http://inps.it
comment	
	Disability
definition	
unit	thousands of pensions
source	Source: Inps
link	http://inps.it
comment	
	Assegno di natalità
definition	
unit	thousands of allowances
source	Source: Inps
link	http://inps.it
comment	

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	IT											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	25.5	24.9	25.0	28.1	29.9	28.5	28.3	28.7	n.a.	0.4 pp	3.2 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	18.9	18.4	18.7	19.8	19.5	19.3	19.4	19.9	n.a.	0.5 pp	1.0 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	9158	9140	9135	9466	9297	9189	9152	9237	n.a.	0.5 %	-8.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	7.5	7.3	7.4	11.1	14.5	12.3	11.6	11.5	11.9	0.4 pp	4.4 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	10.4	9.2	10.6	10.5	10.6	11.3	12.1	11.7	n.a.	-0.4 pp	1.3 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	23.2	23.1	24.8	26.6	26.0	28.2	28.2	29.3	n.a.	1.1 pp	6.1 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	12.7	13.0	11.6	11.8	13.1	13.2	12.9	14.3	n.a.	1.4 pp	1.6 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.7	5.6	5.8	5.8	5.8	n.a.	0.0 %	11.5 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	28.4	28.7	29.5	31.5	34.1	32.0	32.1	33.5	n.a.	1.4 pp	5.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	19.6	21.0	21.1	19.5	20.4	21.6	21.5	21.6	n.a.	0.2 pp	2.1 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	56.0	57.1	57.5	56.3	56.8	57.6	57.6	56.7	n.a.	-0.9 pp	0.7 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	54.9	49.8	57.0	58.1	55.7	57.2	59.7	57.2	n.a.	-2.5 pp	2.3 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	9.1	10.2	9.7	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.1	11.6	n.a.	0.5 pp	2.5 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.3	5.6	6.9	7.7	6.9	6.7	-0.2 pp	3.7 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	19.6	19.1	18.6	17.8	17.3	16.8	15.0	14.7	13.8	-0.9 pp	-5.8 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.5	7.3	7.8	7.9	10.1	10.9	11.6	10.6	10.0	-0.6 pp	3.5 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	16.6	17.6	19.0	19.7	21.0	22.2	22.1	21.4	19.9	-1.5 pp	3.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	34.3	35.6	36.5	37.8	40.3	42.7	46.2	48.2	50.3	2.1 pp	16.0 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	24.4	22.9	20.4	24.0	24.7	22.0	20.2	19.9	n.a.	-0.3 pp	-4.5 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.88	0.89	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.97	0.99	0.99	n.a.	0.0 %	12.5 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.51	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.59	0.62	0.64	0.66	n.a.	3.1 %	29.4 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	5.2	5.3	5.0	5.9	5.6	7.1	7.0	7.2	n.a.	0.2 pp	2.0 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	7.6	8.0	n.a.	8.1	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.8	n.a.	0.0 %	2.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	7.1	7.2	n.a.	7.0	7.1	7.1	7.3	7.5	n.a.	2.7 %	5.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	8.3	7.8	7.7	8.7	8.1	8.9	8.5	8.6	n.a.	0.1 pp	0.3 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	-1.2	-2.0	-1.5	-0.3	-5.3	-0.8	0.3	0.8	1.4	0.8 %	-8.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, ITALY

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>Risk of poverty or social exclusion is higher than the EU average.</p> <p><i>The risk of poverty or social exclusion is higher for people from a migrant background¹¹⁹.</i></p> <p>The persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate is worse than the EU average.</p>	<p><i>There is a significantly lower gap than EU average between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities¹²⁰¹²¹.</i></p>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>Impact of social transfers on reducing child poverty is significantly below the EU average.</p> <p>Housing deprivation for children is higher than the EU average.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>Impact of social transfers (excluding pensions) in reducing working age poverty is below the EU average.</p>	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		
5. Health & LTC	<p>Self-reported unmet need for medical care is worse than the EU average. In particular, unmet need for medical care due to costs and the gap between the bottom and the top income quintile are significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The vaccination coverage rate of children against measles is significantly below the 95% threshold.</p> <p><i>The overall volume of antibiotics prescribed is still fairly high¹²².</i></p>	

¹¹⁹ Source: Country Report 2017

¹²⁰ Source: Eurostat 2015

¹²¹ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹²² Source: OECD Health at a Glance 2016

	<i>Long-term care provision is skewed towards cash benefits to the detriment of services¹²³.</i>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


¹²³ Sources: EUROSTAT Labour Force Survey 2016; Country Report 2016; European Social Policy Network Thematic Report on Work-life Balance Measures for Persons of Working Age with Dependent Relatives.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people-at-risk-of-poverty and social exclusion by 27,000 people or decrease the percentage from 23.3% in 2008 to 19.3% by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2017)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year; iv) The figure for SMD in 2016 is provisional.

¹²⁴ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

CY													EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015	
AROP	% of total AROPE	68.5	67.0	63.4	59.9	54.3	55.0	52.6	56.2			3.6 pp	-12.4 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	124	126	128	124	127	132	123	137			11.4 %	10.5 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	16.0	13.8	16.3	15.9	19.2	22.9	29.1	30.7			1.7 pp	14.7 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	29	26	33	33	45	55	68	75			10.3 %	158.6 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	39.2	40.4	45.6	47.3	55.1	57.9	56.0	53.3			-2.7 pp	14.1 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	71	76	92	98	129	139	131	130	116		-10.8 %	63.4 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	5.0	3.7	5.9	5.3	5.6	5.0	8.1	9.0			0.9 pp	4.1 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	9	7	12	11	13	12	19	22			15.8 %	144.4 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	11.1	9.6	13.4	13.0	14.1	14.2	11.1	12.7			1.6 pp	1.7 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	20	18	27	27	33	34	26	31			19.2 %	55.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	2.8	3.2	2.0	1.9	3.4	7.1	7.3	7.0			-0.3 pp	4.2 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	5	6	4	4	8	17	17	17			0.0 %	240.0 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.2	1.6	1.5	1.5	3.0	2.9	4.3	4.9			0.7 pp	2.7 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	4	3	3	3	7	7	10	12			20.0 %	200.0 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: The figure for SMD in 2016 is provisional.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

CY										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	3.9	-1.8	1.3	0.3	-3.2	-6.0	-1.5	1.7	2.8	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	3.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	-3.2	-5.9	-1.8	1.9	2.7	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.7	5.4	6.3	7.9	11.9	15.9	16.1	15.0	13.1	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.6	3.6	6.1	7.7	6.8	5.8	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	16.8	18.8	19.6	20.6	21.2	22.1	22.2				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The social protection expenditure indicator refers exclusively to benefits.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

CY											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	16.8	18.8	19.6	20.6	21.2	22.1	22.2			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	4.0	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5			8.0	8.1
	Disability	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			2.0	2.0
	Old age	6.6	7.3	8.1	8.8	9.9	10.7	10.9			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.4			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.7	2.0	1.9			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	2.0	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.6			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.4			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.0	1.0			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.7	0.9	1.0			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	14.8	16.3	16.9	17.9	18.3	19.4	19.5			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	4.0	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5			8.0	8.0
	Disability	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7			1.6	1.5
	Old age	6.0	6.7	7.3	8.0	9.2	10.2	10.4			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.4			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.8	0.6	0.4	0.4			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.7	2.0	1.9			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.2			0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: 1) The table presents the social protection benefits. 2) For the case of Cyprus, as regards the function "Housing", the benefits are all means tested (i.e. 'Housing' under Non-means tested is 'not applicable' for Cyprus).

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

CY	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.3	23.5	24.6	24.6	27.1	27.8	27.4	28.9		1.5 pp	5.6 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.9	15.8	15.6	14.8	14.7	15.3	14.4	16.2		1.8 pp	0.3 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10945	11256	10816	11497	11444	10299	9457	9188		-2.7%	-20.3%		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	22984	23639	22713	24144	24033	21628	19860	19295		-2.7%	-20.3%		
	Severe material deprivation rate	9.1	9.5	11.2	11.7	15.0	16.1	15.3	15.4	13.7	-1.7 pp	4.6 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.5	4.0	4.9	4.9	6.5	7.9	9.7	10.9		1.2 pp	6.4 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	9.9	10.1	9.2	8.6	8.3	10.0	7.3	7.3		0.0 pp	-2.6 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	15.3	17.2	18.0	19.0	19.0	17.7	18.5	19.8		1.3 pp	4.5 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	15.9	16.3	17.4	15.3	17.6	23.3	31.3	35.1		3.8 pp	19.2 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	30.6	33.1	33.6	37.0	37.5	37.0	41.5	36.2		-5.2 pp	5.7 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.2		-3.7%	20.9%	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	3.3	2.6	3.5	2.9	2.8	2.4	2.2	1.4		-0.8 pp	-1.9 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	1.8	2.4	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.3	4.0	3.9		-0.1 pp	2.1 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	6.5	-2.8	1.1	-0.8	-4.0	-5.4	-5.8	-0.8				1.1	2.2

Notes: 1). For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation; 2). The 2016 figures for SMD for all categories in the tables are provisional.

CY	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.5	20.2	21.8	23.4	27.5	27.7	24.7	28.9		4.2 pp	7.4 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	14.0	12.3	12.6	12.8	13.9	15.5	12.8	16.7		3.9 pp	2.7 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	9.7	9.3	12.5	14.8	18.1	18.7	15.6	17.2	17.9	0.7 pp	8.2 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.2	5.0	6.4	7.3	9.4		2.1 pp	6.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	13.6	14.6	14.8	18.1	19.3	20.6	20.4	22.2		1.8 pp	8.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	44.0	51.4	49.6	47.1	45.5	43.6	52.9	44.7		-8.2 pp	0.7 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	5.1	3.5	4.5	3.9	3.7	2.9	2.9	1.4		-1.5 pp	-3.7 pp	22.6	23.0
CY	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.8	23.0	24.4	25.0	29.6	32.7	33.9	36.1		2.2 pp	15.3 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.9	10.3	12.2	11.6	11.2	15.7	12.6	17.2		4.6 pp	4.3 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	9.2	13.6	17.0	15.0	21.1	21.3	23.9	22.6	18.6	-4.0 pp	9.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.9	3.1	5.0	4.6	7.1	8.6	11.7	12.0		0.3 pp	8.1 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.3	6.8	8.5	10.1	9.0	10.7	6.4	15.0		8.6 pp	8.7 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.8	5.6	6.7	8.7	10.8	14.9	14.5	12.4	10.7	-1.7 pp	6.9 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	13.4	14.5	16.7	20.7	22.3	27.1	25.1	22.2	22.4	0.2 pp	9.0 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	2.2	2.9	3.9	2.7	2.2	1.4	2.3	3.2		0.9 pp	1.0 pp	14.6	14.2

CY	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.9	19.9	22.1	22.1	25.8	28.2	28.3	30.5		2.2 pp	11.6 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	10.8	11.2	11.9	11.5	12.2	14.4	13.4	15.9		2.5 pp	5.1 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.6	9.5	11.5	11.6	15.5	16.7	16.7	16.8	14.2	-2.6 pp	5.6 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.0	4.4	5.3	5.5	6.9	8.4	10.6	11.4		0.8 pp	6.4 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	14.0	18.6	20.1	20.4	20.5	18.3	19.3	21.8		2.5 pp	7.8 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.3	6.8	7.4	7.3	8.0	9.0	7.8	9.2		1.4 pp	2.9 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	36.5	38.1	37.4	42.5	41.9	38.2	43.7	36.7		-7.1 pp	0.2 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	3.1	2.6	3.6	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.3	1.6		-0.7 pp	-1.5 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	1.8	2.6	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.3	4.1	4.2		0.1 pp	2.4 pp	12.0	11.7
CY	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	49.3	48.6	42.6	39.8	33.4	26.1	27.2	20.8		-6.4 pp	-28.5 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	46.3	46.4	39.9	35.5	29.3	20.1	22.4	17.3		-5.1 pp	-29.0 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	10.9	9.5	7.3	7.1	7.5	9.0	7.4	5.1	5.4	0.3 pp	-5.5 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.59	0.61	0.65	0.67	0.70	0.77	0.75	0.80		6.7 %	35.6 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.33	0.37	0.37	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.43		10.3 %	30.3 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5		-0.3 pp	-0.9 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.1	1.6	2.8	2.0		-0.8 pp	0.0 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

CY	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	21.5	20.2	21.8	23.4	27.5	27.7	24.7	28.9		4.2 pp	7.4 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	14.0	12.3	12.6	12.8	13.9	15.5	12.8	16.7		3.9 pp	2.7 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	9.7	9.3	12.5	14.8	18.1	18.7	15.6	17.2	17.9	0.7 pp	8.2 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.2	5.0	6.4	7.3	9.4		2.1 pp	6.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	5.5	6.5	3.8	5.5	4.1	9.8	6.7	6.8		0.1 pp	1.3 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	6.0	5.7	6.0	6.5	6.8	8.0	5.8	7.4		1.6 pp	1.4 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	57.2	63.3	67.1	61.6	55.7	69.0	59.1	65.4		6.3 pp	8.2 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	12.5	10.6	10.6	11.2	11.6	11.8	9.1	11.8		2.7 pp	-0.7 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	10.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	7.0	3.0	5.7	9.4		3.7 pp	-0.6 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	20.0	12.0	16.0	17.0	19.0	22.0	19.8	11.4		-8.4 pp	-8.6 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	39.0	39.0	34.0	34.0	32.0	34.0	31.4	49.4		18.0 pp	10.4 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	37.0	45.0	45.0	39.0	42.0	46.0	48.2	32.0		-16.2 pp	-5.0 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	13.6	14.6	14.8	18.1	19.3	20.6	20.4	22.2		1.8 pp	8.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	14.3	15.4	16.8	11.0	13.9	11.1	7.6	6.6	7.1	0.5 pp	-7.2 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	19.5	21.3	24.6	16.7	20.9	17.1	12.2	11.0	12.3	1.3 pp	-7.2 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	44.0	51.4	49.6	47.1	45.5	43.6	52.9	44.7		-8.2 pp	0.7 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	1.5	2.4	2.8	2.9	3.1	4.5	4.2	3.8		-0.4 pp	2.3 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.6	5.1	6.8	7.1	8.7	7.4	7.6	7.5	8.2	0.7 pp	2.6 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	13.7	11.7	12.7	11.3	11.4	9.1	6.8	5.2	7.7	2.5 pp	-6.0 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.7	1.0	1.9	1.2	1.8	1.3	1.4	0.7		-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.5	1.6	1.4	2.7		1.3 pp	-0.8 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	2.0	1.7	2.1	2.4	1.9	1.6	2.3	0.8		-1.5 pp	-1.2 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	5.1	3.5	4.5	3.9	3.7	2.9	2.9	1.4		-1.5 pp	-3.7 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data). Note: The figure for SMD in 2016 is provisional.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	58.0		n.a.		52.0		n.a.	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	58.0		70.0		52.0		61.0	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	58.0		75.0		52.0		66.0	
	AWG career length case	58.0	55.0	71.0	68.0	52.0	51.0	62.0	61.0
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			65.0				57.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			n.a.				n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			78.0				69.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			67.0				58.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			75.0				65.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			73.0				64.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			72.0				63.0	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year							64.0	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year							64.0	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years							63.0	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years							62.0	
	Short career (30 year career)			57.0				50.0	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			68.0				59.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			69.0				60.0	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			69.0				60.0	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	64.0		n.a.		60.0		n.a.	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	64.0		70.0		60.0		63.0	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	64.0		73.0		60.0		66.0	
	AWG career length case *	64.0	67.0	69.0	68.0	60.0	62.0	62.0	61.0
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			65.0				59.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			n.a.				n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			76.0				68.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			66.0				59.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			73.0				65.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			71.0				64.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			71.0				64.0	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year							65.0	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year							65.0	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years							65.0	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years							64.0	
	Short career (30 year career) *	56.0		58.0		52.0		52.0	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			68.0				61.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			69.0				62.0	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses							129.0	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	50.0		n.a.		40.0		n.a.	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	50.0		59.0		40.0		47.0	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

CY									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	63.9	64.8	65.1	61.6	63.4	64.3	66.1	63.1	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	64.5	65.3	64.2	61.0	64.0	65.0	66.3	63.4	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	9.1	9.9	9.7	8.0	8.8	9.5	10.4	8.4	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	7.6	8.2	7.9	5.9	7.7	8.7	8.8	7.3	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	78.2	78.6	79.2	79.3	78.9	80.1	80.9	79.9	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.9	83.6	83.9	83.1	83.4	85.0	84.7	83.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.8	18.1	18.3	18.2	17.9	18.6	18.9	18.4	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.3	20.9	21.0	20.3	20.4	21.6	21.4	20.8	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	2.8	3.4	4.1	4.4	3.5	4.4	4.7	1.5	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	76.5	75.6	74.4	75.6	77.1	76.4	77.5	80.2	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1716.8	1720.6	1711.6	1779.6	1711.7	1525.5	1519.2	1590.1		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	6.9	7.4	7.3	7.6	7.4	6.9	6.8			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹²⁵



¹²⁵ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

CY	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment benefit (1)
definition	Number of applicants for unemployment benefit
unit	thousands of applicants
source	Social Insurance Services, Ministry of Labour, Welfare and Social Insurance, Cyprus
comment	CY UB applicants refer to the number of applicants for unemployment benefit from Social Insurance Services. Some of those applicants can be rejected due to the qualifying contribution conditions of the unemployment benefit. The unsmoothness of the number of applicants is due to the seasonality effect of the hospitality industry.
	Unemployment benefit (2)
definition	Number of beneficiaries for unemployment benefit
unit	thousands of applicants
source	Social Insurance Services, Ministry of Labour, Welfare and Social Insurance, Cyprus
comment	CY UB beneficiaries refer to the number of beneficiaries for unemployment benefit from Social Insurance Services at the corresponding period. The unsmoothness of the number of beneficiaries is due to the seasonality effect of the hospitality industry.
	Social assistance benefit / Guaranteed Minimum Income
definition	Number of public assistance beneficiaries & guaranteed minimum income beneficiaries
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	1. Welfare Benefits Administration Service, Ministry of Labour, Welfare and Social Insurance, Cyprus 2. Social Welfare Services, Ministry of Labour, Welfare and Social Insurance, Cyprus
comment	<p>CY public assistance beneficiaries & Guaranteed Minimum Income Beneficiaries refer to the number of applicants plus their dependants.</p> <p>The decrease shown in the number of public assistance beneficiaries in June 2012 is due to a change of the relevant legislation. More specifically, until May 2012 financial assistance to lone parents was provided in the context of the Public Assistance Legislation and from June 2012 a single parent benefit has been introduced in the Child Benefit Law. In addition, the cases of public assistance with the nature of distress "unemployment" in April 2017 were 642. The data in the table from 10/2014 and onwards includes figures for public assistance beneficiaries and guaranteed minimum income beneficiaries.</p> <p>The Guaranteed Minimum Income (GMI) was established in July 2014 with the aim to ensure a socially acceptable minimum standard of living for persons (and families) legally residing in the Republic of Cyprus whose income and other economic resources are insufficient to meet their basic and special needs. The new GMI will gradually replace the existing public assistance and the low income pensioners scheme. The nature of the benefit is differential in that it varies according to the applicant's income and family structure.</p>

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	CY											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	23.3	23.5	24.6	24.6	27.1	27.8	27.4	28.9	n.a.	1.5 pp	5.6 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	15.9	15.8	15.6	14.8	14.7	15.3	14.4	16.2	n.a.	1.8 pp	0.3 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10945	11256	10816	11497	11444	10299	9457	9188	n.a.	-2.7 %	-20.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	9.1	9.5	11.2	11.7	15.0	16.1	15.3	15.4	13.7	-1.7 pp	4.6 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	4.5	4.0	4.9	4.9	6.5	7.9	9.7	10.9	n.a.	1.2 pp	6.4 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	15.3	17.2	18.0	19.0	19.0	17.7	18.5	19.8	n.a.	1.3 pp	4.5 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	9.9	10.1	9.2	8.6	8.3	10.0	7.3	7.3	n.a.	0.0 pp	-2.6 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.9	5.4	5.2	n.a.	-3.7 %	20.9 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	21.5	20.2	21.8	23.4	27.5	27.7	24.7	28.9	n.a.	4.2 pp	7.4 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	30.6	33.0	33.6	37.0	37.5	37.0	41.5	36.2	n.a.	-5.2 pp	5.6 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	48.2	49.8	52.4	55.6	57.0	58.1	60.5	58.2	n.a.	-2.3 pp	10.0 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	50.4	50.6	48.6	46.6	45.4	52.4	51.7	50.9	n.a.	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	6.3	6.8	7.4	7.3	8.0	9.0	7.8	9.2	n.a.	1.4 pp	2.9 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	0.5	0.6	1.3	1.6	3.6	6.1	7.7	6.8	5.8	-1.0 pp	5.3 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	13.7	11.7	12.7	11.3	11.4	9.1	6.8	5.2	7.7	2.5 pp	-6.0 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.8	5.6	6.7	8.7	10.8	14.9	14.5	12.4	10.7	-1.7 pp	6.9 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	9.7	9.9	11.7	14.6	16.0	18.7	17.0	15.3	15.9	0.6 pp	6.2 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	54.8	55.7	56.3	54.8	50.7	49.6	46.9	48.5	52.0	3.5 pp	-2.8 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	49.3	48.6	42.6	39.8	33.4	26.1	27.2	20.8	n.a.	-6.4 pp	-28.5 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.59	0.61	0.65	0.67	0.70	0.77	0.75	0.80	n.a.	6.7 %	35.6 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.33	0.37	0.37	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.43	n.a.	10.3 %	30.3 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	2.8	3.4	4.1	4.4	3.5	4.4	4.7	1.5	n.a.	-3.2 pp	-1.3 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	9.1	9.9	9.7	8.0	8.8	9.5	10.4	8.4	n.a.	-19.2 %	-7.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	7.6	8.2	7.9	5.9	7.7	8.7	8.8	7.3	n.a.	-17.0 %	-3.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	1.8	2.4	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.3	4.0	3.9	n.a.	-0.1 pp	2.1 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	6.5	-2.8	1.0	-0.8	-4.0	-5.4	-5.8	-0.8	n.a.	-0.8 %	-17.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, CYPRUS

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The indicator for people at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion is worse than the EU average. The indicator shows negative development for children.</p> <p>The share of people (aged 0-59) living in jobless households is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development (in particular for children).</p> <p><i>There is a high risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities^{126 127}.</i></p>	The persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate is around the EU average and shows a positive development.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	The share of children living in a household suffering from severe material deprivation is worse than the EU average. (in line with working age and general population)	The at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work ($0.55 < WI \leq 1$) is significantly better than the EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>In-work poverty for men is around the EU average but shows some negative development.</p> <p>The at-risk-of poverty rate for people of working age is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.</p> <p>Housing deprivation for people of working age (18-64) is worse than the EU average.</p>	
4. Elderly poverty/ adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	The aggregate replacement ratio (excluding other social benefits) is significantly worse than the EU average.	
5. Health & LTC	<i>While reforms for the implementation of universal health insurance for all citizens are under way, the Cypriot health care system remains characterised by a lack of universal</i>	Healthy life years at birth are above the EU average and shows significantly

¹²⁶ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹²⁷ Source: Eurostat 2015.

	<p><i>coverage. Levels of antibiotic consumption are above the EU average¹²⁸.</i></p> <p><i>Long-term care system is fragmented and characterised by a relatively low coverage and limited financing¹²⁹.</i></p>	<p>positive development.</p>
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

¹²⁸ Source: Health at a Glance Europe 2016; State of Health in the EU Cycle; Country report 2017; Joint Report on HealthCare and Long-term care Systems and Fiscal Sustainability 2016


¹²⁹ Source: Country Report 2017, Eurostat Labour Force Survey 2016

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty after social transfers and/or living in households with very low work intensity by 121,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹³⁰ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

LV													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	75.5	69.7	54.8	47.3	53.1	55.1	64.8	72.8	76.5	3.8 pp	1.0 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	559	563	437	388	388	387	418	441	424	-3.9 %	-24.2 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	12.3	15.1	25.1	23.8	24.4	21.2	22.0	18.8	18.8	0.0 pp	6.5 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	91	122	200	195	178	149	142	114	104	-8.8 %	14.3 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	56.2	58.4	72.4	77.2	70.9	68.4	58.8	53.1	44.8	-8.4 pp	-11.5 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	416	472	578	634	518	480	379	322	248	-23.0 %	-40.4 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	3.9	4.8	5.1	4.4	5.5	5.0	7.3	5.5	7.2	1.8 pp	3.3 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	29	39	41	36	40	35	47	33	40	21.2 %	37.9 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	27.2	22.0	18.8	17.7	16.8	18.0	18.6	20.1	18.2	-1.9 pp	-8.9 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	201	178	150	145	123	126	120	122	101	-17.2 %	-49.8 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.4	7.7	12.8	11.5	11.5	9.4	8.8	8.9	6.9	-2.1 pp	0.5 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	47	62	102	94	84	66	57	54	38	-29.6 %	-19.1 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	0.4	0.7	2.9	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.0	1.3	0.7	-0.6 pp	0.3 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	3	6	23	28	21	20	13	8	4	-50.0 %	33.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

LV										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-3.6	-14.3	-3.8	6.4	4.0	2.6	2.1	2.7	2.0	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.8	-14.3	-6.7	1.5	1.4	2.3	-1.3	1.3	-0.1	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7.7	17.5	19.5	16.2	15.0	11.9	10.8	9.9	9.6	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.9	4.5	8.8	8.8	7.8	5.7	4.6	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	11.9	16.6	18.0	15.1	14.1	14.4	14.3	14.6			

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

LV										EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	11.9	16.6	18.0	15.1	14.1	14.4	14.3	14.6	27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	8.0	8.1
	Disability	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	2.0	2.0
	Old age	5.1	7.5	9.2	7.9	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2	11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.6	2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.5	1.6	1.3	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	11.7	16.3	17.3	14.4	13.8	14.1	14.1	14.5	24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.6	8.0	8.0
	Disability	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5
	Old age	5.1	7.5	9.2	7.9	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2	10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.5	1.5	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

LV	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	34.2	37.9	38.2	40.1	36.2	35.1	32.7	30.9	28.5	-2.4 pp	-5.7 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	25.9	26.4	20.9	19.0	19.2	19.4	21.2	22.5	21.8	-0.7 pp	-4.1 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	4283	4279	3525	3566	3661	3868	4392	4855	5519	9.1 %	22.3 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	8993	8987	7403	7489	7687	8122	9224	10196	11589	9.1 %	22.3 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	19.3	22.1	27.6	31.0	25.6	24.0	19.2	16.4	12.8	-3.6 pp	-6.5 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.4	7.4	12.6	12.6	11.7	10.0	9.6	7.8	7.2	-0.6 pp	1.8 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	12.6	15.6	10.5	9.3	12.6	12.1	10.8	10.1		-0.7 pp	-2.5 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	28.6	29.0	28.9	31.7	28.6	27.5	23.6	25.5	24.0	-1.5 pp	-4.6 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	25.9	27.2	32.8	35.2	35.0	33.0	28.0	23.7	19.4	-4.3 pp	-6.5 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	14.2	14.8	26.7	29.1	25.3	25.4	21.5	17.6	21.6	4.0 pp	7.3 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	7.3	7.4	6.8	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.2	-4.6 %	-15.1 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	57.4	56.3	55.7	43.7	36.6	37.7	39.8	41.4	43.2	1.8 pp	-14.2 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.7	9.3	9.8	12.5	11.2	11.4	9.6	8.1	7.0	-1.1 pp	-1.7 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	4.0	-15.1	-6.4	-4.7	3.0	4.4	1.3	4.8				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

LV	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	32.4	38.4	42.2	44.1	40.0	38.4	35.3	31.3	24.7	-6.6 pp	-7.7 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	23.6	26.3	26.3	24.7	24.4	23.4	24.3	23.2	18.6	-4.6 pp	-5.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	19.2	24.6	30.7	32.4	27.3	25.4	19.9	17.0	11.9	-5.1 pp	-7.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.6	6.9	12.4	12.6	10.4	9.2	9.6	7.4	6.3	-1.1 pp	1.7 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	30.7	34.2	31.3	33.2	31.0	29.9	28.8	34.2	25.4	-8.8 pp	-5.3 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	22.9	22.0	28.5	32.3	28.5	28.2	27.5	24.4	35.9	11.4 pp	13.0 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	69.6	71.7	71.1	59.6	53.1	53.4	55.2	57.5	60.2	2.7 pp	-9.4 pp	22.6	23.0
LV	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.2	31.8	38.7	43.7	37.4	36.5	30.8	32.0	26.6	-5.4 pp	1.4 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.2	19.4	21.0	22.3	20.1	19.8	16.9	22.1	17.3	-4.8 pp	1.1 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	15.7	20.8	28.0	35.4	27.1	23.9	19.8	17.7	13.5	-4.2 pp	-2.2 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	2.8	4.9	10.4	9.9	9.7	7.7	8.1	6.7	6.1	-0.6 pp	3.3 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	8.2	9.6	8.0	8.3	5.6	9.6	6.5	9.6	8.5	-1.1 pp	0.3 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.8	13.7	14.4	11.6	11.5	9.1	7.9	6.7	6.9	0.2 pp	1.1 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	14.4	22.3	22.6	19.8	17.4	16.2	15.4	13.8	14.9	1.1 pp	0.5 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.5	5.2	8.0	11.7	9.8	8.7	8.4	5.9	5.1	-0.8 pp	0.6 pp	14.6	14.2

LV	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.0	32.8	37.4	41.1	35.9	34.0	30.0	27.3	25.0	-2.3 pp	-3.0 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.4	20.5	20.4	20.2	19.3	18.8	18.4	18.6	17.7	-0.9 pp	-1.7 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	16.7	20.5	26.8	31.2	25.0	22.9	18.2	15.7	12.4	-3.3 pp	-4.3 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.7	7.6	12.6	12.6	12.1	10.2	9.6	7.9	7.5	-0.4 pp	1.8 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	29.5	33.5	31.9	33.0	32.1	32.0	29.0	33.7	30.8	-2.9 pp	1.3 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	10.7	11.2	9.7	9.6	8.9	9.1	8.3	9.4	8.5	-0.9 pp	-2.2 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	17.5	18.0	27.1	28.9	25.2	25.4	23.0	20.2	23.7	3.5 pp	6.3 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	58.6	57.0	56.4	44.2	36.7	38.1	40.1	41.8	43.5	1.7 pp	-15.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	7.1	7.8	10.1	13.2	11.3	10.9	9.0	7.6	6.6	-1.0 pp	-0.5 pp	12.0	11.7
LV	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	58.8	55.5	36.8	33.0	33.7	36.1	39.3	42.1	43.1	1.0 pp	-15.7 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	52.0	47.6	17.2	9.1	13.9	17.6	27.6	34.6	38.1	3.5 pp	-13.9 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	28.7	25.3	27.5	28.9	26.4	26.6	22.0	18.2	14.9	-3.3 pp	-13.8 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.53	0.57	0.78	0.86	0.80	0.77	0.71	0.65	0.63	-3.1 %	18.9 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.30	0.34	0.47	0.53	0.49	0.47	0.44	0.42	0.42	0.0 %	40.0 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	40.1	37.9	37.8	26.5	20.4	22.1	24.9	25.6	26.4	0.8 pp	-13.7 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	16.4	16.1	9.7	10.4	11.5	14.0	11.8	9.9	9.5	-0.4 pp	-6.9 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

LV	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	32.4	38.4	42.2	44.1	40.0	38.4	35.3	31.3	24.7	-6.6 pp	-7.7 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	23.6	26.3	26.3	24.7	24.4	23.4	24.3	23.2	18.6	-4.6 pp	-5.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	19.2	24.6	30.7	32.4	27.3	25.4	19.9	17.0	11.9	-5.1 pp	-7.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.6	6.9	12.4	12.6	10.4	9.2	9.6	7.4	6.3	-1.1 pp	1.7 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	12.1	16.7	12.6	12.7	16.8	19.2	11.7	12.8		1.1 pp	0.7 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	11.8	12.7	11.4	10.8	10.2	10.8	9.9	10.9	8.5	-2.4 pp	-3.3 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	84.4	89.0	79.6	73.0	76.6	68.4	76.9	82.2	84.2	2.0 pp	-0.2 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	20.1	21.3	18.5	17.4	18.3	18.5	18.4	18.4	13.9	-4.5 pp	-6.2 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	1.6	0.9		-0.7 pp	-1.1 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	11.0	13.0	16.0	15.0	19.0	22.0	20.0	22.0		2.0 pp	11.0 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	3.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	4.9	3.1		-1.8 pp	0.1 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	65.0	65.0	60.0	65.0	72.0	70.0	74.3	79.2		4.9 pp	14.2 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	30.7	34.2	31.3	33.2	31.0	29.9	28.8	34.2	25.4	-8.8 pp	-5.3 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	6.4	4.8	3.0	3.8	2.8	3.2	3.7	4.3	4.5	0.2 pp	-1.9 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	10.0	7.9	4.9	6.1	3.7	4.9	4.9	5.7	6.3	0.6 pp	-3.7 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	22.9	22.0	28.5	32.3	28.5	28.2	27.5	24.4	35.9	11.4 pp	13.0 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	7.1	7.9	8.9	12.2	10.7	10.3	9.2	7.9	5.7	-2.2 pp	-1.4 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	7.6	9.0	8.2	8.9	8.7	5.6	4.0	3.0	2.7	-0.3 pp	-4.9 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	15.5	14.3	12.9	11.6	10.6	9.8	8.5	9.9	10.0	0.1 pp	-5.5 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	2.8	2.8	5.7	4.4	2.9	4.9	3.4	1.5	1.7	0.2 pp	-1.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	6.6	7.6	5.6	6.6	6.3	4.4	3.8	4.1		0.3 pp	-2.5 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	29.3	30.5	28.9	24.7	25.0	23.9	23.8	22.5	21.6	-0.9 pp	-7.7 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	69.6	71.7	71.1	59.6	53.1	53.4	55.2	57.5	60.2	2.7 pp	-9.4 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	71.9		51.2		52.9		43.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	65.0		51.2		46.6		43.9	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	61.1		51.2		43.0		43.9	
	AWG career length case *	73.8	70.9	55.7	53.5	54.6	52.0	47.9	45.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			57.4				49.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			48.5				41.5	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			57.4				49.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			48.5				41.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			50.6				43.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			50.0				42.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			49.4				42.3	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			51.2				43.9	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			49.2				42.9	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			48.2				42.1	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			47.0				41.0	
	Short career (30 year career)			38.9				32.9	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			44.8				39.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			46.3				40.3	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			45.3				39.4	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	95.0		51.7		69.2		43.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	85.9		51.7		61.0		43.9	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	78.2		51.7		55.5		43.9	
	AWG career length case *	98.3	94.0	56.2	53.9	72.3	68.3	47.9	45.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			57.8				49.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			49.0				41.5	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			57.8				49.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			49.0				41.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			51.1				43.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			50.5				42.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			49.8				42.3	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			51.7				43.9	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			50.5				43.1	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			48.8				42.2	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			47.8				41.3	
	Short career (30 year career)	66.4		39.4		47.1		32.9	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			45.3				39.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			47.8				41.2	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses			51.7				43.9	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	57.2		38.2		44.8		32.8	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	51.2		38.2		39.5		32.8	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

LV									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	51.6	52.6	53.1	53.6	54.6	51.7	51.5	51.8	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	54.3	56.0	56.4	56.6	59.0	54.2	55.3	54.1	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	5.3	4.0	4.0	4.1	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	4.9	5.7	5.5	5.0	6.4	4.2	4.6	4.0	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	66.5	68.1	67.9	68.6	68.9	69.3	69.1	69.7	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	77.5	78.0	78.0	78.8	78.9	78.9	79.4	79.5	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	12.8	13.4	13.1	13.4	13.6	13.9	13.8	14.2	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	17.7	18.2	18.1	18.7	18.5	18.6	19.0	18.9	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	9.9	9.9	15.1	16.1	12.4	13.8	12.5	8.4	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	43.5	46.0	47.7	46.0	46.6	45.2	45.8	46.2	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	999.2	854.9	863.3				990.9			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	6.6	6.8	6.5				5.5			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA) and PVO vēl nav publicējis datus.

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹³¹



¹³¹ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

LV	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	eurostat
	Unemployment benefit
definition	persons receiving unemployment benefit
unit	thousands of recipients
source	State Social Insurance Agency
	Social assistance benefit
definition	persons in household receiving municipal GMI benefit
unit	thousands of recipients
source	annual statistical reports from local municipalities
	Disability benefit
definition	persons receiving disability pension
unit	thousands of pensioners
source	State Social Insurance Agency

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	LV											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	34.2	37.9	38.2	40.1	36.2	35.1	32.7	30.9	28.5	-1.8 pp	-3.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	25.9	26.4	20.9	19.0	19.2	19.4	21.2	22.5	21.8	1.3 pp	-3.4 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	4283	4279	3525	3566	3661	3868	4392	4855	5519	11.8 %	12.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	19.3	22.1	27.6	31.0	25.6	24.0	19.2	16.4	12.8	-3.6 pp	-6.5 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	5.4	7.4	12.6	12.6	11.7	10.0	9.6	7.8	7.2	-1.8 pp	2.4 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	28.6	29.0	28.9	31.7	28.6	27.5	23.6	25.5	24.0	1.9 pp	-3.1 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	12.6	15.6	10.5	9.3	12.6	12.1	10.8	10.1	n.a.	-0.7 pp	-2.5 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	7.3	7.4	6.8	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.2	0.0 %	-11.0 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	32.4	38.4	42.2	44.1	40.0	38.4	35.3	31.3	24.7	-4.0 pp	-1.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	14.2	14.8	26.7	29.1	25.3	25.4	21.5	17.6	21.6	-3.9 pp	3.3 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	31.3	32.5	53.4	59.4	57.0	54.9	49.2	45.0	45.8	-4.2 pp	13.7 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	83.3	83.1	71.2	66.6	69.8	67.9	73.0	76.9	75.7	3.9 pp	-6.4 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	10.7	11.2	9.7	9.6	8.9	9.1	8.3	9.4	8.5	1.1 pp	-1.3 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.9	4.5	8.8	8.8	7.8	5.7	4.6	4.5	4.0	-0.5 pp	2.1 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	15.5	14.3	12.9	11.6	10.6	9.8	8.5	9.9	10.0	0.1 pp	-5.5 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.8	13.7	14.4	11.6	11.5	9.1	7.9	6.7	6.9	0.2 pp	1.1 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	11.8	17.5	17.8	16.0	14.9	13.0	12.0	10.5	11.2	0.7 pp	-0.6 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	59.1	52.5	47.8	50.5	52.8	54.8	56.4	59.4	61.4	2.0 pp	2.3 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	58.8	55.5	36.8	33.0	33.7	36.1	39.3	42.1	43.1	2.8 pp	-16.7 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.53	0.57	0.78	0.86	0.80	0.77	0.71	0.65	0.63	-8.5 %	22.6 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.30	0.34	0.47	0.53	0.49	0.47	0.44	0.42	0.42	-4.5 %	40.0 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	9.9	9.9	15.1	16.1	12.4	13.8	12.5	8.4	n.a.	-4.1 pp	-1.5 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	5.3	4.0	4.0	4.1	n.a.	2.5 %	-14.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	4.9	5.7	5.5	5.0	6.4	4.2	4.6	4.0	n.a.	-13.0 %	-18.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	8.7	9.3	9.8	12.5	11.2	11.4	9.6	8.1	7.0	-1.5 pp	-0.6 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	4.0	-15.1	-6.4	-4.7	3.0	4.4	1.3	4.8	n.a.	4.8 %	-13.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, LATVIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The S80/S20 income quintile gap is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing poverty and the at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-)jobless households is significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p>Housing deprivation is worse than the EU average but shows a significantly positive development.</p> <p><i>The risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities¹³² is one of the highest in the EU and there is a much higher than EU average gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities¹³³.</i></p>	The share of people aged 0-59 living in jobless households is around the EU average and shows a significantly positive development, especially for women.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	Impact of social transfers on reducing child poverty is lower than the EU average.	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	The impact of social transfers on reducing poverty and the poverty gap for people of working age is worse than the EU average.	The number of adults (aged 18-59, not students) living in jobless households is around the EU average and shows a significantly positive development.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>The risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly, in particular income poverty, is significantly worse than the EU average and continues to deteriorate rapidly.</p> <p>Relative income of the elderly and the pension replacement ratio are significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	Severe material deprivation of the elderly, while still worse than the EU average, is improving significantly.

¹³² Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹³³ Source: Eurostat 2015

5. Health & LTC	<p>The number of healthy life years is among the lowest in the EU and preventable mortality is the second highest in the EU, but shows some positive development.</p> <p>The unmet needs for medical care due to costs are worse than the EU average, but show some positive development.</p>	
6. Other key issues		


Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion to 814,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey (while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year).

¹³⁴ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

LT													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	73.9	68.6	60.4	58.0	57.3	66.6	70.2	75.7		5.6 pp	1.9 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	672	647	645	586	559	611	564	649		15.1 %	-3.4 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	16.7	18.9	21.4	29.3	26.6	26.8	24.3	23.6		-0.7 pp	6.9 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	152	178	229	296	259	246	195	202		3.6 %	32.9 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	44.2	52.7	58.4	57.4	61.1	51.9	49.8	47.5		-2.3 pp	3.3 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	402	497	624	580	596	476	400	407	391	-3.9 %	-2.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	6.4	5.9	6.4	9.3	6.5	9.2	9.3	9.6		0.2 pp	3.2 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	58	56	68	94	63	84	75	82		9.3 %	41.4 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	16.8	16.9	15.4	12.1	12.7	16.7	16.8	19.0		2.2 pp	2.2 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	153	159	164	122	124	153	135	163		20.7 %	6.5 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.4	7.5	7.6	9.8	10.9	8.5	7.8	8.2		0.3 pp	2.8 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	49	71	81	99	106	78	63	70		11.1 %	42.9 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	0.8	2.2	3.5	3.9	4.1	2.5	2.2	1.8		-0.5 pp	1.0 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	7	21	37	39	40	23	18	15		-16.7 %	114.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

LT										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	2.6	-14.8	1.6	6.0	3.8	3.5	3.5	1.8	2.3	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	-1.3	-7.7	-5.3	0.5	1.8	1.3	2.0	1.3	2.0	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	5.8	13.8	17.8	15.4	13.4	11.8	10.7	9.1	7.9	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.3	3.3	7.4	8.0	6.6	5.1	4.8	3.9	3.0	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	15.5	20.4	18.2	16.2	15.4	14.4	14.4	14.8			

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

LT										EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	15.5	20.4	18.2	16.2	15.4	14.4	14.4	14.8	27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	4.6	5.4	4.8	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.5	8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
	Old age	6.3	8.3	7.3	6.6	6.8	6.4	6.7	6.6	11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.8	2.8	2.2	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	0.3	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.4	3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	15.2	19.9	17.2	15.2	14.5	13.7	13.9	14.4	24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	4.6	5.4	4.8	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.5	8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.5
	Old age	6.3	8.2	7.3	6.6	6.8	6.4	6.6	6.5	10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.7	2.6	1.9	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

LT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.3	29.6	34.0	33.1	32.5	30.8	27.3	29.3		2.0 pp	1.0 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.9	20.3	20.5	19.2	18.6	20.6	19.1	22.2		3.1 pp	1.3 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	4111	4289	3611	3641	4034	4369	4557	4951		8.1 %	10.5 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	8634	9008	7583	7645	8472	9176	9570	10396		8.1 %	10.5 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	12.5	15.6	19.9	19.0	19.8	16.0	13.6	13.9	13.5	-0.4 pp	1.0 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.1	7.2	9.5	12.7	11.4	11.0	8.8	9.2		0.4 pp	3.1 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	10.9	11.4	7.4	7.7	12.3	10.2	16.0	14.3		-1.7 pp	3.4 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	25.6	23.8	32.6	29.0	22.6	24.8	22.7	26.0		3.3 pp	0.4 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	20.9	19.2	28.8	32.2	27.4	25.7	23.0	22.7		-0.3 pp	1.8 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	23.7	29.0	34.5	36.4	34.5	32.0	30.6	22.4		-8.2 pp	-1.3 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	6.1	6.4	7.3	5.8	5.3	6.1	6.1	7.5		23.0 %	23.0 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	48.4	48.1	45.5	19.5	19.0	28.0	28.3	26.4		-1.9 pp	-22.0 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	5.0	5.6	10.6	11.1	8.9	8.2	7.1	9.1		2.0 pp	4.1 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	7.5	-11.7	-0.4	1.1	0.3	4.4	1.6	2.8				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. Break in time series in for 2011 and 2013 for overcrowding rate indicator, for all age groups.

LT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	29.1	30.8	35.8	34.6	31.9	35.4	28.9	32.7		3.8 pp	3.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	23.3	23.3	24.8	25.2	20.8	26.9	23.5	28.9		5.4 pp	5.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.8	15.8	20.0	16.7	16.9	18.5	13.7	13.8	11.5	-2.3 pp	-0.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.7	5.4	5.7	11.7	9.3	9.8	6.9	8.5		1.6 pp	3.8 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	28.4	27.8	36.6	29.0	24.3	25.8	26.6	27.7		1.1 pp	-0.7 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	26.0	36.3	43.1	37.3	41.1	33.9	32.7	21.9		-10.8 pp	-4.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	63.3	65.2	61.9	31.7	30.4	43.2	42.5	40.1		-2.4 pp	-23.2 pp	22.6	23.0
LT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	29.0	28.9	36.3	38.0	35.9	30.4	28.0	27.4		-0.6 pp	-1.6 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.2	18.4	23.5	24.4	20.2	19.2	19.4	21.2		1.8 pp	2.0 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	12.8	14.2	20.1	19.5	23.1	13.3	12.5	16.5	15.4	-1.1 pp	2.6 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.3	6.3	8.8	12.2	10.8	10.3	5.4	5.0		-0.4 pp	0.7 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	7.0	5.4	11.8	6.1	5.8	7.0	6.5	11.9		5.4 pp	4.9 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.0	8.7	10.2	9.2	7.8	6.9	6.6	5.5	5.1	-0.4 pp	1.1 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	12.4	16.7	18.1	16.1	14.9	15.2	13.4	12.6	12.6	0.0 pp	0.2 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	5.4	5.9	9.1	9.8	9.7	7.9	6.5	5.7		-0.8 pp	0.3 pp	14.6	14.2

Note: Break in time series in for 2011 and 2013 for overcrowding rate indicator, for all age groups.

LT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.0	27.7	34.6	33.3	31.7	29.3	25.6	26.4		0.8 pp	1.4 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	17.5	18.4	22.2	20.2	17.9	19.0	17.6	19.5		1.9 pp	2.0 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.5	14.7	18.7	18.0	19.5	14.6	12.3	12.7	13.0	0.3 pp	1.5 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.6	7.8	10.6	13.1	12.0	11.4	9.4	9.4		0.0 pp	2.8 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	29.9	28.7	33.9	30.7	26.6	27.5	28.3	29.4		1.1 pp	-0.5 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	9.5	10.5	12.7	9.6	7.7	9.2	8.4	10.2		1.8 pp	0.7 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	28.3	30.8	32.3	37.3	36.3	35.4	33.8	25.6		-8.3 pp	-2.7 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	50.1	48.8	46.5	19.6	19.6	28.0	28.8	27.0		-1.8 pp	-23.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	5.2	6.0	11.2	11.5	8.6	7.6	6.4	7.9		1.5 pp	2.7 pp	12.0	11.7
LT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	39.9	35.3	29.8	30.9	35.7	31.7	31.9	36.0		4.1 pp	-3.9 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	31.0	23.9	9.6	9.7	18.7	19.4	20.1	25.0		4.9 pp	-6.0 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	17.1	18.8	24.0	25.1	24.1	18.4	17.8	18.2	17.3	-0.9 pp	0.2 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.70	0.73	0.93	0.90	0.78	0.81	0.77	0.73		-5.2 %	4.3 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.43	0.48	0.58	0.52	0.45	0.48	0.45	0.46		2.2 %	7.0 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	25.3	26.1	24.0	6.2	5.5	12.6	12.7	10.8		-1.9 pp	-14.5 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.1	4.4	5.4	10.3	11.6	9.8	9.2	11.8		2.6 pp	7.7 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

Note: Break in time series in for 2011 and 2013 for overcrowding rate indicator, for all age groups.

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

LT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	29.1	30.8	35.8	34.6	31.9	35.4	28.9	32.7		3.8 pp	3.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	23.3	23.3	24.8	25.2	20.8	26.9	23.5	28.9		5.4 pp	5.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	11.8	15.8	20.0	16.7	16.9	18.5	13.7	13.8	11.5	-2.3 pp	-0.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.7	5.4	5.7	11.7	9.3	9.8	6.9	8.5		1.6 pp	3.8 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	12.0	14.3	7.3	11.1	15.7	9.4	20.5	16.7		-3.8 pp	4.7 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	12.1	12.6	14.5	11.6	9.8	11.9	11.9	13.0		1.1 pp	0.9 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	75.9	75.8	70.6	73.9	72.2	77.3	84.0	91.0		7.0 pp	15.1 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	20.5	20.1	21.9	18.5	15.5	21.2	18.8	23.0		4.2 pp	2.5 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	3.0		6.2	2.0		-4.2 pp	1.0 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	8.0	10.0	12.0	8.0	5.0	10.0	16.7	7.7		-9.0 pp	-0.3 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	7.0	4.0	9.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	8.0	5.0		-3.0 pp	-2.0 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	55.0	51.0	59.0	60.0	68.0	68.0	72.1	68.8		-3.3 pp	13.8 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	28.4	27.8	36.6	29.0	24.3	25.8	26.6	27.7		1.1 pp	-0.7 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)		5.0										21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)		7.9										27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	26.0	36.3	43.1	37.3	41.1	33.9	32.7	21.9		-10.8 pp	-4.1 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	5.4	5.3	13.3	10.6	7.3	8.8	7.1	10.1		3.0 pp	4.7 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	2.9	4.2	4.1	3.6	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.9		0.0 pp	0.0 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	7.5	8.7	7.9	7.4	6.5	6.3	5.9	5.5	4.8	-0.7 pp	-2.7 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.1	1.9	0.3	1.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.2		-0.2 pp	-0.9 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	5.5	5.6	5.0	4.8	3.9	3.7	3.9	4.2		0.3 pp	-1.3 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	26.0	22.0	17.8	12.6	11.8	15.2	16.8	14.9		-1.9 pp	-11.1 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	63.3	65.2	61.9	31.7	30.4	43.2	42.5	40.1		-2.4 pp	-23.2 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

Note: Break in time series in for 2011 and 2013 for overcrowding rate indicator.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	61.6	70.0	71.3		47.6	54.2	53.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	52.6	52.4	71.3		40.7	40.6	53.9	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	49.9	47.3	71.3		38.6	36.6	53.9	
	AWG career length case	53.3	54.7	74.3	67.8	41.2	42.4	56.0	51.2
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			84.9				64.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			62.1				46.9	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			84.9				64.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			62.1				46.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			70.7				53.4	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			69.1				52.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			68.5				51.7	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				71.3				53.9
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				70.9				53.6
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				70.5				53.3
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				70.0				52.9
	Short career (30 year career)			54.2				41.0	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			59.6				45.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			73.4				55.5	
Indexation: 10 years after retirement			65.7				50.9		
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	77.5	88.3	86.4		61.8	70.3	67.7	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	76.2	76.0	86.4		60.8	60.7	67.7	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	62.8	59.6	86.4		50.1	47.5	67.7	
	AWG career length case	67.0	68.9	89.7	82.1	53.4	55.0	70.3	64.3
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			103.1				80.7	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			75.3				59.0	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			103.1				80.7	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			75.3				59.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			85.8				67.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			83.8				65.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			83.2				65.2	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				86.4				67.7
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				86.1				67.4
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				85.7				67.1
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				85.2				66.7
	Short career (30 year career)	51.6		66.0		41.1		51.7	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			70.4				55.1	
	Early retirement due to disability			90.7				71.0	
Pension rights of surviving spouses				87.3				68.4	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	39.6	44.9	47.2		30.0	34.1	34.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	33.9	33.7	47.2		25.7	25.6	34.9	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

LT									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	54.5	57.2	57.4	57.0	56.6	56.8	57.6	54.1	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	59.6	61.2	62.3	62.0	61.6	61.6	61.7	58.8	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	5.9	6.1	6.4	6.2	5.6	5.9	6.1	5.0	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	6.6	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.1	6.3	6.1	5.5	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	65.9	67.1	67.6	68.1	68.4	68.5	69.2	69.2	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	77.6	78.7	78.9	79.3	79.6	79.6	80.1	79.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	13.6	13.6	13.8	14.0	14.1	14.1	14.3	14.1	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	18.4	18.8	18.8	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.5	19.2	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	5.5	3.1	2.6	2.8	2.3	3.2	3.7	2.9	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	48.3	48.0	50.2	43.9	44.3	46.1	44.9	42.6	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1102.7	1034.3	1073.5	1133.6	1200.5	1252.8	1341.9			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	6.6	7.5	7.1	6.5	6.3	6.1	6.2			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2010, and on SHA 2011 for 2011 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2011.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹³⁵



¹³⁵ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

LT	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
link	http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/submitViewTableAction.do
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	National Labour Exchange.
comment	<p>The unemployed have possibility to receive unemployment benefit if he has a minimum period of insurance: 18 months within 3 years preceding unemployment. (there are exceptions for certain groups of unemployed people who contributed but have not acquired the necessary social insurance record due to important reasons). The duration of payment of Unemployment Insurance Benefit depends on the length of the insurance record: Service years Duration less than 25 years 6 months ; 25 - 30 years -7 months, 30 - 35 years- 8 months; 35 years and over-9 months. The duration of payment is prolonged for additional 2 months for elderly persons within 5 years till pension age.</p> <p>since 1 January 2013 unemployment benefits are paying from the State Social Insurance Fund ("Sodra").</p> <p>The statistical data of on the website at www.sodra.it or on the special website at http://atvira.sodra.it</p>
	Social assistance benefit
definition	number of recipients of social benefit
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry of Social Security and Labour, The Social Assistance Information System.
comment	<p>The Social Benefit is means-tested and granted upon evaluation both of the income received and the value of the property possessed. Families and single residents are entitled to Social Benefit if either single resident or one spouse works or does not work because they are full-time students or pensioners, or individuals above retirement age, or disabled, or nursing a disabled or sick family member, or registered at the local office as unemployed, or taking care of a child under the age of 3 years or under the age of 8 years, etc.</p>
	Disability benefit
definition	number of working age disability pension recipients
unit	thousands of pensioners
	Early Retirement
definition	The number of recipients of early retirement pensions, thousand
unit	thousands of pensioners
comment	<p>Persons are eligible for early retirement pension if: they acquired an insurance period of 30 years, the age is less than 5 years to retirement age, have no other incomes, do not receive any other pension or benefit. Since 2012, the requirement for pre-retirement age persons to be registered in the Labour Exchange has been cancelled.</p>

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	LT											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	28.3	29.6	34.0	33.1	32.5	30.8	27.3	29.3	n.a.	2.0 pp	1.0 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	20.9	20.3	20.5	19.2	18.6	20.6	19.1	22.2	n.a.	3.1 pp	1.3 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	4111	4289	3611	3641	4034	4369	4557	4951	n.a.	8.1 %	10.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	12.5	15.6	19.9	19.0	19.8	16.0	13.6	13.9	13.5	-0.4 pp	1.0 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	6.1	7.2	9.5	12.7	11.4	11.0	8.8	9.2	n.a.	0.4 pp	3.1 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	25.6	23.8	32.6	29.0	22.6	24.8	22.7	26.0	n.a.	3.3 pp	0.4 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	10.9	11.4	7.4	7.7	12.3	10.2	16.0	14.3	n.a.	-1.7 pp	3.4 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	6.1	6.4	7.3	5.8	5.3	6.1	6.1	7.5	n.a.	23.0 %	23.0 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	29.1	30.8	35.8	34.6	31.9	35.4	28.9	32.7	n.a.	3.8 pp	3.6 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	23.7	29.0	34.5	36.4	34.5	32.0	30.6	22.4	n.a.	-8.2 pp	-1.3 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	47.2	52.6	58.2	60.8	58.7	55.8	56.1	48.1	n.a.	-8.0 pp	0.9 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	70.3	71.8	65.0	64.9	65.2	66.0	70.9	75.5	n.a.	4.6 pp	5.2 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	9.5	10.5	12.7	9.6	7.7	9.2	8.4	10.2	n.a.	1.8 pp	0.7 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.3	3.3	7.4	8.0	6.6	5.1	4.8	3.9	3.0	-0.9 pp	1.7 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	7.5	8.7	7.9	7.4	6.5	6.3	5.9	5.5	4.8	-0.7 pp	-2.7 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.0	8.7	10.2	9.2	7.8	6.9	6.6	5.5	5.1	-0.4 pp	1.1 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	8.8	12.1	13.2	11.8	11.2	11.1	9.9	9.2	9.4	0.2 pp	0.6 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	53.0	51.2	48.3	50.2	51.7	53.4	56.2	60.4	64.6	4.2 pp	11.6 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	39.9	35.3	29.8	30.9	35.7	31.7	31.9	36.0	n.a.	4.1 pp	-3.9 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.70	0.73	0.93	0.90	0.78	0.81	0.77	0.73	n.a.	-5.2 %	4.3 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.43	0.48	0.58	0.52	0.45	0.48	0.45	0.46	n.a.	2.2 %	7.0 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	5.5	3.1	2.6	2.8	2.3	3.2	3.7	2.9	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-2.6 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	5.9	6.1	6.4	6.2	5.6	5.9	6.1	5.0	n.a.	-18.0 %	-15.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	6.6	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.1	6.3	6.1	5.5	n.a.	-9.8 %	-16.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	5.0	5.6	10.6	11.1	8.9	8.2	7.1	9.1	n.a.	2.0 pp	4.1 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	7.4	-11.7	-0.4	1.1	0.3	4.4	1.6	2.8	n.a.	2.8 %	-2.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, LITHUANIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-) jobless households is significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The S80/S20 income quintile gap is significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The impact of social transfers (including pensions) in reducing poverty is significantly worse than the EU average</p> <p><i>The gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities¹³⁶ is the highest in the EU. The risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities is one of the highest in the EU¹³⁷.</i></p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The at-risk-of poverty rate of children is worse than the EU average.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>In-work poverty is around the EU average but shows a negative development.</p>	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>Median relative income of elderly people (65+) is significantly below the EU average.</p> <p>At-risk-of-poverty rates for older people (65+), especially for women, are significantly higher than the EU average.</p>	
5. Health & LTC	<p>Life expectancy is significantly worse than the EU average. Unmet need for medical care due to distance is worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>Out-of-pocket payments represent almost one third of current health expenditure as compared to an EU average 15%¹³⁸.</i></p>	

¹³⁶ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹³⁷ Source: Eurostat 2015

¹³⁸ Sources: OECD Health statistics; Eurostat 2015

6. Other key issues		
---------------------	--	--

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


LUXEMBOURG¹³⁹

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 6,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹³⁹ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)

LU - 2015



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

LU												EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	86.1	83.5	85.5	81.0	82.1	83.3	86.5	83.2		-3.3 pp	-3.0 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	62	71	71	68	78	80	83	79		-4.8 %	27.4 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	25.0	28.2	26.5	28.6	27.4	28.1	26.0	24.2		-1.8 pp	-0.8 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	18	24	22	24	26	27	25	23		-8.0 %	27.8 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	4.2	5.9	3.6	7.1	7.4	9.4	7.3	10.5		3.2 pp	6.4 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	3	5	3	6	7	9	7	10	10	0.0 %	233.3 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	11.1	11.8	12.1	10.7	10.5	12.5	12.5	9.5		-3.0 pp	-1.6 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	8	10	10	9	10	12	12	9		-25.0 %	12.5 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	1.4	2.4	1.2	3.6	3.2	4.2	2.1	6.3		4.2 pp	4.9 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	1	2	1	3	3	4	2	6		200.0 %	500.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	1.4	2.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	2.1	2.1	1.1		-1.0 pp	-0.3 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	1		-50.0 %	0.0 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.1		1.1 pp	1.1 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1				3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

LU										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-1.3	-4.4	4.9	2.5	-0.4	4.0	5.6	4.0	4.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	4.8	1.0	1.8	3.0	2.4	1.8	2.6	2.6	3.0	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	4.9	5.1	4.6	4.8	5.1	5.9	6.0	6.5	6.3	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.2	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	20.8	23.4	22.3	21.6	22.4	22.8	22.4				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

LU											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	20.8	23.4	22.3	21.6	22.4	22.8	22.4		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	5.2	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.8	5.7		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	5.6	6.4	6.1	6.2	6.6	6.7	6.6		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	20.2	22.6	21.5	20.8	21.6	22.0	21.6		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	5.2	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.8	5.7		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	5.6	6.4	6.1	6.1	6.6	6.7	6.6		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.5		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

LU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.5	17.8	17.1	16.8	18.4	19.0	19.0	18.5		-0.5 pp	3.0 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	13.4	14.9	14.5	13.6	15.1	15.9	16.4	15.3		-1.1 pp	1.9 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	16166	16265	15961	15961	15948	16818	16962	17571		2.7 %	1.5 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	33948	34157	33519	33517	33490	35318	35621	36900		2.7 %	1.5 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.7	1.1	0.5	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.4	2.0	2.0	0.0 pp	1.3 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.7	6.3	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.6	6.1	5.7		-0.4 pp	1.0 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	8.4	8.8	6.0	6.5	7.1	9.2	8.7	12.0		3.3 pp	3.6 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	16.6	17.6	18.6	15.7	15.0	17.5	16.3	17.4		1.1 pp	0.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	13.4	15.5	14.4	14.6	17.5	19.3	18.5	16.9		-1.6 pp	3.5 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	43.2	44.8	50.2	50.0	47.9	45.9	40.6	43.8		3.2 pp	0.5 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.3		-2.3 %	4.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	8.0	6.4	7.8	6.8	7.0	6.2	6.7	6.8		0.1 pp	-1.2 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.7	3.7	4.7	4.2	4.9	5.6	6.8	6.0		-0.8 pp	2.3 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income												1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

LU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.9	23.7	22.3	21.7	24.6	26.0	26.4	23.0		-3.4 pp	2.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.8	22.3	21.4	20.3	22.6	23.9	25.4	21.5		-3.9 pp	1.7 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.9	1.2	0.2	1.2	1.7	2.4	1.8	3.0	3.0	0.0 pp	2.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.2	4.1	3.2	2.9	4.0	4.5	4.2	2.6		-1.6 pp	-0.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	16.6	19.6	18.6	18.5	14.9	18.0	16.1	16.7		0.6 pp	0.1 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	41.3	43.7	50.4	50.0	50.7	46.3	40.4	43.1		2.7 pp	1.9 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	10.3	9.4	10.7	9.5	9.2	8.1	9.7	8.5		-1.2 pp	-1.8 pp	22.6	23.0
LU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.4	27.3	19.1	20.8	21.9	22.3	24.6	25.1		0.5 pp	7.7 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.5	21.2	16.5	17.1	18.7	19.6	22.3	21.2		-1.1 pp	5.7 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.5	1.9	0.9	2.4	1.4	1.3	1.7	2.9	2.9	0.0 pp	2.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.9	10.6	5.3	5.0	4.6	6.5	5.1	6.1		1.0 pp	2.2 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	10.9	13.3	9.1	11.8	10.2	11.9	13.1	13.9		0.8 pp	3.0 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.2	5.5	3.5	4.2	5.0	4.0	6.0	6.1	5.8	-0.3 pp	0.6 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	8.6	7.5	6.9	6.5	7.8	6.7	8.3	7.6	8.0	0.4 pp	-0.6 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.8	4.8	5.2	5.0	4.1	5.7	7.6	6.2		-1.4 pp	2.4 pp	14.6	14.2

LU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.8	18.2	17.5	17.6	18.8	19.0	19.4	19.2		-0.2 pp	3.4 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.9	14.2	13.9	13.1	14.5	15.0	15.8	14.9		-0.9 pp	2.0 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.7	1.3	0.7	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.5	2.0	2.0	0.0 pp	1.3 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.2	7.1	6.4	6.9	6.8	7.4	6.8	6.7		-0.1 pp	1.5 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	16.7	17.6	18.7	15.7	15.7	17.5	17.0	19.2		2.2 pp	2.5 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	9.4	10.1	10.6	9.8	10.3	11.2	11.1	11.6		0.5 pp	2.2 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	44.9	46.2	50.5	50.8	47.3	46.8	41.3	45.2		4.0 pp	0.4 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	8.3	6.3	7.8	6.8	7.3	6.4	6.9	7.2		0.3 pp	-1.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.3	4.1	5.3	4.7	5.5	6.0	7.4	6.8		-0.6 pp	2.5 pp	12.0	11.7
LU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	5.4	6.2	6.1	4.7	6.1	7.0	6.4	8.2		1.8 pp	2.8 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	5.4	6.0	5.9	4.7	6.1	6.2	6.3	7.9		1.6 pp	2.5 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.0 pp	0.3 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.97	1.01	1.05	1.05	1.10	1.13	1.11	1.08		-2.7 %	11.3 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.58	0.62	0.68	0.74	0.79	0.78	0.85	0.80		-5.9 %	37.9 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	2.9	2.2	2.9	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.5	2.2		0.7 pp	-0.7 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	1.1	1.1	2.4	2.4	1.9	2.7	3.1	3.5		0.4 pp	2.4 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

LU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	20.9	23.7	22.3	21.7	24.6	26.0	26.4	23.0		-3.4 pp	2.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	19.8	22.3	21.4	20.3	22.6	23.9	25.4	21.5		-3.9 pp	1.7 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	0.9	1.2	0.2	1.2	1.7	2.4	1.8	3.0	3.0	0.0 pp	2.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	3.2	4.1	3.2	2.9	4.0	4.5	4.2	2.6		-1.6 pp	-0.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	14.3	14.6	10.3	11.1	10.2	14.9	17.8	21.3		3.5 pp	7.0 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	12.2	13.5	14.5	12.5	13.5	14.3	14.8	14.1		-0.7 pp	1.9 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	68.8	69.2	73.4	64.9	66.9	71.3	89.0	76.1		-12.9 pp	7.3 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	18.2	20.3	19.7	19.0	20.8	21.6	22.6	20.0		-2.6 pp	1.8 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	13.0	22.0	17.0	16.0	21.0	23.0	19.2	16.9		-2.3 pp	3.9 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	13.0	12.0	19.0	28.0	27.0	24.0	29.8	34.9		5.1 pp	21.9 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	54.0	46.0	42.0	46.0	45.0	36.0	32.6	27.0		-5.6 pp	-27.0 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	23.0	26.0	37.0	27.0	35.0	37.0	41.3	54.9		13.6 pp	31.9 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	16.6	19.6	18.6	18.5	14.9	18.0	16.1	16.7		0.6 pp	0.1 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	24.6	28.4	31.5	26.5	21.7	22.1	20.3	27.0	27.0	0.0 pp	2.4 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)				10.0	10.2		9.7	7.1	8.1	1.0 pp		4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	26.4	31.8	34.6	28.9	23.6	25.1	22.1	31.1	31.3	0.2 pp	4.9 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	41.3	43.7	50.4	50.0	50.7	46.3	40.4	43.1		2.7 pp	1.9 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	3.6	4.1	4.1	3.7	5.1	6.0	7.6	5.4		-2.2 pp	1.8 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	2.6	3.5	3.2	1.7	2.9	2.7	2.7	3.4	1.6	-1.8 pp	-1.0 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	13.4	7.7	7.1	6.2	8.1	6.1	6.1	9.3	5.5	-3.8 pp	-7.9 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.4		0.0 pp	-0.6 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	1.8	2.5	3.4	4.3	2.5	3.9	2.8	2.8		0.0 pp	1.0 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	2.8	2.2	3.1	2.9	3.5	2.6	2.6	2.3		-0.3 pp	-0.5 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	10.3	9.4	10.7	9.5	9.2	8.1	9.7	8.5		-1.2 pp	-1.8 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	105.4		95.3		92.4		83.6	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	102.5		91.1		88.8		78.6	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	93.5		83.7		78.5		70.3	
	AWG career length case	99.3	97.3	88.7	87.9	85.0	82.8	75.8	74.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			95.3				83.6	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			91.2				78.7	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			88.7				75.9	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			n.a.				n.a.	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			83.4				70.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			83.1				69.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			81.6				68.0	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year							83.7	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year							83.7	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years							83.7	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years							83.7	
	Short career (30 year career)			74.2				60.4	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			78.3				64.6	
	Early retirement due to disability			74.1				60.3	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			77.7				65.3	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	109.3		101.3		98.7		90.9
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		106.0		96.7		95.1		85.8	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		96.4		88.0		84.8		76.6	
AWG career length case		102.6	100.4	93.7	92.7	91.3	89.0	82.7	81.6
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				101.3				90.9	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				96.4				85.6	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				93.6				82.6	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				n.a.				n.a.	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				87.6				76.3	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				87.3				76.0	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				85.8				74.3	
Career break due to child care: 0 year								88.0	
Career break due to child care: 1 year								88.0	
Career break due to child care: 2 years								88.0	
Career break due to child care: 3 years								88.0	
Short career (30 year career)		78.9		77.4		69.5		65.8	
Early retirement due to unemployment				82.5				70.9	
Early retirement due to disability				77.1				65.6	
Pension rights of surviving spouses								149.1	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	75.7		72.4		65.2		59.2
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	73.3		69.0		62.6		55.4	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

LU									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	64.8	65.1	64.4	65.8	65.8	63.8	64.0	63.7	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	64.2	65.9	66.4	67.1	66.4	62.9	63.5	60.6	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	10.7	10.8	10.5	11.5	11.6	10.9	11.3	10.7	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	11.6	11.5	12.4	11.8	11.9	10.6	10.8	8.7	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	78.1	78.1	77.9	78.5	79.1	79.8	79.4	80.0	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	83.1	83.3	83.5	83.6	83.8	83.9	85.2	84.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.4	17.6	17.3	17.8	18.4	19.1	18.4	18.9	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	21.0	21.4	21.6	21.6	21.4	21.9	22.7	21.8	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	74.0	74.0	75.2	72.5	73.8	71.9	72.8	70.4	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	4464.0	4383.7	4401.8	4381.0	4270.0	4111.1	4111.3			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	7.3	8.1	7.6	7.3	7.1	6.5	6.3			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁴⁰ (Thousands)



¹⁴⁰ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

LU	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income
definition	Total of beneficiary households of the guaranteed minimum revenu (complementary allocation)
unit	Thousands of beneficiaries
source	IGSS
	Disability benefit
definition	Total of disability pensions of the general pension scheme (permanent and transitory, but without "indemnité d'attente", beneficiaries aged below 65)
unit	Thousands of beneficiaries
source	IGSS
	Early retirement
definition	Early retirement beneficiaries (without "préretraites", beneficiaries aged below 65)
unit	Thousands of beneficiaries
source	IGSS

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	LU											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	15.5	17.8	17.1	16.8	18.4	19.0	19.0	18.5	n.a.	-0.5 pp	3.0 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	13.4	14.9	14.5	13.6	15.1	15.9	16.4	15.3	n.a.	-1.1 pp	1.9 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	16166	16265	15961	15961	15948	16818	16962	17571	n.a.	2.7 %	1.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	0.7	1.1	0.5	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.4	2.0	2.0	0.0 pp	1.3 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	4.7	6.3	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.6	6.1	5.7	n.a.	-0.4 pp	1.0 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	16.6	17.6	18.6	15.7	15.0	17.5	16.3	17.4	n.a.	1.1 pp	0.8 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	8.4	8.8	6.0	6.5	7.1	9.2	8.7	12.0	n.a.	3.3 pp	3.6 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.3	n.a.	-2.3 %	4.9 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	20.9	23.7	22.3	21.7	24.6	26.0	26.4	23.0	n.a.	-3.4 pp	2.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	43.2	44.8	50.2	50.0	47.9	45.9	40.6	43.8	n.a.	3.2 pp	0.5 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	66.7	66.1	67.8	69.0	67.0	64.9	63.4	65.8	n.a.	2.4 pp	-0.9 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	49.4	47.1	45.9	41.0	44.3	51.9	58.3	41.3	n.a.	-17.0 pp	-8.1 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	9.4	10.1	10.6	9.8	10.3	11.2	11.1	11.6	n.a.	0.5 pp	2.2 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.2	0.3 pp	0.6 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	13.4	7.7	7.1	6.2	8.1	6.1	6.1	9.3	5.5	-3.8 pp	-7.9 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.2	5.5	3.5	4.2	5.0	4.0	6.0	6.1	5.8	-0.3 pp	0.6 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	6.2	5.8	5.1	4.7	5.9	5.0	6.3	6.2	5.4	-0.8 pp	-0.8 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	34.1	38.2	39.6	39.3	41.0	40.5	42.5	38.4	39.6	1.2 pp	5.5 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	5.4	6.2	6.1	4.7	6.1	7.0	6.4	8.2	n.a.	1.8 pp	2.8 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.97	1.01	1.05	1.05	1.10	1.13	1.11	1.08	n.a.	-2.7 %	11.3 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.58	0.62	0.68	0.74	0.79	0.78	0.85	0.80	n.a.	-5.9 %	37.9 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.9	n.a.	0.1 pp	0.3 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	10.7	10.8	10.5	11.5	11.6	10.9	11.3	10.7	n.a.	-5.3 %	0.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	11.6	11.5	12.4	11.8	11.9	10.6	10.8	8.7	n.a.	-19.4 %	-25.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	3.7	3.7	4.7	4.2	4.9	5.6	6.8	6.0	n.a.	-0.8 pp	2.3 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.								

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, LUXEMBOURG

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	The persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate is in line with the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.	The number of people aged 0-59 living in jobless households and the at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-) jobless households are both significantly better than the EU average. <i>The risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities¹⁴¹ is significantly below the EU average. The gap between the risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with and without disabilities is one of the lowest in the EU¹⁴².</i>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	At-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work ($0.55 < WI \leq 1$) is significantly worse than the EU average.	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	In-work poverty is worse than the EU average, especially for women.	The at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-) jobless households (18-59) is significantly better than the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	Housing deprivation, especially among older women, continues deteriorating.	Relative median income of the elderly and the aggregate replacement ratio of pensions are high.
5. Health & LTC		The vaccination coverage rate of children for DTP is significantly above the 95% threshold.

¹⁴¹ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹⁴² Source: Eurostat 2015

6. Other key issues		
---------------------	--	--

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


HUNGARY¹⁴³

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 450,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year iv) Break in series: EU-SILC data for 2011 to 2015 have been reweighted on the basis of Census 2011 results.

¹⁴³ Figures in this profile are based on data extracted from the Eurostat website around 3 July 2017 unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

HU													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	43.9	42.0	41.1	44.7	42.9	43.0	47.1	52.9	55.0	2.1 pp	11.1 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1226	1229	1211	1382	1403	1461	1458	1448	1398	-3.5 %	14.0 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	33.8	29.8	30.8	31.2	30.8	29.8	30.4	25.1	23.1	-2.0 pp	-10.6 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	943	870	909	964	1006	1011	942	687	588	-14.4 %	-37.6 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	63.4	68.7	72.2	74.2	78.6	79.7	75.5	68.7	61.7	-7.0 pp	-1.7 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	1771	2009	2129	2294	2573	2709	2338	1878	1567	-16.6 %	-11.5 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	7.0	5.2	5.1	4.2	3.9	3.6	4.4	3.5	5.1	1.6 pp	-1.9 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	195	152	149	130	126	121	137	96	130	35.4 %	-33.3 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	9.9	11.7	10.9	14.7	14.5	15.5	14.9	18.0	16.8	-1.2 pp	6.9 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	276	341	321	455	473	528	461	491	426	-13.2 %	54.3 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	9.4	9.3	11.2	13.2	14.4	14.2	14.8	10.7	7.3	-3.4 pp	-2.1 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	263	273	331	407	472	481	459	293	185	-36.9 %	-29.7 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	5.3	5.0	5.7	4.8	5.1	5.1	4.1	3.8	3.4	-0.4 pp	-1.9 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	149	145	169	149	166	173	126	105	87	-17.1 %	-41.6 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC).

Note: Break in series due to revised time series for 2011-2016 due to population reweighting.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

HU										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	0.9	-6.6	0.7	1.7	-1.6	2.1	4.0	3.1	2.0	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	-2.0	-2.5	-1.1	0.0	0.2	1.1	4.8	2.3	2.2	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7.8	10.0	11.2	11.0	11.0	10.2	7.7	6.8	5.1	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.6	4.2	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.9	3.7	3.1	2.4	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	22.0	22.4	22.1	21.5	21.2	20.6	19.7				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

HU											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	22.0	22.4	22.1	21.5	21.2	20.6	19.7			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.5	5.0	4.9	4.9			8.0	8.1
	Disability	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4			2.0	2.0
	Old age	8.7	8.8	8.9	9.1	9.7	9.6	9.1			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.3			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	20.8	21.2	21.0	20.5	20.3	19.7	19.0			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.3	4.9	4.8	4.7			8.0	8.0
	Disability	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4			1.6	1.5
	Old age	8.6	8.8	8.9	9.1	9.7	9.5	9.1			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.3			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2			1.2	1.1
Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

HU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.2	29.6	29.9	31.5	33.5	34.8	31.8	28.2	26.3	-1.9 pp	-1.9 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.4	12.4	12.3	14.1	14.3	15.0	15.0	14.9	14.5	-0.4 pp	2.1 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	3958	4097	4025	4281	4563	4366	4535	4751	4997	4.6 %	9.3 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	8312	8604	8451	8990	9581	9170	9524	9978	10495	4.6 %	9.3 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	17.9	20.3	21.6	23.4	26.3	27.8	24.0	19.4	16.2	-3.2 pp	-1.7 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	12.0	11.3	11.9	12.8	13.5	13.6	12.8	9.4	8.2	-1.2 pp	-3.8 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	7.7	8.6	5.7	8.3	7.6	7.3	8.6	7.2	7.9	0.7 pp	0.2 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	17.3	16.3	16.5	18.2	20.9	21.0	22.3	21.8	18.8	-3.0 pp	1.5 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	12.4	11.8	13.7	15.4	14.9	18.7	17.8	15.4	12.6	-2.8 pp	0.2 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	59.2	57.1	56.7	51.4	47.6	44.4	43.6	42.0	43.8	1.8 pp	-15.4 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.0 %	19.4 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	48.3	46.8	47.2	45.5	45.3	44.0	41.9	41.1	40.4	-0.7 pp	-7.9 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	11.6	8.9	11.3	13.0	14.7	14.3	12.8	8.5	8.8	0.3 pp	-2.8 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	-2.3	-4.1	-2.5	3.8	-3.2	1.8	3.8	2.0				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. Break in series for EU-SILC variables due to revised time series for 2011-2016 due to population reweighting.

HU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	33.4	37.2	38.7	40.4	41.9	43.9	41.8	36.1	33.6	-2.5 pp	0.2 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.7	20.6	20.3	23.7	22.9	23.8	25.0	22.7	19.9	-2.8 pp	0.2 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	21.5	25.5	28.8	30.4	34.1	35.6	31.9	24.9	21.1	-3.8 pp	-0.4 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.1	11.9	13.9	14.8	16.4	15.1	15.2	11.2	9.2	-2.0 pp	-1.9 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	16.8	16.7	16.5	18.6	21.6	20.8	23.1	22.5	17.5	-5.0 pp	0.7 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	57.7	55.5	57.2	51.3	47.7	45.7	45.2	48.1	54.4	6.3 pp	-3.4 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	64.4	64.8	66.5	66.4	67.2	66.1	63.5	62.6	62.3	-0.3 pp	-2.1 pp	22.6	23.0
HU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	35.8	36.3	36.2	37.3	39.0	41.8	37.3	33.5	33.2	-0.3 pp	-2.6 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.1	17.7	17.0	18.7	19.6	21.3	20.8	21.8	18.9	-2.9 pp	0.8 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	23.1	25.2	25.6	27.7	29.9	34.2	28.7	23.0	20.7	-2.3 pp	-2.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.2	9.8	8.8	10.8	12.9	13.2	11.8	7.4	6.2	-1.2 pp	-5.0 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.9	6.8	6.4	6.2	5.2	9.5	6.6	14.2	8.6	-5.6 pp	1.7 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.9	6.5	6.6	6.3	7.2	7.3	6.0	5.4	4.2	-1.2 pp	-0.7 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	15.2	18.0	16.7	17.8	19.7	20.1	17.4	14.8	14.2	-0.6 pp	-1.0 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	13.4	10.1	12.2	13.7	15.0	15.7	14.8	9.5	9.7	0.2 pp	-3.7 pp	14.6	14.2

HU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	29.1	30.2	30.5	32.2	34.0	36.0	32.4	28.9	27.2	-1.7 pp	-1.9 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.0	11.9	11.9	13.8	14.0	15.2	14.9	15.5	15.0	-0.5 pp	3.0 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	17.6	20.1	21.3	23.3	26.1	28.1	23.8	19.2	16.5	-2.7 pp	-1.1 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	12.3	11.1	11.3	12.3	12.6	13.2	12.1	8.9	7.9	-1.0 pp	-4.4 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.1	16.5	16.7	19.1	21.5	23.5	22.5	22.1	20.7	-1.4 pp	2.6 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	5.8	6.2	5.4	6.2	5.7	7.0	6.7	9.3	9.7	0.4 pp	3.9 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	60.3	58.0	57.0	51.9	48.5	44.1	43.6	39.7	41.0	1.3 pp	-19.3 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	48.8	47.1	47.7	45.9	45.7	44.6	42.6	41.4	40.7	-0.7 pp	-8.1 pp	17.8	17.8
Housing cost overburden rate	11.8	9.0	11.6	13.4	15.1	15.1	13.3	9.2	9.3	0.1 pp	-2.5 pp	12.0	11.7	
HU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.5	17.5	16.8	19.0	22.0	20.2	19.0	17.1	15.1	-2.0 pp	-2.4 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.9	6.3	4.6	4.5	4.6	6.8	2.2 pp	2.5 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	14.4	14.6	14.1	16.2	18.6	17.8	16.5	14.2	10.2	-4.0 pp	-4.2 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	1.00	1.02	1.01	0.99	0.96	1.03	1.05	1.01	1.01	0.0 %	1.0 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.61	0.62	0.60	0.60	0.58	0.62	0.62	0.65	0.67	3.1 %	9.8 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	24.5	22.9	21.8	20.8	19.2	17.4	16.4	17.3	17.0	-0.3 pp	-7.5 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.1	6.9	9.1	10.8	12.7	10.0	9.2	5.9	6.4	0.5 pp	-2.7 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

HU	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	33.4	37.2	38.7	40.4	41.9	43.9	41.8	36.1	33.6	-2.5 pp	0.2 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	19.7	20.6	20.3	23.7	22.9	23.8	25.0	22.7	19.9	-2.8 pp	0.2 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	21.5	25.5	28.8	30.4	34.1	35.6	31.9	24.9	21.1	-3.8 pp	-0.4 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	11.1	11.9	13.9	14.8	16.4	15.1	15.2	11.2	9.2	-2.0 pp	-1.9 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	13.4	16.6	9.0	17.3	14.2	14.5	15.9	13.4	15.9	2.5 pp	2.5 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	7.4	8.4	7.2	7.6	6.8	8.1	8.5	10.3	11.5	1.2 pp	4.1 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	70.9	68.8	69.7	74.4	76.3	77.9	79.3	75.2	62.4	-12.8 pp	-8.5 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	13.3	14.1	12.4	15.0	12.5	14.0	15.2	16.0	15.6	-0.4 pp	2.3 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	5.8	4.6		-1.2 pp	2.6 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	5.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	9.0	8.6	10.8		2.2 pp	5.8 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	23.0	17.0	14.0	16.0	14.0	17.0	12.7	9.6		-3.1 pp	-13.4 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	52.0	57.0	65.0	59.0	61.0	68.0	73.6	79.5		5.9 pp	27.5 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	16.8	16.7	16.5	18.6	21.6	20.8	23.1	22.5	17.5	-5.0 pp	0.7 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	9.1	9.6	9.1	7.3	8.7	8.6	8.0	7.9	9.5	1.6 pp	0.4 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	13.7	14.1	13.5	10.5	12.6	12.3	11.5	11.8	12.9	1.1 pp	-0.8 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	57.7	55.5	57.2	51.3	47.7	45.7	45.2	48.1	54.4	6.3 pp	-3.4 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	13.0	10.0	11.9	13.6	15.0	15.6	14.0	8.2	9.1	0.9 pp	-3.9 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.5	5.6	4.8	4.9	6.3	7.1	7.0	5.7	5.8	0.1 pp	0.3 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	11.7	11.5	10.8	11.4	11.8	11.9	11.4	11.6	12.4	0.8 pp	0.7 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4	-0.2 pp	-0.9 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	5.6	5.1	5.3	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.5	4.2		-0.3 pp	-1.4 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	28.7	17.5	27.4	25.7	28.1	28.6	28.3	25.3	28.3	3.0 pp	-0.4 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	64.4	64.8	66.5	66.4	67.2	66.1	63.5	62.6	62.3	-0.3 pp	-2.1 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

Note: Break in series for EU-SILC variables due to revised time series for 2011-2016 due to population reweighting.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	100.8		81.9		65.6		53.7	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	85.4		81.9		55.6		53.7	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	80.6		81.9		52.5		53.7	
	AWG career length case	90.6	85.5	87.3	80.4	58.9	55.6	57.2	52.7
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			96.3				63.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			n.a.				n.a.	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			96.3				63.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			n.a.				n.a.	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			80.4				52.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			78.9				51.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			77.3				50.6	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			81.9				53.7	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			81.9				53.7	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			81.9				53.7	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			81.9				53.7	
	Short career (30 year career)			69.6				45.6	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			81.9				53.7	
	Early retirement due to disability			74.8				49.0	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			68.3				44.7	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	107.0		81.9		70.1		53.7
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		90.7		81.9		59.4		53.7	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		85.6		81.9		56.1		53.7	
AWG career length case		96.1	90.7	87.3	80.4	63.0	59.4	57.2	52.7
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				96.3				63.1	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				n.a.				n.a.	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				96.3				63.1	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				n.a.				n.a.	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				80.4				52.7	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				78.9				51.7	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				77.3				50.6	
Career break due to child care: 0 year				81.9				53.7	
Career break due to child care: 1 year				81.9				53.7	
Career break due to child care: 2 years				81.9				53.7	
Career break due to child care: 3 years				81.9				53.7	
Short career (30 year career)		77.5		69.6		50.8		45.6	
Early retirement due to unemployment				81.9				53.7	
Early retirement due to disability				74.8				49.0	
Pension rights of surviving spouses				106.5				69.8	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	80.3		61.4		50.7		40.2
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	68.1		61.4		43.0		40.2	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

HU									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	54.8	55.9	56.3	57.6	59.2	59.1	58.9	58.2	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	58.2	58.2	58.6	59.1	60.5	60.1	60.8	60.1	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	5.6	5.7	5.4	6.0	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.9	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	6.4	5.7	5.9	6.0	6.4	6.1	6.1	5.9	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	70.0	70.3	70.7	71.2	71.6	72.2	72.3	72.3	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	78.3	78.4	78.6	78.7	78.7	79.1	79.4	79.0	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	13.9	14.0	14.1	14.3	14.3	14.5	14.6	14.5	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	18.1	18.2	18.2	18.3	18.1	18.4	18.6	18.2	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	3.4	2.1	1.7	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.5	2.6	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	55.1	55.9	55.0	55.9	57.6	57.0	57.5	56.2	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1223.5	1217.4	1355.8	1417.3	1412.6	1366.5	1423.6			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	7.5	7.7	8.1	8.0	8.0	7.3	7.2			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁴⁴



Note: *total number of people entitled to benefit for people in active age: Every person who is entitled to the benefit regardless of the actual payment. **benefit for people in active age recipients: Number of people receiving benefit for people in active age in the actual month. In periods of public employment, training, short-time employment, the payment of the benefit is suspended, but the entitlement is not terminated. Thus those people who do not receive benefit in the actual month (because of public employment, training, employment) do not appear here.

¹⁴⁴ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

HU	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
link	http://nui.epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lmhu_m&lang=en
comment	
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment Benefit recipients - Recipients of jobseekers' allowance and jobseekers' assistance
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry for National Economy
comment	At the end of 2010 data from 2008 till 2010 about jobseekers' allowance were modified because of the changes in the functioning of the IT system, which revised the number of recipients of unemployment benefit. On the other hand data of 2006, 2007 were also modified because we have found significant differences between this number of HU jobseekers allowance, assistance receivers and number of recipients of jobseekers allowance, assistance (were registered by PES).
	Social assistance benefit
definition	Recipients of benefit for people in active age (Former name of the benefit was regular social assistance)
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Hungarian Treasury
definition	Benefit for people in active age is an income supplement provision in the form of cash, provided by county offices. Its aim to guarantee a minimal standard of living for those who have no income.
comment	From the 1 July 2006 the conditions of the provision and the way of calculation of the amount of support changed. Before that the local government awarded regular social assistance to a person who was over 18 years of age, was of active age, and had lost at least 67 per cent of his or her working ability or received blind persons' benefit, or to a person who was of active age but not in employment, in the case that their subsistence was not provided by other means. By the new terms for the support is entitled only one person in a family. The assessing of the entitlement is based on the income projected to the consumer unit instead of the previous income per capita. The consumer unit is the rate which shows the structure of consumption within a family. The first major member of the family and the disabled child's rate is 1,0 while the ratio of the companion (spouse) and a child is lower (0,9-0,7). The amount of support is variable and supplements the family's effective total income to the limit of the entitlement. The regular social assistance from 1 January 2009 was changed to benefit for people in active age which consists of two types of allowances:

a) a benefit provided of those who are *incapable* for work: the regular social assistance (now called 'benefit for people with health impairment or taking care of children'. Recipients were those people who were health impaired, who had less than five years to the retirement age, as well as persons who brought up a child under 14, and the attendance of the child at an institution providing daily care was not ensured. Furthermore, the competent municipality could set up other conditions in its local decree connected to the family circumstances, health or mental status of the claimant, in which case the person entitled to benefit for persons in active age was defined as a person incapable of performing work.)

The calculation of regular social assistance was determined on the grounds of the composition and income of the family. The monthly amount of the assistance was the difference between the amount of the family income limit and the actual monthly income of the family of the entitled person.

b) a benefit for those who are capable to work: the "support for to be ready to work" (now called 'employment substituting benefit'). Persons who belong to this group are obliged to cooperate with the Public Employment Service and to take part in public work. The benefit is paid when the person is not involved in public work. The amount of the benefit is fixed, it is equal to 80 % of the minimum old-age pension.

The name of the benefit for those who are capable of work was changed to employment substituting benefit from **1st September 2011**.

From 1st March 2015 the system of benefits for people in active age was changed. The benefit is provided by the district offices instead of the local governments.

Those persons who are not capable of performing work - because of health impairment or taking care of a child - receive "benefit for people with health impairment or taking care of children" instead of regular social assistance. Other previous entitlements of regular social assistance ceased (age, conditions set up by municipalities). Those people who received regular social assistance upon these criteria could be entitled to employment substituting benefit if they accepted to cooperate with the Public Employment Service.

Only one person in a family can be eligible to the benefit for persons in active age, except for the case when two claimants are entitled to different cash benefits (one person is entitled to employment substituting benefit, the other to regular social assistance).

Disability benefit	
definition	Disability subsidy recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Central Administration of National Pension Insurance https://www.onyf.hu/en/
definition	Financial support for severely disabled persons over the age of 18, who are unable to care for themselves or need permanent assistance from others.

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	HU											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	28.2	29.6	29.9	31.5	33.5	34.8	31.8	28.2	26.3	-3.6 pp	0.0 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	12.4	12.4	12.3	14.1	14.3	15.0	15.0	14.9	14.5	-0.1 pp	2.5 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	3958	4097	4025	4281	4563	4366	4535	4751	4997	4.9 %	4.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	17.9	20.3	21.6	23.4	26.3	27.8	24.0	19.4	16.2	-3.2 pp	-1.7 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	12.0	11.3	11.9	12.8	13.5	13.6	12.8	9.4	8.2	-3.4 pp	-2.6 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	17.3	16.3	16.5	18.2	20.9	21.0	22.3	21.8	18.8	-0.5 pp	4.5 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	7.7	8.6	5.7	8.3	7.6	7.3	8.6	7.2	7.9	-1.4 pp	-0.5 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.0 %	19.4 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	33.4	37.2	38.7	40.4	41.9	43.9	41.8	36.1	33.6	-5.7 pp	2.7 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	59.2	57.1	56.7	51.4	47.6	44.4	43.6	42.0	43.8	-1.6 pp	-17.2 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	76.2	75.8	76.1	72.9	71.3	70.1	70.1	69.7	69.5	-0.4 pp	-6.5 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	48.5	48.8	52.8	55.7	59.5	59.5	63.2	56.6	53.6	-6.6 pp	8.1 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	5.8	6.2	5.4	6.2	5.7	7.0	6.7	9.3	9.7	2.6 pp	3.5 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	3.6	4.2	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.9	3.7	3.1	2.4	-0.7 pp	-1.2 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	11.7	11.5	10.8	11.4	11.8	11.9	11.4	11.6	12.4	0.8 pp	0.7 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.9	6.5	6.6	6.3	7.2	7.3	6.0	5.4	4.2	-1.2 pp	-0.7 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	11.5	13.6	12.6	13.2	14.8	15.5	13.6	11.6	11.0	-0.6 pp	-0.5 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	30.9	31.9	33.6	35.3	36.1	37.9	41.7	45.3	49.8	4.5 pp	18.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	17.5	17.5	16.8	19.0	22.0	20.2	19.0	17.1	15.1	-1.9 pp	-0.4 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	1.00	1.02	1.01	0.99	0.96	1.03	1.05	1.01	1.01	-3.8 %	1.0 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.61	0.62	0.60	0.60	0.58	0.62	0.62	0.65	0.67	4.8 %	6.6 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	3.4	2.1	1.7	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.5	2.6	n.a.	0.1 pp	-0.8 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	5.6	5.7	5.4	6.0	6.4	6.2	6.0	5.9	n.a.	-1.7 %	5.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	6.4	5.7	5.9	6.0	6.4	6.1	6.1	5.9	n.a.	-3.3 %	-7.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	11.6	8.9	11.3	13.0	14.7	14.3	12.8	8.5	8.8	-4.3 pp	-3.1 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	-2.3	-4.1	-2.5	3.8	-3.2	1.8	3.8	2.0	n.a.	2.0 %	1.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. Break in series for EU-SILC variables due to revised time series for 2011-2016 due to population reweighting.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, HUNGARY

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>Severe material deprivation rate is worse than the EU average but shows a significantly positive development.</p> <p>Housing Deprivation is worse than the EU average in particular for children.</p> <p><i>Poverty rate for Roma is particularly high¹⁴⁵.</i></p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The indicators for children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion and for children living in a household suffering from severe material deprivation are worse than the EU average but show a significantly positive development.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>In-work poverty for both men and women is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.</p> <p>The impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing working age poverty (18-64) is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.</p> <p><i>Adequacy and coverage of social assistance and unemployment benefits are low¹⁴⁶.</i></p>	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		<p>The at-risk-of poverty rate of older people is better than the EU average, especially for men.</p>
5. Health & LTC	<p>Life expectancy is significantly worse than the EU average. Healthy life years at 65 and unmet need of medical care due to distance are worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>Health workforce shortages are still an issue and hamper accessibility to the health system¹⁴⁷.</i></p>	<p>The vaccination coverage rate of children for DTP is significantly above the 95% threshold.</p>

¹⁴⁵ Sources: Country Report Hungary 2017; FRA, Poverty and Employment: The situation of Roma in 11 EU MS Roma Survey - Data in Focus, 2014; Decade of Roma Inclusion Secretariat, Roma Inclusion Index, September 2015

¹⁴⁶ Source: Country Report 2017, European Social Policy Network Thematic Report on Minimum Income ESPN Country Profile 2016-2017; Eurostat 2014.

¹⁴⁷ Sources: Country Report 2017, Health at a Glance 2016

6. Other key issues		
---------------------	--	--


Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Lift around 6,560 people out of the risk of poverty and social exclusion by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁴⁸ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MT													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	75.3	73.2	73.3	70.0	66.0	65.7	66.7	73.4		6.7 pp	-1.9 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	61	60	63	63	62	65	66	69		4.5 %	13.1 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	34.6	35.4	33.7	31.1	29.8	28.3	31.3	30.9		-0.5 pp	-3.7 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	28	29	29	28	28	28	31	29		-6.5 %	3.6 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	21.0	24.4	30.2	30.0	39.4	39.4	43.4	36.2		-7.3 pp	15.2 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	17	20	26	27	37	39	43	34	19	-44.1 %	11.8 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	14.8	13.4	14.0	13.3	10.6	10.1	10.1	11.7		1.6 pp	-3.1 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	12	11	12	12	10	10	10	11		10.0 %	-8.3 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	2.5	4.9	7.0	5.6	5.3	6.1	7.1	7.5		0.4 pp	5.0 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	2	4	6	5	5	6	7	7		0.0 %	250.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.2	6.1	8.1	5.6	8.5	8.1	10.1	9.6		-0.5 pp	3.4 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	5	5	7	5	8	8	10	9		-10.0 %	80.0 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.5	2.4	2.3	1.1	2.1	1.0	3.0	2.1		-0.9 pp	-0.3 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	2	2	2	1	2	1	3	2		-33.3 %	0.0 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

MT										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	3.3	-2.5	3.5	1.3	2.5	4.6	8.3	7.3	5.0	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	2.5	0.0	1.7	2.9	2.5	3.7	5.1	3.9	3.5	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	6.0	6.9	6.9	6.4	6.3	6.4	5.8	5.4	4.7	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.4	1.9	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	17.9	19.3	19.1	18.7	18.9	18.7	18.0				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

MT										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	17.9	19.3	19.1	18.7	18.9	18.7	18.0		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	5.7	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.9	6.1	5.9		8.0	8.1
	Disability	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7		2.0	2.0
	Old age	7.4	8.1	8.5	8.3	8.4	8.2	7.8		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.6		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5		1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3		0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	15.5	16.8	16.6	16.2	16.6	16.3	15.7		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	4.8	5.3	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.0		8.0	8.0
	Disability	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5		1.6	1.5
	Old age	7.1	7.8	8.2	7.9	8.1	7.9	7.5		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.6		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

MT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.1	20.3	21.2	22.1	23.1	24.0	23.8	22.4		-1.4 pp	2.3 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.3	14.9	15.5	15.6	15.1	15.7	15.9	16.3		0.4 pp	1.0 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	7958	8146	8023	8417	8760	9034	9300	10009		4.3 %	19.1 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	16712	17106	16848	17676	18397	18971	19531	21018		4.3 %	19.1 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.3	5.0	6.5	6.6	9.2	9.5	10.2	8.1	4.4	-3.7 pp	0.1 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.6	9.2	9.2	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.8	9.2		-0.6 pp	0.6 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	7.7	7.7	9.1	11.4	9.7	8.5	10.6	12.7		2.1 pp	5.0 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.3	16.2	17.3	17.7	16.1	19.1	17.8	17.3		-0.5 pp	-3.0 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	15.3	14.8	16.8	15.7	13.8	14.4	11.5	9.7		-1.8 pp	-5.6 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	33.2	34.9	34.0	32.8	37.1	32.6	33.2	31.2		-2.0 pp	-2.0 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.2		5.0 %	-2.3 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.4	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.5		-0.5 pp	-0.4 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.3	2.8	3.7	3.0	2.6	2.6	1.6	1.1		-0.5 pp	-2.2 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income												1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

MT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.0	26.5	26.7	27.8	31.0	32.0	31.3	28.2		-3.1 pp	3.2 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.4	21.2	22.1	23.0	23.1	24.0	24.1	23.4		-0.7 pp	3.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.3	7.2	7.7	7.7	12.3	11.8	13.9	10.4	6.4	-4.0 pp	0.1 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.8	10.4	9.7	10.0	10.4	11.2	12.3	10.8		-1.5 pp	1.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.9	17.2	15.2	17.0	15.0	20.9	19.9	20.8		0.9 pp	-0.1 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	33.6	35.0	31.4	29.9	36.0	28.8	25.9	24.3		-1.6 pp	-9.3 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	5.5	5.7	6.4	7.4	6.7	4.6	5.9	6.0		0.1 pp	0.5 pp	22.6	23.0
MT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	12.6	14.5	18.7	21.1	22.2	22.8	21.6	20.0		-1.6 pp	7.4 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	7.8	9.3	11.8	13.2	12.5	11.5	10.7	9.8		-0.9 pp	2.0 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.5	5.5	8.4	7.9	11.5	11.9	12.6	11.1	4.1	-7.0 pp	-0.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.7	5.1	7.1	6.1	7.4	6.5	6.3	6.9		0.6 pp	3.2 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	3.1	2.9	4.9	5.3	3.7	4.1	2.7	3.4		0.7 pp	0.3 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.1	7.5	6.7	6.9	7.2	6.9	6.1	6.1	5.7	-0.4 pp	-0.4 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	7.7	11.1	10.4	11.0	11.3	10.1	10.4	10.4	8.4	-2.0 pp	0.7 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	1.2	0.9	2.6	2.3	2.4	1.2	0.9	0.3		-0.6 pp	-0.9 pp	14.6	14.2

MT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.5	18.1	19.6	20.7	21.1	22.5	21.8	20.5		-1.3 pp	3.0 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.0	12.1	13.1	13.1	12.4	13.6	13.2	13.1		-0.1 pp	1.1 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.0	4.6	6.4	6.8	8.9	9.5	9.8	8.4	4.1	-4.3 pp	0.1 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.2	8.9	9.0	8.6	8.6	8.3	9.0	8.7		-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.5	16.2	17.7	18.3	16.9	19.3	18.4	17.4		-1.0 pp	-3.1 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	5.1	5.4	5.8	6.1	5.2	5.9	5.7	5.3		-0.4 pp	0.2 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	37.8	38.3	36.7	35.8	40.1	32.0	34.3	33.5		-0.8 pp	-4.3 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	3.8	3.7	3.9	4.3	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.5		-0.6 pp	-0.3 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	3.1	2.6	3.6	2.9	2.4	2.4	1.5	0.9		-0.6 pp	-2.2 pp	12.0	11.7
MT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	26.0	22.2	21.7	21.0	22.3	20.8	23.3	23.7		0.4 pp	-2.3 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	24.3	19.7	18.2	17.6	17.3	14.9	16.9	21.0		4.1 pp	-3.3 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.1	4.1	5.0	4.7	6.4	7.1	8.1	4.7	3.5	-1.2 pp	0.4 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.73	0.77	0.81	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.75		-3.8 %	2.7 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.41	0.45	0.44	0.48	0.46	0.56	0.56	0.54		-3.6 %	31.7 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.0		-0.4 pp	-0.7 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.2	4.3	4.1	3.4	3.1	1.9	1.5	1.9		0.4 pp	-2.3 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

MT	%												EU28			
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015		
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	25.0	26.5	26.7	27.8	31.0	32.0	31.3	28.2		-3.1 pp	3.2 pp	27.7	26.9		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	20.4	21.2	22.1	23.0	23.1	24.0	24.1	23.4		-0.7 pp	3.0 pp	21.1	21.1		
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	6.3	7.2	7.7	7.7	12.3	11.8	13.9	10.4	6.4		-4.0 pp	0.1 pp	10.4	9.5	
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	9.8	10.4	9.7	10.0	10.4	11.2	12.3	10.8			-1.5 pp	1.0 pp	9.8	9.3	
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	11.5	8.6	10.7	15.9	14.1	13.2	18.9	20.1			1.2 pp	8.6 pp	13.2	13.3	
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	7.9	9.0	9.7	10.1	10.0	9.7	9.2	8.0			-1.2 pp	0.1 pp	11.1	11.1	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	77.2	66.9	79.0	77.9	75.4	72.6	76.3	86.1			9.8 pp	8.9 pp	67.1	69.9	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	14.1	15.9	16.0	16.9	17.0	17.8	16.8	15.8			-1.0 pp	1.7 pp	16.0	16.0	
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	10.0	4.0	6.0	7.0	16.0	17.0	12.0	10.7			-1.3 pp	0.7 pp	14.0	14.7	
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	6.2	7.2			1.0 pp	2.2 pp	14.4	15.6	
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	25.0	28.0	26.0	27.0	31.0	32.0	34.8	34.6			-0.2 pp	9.6 pp	33.9	33.9	
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	50.0	48.0	46.0	46.0	60.0	60.0	64.6	53.8			-10.8 pp	3.8 pp	49.2	49.4	
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	20.9	17.2	15.2	17.0	15.0	20.9	19.9	20.8			0.9 pp	-0.1 pp	25.9	26.0	
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	13.9	15.9	14.9	15.9	18.0	16.6	13.7	17.7	16.4			-1.3 pp	2.5 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)									6.0				4.2	4.4	
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	17.7	20.3	19.7	21.2	24.3	22.6	17.6	22.2	19.9			-2.3 pp	2.2 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	33.6	35.0	31.4	29.9	36.0	28.8	25.9	24.3				-1.6 pp	-9.3 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	3.2	2.7	3.6	3.1	3.2	3.5	1.9	1.0				-0.9 pp	-2.2 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	9.3	8.6	9.1	9.0	10.1	10.2	10.8	11.1	9.3			-1.8 pp	0.0 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	27.2	25.7	23.8	22.7	21.1	20.5	20.3	19.8	19.6			-0.2 pp	-7.6 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.3				-0.2 pp	0.0 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	8.5	5.5	5.6	6.5	5.3	6.7	5.0	5.8				0.8 pp	-2.7 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	1.8	2.2	2.1	2.8	1.5	1.5	1.8	2.3				0.5 pp	0.5 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	5.5	5.7	6.4	7.4	6.7	4.6	5.9	6.0				0.1 pp	0.5 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	79.0		73.8		65.8		60.8	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	79.0		73.8		65.8		60.8	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	79.0		73.8		65.8		60.8	
	AWG career length case	79.0	79.2	72.1	69.9	65.8	66.2	59.3	57.2
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			73.8				60.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			69.0				57.1	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			73.8				60.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			69.0				57.1	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			73.8				60.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			73.8				60.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			73.8				60.8	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				73.8				60.8
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				73.8				60.8
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				73.8				60.8
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				73.7				60.8
	Short career (30 year career)			73.4				60.5	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			76.9				62.3	
	Early retirement due to disability			76.9				62.3	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			62.0				53.3	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	78.5		82.4		66.9		70.5
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		78.5		82.4		66.9		70.5	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		78.5		82.4		66.9		70.5	
AWG career length case		78.5	78.9	80.9	78.0	66.9	67.3	68.7	66.3
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				82.4				70.5	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				78.6				66.8	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				82.4				70.5	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				78.6				66.8	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				73.5				61.4	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				73.5				61.4	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				73.5				61.4	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					82.4				70.5
Career break due to child care: 1 year					82.4				70.5
Career break due to child care: 2 years					82.4				70.5
Career break due to child care: 3 years					80.7				65.9
Short career (30 year career)		78.3		82.0		66.9		70.0	
Early retirement due to unemployment				90.5				76.2	
Early retirement due to disability				90.5				76.2	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					88.6				80.4
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	44.8		38.6		34.1		30.4
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	44.8		38.6		34.1		30.4	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

MT									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	68.8	69.4	70.1	69.9	71.5	71.6	72.3	72.6	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	72.1	71.0	71.3	70.7	72.2	72.7	74.3	74.6	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	10.5	11.4	12.0	11.8	12.5	12.8	13.3	13.4	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	11.6	11.7	11.7	11.0	12.3	12.7	13.7	14.0	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.1	77.9	79.3	78.6	78.6	79.6	79.8	79.7	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.3	82.7	83.6	83.0	83.0	84.0	84.2	84.0	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.1	16.8	18.5	17.7	17.6	18.4	18.6	18.7	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.1	20.6	21.1	21.0	21.0	21.4	21.7	21.6	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.7	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.8	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	73.8	68.8	67.5	69.9	72.1	72.0	74.7	70.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)										
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)										

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁴⁹



¹⁴⁹ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

MT	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
link	http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=une_nb_m&lang=en
comment	
	Unemployment benefit
definition	1) Unemployment Benefit - UB; 2) Special Unemployment Benefit - SUB; 3) Unemployment Assistance - UA
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry of the Family and Social Solidarity
link	https://secure2.gov.mt/socialpolicy/socprot/mjdf_page/disclaimer.aspx .
comment	1) Unemployment benefit is paid to persons who are registering as unemployed under the Part 1 register as held by Jobsplus who have paid or credited an accumulation of fifty (50) social security contributions in total and an average of twenty (20) social security contributions in the preceding two (2) years prior to their claim. The unemployment benefit rate which is paid for a maximum of six (6) months may be increased to a special unemployment benefit rate; 2) If a person who is in receipt of Unemployment Benefit satisfies the conditions for the award of unemployment assistance, his benefit is increased to a Special Unemployment Benefit.; 3) Head of household who is seeking employment and is registering for work under Part 1 of the register with ETC is eligible for this benefit.
	Social assistance benefit
definition	1) Social Assistance - SA; 2) Social Assistance for Carers - SAF; 3) Supplementary Allowance - SPA (only low income earners are being considered as related to the crisis); 4) Social Assistance for Drug Addicts - DAD
unit	thousands of beneficiaries
source	Ministry of the Family and Social Solidarity
link	https://secure2.gov.mt/socialpolicy/socprot/mjdf_page/disclaimer.aspx .
comment	1) Head of Households, who are incapable of work due to medical reasons, or are unemployed and seeking employment, given that they fulfill the means and capital resources tests; 2) ATo be entitled for this benefit, claimant must either be single or a widow (male or female), who are taking care of a sick relative by themselves on a full time basis. Relatives must be the parents, grand-parents, brothers, sisters, uncles, aunts, brothers or sisters' in-laws and father/mother in laws. Claimants and patients are to give proof that they are residing in the same residence. Case will be referred for a medical examination; 3) Supplementary Allowance is payable to households where the total income of the members falls below the limits outlined by the Social Security Act from time to time. In this regard, not all Supplementary Allowance beneficiaries here are related to the economic crisis but only beneficiaries on low household income. SPA is paid every 13 weeks (roughly each 3 months), being Dec/Jan, Mar/Apr, Jun/Jul, and Sep/Oct; 4) A person who is undergoing a drug or alcohol rehabilitation therapeutic programme is eligible for this benefit. An official document from the institution concerned is received by the Department confirming date when programme was initiated.

	Disability benefit
definition	1) Disability Pension - SHP; 2) Pension for the visually impaired - BLD; 3) Disablement Pension (termed as Injury Pension in Social Security Act CAP 318)- DP; 4) Invalidity Pension - IP
source	Ministry of the Family and Social Solidarity
link	https://secure2.gov.mt/socialpolicy/socprot/mjdf_page/disclaimer.aspx .
comment	1) Payable to citizens of Malta over 16 years of age. Various types of disabilities are listed under the Social Security Act; 2) Claimant must be 14 years of age or over, and provide a medical certificate from an ophthalmologist from Mater Dei Hospital explaining the patient's visual medical condition. This Benefit is means tested. Claimant's income, together with the rate of Pension for the Visually Impaired must not exceed the National Minimum Wage as applicable to an 18-year-old person (As from 2015 income from employment is excluded from the calculation of the weekly means test); 3) Payable if injury or disease caused or contracted whilst at work is considered to cause a loss of physical or mental faculty calculated between 20% & 89%. Rates awarded according to degree of Disability. Where the degree of disablement is assessed at 90% and over, the person concerned is automatically awarded an Invalidity Pension at the full rate. 4) Payable to persons deemed permanently incapable for suitable full-time or regular part-time employment. Various rates according to different conditions.
comment	<p>In 2016, the Maltese economy recorded a growth rate of 5.0 per cent in real GDP, surpassing the growth rate registered by most of the European Member States. This robust economic growth was primarily attributed to strong net exports with domestic demand also contributing positively. Net exports contributed 4.2 percentage points to economic growth as exports increased by 4.0 per cent, reflecting improved external conditions in Malta's main trading partners and improved competitiveness of the Maltese economy while imports increased by a subdued rate of 1.1 per cent due to declining import content associated with lower investment relative to previous years. On the other hand, domestic demand contributed one percentage point to economic growth, reflecting robust private consumption in spite of the declining contributions of gross fixed capital formation and government consumption.</p> <p>The Maltese economy is expected to continue growing at a steady rate in 2017 though at a relatively slower pace than that seen in 2016, with real GDP growth forecasted at 4.3 per cent. The growth in 2017 will be on the tailwinds of domestic demand which is set to remain strong, mainly on the back of private and public consumption expenditure. The economy for 2017 is expected to remain job rich, with an employment growth rate of 3.2 per cent and an unemployment rate (based on harmonised definition) expected at around 4.6 per cent. Inflation is expected to reach 1.5 per cent in 2017 mainly on the back of increases in services prices as well as processed and unprocessed food prices.</p>
comment	"Due to the favourable conditions in the registered economic activity a declining trend in the number of unemployment benefit recipients was observed from the second quarter 2010 and continued well throughout 2011. As from the third quarter 2011 till the third quarter 2013, the number of persons eligible for unemployment related benefits gradually increased. Following this increase, data from Jobsplus indicates that the number of persons registered as unemployed as at tApril 2017 stood at 2,577, a decrease of 1,174, or 31.1 per cent over the corresponding month in 2016."

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	MT											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	20.1	20.3	21.2	22.1	23.1	24.0	23.8	22.4	n.a.	-1.4 pp	2.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	15.3	14.9	15.5	15.6	15.1	15.7	15.9	16.3	n.a.	0.4 pp	1.0 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	7958	8146	8023	8417	8760	9034	9300	10009	n.a.	4.3 %	19.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	4.3	5.0	6.5	6.6	9.2	9.5	10.2	8.1	4.4	-3.7 pp	0.1 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.6	9.2	9.2	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.8	9.2	n.a.	-0.6 pp	0.6 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	20.3	16.2	17.3	17.7	16.1	19.1	17.8	17.3	n.a.	-0.5 pp	-3.0 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	7.7	7.7	9.1	11.4	9.7	8.5	10.6	12.7	n.a.	2.1 pp	5.0 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.2	n.a.	5.0 %	-2.3 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	25.0	26.5	26.7	27.8	31.0	32.0	31.3	28.2	n.a.	-3.1 pp	3.2 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	33.2	34.9	34.0	32.8	37.1	32.6	33.2	31.2	n.a.	-2.0 pp	-2.0 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	55.9	57.8	57.8	58.6	60.6	59.1	57.9	56.5	n.a.	-1.4 pp	0.6 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	61.6	55.1	62.9	60.4	62.7	65.2	64.1	69.1	n.a.	5.0 pp	7.5 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	5.1	5.4	5.8	6.1	5.2	5.9	5.7	5.3	n.a.	-0.4 pp	0.2 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.4	1.9	-0.5 pp	-0.7 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	27.2	25.7	23.8	22.7	21.1	20.5	20.3	19.8	19.6	-0.2 pp	-7.6 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.1	7.5	6.7	6.9	7.2	6.9	6.1	6.1	5.7	-0.4 pp	-0.4 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	8.3	9.9	9.5	10.2	10.6	9.9	10.5	10.4	8.6	-1.8 pp	0.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	30.1	29.1	31.9	33.2	34.7	36.3	37.8	40.3	44.0	3.7 pp	13.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	26.0	22.2	21.7	21.0	22.3	20.8	23.3	23.7	n.a.	0.4 pp	-2.3 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.73	0.77	0.81	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.75	n.a.	-3.8 %	2.7 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.41	0.45	0.44	0.48	0.46	0.56	0.56	0.54	n.a.	-3.6 %	31.7 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.7	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.8	n.a.	-0.3 pp	0.1 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	10.5	11.4	12.0	11.8	12.5	12.8	13.3	13.4	n.a.	0.8 %	27.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	11.6	11.7	11.7	11.0	12.3	12.7	13.7	14.0	n.a.	2.2 %	20.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	3.3	2.8	3.7	3.0	2.6	2.6	1.6	1.1	n.a.	-0.5 pp	-2.2 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.								

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, MALTA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-) jobless households is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate is around the EU average but shows some negative development.</p> <p><i>Although still below the EU average, there is an increase of the risk of poverty or social exclusion for the low skilled persons¹⁵⁰.</i></p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The impact of social transfers in reducing child poverty is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work ($0.55 < WI \leq 1$) is better than the EU average, but shows a significantly negative development.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		<p>In-work poverty for women of working age is significantly lower than the EU average.</p> <p>Poverty gap for working age population is significantly lower than the EU average.</p>
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>At risk of poverty of older people (65+) is higher than the EU average.</p> <p>Median relative income of elderly (65+) is lower than the EU average.</p>	<p>Poverty gap for older people (65+) is decreasing.</p>

¹⁵⁰ Source: Eurostat 2015

5. Health & LTC	<p>The vaccination coverage rate of children for measles is below the 95% threshold.</p> <p><i>Out of pocket (OOP) payments are substantial and made primarily for pharmaceuticals and private GPs and specialists¹⁵¹.</i></p>	Healthy life years are significantly better than the EU average.
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

¹⁵¹ Sources: "Health at a Glance Europe 2016 - State of Health in the EU Cycle. OECD and European Commission 2016; European Commission, Country report 2017; European Commission, and Economic Policy Committee: Joint Report on Health Care and Long-term care Systems and Fiscal Sustainability, 2016.


NETHERLANDS¹⁵²

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people aged 0-64 living in a jobless household by 100,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2014)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: National Statistics, NL (Ministerie van Sociale Zaken en Werkgelegenheid)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households; i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁵² Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION* (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: *In contrast to the indicator used for the national 2020 target on the previous page, the figures for (quasi-)jobless households in this chart and the following tables and charts in the remainder of this annex are based on the EU indicator for jobless households (age range 0-59) and not the indicator the NL uses (age range 0-64) for its national target.

NL													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	70.4	73.1	68.2	69.9	67.3	65.5	70.4	70.9		0.5 pp	0.4 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1713	1816	1694	1816	1678	1735	1937	1945		0.4 %	13.5 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	43.3	43.6	43.0	43.4	45.5	44.7	47.1	47.3		0.2 pp	4.0 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	1053	1083	1068	1128	1133	1183	1295	1298		0.2 %	23.3 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	10.4	9.5	14.7	15.7	15.5	15.7	19.1	15.6		-3.5 pp	5.3 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	252	237	366	407	387	416	526	429	455	6.1 %	80.6 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	13.7	18.4	11.8	13.0	15.7	15.4	18.9	18.4		-0.4 pp	4.8 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	332	456	292	337	391	408	519	506		-2.5 %	52.4 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	1.5	2.2	1.7	2.8	3.9	1.6	4.5	2.7		-1.8 pp	1.2 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	37	55	41	72	97	43	124	74		-40.3 %	100.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	3.5	2.0	4.0	5.5	2.8	2.3	4.1	4.2		0.2 pp	0.7 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	85	50	100	144	70	62	112	116		3.6 %	36.5 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	1.9	1.7	4.5	2.1	3.1	4.2	5.1	4.3		-0.8 pp	2.4 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	46	41	111	55	78	110	140	117		-16.4 %	154.3 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

NL										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	1.7	-3.8	1.4	1.7	-1.1	-0.2	1.4	2.3	2.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	1.6	-0.9	-0.7	0.9	-0.2	-1.2	-0.1	0.9	1.1	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.7	4.4	5.0	5.0	5.8	7.3	7.4	6.9	6.0	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	0.9	0.8	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.5	2.9	3.0	2.5	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	24.7	27.2	27.7	28.2	28.9	29.2	28.9				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

NL										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	24.7	27.2	27.7	28.2	28.9	29.2	28.9		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	8.7	9.8	10.0	10.1	10.4	10.2	10.0		8.0	8.1
	Disability	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2		2.0	2.0
	Old age	9.1	9.9	10.1	10.4	10.8	11.0	11.1		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.6		1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3		0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4		0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	21.6	23.7	24.1	24.5	25.1	25.3	25.2		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	8.7	9.8	10.0	10.1	10.4	10.2	10.0		8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9		1.6	1.5
	Old age	8.3	9.0	9.1	9.4	9.8	10.0	10.1		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.2		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

NL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	14.9	15.1	15.1	15.7	15.0	15.9	16.5	16.4		-0.1 pp	1.5 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	10.5	11.1	10.3	11.0	10.1	10.4	11.6	11.6		0.0 pp	1.1 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	11485	11618	11288	11300	11387	11536	11283	11632		1.7 %	-1.5 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	24119	24399	23705	23730	23912	24225	23695	24428		1.7 %	-1.5 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.5	1.4	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.5	3.2	2.6	2.7	0.1 pp	1.2 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.2	8.5	8.4	8.9	8.9	9.3	10.2	10.2		0.0 pp	2.0 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	6.4	4.7	8.2	7.7	5.8	6.5	7.7	7.3		-0.4 pp	0.9 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	14.9	16.5	16.2	15.5	17.3	16.5	16.9	16.8		-0.1 pp	1.9 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	10.5	10.6	10.0	11.0	10.7	11.8	13.6	13.7		0.1 pp	3.2 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	47.2	45.9	51.2	47.4	51.0	50.0	45.5	48.0		2.4 pp	0.7 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.8		0.0 %	-5.0 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.7	2.5	2.6	3.5	3.3		-0.2 pp	1.6 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	13.7	13.1	14.0	14.5	14.4	15.7	15.4	14.9		-0.5 pp	1.2 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	-0.6	1.1	-0.6	0.1	-1.0	-1.4	-0.6	3.2				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

NL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.5	17.5	16.9	18.0	16.9	17.0	17.1	16.8		-0.3 pp	1.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.9	15.4	13.7	15.5	13.2	12.6	13.7	14.0		0.3 pp	1.1 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	2.2	1.5	2.0	2.9	3.3	2.3	3.7	2.6	2.5	-0.1 pp	0.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.1	5.4	5.8	6.3	6.4	6.4	7.3	6.5		-0.8 pp	1.4 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	12.7	14.8	14.7	15.3	15.6	12.1	12.5	14.3		1.8 pp	1.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	43.9	38.9	45.6	36.2	44.5	47.3	43.2	43.8		0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	1.5	1.2	1.9	1.6	2.4	2.5	4.4	3.1		-1.3 pp	1.6 pp	22.6	23.0
NL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.5	26.5	27.9	27.1	24.1	28.0	28.4	30.9		2.5 pp	5.4 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.0	20.1	19.4	21.8	19.8	22.9	23.5	25.1		1.6 pp	5.1 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.0	1.2	4.6	3.7	2.1	2.8	3.0	1.6	2.5	0.9 pp	1.5 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	11.6	12.3	11.8	9.2	8.2	8.3	11.5	14.9		3.4 pp	3.3 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	4.9	3.5	6.9	8.0	3.2	5.8	7.5	7.1		-0.4 pp	2.2 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.9	4.8	6.0	6.8	8.1	9.1	8.6	7.7	7.4	-0.3 pp	3.5 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	4.6	5.6	5.8	5.7	6.4	7.4	7.4	6.2	6.1	-0.1 pp	1.5 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	18.0	15.6	18.2	18.4	19.7	21.3	21.5	21.6		0.1 pp	3.6 pp	14.6	14.2

NL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.8	15.9	16.5	17.0	16.5	18.0	18.9	19.1		0.2 pp	3.3 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.9	10.3	10.1	10.5	10.1	10.9	12.4	12.5		0.1 pp	2.6 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.6	1.6	2.7	2.8	2.4	3.0	3.6	3.1	3.2	0.1 pp	1.6 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.5	9.7	9.4	9.8	9.9	10.5	11.4	11.7		0.3 pp	2.2 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	17.1	20.7	17.3	16.7	18.9	19.4	18.7	18.7		0.0 pp	1.6 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	4.7	5.0	5.1	5.4	4.6	4.5	5.3	5.1		-0.2 pp	0.4 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	50.0	49.3	53.5	51.6	53.7	51.3	46.8	49.8		3.0 pp	-0.2 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.1	3.1	3.3	4.1	4.2		0.1 pp	2.1 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	14.0	13.3	14.6	15.4	15.6	17.2	17.3	16.2		-1.1 pp	2.2 pp	12.0	11.7
NL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	9.7	8.1	6.2	6.9	6.2	6.1	6.9	6.1		-0.8 pp	-3.6 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.4	7.7	5.9	6.5	5.5	5.5	5.9	5.6		-0.3 pp	-3.8 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.1	0.6 pp	0.7 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.84	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.90	0.90	0.89	0.89		0.0 %	6.0 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.43	0.44	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.50	0.52		4.0 %	20.9 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.2		0.0 pp	0.1 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	15.8	12.1	10.8	11.4	10.7	11.1	13.6	12.6		-1.0 pp	-3.2 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

NL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	15.5	17.5	16.9	18.0	16.9	17.0	17.1	16.8		-0.3 pp	1.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	12.9	15.4	13.7	15.5	13.2	12.6	13.7	14.0		0.3 pp	1.1 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	2.2	1.5	2.0	2.9	3.3	2.3	3.7	2.6	2.5	-0.1 pp	0.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	5.1	5.4	5.8	6.3	6.4	6.4	7.3	6.5		-0.8 pp	1.4 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	7.8	4.9	15.9	16.6	6.4	9.2	11.5	10.3		-1.2 pp	2.5 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	5.8	6.8	6.0	6.7	5.5	5.4	5.7	6.4		0.7 pp	0.6 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	62.6	69.7	53.7	70.2	54.0	49.2	59.6	63.8		4.2 pp	1.2 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	10.1	12.2	11.2	11.8	10.1	10.1	10.0	10.5		0.5 pp	0.4 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	41.0	43.0	44.0	46.0	39.0	40.0	38.5	41.1		2.6 pp	0.1 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.1	5.3		-0.8 pp	-0.7 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	77.0	75.0	76.0	76.0	75.0	71.0	73.7	77.5		3.8 pp	0.5 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	12.0	12.0	15.0	13.0	14.0	15.0	13.5	13.2		-0.3 pp	1.2 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	12.7	14.8	14.7	15.3	15.6	12.1	12.5	14.3		1.8 pp	1.6 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	34.3	33.5	32.4	31.0	30.4	29.9	29.2	30.4	29.0	-1.4 pp	-5.3 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	10.1	9.8	9.8	8.0	8.7	8.5	8.4	8.7	8.6	-0.1 pp	-1.5 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	41.9	41.0	39.8	38.5	37.3	37.0	36.4	37.9	36.1	-1.8 pp	-5.8 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	43.9	38.9	45.6	36.2	44.5	47.3	43.2	43.8		0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	11.5	13.3	14.2	14.1	13.4	14.8	11.2	13.0		1.8 pp	1.5 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.2	0.2 pp	0.3 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	11.4	10.9	10.0	9.2	8.9	9.3	8.7	8.2	8.0	-0.2 pp	-3.4 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.4		0.1			-0.4 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.3		-0.3 pp	-0.5 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	1.0	0.7	1.1		0.4 pp	0.5 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	1.5	1.2	1.9	1.6	2.4	2.5	4.4	3.1		-1.3 pp	1.6 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	114.0		47.6		98.0		42.8	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	114.0		90.6		98.0		87.9	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	114.0		92.5		98.0		90.0	
	AWG career length case	114.0		101.1	51.8	98.0		99.3	47.0
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			92.5				90.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			45.4				40.7	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			101.4				99.6	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			47.6				42.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			91.5				88.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			90.5				87.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			89.6				86.8	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				92.5				87.8
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				91.5				88.9
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				90.5				87.9
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				89.6				86.8
	Short career (30 year career)			65.0				79.3	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			92.5				90.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			92.5				90.0	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			90.5				90.0	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	115.0		21.3		105.0		25.7
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		115.0		92.2		105.0		94.0	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		115.0		93.3		105.0		95.3	
AWG career length case		115.0		97.9	24.3	105.0		100.9	28.3
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				113.2				95.3	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				19.8				24.5	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				98.1				101.1	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				21.3				25.7	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				92.7				94.7	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				92.2				94.0	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				91.6				93.4	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					93.3				93.1
Career break due to child care: 1 year					92.7				94.7
Career break due to child care: 2 years					92.2				94.0
Career break due to child care: 3 years					91.6				93.4
Short career (30 year career)		79.6		68.4		67.5		88.8	
Early retirement due to unemployment				93.3				95.3	
Early retirement due to disability				93.3				95.3	
Pension rights of surviving spouses				101.67				122.0	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	82.0		37.2		69.0		40.4
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	82.0		55.1		69.0		62.9	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

NL									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	62.5	61.7	61.3	64.0	63.5	61.4	63.3	61.1	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	59.9	60.1	60.2	59.0	58.9	57.5	59.0	57.2	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	9.9	9.5	9.4	10.4	10.0	9.5	10.7	10.5	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	9.7	10.4	9.5	9.9	10.1	9.2	10.2	9.4	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	78.4	78.7	78.9	79.4	79.3	79.5	80.0	79.9	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.5	82.9	83.0	83.1	83.0	83.2	83.5	83.2	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.4	17.6	17.7	18.1	18.0	18.2	18.6	18.4	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.7	21.0	21.0	21.2	21.0	21.2	21.4	21.1	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.1	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	77.3	77.7	78.0	76.3	75.6	75.5	77.3	76.2	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	3772.6	3776.0	3847.3	3899.3		3783.1	3798.4	3857.1		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	11.0	11.9	12.2	12.1		10.9	10.9			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁵³



¹⁵³ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

NL	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Statistics Netherlands (Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek - CBS)
link	http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?VW=T&DM=SLNL&PA=80590NED&D1=12&D2=0&D3=0&D4=39-50,52-63,65-76,78-89,91-102,104-115,117-128,130-141,143-154,156-167,169-180,182-186&HD=170718-1929&HDR=T&STB=G1,G2,G3
comment	
Unemployment benefit	
definition	Unemployment Benefit recipients (uitkeringen Werkloosheidswet - WW)
unit	Thousands of unemployment benefits, end of month
source	Statistics Netherlands (CBS); Institute for Employee Benefit Schemes (Uitvoeringsorganisatie werknemersverzekeringen - UWV)
link	http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?VW=T&DM=SLNL&PA=37789KSZ&D1=0,7,9&D2=104-115,117-128,130-141,143-154,156-167,169-180,182-193,195-206,208-219,221-232,234-245,247-251&HD=170718-1934&HDR=T&STB=G1
comment	
Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income	
definition	Social assistance benefits - Total (bijstandsuitkeringen)
unit	Thousands of social assistance benefits, end of month
source	Statistics Netherlands (Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek - CBS)
link	http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?VW=T&DM=SLNL&PA=37789KSZ&D1=10&D2=104-115,117-128,130-141,143-154,156-167,169-180,182-193,195-206,208-219,221-232,234-245,247-251&HD=170719-0905&HDR=T&STB=G1
comment	
Disability benefit	
definition	Disability benefits (uitkeringen Arbeidsongeschiktheidswetten - AO)
unit	Thousands of disability benefits, end of month
source	Statistics Netherlands (CBS); Institute for Employee Benefit Schemes (Uitvoeringsorganisatie werknemersverzekeringen - UWV)
link	http://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?VW=T&DM=SLNL&PA=37789KSZ&D1=0,7,9&D2=104-115,117-128,130-141,143-154,156-167,169-180,182-193,195-206,208-219,221-232,234-245,247-251&HD=170718-1934&HDR=T&STB=G1
comment	

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	NL											change 2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	14.9	15.1	15.1	15.7	15.0	15.9	16.5	16.4	n.a.	-0.1 pp	1.5 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	10.5	11.1	10.3	11.0	10.1	10.4	11.6	11.6	n.a.	0.0 pp	1.1 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	11485	11618	11288	11300	11387	11536	11283	11632	n.a.	1.7 %	-1.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	1.5	1.4	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.5	3.2	2.6	2.7	0.1 pp	1.2 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.2	8.5	8.4	8.9	8.9	9.3	10.2	10.2	n.a.	0.0 pp	2.0 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	14.9	16.5	16.2	15.5	17.3	16.5	16.9	16.8	n.a.	-0.1 pp	1.9 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	6.4	4.7	8.2	7.7	5.8	6.5	7.7	7.3	n.a.	-0.4 pp	0.9 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.8	n.a.	0.0 %	-5.0 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	15.5	17.5	16.9	18.0	16.9	17.0	17.1	16.8	n.a.	-0.3 pp	1.3 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	47.2	45.9	51.2	47.4	51.0	50.0	45.5	48.0	n.a.	2.4 pp	0.7 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	70.1	69.1	72.1	70.2	72.5	72.0	69.3	70.3	n.a.	1.0 pp	0.2 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	39.7	47.0	36.7	42.6	40.6	39.8	48.7	47.9	n.a.	-0.8 pp	8.2 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	4.7	5.0	5.1	5.4	4.6	4.5	5.3	5.1	n.a.	-0.2 pp	0.4 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	0.9	0.8	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.5	2.9	3.0	2.5	-0.5 pp	1.6 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	11.4	10.9	10.0	9.2	8.9	9.3	8.7	8.2	8.0	-0.2 pp	-3.4 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	3.9	4.8	6.0	6.8	8.1	9.1	8.6	7.7	7.4	-0.3 pp	3.5 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	3.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.9	5.6	5.5	4.7	4.6	-0.1 pp	1.2 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	53.0	55.1	53.7	55.2	57.6	59.2	59.9	61.7	63.5	1.8 pp	10.5 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	9.7	8.1	6.2	6.9	6.2	6.1	6.9	6.1	n.a.	-0.8 pp	-3.6 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.84	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.90	0.90	0.89	0.89	n.a.	0.0 %	6.0 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.43	0.44	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.50	0.52	n.a.	4.0 %	20.9 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.1	n.a.	-0.4 pp	-0.2 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	9.9	9.5	9.4	10.4	10.0	9.5	10.7	10.5	n.a.	-1.9 %	6.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	9.7	10.4	9.5	9.9	10.1	9.2	10.2	9.4	n.a.	-7.8 %	-3.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	13.7	13.1	14.0	14.5	14.4	15.7	15.4	14.9	n.a.	-0.5 pp	1.2 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	-0.6	1.0	-0.6	0.1	-1.0	-1.4	-0.6	3.2	n.a.	3.2 %	0.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPMM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, NETHERLANDS

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services		
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children living in household at work ($0.2 < WI \leq 0.55$) is around the EU average but shows some negative development.	The child poverty gap is significantly better than the EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	The rate of long-term unemployment (as % active population) is lower than the EU average, but shows some negative development.	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	The aggregate replacement ratio (excluding other social benefits) is slightly worse than the EU average.	
5. Health & LTC		
6. Other key issues		


Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 235,000 by 2020 (compared to 2008).

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁵⁴ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

AT													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	73.7	76.2	77.5	75.8	77.9	76.5	73.7	76.0	78.3	2.4 pp	4.7 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1252	1201	1214	1207	1201	1203	1185	1178	1208	2.5 %	-3.5 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	27.8	28.7	31.7	34.3	31.8	31.6	36.4	33.9	34.2	0.3 pp	6.5 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	475	452	497	546	490	496	585	526	528	0.4 %	11.2 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	28.6	23.8	22.5	20.9	21.7	22.6	20.9	19.5	16.7	-2.8 pp	-11.9 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	485	376	353	333	335	355	336	302	257	-14.9 %	-47.0 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	7.8	8.8	11.8	11.4	12.2	9.7	14.5	12.1	12.3	0.2 pp	4.6 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	132	139	185	181	188	153	234	188	190	1.1 %	43.9 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	8.0	7.0	6.6	5.6	7.2	6.1	4.4	6.1	4.3	-1.8 pp	-3.6 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	135	110	103	89	111	96	70	95	67	-29.5 %	-50.4 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.8	4.7	6.2	5.2	4.5	5.5	0.9 pp	-0.6 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	102	91	92	93	73	97	83	70	84	20.0 %	-17.6 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.3	1.3	1.6	2.3	2.5	2.5	1.7	2.0	1.6	-0.4 pp	-0.7 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	39	21	25	37	39	40	28	31	25	-19.4 %	-35.9 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

AT										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	1.5	-3.8	1.9	2.8	0.7	0.1	0.6	1.0	1.5	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	1.9	-0.4	0.7	1.6	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.3	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	4.1	5.3	4.8	4.6	4.9	5.4	5.6	5.7	6.0	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.9	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	27.0	29.0	29.0	28.2	28.5	28.9	29.2				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

AT											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	27.0	29.0	29.0	28.2	28.5	28.9	29.2		27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	7.1	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.4		8.0	8.1	
	Disability	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0		2.0	2.0	
	Old age	11.3	12.2	12.3	12.2	12.5	12.8	13.0		11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8		1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	2.9	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8		2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6		1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5		0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5		3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7		0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4		0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	24.8	26.7	26.6	25.9	26.2	26.5	26.7		24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	7.0	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.3		8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5		1.6	1.5	
	Old age	10.7	11.6	11.7	11.6	11.9	12.1	12.3		10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7		1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3		1.2	1.1	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

AT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.6	19.1	18.9	19.2	18.5	18.8	19.2	18.3	18.0	-0.3 pp	-2.6 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.2	14.5	14.7	14.5	14.4	14.4	14.1	13.9	14.1	0.2 pp	-1.1 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	11359	11683	11710	12255	12361	12542	12997	13189	13514	0.9 %	6.7 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	23855	24534	24590	25735	25958	26339	27294	27696	28380	0.9 %	6.7 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.9	4.6	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.0	-0.6 pp	-2.9 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.4	7.1	7.8	8.6	7.7	7.8	9.1	8.2	8.1	-0.1 pp	0.7 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	5.6	6.2	6.5	9.8	8.7	8.9	8.5	8.8	8.1	-0.7 pp	n.a.	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.9	19.2	21.8	19.1	20.1	21.3	20.1	20.5	19.8	-0.7 pp	-0.1 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	15.2	13.6	12.9	12.9	13.2	13.7	12.2	12.6	12.5	-0.1 pp	-2.7 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	41.3	42.7	43.5	46.5	44.2	44.4	44.5	45.7	46.4	0.7 pp	5.1 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	2.5 %	-2.4 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	14.8	13.3	12.0	12.3	13.9	14.7	15.3	15.0	15.2	0.2 pp	0.4 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.5	8.0	7.5	7.8	7.0	7.2	6.6	6.4	7.2	0.8 pp	-1.3 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	0.8	-0.2	-1.0	-0.2	1.3	-1.7	0.0	0.3				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. *There is a break in series in 2011 for the persistent poverty indicator ("n.a." shown for the change over the period 2008-2016).

AT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	22.9	20.8	22.4	22.1	20.9	22.9	23.3	22.3	20.0	-2.3 pp	-2.9 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.1	17.1	19.0	17.8	17.5	18.6	18.2	17.8	16.5	-1.3 pp	-1.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.7	5.0	5.6	5.8	5.8	6.4	6.0	4.2	3.5	-0.7 pp	-3.2 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.5	5.7	5.9	7.0	6.1	7.2	8.6	7.5	6.5	-1.0 pp	1.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.6	18.9	20.5	16.6	16.3	18.1	17.6	20.5	19.9	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	51.0	52.1	49.7	54.8	52.7	52.9	51.7	54.2	57.4	3.1 pp	6.4 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	23.6	21.1	19.3	18.7	21.7	23.4	23.2	23.8	25.1	1.3 pp	1.5 pp	22.6	23.0
AT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	19.5	20.6	18.9	18.4	20.2	18.9	21.4	21.2	23.7	2.5 pp	4.2 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	14.7	15.5	15.1	15.8	17.3	15.1	15.9	17.5	19.8	2.3 pp	5.1 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.9	5.9	4.9	3.9	3.3	3.4	5.0	4.5	3.1	-1.4 pp	-2.8 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.7	4.9	6.3	5.7	5.6	4.6	5.6	5.0	7.1	2.1 pp	2.4 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	8.3	8.2	8.0	9.4	12.5	9.8	8.0	9.9	12.4	2.5 pp	4.1 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.1	6.4	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.7	6.0	6.1	6.5	0.4 pp	1.4 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	9.1	10.0	9.1	8.7	8.2	9.0	9.4	9.3	9.4	0.1 pp	0.3 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	6.5	7.1	7.3	8.1	11.6	8.7	8.7	10.3	12.6	2.3 pp	6.1 pp	14.6	14.2

AT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	19.8	18.7	18.3	18.8	18.4	18.3	18.9	18.4	18.6	0.2 pp	-1.2 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	13.3	13.0	12.9	13.1	13.3	12.9	12.9	13.0	13.6	0.6 pp	0.3 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.0	4.9	4.5	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.4	-0.6 pp	-2.6 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.0	7.5	8.4	9.1	8.2	7.9	9.3	8.4	8.7	0.3 pp	0.7 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	21.3	21.4	23.8	19.1	23.9	23.4	21.1	21.8	20.6	-1.2 pp	-0.7 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	8.5	8.2	7.5	7.6	8.2	7.9	7.2	7.8	8.3	0.5 pp	-0.2 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	44.1	45.2	47.1	48.6	45.5	46.3	46.9	47.6	47.5	-0.1 pp	3.4 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	14.7	13.0	11.8	12.5	14.2	14.9	15.8	15.3	15.3	0.0 pp	0.6 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	7.6	7.2	7.1	7.2	7.6	7.3	7.2	6.8	8.1	1.3 pp	0.5 pp	12.0	11.7
AT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.2	18.6	17.4	17.4	16.2	16.2	15.7	14.0	13.7	-0.3 pp	-7.5 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.9	17.4	16.8	16.2	15.1	15.4	14.2	13.2	13.2	0.0 pp	-5.7 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.4	2.8	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.2	-0.2 pp	-3.2 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.98	0.97	-1.0 %	10.2 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.61	0.56	0.57	0.59	0.58	0.59	0.60	0.62	0.62	0.0 %	1.6 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	4.8	5.5	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.9	5.1	4.9	4.5	-0.4 pp	-0.3 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	16.8	15.0	13.0	14.5	6.5	7.7	5.4	5.3	4.8	-0.5 pp	-12.0 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

AT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	22.9	20.8	22.4	22.1	20.9	22.9	23.3	22.3	20.0	-2.3 pp	-2.9 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	18.1	17.1	19.0	17.8	17.5	18.6	18.2	17.8	16.5	-1.3 pp	-1.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	6.7	5.0	5.6	5.8	5.8	6.4	6.0	4.2	3.5	-0.7 pp	-3.2 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	5.5	5.7	5.9	7.0	6.1	7.2	8.6	7.5	6.5	-1.0 pp	1.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	4.0	3.7	5.7	10.3	7.9	9.4	10.8	10.2	7.6	-2.6 pp	n.a.	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	9.6	8.8	8.3	9.2	8.6	9.4	8.0	9.9	9.9	0.0 pp	0.3 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	59.0	64.7	74.8	60.4	69.9	62.3	67.5	55.4	60.2	4.8 pp	1.2 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	15.6	14.2	15.4	14.4	14.1	15.3	13.6	14.7	13.5	-1.2 pp	-2.1 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	4.0	7.0	6.0	11.0	7.0	9.0	9.3	13.7		4.4 pp	9.7 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	7.0	8.0	6.7	8.6		1.9 pp	6.6 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	52.0	59.0	57.0	57.0	57.0	52.0	60.4	57.7		-2.7 pp	5.7 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	21.0	21.0	26.0	27.0	23.0	27.0	25.3	27.6		2.3 pp	6.6 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	18.6	18.9	20.5	16.6	16.3	18.1	17.6	20.5	19.9	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	34.7	33.8	33.2	33.4	32.9	31.8	32.7	31.6	31.8	0.2 pp	-2.9 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	4.3	3.8	4.6	3.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.8	0.0 pp	0.5 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	40.8	39.9	39.2	39.4	38.7	37.9	39.2	37.8	38.5	0.7 pp	-2.3 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	51.0	52.1	49.7	54.8	52.7	52.9	51.7	54.2	57.4	3.1 pp	6.4 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	4.3	4.9	4.0	3.8	5.2	6.3	5.5	5.9	6.4	0.5 pp	2.1 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.5	4.4	4.9	5.5	4.9	5.1	0.2 pp	-0.4 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	10.2	8.8	8.3	8.5	7.8	7.5	7.0	7.3	6.9	-0.4 pp	-3.3 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2		0.1		-0.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.2	3.1	3.0	3.1		0.1 pp	-0.6 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	7.2	6.7	6.9	6.0	6.4	7.4	6.4	7.1	6.7	-0.4 pp	-0.5 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	23.6	21.1	19.3	18.7	21.7	23.4	23.2	23.8	25.1	1.3 pp	1.5 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

Note: *There is a break in series in 2011 for the persistent poverty indicator ("n.a." shown for the change over the period 2008-2015).

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	85.1	93.7	86.1		70.2	80.2	71.2	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	85.1		86.1		70.2		71.2	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	85.1	77.1	86.1		70.2	61.4	71.2	
	AWG career length case	82.9	86.7	88.2	81.7	67.7	72.1	73.8	66.4
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			94.4				81.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			76.6				60.7	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			94.4				81.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			76.6				60.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			85.6				70.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			85.0				70.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			84.4				69.3	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				92.0				78.2
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				90.5				76.4
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				89.0				74.6
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				87.5				72.9
	Short career (30 year career)			69.9				53.4	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			83.1				67.9	
	Early retirement due to disability			70.2				53.7	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			74.1				64.1	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	84.6	94.0	85.5		70.2	80.3	71.2	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	84.6		85.5		70.2		71.2	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	84.6	74.0	85.5		70.2	61.4	71.2	
	AWG career length case	81.6	86.7	88.4	80.1	67.7	72.0	73.8	66.4
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			94.7				81.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			72.9				60.7	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			94.7				81.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			72.9				60.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			84.8				70.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			84.0				70.0	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			83.2				69.3	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				95.2				81.7
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				93.8				79.9
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				92.3				78.1
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				90.9				76.4
	Short career (30 year career)	61.2	48.9	64.1		50.8	40.6	53.4	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			81.4				67.9	
	Early retirement due to disability			64.5				53.7	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses				129.2				124.6
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	77.2	84.9	67.1		64.0	72.0	53.4	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	77.2		67.1		64.0		53.4	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

AT									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	58.5	59.5	59.4	59.5	60.2	59.7	57.6	57.9	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	59.9	60.8	60.8	60.1	62.5	60.2	57.8	58.1	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	7.4	8.3	8.5	8.3	8.9	8.9	8.4	7.9	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	7.5	8.2	7.9	8.3	9.5	8.8	7.7	7.7	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.7	77.6	77.8	78.3	78.4	78.6	79.1	78.8	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	83.3	83.2	83.5	83.8	83.6	83.8	84.0	83.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.7	17.7	17.9	18.1	18.1	18.2	18.5	18.1	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	21.1	21.2	21.4	21.7	21.3	21.5	21.8	21.3	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	70.1	70.0	69.5	69.4	70.0	68.6	69.5	69.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	3275.2	3305.7	3473.0	3531.2	3680.1	3549.4	3655.7	3764.7		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	10.5	11.2	11.1	10.9	11.1	10.2	10.3	10.3		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁵⁵



¹⁵⁵ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

Recipients of social assistance benefits/means-tested minimum Income*
Quarterly data (changes in % to the year 2008):

Q1 09	4.7%	Q1 10	9.8%	-	-
Q2 09	7.1%	-	-	-	-
Q3 09	10.6%	Q3 10	11.0%	Q3 11	27.0%
Q4 09	8.7%	-	-	Q4 11	37.0%

*The increase can not only be explained by the impact of the crisis, but is also due to the introduction of the means-tested minimum income scheme, reinforced information policy as well as statistical improvements. The developments are based on comparable data and cover a very large proportion but not all recipients.

Recipients of means-tested minimum income benefits

New time series starting 03-2012 (see explanatory table):

March 2012		149,461
September 2012		149,729
March 2013		168,626
September 2013		168,644
March 2014		185,076
September 2014		184,298
March 2015		204,278
September 2015		208,865
March 2016		224,643
September 2016		225,865
March 2017**		238,444

Change March 2012 - March 2017: **+59.5%**

**After the expiration of the agreement between the Federation and the Federal Provinces about the means-tested-minimum-income scheme at the end of the year 2016, minimum income is solely legislated and administered by the Federal Provinces again.

AT	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment Benefit recipients ; Unemployment assistance recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Public Employment Service Austria (AMS)
comment	An unemployed person is defined as someone without employment who has registered as seeking work with the public employment service (AMS) and is both willing and able to work. Claims for transfer payments can only be made by those who have made employment insurance contributions for an appropriate period. For example, those who have interrupted their working careers for a long period of time (in particular returners) and school leavers receive no unemployment insurance benefit. In order to receive benefit a person must be registered with the AMS. To be entitled to claim unemployment benefit, a person must be able and willing to work, available for work but unemployed and have been in insured employment for the appropriate qualifying period. Unemployment assistance, which is payable on expiry of entitlement to unemployment benefit, combines the principles of social insurance and welfare. Firstly, the rate of the income support is calculated on the basis of the unemployment benefit previously received. Secondly, applicants must be in serious need of financial support, after taking the income of the partner and exemption limits into account.
	Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income/minimum income
definition	Number of recipients of Social Assistance Benefits (until 08/2010)/means-tested minimum income (09/2010 – 12/2016)/minimum income (since 01/2017)
unit	Quarterly data (changes in % to the previous year)
source	Social Departments of the Federal Provinces
comment	Social assistance is defined, implemented and administered by the Federal Provinces (Bundesländer); according to the Austrian Constitution each province has its own Social Assistance Act. Before September 2010, provincial legislation on social assistance had not been harmonised to a large extent. Quarterly figures of recipients of Social Assistance Benefits (see table 1) include between six and nine Federal Provinces; the data of the cities with municipal departments is missing in one of them. The provinces had registered very diverse trends. In order to realize the objective of combating poverty in all relevant fields of policy, a means-tested minimum income had been introduced as a reform of the social assistance scheme in 2010. The federal government and the provincial governments had agreed on common salient points of a nationwide means-tested minimum income scheme which had been subsequently implemented in the corresponding national and provincial legislation (“agreement between the Federation and the Federal Provinces about the means-tested-minimum-income scheme (BMS) pursuant to Article 15a of the Austrian federal constitution”). On the 1st of September 2010 the laws for the means-tested minimum income were introduced in 7 of 9 federal provinces. The remaining two provinces had introduced the minimum income scheme until October 2011. - Due to the nationwide introduction of the means-tested minimum income scheme, the comparison was started anew in 2012 (see table 2). At the end of 2016, the agreement between the Federation and the Federal Provinces about the means-tested-minimum-income scheme has expired. Since January 2017, minimum income has been solely legislated and administered by the Federal Provinces again. As a result, regulations concerning entitlement and the level of benefits can differ across Federal Provinces.

	Disability benefit
definition	Disability benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Federal Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Consumer Protection
comment	Figures do not include people who reached statutory retirement age due to comparability reasons; the data until January 2011 represent an estimation, because the calculation of the accurate share of disability pensioners only existed for one month (December).

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	AT											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	20.6	19.1	18.9	19.2	18.5	18.8	19.2	18.3	18.0	-0.9 pp	-2.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	15.2	14.5	14.7	14.5	14.4	14.4	14.1	13.9	14.1	-0.2 pp	-1.3 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	11359	11683	11710	12255	12361	12542	12997	13189	13514	-0.6 %	5.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	5.9	4.6	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.0	-0.6 pp	-2.9 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	7.4	7.1	7.8	8.6	7.7	7.8	9.1	8.2	8.1	-0.9 pp	0.8 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	19.9	19.2	21.8	19.1	20.1	21.3	20.1	20.5	19.8	0.4 pp	0.6 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)*	5.6	6.2	6.5	9.8	8.7	8.9	8.5	8.8	8.1	0.3 pp	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	-2.4 %	-4.8 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	22.9	20.8	22.4	22.1	20.9	22.9	23.3	22.3	20.0	-1.0 pp	-0.6 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	41.3	42.7	43.5	46.5	44.2	44.4	44.5	45.7	46.4	1.2 pp	4.4 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	64.5	66.3	66.7	67.6	67.4	67.3	67.8	68.7	68.5	0.9 pp	4.2 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	49.6	50.9	56.0	50.2	53.2	50.2	54.1	49.1	51.9	-5.0 pp	-0.5 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	8.5	8.2	7.5	7.6	8.2	7.9	7.2	7.8	8.3	0.6 pp	-0.7 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.9	0.2 pp	0.9 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	10.2	8.8	8.3	8.5	7.8	7.5	7.0	7.3	6.9	-0.4 pp	-3.3 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.1	6.4	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.7	6.0	6.1	6.5	0.4 pp	1.4 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	7.4	8.2	7.4	7.3	6.8	7.3	7.7	7.5	7.7	0.2 pp	0.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	38.8	39.4	41.2	39.9	41.6	43.8	45.1	46.3	49.2	2.9 pp	10.4 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	21.2	18.6	17.4	17.4	16.2	16.2	15.7	14.0	13.7	-1.7 pp	-7.2 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.98	0.97	3.2 %	11.4 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.61	0.56	0.57	0.59	0.58	0.59	0.60	0.62	0.62	3.3 %	1.6 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	n.a.	0.0 pp	-0.6 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	7.4	8.3	8.5	8.3	8.9	8.9	8.4	7.9	n.a.	-6.0 %	6.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	7.5	8.2	7.9	8.3	9.5	8.8	7.7	7.7	n.a.	0.0 %	2.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	8.5	8.0	7.5	7.8	7.0	7.2	6.6	6.4	7.2	-0.2 pp	-2.1 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	0.8	-0.2	-1.0	-0.2	1.3	-1.7	-0.0	0.3	n.a.	0.3 %	-1.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. *There is a break in series in 2011 for the persistent poverty indicator ("n.a." shown for the change over the period 2008-2015).

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, AUSTRIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services		At-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi)jobless households is below the EU average and decreasing. <i>The risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities¹⁵⁶ is considerably below the EU average¹⁵⁷.</i>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	The indicator for children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion is around the EU average but shows a slightly negative development. The at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work ($0.55 < WI \leq 1$) is around the EU average but shows some negative development.	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		The impact of social transfers on reducing working age poverty is above the EU average and shows a positive development. People at risk of poverty or social exclusion (18-64) is below the EU average and decreasing, notably for women.
4. Elderly poverty/ adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		Median relative income of elderly people (65+) is above EU average and shows a significantly positive development.

¹⁵⁶ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹⁵⁷ Source: Eurostat 2015.

5. Health & LTC	<p>Healthy life years at birth for men and women are worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>There is room to improve the cost-effectiveness of healthcare provision.</i>¹⁵⁸</p>	<p>The vaccination coverage rate of children for DTP is above the 95% threshold and shows a significantly positive development.</p>
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


¹⁵⁸ Source: Country Report 2017.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 1,500,000

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018. Note that in the case of PL the target is already achieved; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-)jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁵⁹ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

PL													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	55.3	61.6	63.3	65.0	64.0	66.9	68.8	75.3		6.5 pp	20.0 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	6353	6435	6588	6623	6478	6520	6424	6595		2.7 %	3.8 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	21.3	20.1	21.2	20.3	20.4	21.8	23.1	22.9		-0.3 pp	1.6 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	2444	2102	2211	2073	2063	2124	2160	2002		-7.3 %	-18.1 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	58.1	53.8	51.2	47.9	50.4	46.0	42.0	34.6		-7.4 pp	-23.5 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	6680	5625	5331	4885	5108	4486	3918	3032	2507	-17.3 %	-62.5 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	4.3	4.7	5.5	6.0	5.6	6.4	6.7	8.0		1.2 pp	3.6 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	499	492	568	608	571	620	629	697		10.8 %	39.7 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	15.3	16.5	15.5	14.6	14.8	13.3	12.9	11.8		-1.1 pp	-3.5 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	1758	1728	1616	1490	1496	1295	1203	1031		-14.3 %	-41.4 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.1	6.3	6.6	5.6	6.4	6.6	6.2	6.0		-0.2 pp	-0.1 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	704	655	684	571	651	643	579	524		-9.5 %	-25.6 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.8	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.9	1.9	1.1		-0.8 pp	-1.7 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	322	177	168	145	152	183	177	93		-47.5 %	-71.1 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

PL										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	4.2	2.8	3.6	5.0	1.6	1.4	3.3	3.8	2.7	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	3.8	0.4	-2.7	0.6	0.1	-0.1	1.7	1.5	0.6	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	7.1	8.1	9.7	9.7	10.1	10.3	9.0	7.5	6.2	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.5	2.6	3.0	3.6	4.1	4.4	3.8	3.0	2.2	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	18.8	19.8	19.2	18.2	18.4	18.9	18.7				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS (2008-2010 data provisional)). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

PL										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	18.8	19.8	19.2	18.2	18.4	18.9	18.7		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.0		8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5		2.0	2.0
	Old age	8.9	9.7	9.1	8.8	9.0	9.3	9.3		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2		1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0		0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	18.1	19.1	18.5	17.6	17.7	18.2	18.0		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.0		8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5		1.6	1.5
	Old age	8.9	9.7	9.1	8.8	9.0	9.3	9.3		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS (2008-2010 data provisional)). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: i) Statistics cover only social benefits; ii) The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs; iii) from 2011 expenditure on public kindergartens has been added to the Family/Children benefits.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

PL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	30.5	27.8	27.8	27.2	26.7	25.8	24.7	23.4		-1.3 pp	-7.1 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.9	17.1	17.6	17.7	17.1	17.3	17.0	17.6		0.6 pp	0.7 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	4039	4417	4547	4993	5181	5495	5736	5970		4.5 %	28.4 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	8482	9275	9548	10486	10880	11539	12045	12537		4.5 %	28.4 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	17.7	15.0	14.2	13.0	13.5	11.9	10.4	8.1	6.7	-1.4 pp	-11.0 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.0	6.9	7.3	6.9	6.9	7.2	7.3	6.9		-0.4 pp	-1.1 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	10.4	10.2	10.5	10.1	10.7	9.0	10.7	10.1		-0.6 pp	-0.3 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.6	22.7	22.2	21.4	22.2	22.6	23.2	22.3		-0.9 pp	1.7 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	16.9	13.7	13.0	11.9	11.8	12.0	11.3	10.2		-1.1 pp	-6.7 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	32.7	27.5	27.9	26.6	25.3	24.8	26.4	23.1		-3.3 pp	-9.5 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	5.1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9		0.0 %	-3.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	50.8	49.1	47.5	47.2	46.3	44.8	44.2	43.4		-0.8 pp	-7.4 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.7	8.2	9.1	10.2	10.5	10.3	9.6	8.7		-0.9 pp	-1.0 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	4.4	5.9	2.1	0.4	1.1	1.4	2.7	3.3				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

PL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	32.9	31.0	30.8	29.8	29.3	29.8	28.2	26.6		-1.6 pp	-6.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	22.4	23.0	22.5	22.0	21.5	23.2	22.3	22.4		0.1 pp	0.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	17.5	15.3	14.9	13.2	13.7	11.8	10.2	7.9	5.8	-2.1 pp	-11.7 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.0	4.7	4.8	4.1	4.6	5.0	5.1	5.0		-0.1 pp	0.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	21.9	23.7	24.2	22.6	21.5	22.1	24.3	22.8		-1.5 pp	0.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	31.1	23.6	26.7	26.9	25.6	22.4	24.2	20.6		-3.6 pp	-10.5 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	63.3	62.1	60.6	59.8	60.1	57.5	56.1	55.7		-0.4 pp	-7.6 pp	22.6	23.0
PL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	34.4	29.9	30.4	29.1	31.2	30.8	30.5	28.0		-2.5 pp	-6.4 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	20.1	19.8	20.9	20.7	21.8	21.5	22.0	22.6		0.6 pp	2.5 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	19.4	15.6	14.7	12.8	14.9	14.3	11.7	9.2	8.4	-0.8 pp	-11.0 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.7	5.3	6.5	5.8	6.2	6.1	6.9	5.4		-1.5 pp	-1.3 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	11.3	10.8	12.2	11.0	11.6	11.7	10.9	10.3		-0.6 pp	-1.0 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.7	7.0	8.2	8.6	8.9	9.1	8.1	6.8	6.1	-0.7 pp	0.4 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	12.3	13.8	14.5	15.4	15.9	16.4	16.0	14.7	14.1	-0.6 pp	1.8 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	10.5	8.7	8.7	10.0	11.4	10.6	10.0	9.1		-0.9 pp	-1.4 pp	14.6	14.2

PL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	30.6	27.3	27.6	27.0	26.7	26.1	25.2	24.1		-1.1 pp	-6.5 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.3	16.0	16.9	17.1	16.5	16.7	16.7	17.6		0.9 pp	1.3 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	17.2	14.4	13.6	12.5	13.2	12.0	10.5	8.2	7.1	-1.1 pp	-10.1 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.9	7.6	8.1	7.8	7.6	7.8	8.0	7.6		-0.4 pp	-1.3 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	21.5	24.0	23.0	22.4	24.0	24.0	24.4	24.1		-0.3 pp	2.6 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	11.5	11.0	11.5	11.2	10.4	10.8	10.7	11.3		0.6 pp	-0.2 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	34.5	30.4	29.9	28.2	27.0	26.8	28.3	24.8		-3.5 pp	-9.8 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	50.9	49.2	47.6	47.2	46.2	45.0	44.6	44.0		-0.6 pp	-6.9 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.8	7.9	8.8	9.9	10.3	10.3	9.7	9.0		-0.7 pp	-0.8 pp	12.0	11.7
PL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	26.9	25.8	24.4	24.7	23.4	19.7	18.2	17.0		-1.2 pp	-9.9 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.7	14.4	14.2	14.7	14.0	12.3	11.7	12.1		0.4 pp	0.4 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	20.8	17.3	16.5	15.4	14.8	11.5	9.7	7.9	5.9	-2.0 pp	-14.9 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.97	0.92	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.98	0.99	0.99		0.0 %	2.1 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.55	0.58	0.60	0.63	0.62		-1.6 %	10.7 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	32.0	30.1	29.2	29.9	28.2	27.7	27.4	26.6		-0.8 pp	-5.4 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	10.8	11.2	11.2	12.3	11.9	10.0	9.4	7.9		-1.5 pp	-2.9 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

PL	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	32.9	31.0	30.8	29.8	29.3	29.8	28.2	26.6		-1.6 pp	-6.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	22.4	23.0	22.5	22.0	21.5	23.2	22.3	22.4		0.1 pp	0.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	17.5	15.3	14.9	13.2	13.7	11.8	10.2	7.9	5.8	-2.1 pp	-11.7 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	5.0	4.7	4.8	4.1	4.6	5.0	5.1	5.0		-0.1 pp	0.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	15.8	15.8	14.8	12.5	14.2	12.7	13.5	14.4		0.9 pp	-1.4 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	14.4	13.9	13.9	13.1	12.6	13.7	12.5	13.7		1.2 pp	-0.7 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	72.5	78.8	83.0	76.2	79.0	78.5	73.5	76.9		3.4 pp	4.4 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	19.8	20.3	19.4	19.7	18.8	20.3	19.5	19.5		0.0 pp	-0.3 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.3	1.1		0.8 pp	1.1 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.2	4.2		-1.0 pp	1.2 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	8.5	7.5		-1.0 pp	-0.5 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	27.0	31.0	32.0	34.0	26.0	29.0	34.0	35.5		1.5 pp	8.5 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	21.9	23.7	24.2	22.6	21.5	22.1	24.3	22.8		-1.5 pp	0.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	7.6	7.5	7.4	6.8	6.9	6.1	5.2	6.1	7.8	1.7 pp	0.2 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	11.7	11.3	11.0	10.2	10.1	9.0	7.7	9.0	10.9	1.9 pp	-0.8 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	31.1	23.6	26.7	26.9	25.6	22.4	24.2	20.6		-3.6 pp	-10.5 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	8.7	7.3	8.8	9.6	9.8	10.7	9.7	8.3		-1.4 pp	-0.4 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	2.6	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.1	2.9	-0.2 pp	0.3 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.2	-0.1 pp	0.2 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	2.0	2.2	2.6	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.2		-0.5 pp	0.2 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	5.6	5.6	5.0	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.0		-0.2 pp	-1.6 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	22.7	19.4	17.3	14.7	13.3	13.1	11.3	12.3		1.0 pp	-10.4 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	63.3	62.1	60.6	59.8	60.1	57.5	56.1	55.7		-0.4 pp	-7.6 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	74.2		37.7		64.1		31.8	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	74.2	73.1	40.7		64.1	63.1	34.4	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	75.5	66.6	43.4		65.2	57.4	36.8	
	AWG career length case	82.3	65.7	47.9	40.8	71.3	56.6	40.9	34.6
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			43.4				36.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			35.9				30.2	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			47.4				40.4	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			39.3				33.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			42.3				35.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			41.1				34.9	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			40.0				33.9	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				43.4				36.8
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				43.2				36.7
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				42.8				36.3
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				42.6				36.2
	Short career (30 year career)			33.2				27.8	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			36.2				30.4	
	Early retirement due to disability			36.1				30.3	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			39.1				33.0	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	85.8		38.1		74.6		31.8
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		85.8	83.9	41.1		74.6	72.8	34.4	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		87.0	76.7	43.0		75.7	66.4	36.2	
AWG career length case		93.6	75.7	48.2	41.2	81.5	65.5	40.9	34.6
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				43.7				36.8	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				36.3				30.2	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				47.7				40.4	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				39.7				33.2	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				42.6				35.9	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				41.5				34.9	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				40.4				33.9	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					43.7				36.8
Career break due to child care: 1 year					43.5				36.7
Career break due to child care: 2 years					43.2				36.3
Career break due to child care: 3 years					43.0				36.2
Short career (30 year career)		80.2	70.5	33.7		69.6	61.0	27.8	
Early retirement due to unemployment				36.7				30.4	
Early retirement due to disability				36.5				30.3	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					55.5				47.4
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	59.8		29.0		51.5		24.5
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	59.8	54.2	32.2		51.5	46.6	27.4	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

PL									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	58.6	58.3	58.5	59.1	59.1	59.2	59.8	60.1	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	63.0	62.5	62.3	63.3	62.8	62.7	62.7	63.2	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	7.0	6.9	6.7	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.5	7.6	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	7.7	7.7	7.5	8.3	7.8	7.8	8.1	8.4	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	71.3	71.5	72.2	72.6	72.6	73.0	73.7	73.5	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	80.0	80.1	80.7	81.1	81.1	81.2	81.7	81.6	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	14.8	14.8	15.1	15.4	15.4	15.5	15.9	15.7	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	19.1	19.2	19.5	19.9	19.8	19.9	20.4	20.1	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	6.0	7.6	8.3	7.9	9.0	8.8	7.8	7.3	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	57.7	56.1	57.8	57.6	57.7	58.3	58.1	57.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1026.9	1095.6	1168.3	1211.2	1258.3	1267.0	1299.4			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	6.9	7.2	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.5	6.3			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Breaks in series for Healthy life years indicator in 2009; breaks in series for total health care expenditure in 2010; ii) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; iii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁶⁰



¹⁶⁰ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as background.

PL	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat (une_rt_m). Data extracted on 9/05/2017. Note break in series in December 2009 (due to the incorporation of the 2011 Census results in the weighting of the LFS).
Unemployment benefit	
definition	Total number of registered unemployed possessing unemployment benefit rights <u>as of the end of month.</u>
unit	thousands of recipients, monthly
source	administrative data, Ministry of Family, Labour and Social Policy
link	http://psz.praca.gov.pl/rynek-pracy/statystyki-i-analizy/bezrobocie-rejestrowane
comment	2015-2017: Table 25N, Column F; Before: Table 23, Column F
Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income	
definition	Total real number of social assistance beneficiaries regardless of their type, form, quantity and source of funding. Both monetary and in kind benefits are included. It informs about total number of persons who received <u>at least one benefit in a given year</u> . Double counting problem is addressed, but in division by benefit kind or form beneficiaries can be enumerated several times.
unit	thousands of recipients, annual
source	GUS, Local Data Bank and administrative data, Ministry of Family, Labour and Social Policy
link	Local Data Bank: http://stat.gov.pl/bdlen/app/strona.html?p_name=indeks Ministry of Family, Labour and Social Policy data: http://www.mpips.gov.pl/pomoc-spoeczna/raporty-i-statystyki/statystyki-pomocy-spoecznej/ , MPiPS-03 report, Dział 3 - Polska OGÓŁEM
Disability benefit	
definition	Total number of beneficiaries of pensions resulting from an inability to work (disability pensions), from both non-agricultural social security system and farmers social insurance system.
unit	thousands of recipients, <u>annual averages</u>
source	GUS, Statistical Yearbook of the Republic of Poland 2006-2016 & Concise Statistical Yearbook of Poland, 2006-2016
link	http://stat.gov.pl/en/topics/statistical-yearbooks/statistical-yearbooks/statistical-yearbook-of-the-republic-of-poland-2016,2,16.html http://stat.gov.pl/en/topics/statistical-yearbooks/statistical-yearbooks/concise-statistical-yearbook-of-poland-2016,1,17.html

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	PL											change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	latest year change			change 2008 to latest year		
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	30.5	27.8	27.8	27.2	26.7	25.8	24.7	23.4	n.a.	-1.3 pp	-7.1 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	16.9	17.1	17.6	17.7	17.1	17.3	17.0	17.6	n.a.	0.6 pp	0.7 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	4039	4417	4547	4993	5181	5495	5736	5970	n.a.	4.5 %	28.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	17.7	15.0	14.2	13.0	13.5	11.9	10.4	8.1	6.7	-1.4 pp	-11.0 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp		
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.0	6.9	7.3	6.9	6.9	7.2	7.3	6.9	n.a.	-0.4 pp	-1.1 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp		
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	20.6	22.7	22.2	21.4	22.2	22.6	23.2	22.3	n.a.	-0.9 pp	1.7 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp		
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	10.4	10.2	10.5	10.1	10.7	9.0	10.7	10.1	n.a.	-0.6 pp	-0.3 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp		
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	5.1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	n.a.	0.0 %	-3.9 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %		
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	32.9	31.0	30.8	29.8	29.3	29.8	28.2	26.6	n.a.	-1.6 pp	-6.3 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp		
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	32.7	27.5	27.9	26.6	25.3	24.8	26.4	23.1	n.a.	-3.3 pp	-9.5 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp		
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	61.7	59.9	59.4	59.2	60.0	59.8	61.1	59.6	n.a.	-1.5 pp	-2.0 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp		
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	49.2	54.6	56.6	56.9	59.2	59.4	55.9	61.0	n.a.	5.1 pp	11.8 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp		
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	11.5	11.0	11.5	11.2	10.4	10.8	10.7	11.3	n.a.	0.6 pp	-0.2 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp		
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.5	2.6	3.0	3.6	4.1	4.4	3.8	3.0	2.2	-0.8 pp	-0.3 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp		
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.2	-0.1 pp	0.2 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp		
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.7	7.0	8.2	8.6	8.9	9.1	8.1	6.8	6.1	-0.7 pp	0.4 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp		
	NEETs (15-24)	9.0	10.1	10.8	11.5	11.8	12.2	12.0	11.0	10.5	-0.5 pp	1.5 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp		
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	31.6	32.3	34.1	36.9	38.7	40.6	42.5	44.3	46.2	1.9 pp	14.6 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp		
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	26.9	25.8	24.4	24.7	23.4	19.7	18.2	17.0	n.a.	-1.2 pp	-9.9 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp		
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.97	0.92	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.98	0.99	0.99	n.a.	0.0 %	2.1 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %		
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.55	0.58	0.60	0.63	0.62	n.a.	-1.6 %	10.7 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %		
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	6.0	7.6	8.3	7.9	9.0	8.8	7.8	7.3	n.a.	-0.5 pp	1.3 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp		
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	7.0	6.9	6.7	7.6	7.4	7.2	7.5	7.6	n.a.	1.3 %	8.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	7.7	7.7	7.5	8.3	7.8	7.8	8.1	8.4	n.a.	3.7 %	9.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	9.7	8.2	9.1	10.2	10.5	10.3	9.6	8.7	n.a.	-0.9 pp	-1.0 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp		
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	4.4	5.9	2.1	0.4	1.1	1.4	2.7	3.3	n.a.	3.3 %	18.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, POLAND

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	The impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing poverty is worse than the EU average.	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	The impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing working age poverty (18-64) is worse than the EU average.	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p><i>The short working lives and early labour market withdrawal, in particular for women, create risks for pension adequacy¹⁶¹.</i></p> <p><i>Sector-specific preferential arrangements hamper the adequacy of the pension system¹⁶².</i></p>	
5. Health & LTC	<p>Life expectancy at 65 is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>Unmet need for medical care is worse than EU average but shows some positive development, in particular due to the subcomponent waiting time.</p> <p><i>Poland faces persistent shortages in healthcare workers; it scores worst in the EU in terms of practising doctors per 1000 inhabitants¹⁶³.</i></p> <p><i>Long-term care system is not integrated and formal care provision is very low¹⁶⁴.</i></p>	

¹⁶¹ Sources: Country Report 2017; Eurostat Labour Force Survey 2016

¹⁶² Sources: Country Report 2016; Polish Social Insurance Institution (ZUS)

¹⁶³ Sources: OECD Health at a Glance 2016; Country Report 2017

¹⁶⁴ Sources: Country Report 2017; Eurostat Labour Force Survey 2016

6. Other key issues		
----------------------------	--	--

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


Portugal¹⁶⁵

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 200,000 by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁶⁵ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

PT													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	71.4	71.7	70.7	73.8	70.8	68.3	70.9	73.0		2.1 pp	1.7 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1967	1898	1903	1919	1887	1966	2030	2019		-0.5 %	2.6 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	18.8	21.4	26.0	25.6	29.7	33.0	32.6	29.9		-2.8 pp	11.1 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	517	567	700	666	791	950	934	826		-11.6 %	59.8 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	37.3	36.4	35.6	33.9	34.1	39.9	38.7	36.1		-2.6 pp	-1.3 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	1029	965	958	881	910	1148	1108	997	865	-13.2 %	-15.9 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	5.6	7.4	8.3	8.6	9.9	11.4	10.7	10.1		-0.5 pp	4.6 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	153	197	223	224	263	329	305	280		-8.2 %	83.0 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	12.2	11.7	8.9	12.0	10.4	11.8	12.4	13.2		0.8 pp	1.0 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	337	311	239	311	278	339	356	365		2.5 %	8.3 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	4.4	4.6	6.8	5.4	6.3	8.2	8.8	7.1		-1.7 pp	2.6 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	122	123	184	140	168	235	251	195		-22.3 %	59.8 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	0.8	1.1	1.5	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6		-0.1 pp	0.8 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	22	29	39	50	44	47	47	43		-8.5 %	95.5 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

PT										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	0.2	-3.0	1.9	-1.8	-4.0	-1.1	0.9	1.6	1.4	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.4	-2.7	-1.4	-1.9	-4.1	-2.9	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	8.8	10.7	12.0	12.9	15.8	16.4	14.1	12.6	11.2	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	3.6	4.2	5.7	6.2	7.7	9.3	8.4	7.2	6.2	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	22.3	24.5	24.4	24.2	24.9	26.1	25.5				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

PT											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	22.3	24.5	24.4	24.2	24.9	26.1	25.5			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	6.2	7.0	6.7	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.1			8.0	8.1
	Disability	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.9			2.0	2.0
	Old age	9.9	10.7	10.8	11.6	11.8	12.7	12.8			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.0	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.5			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	20.1	22.0	21.9	22.1	22.7	23.9	23.4			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.2	7.0	6.7	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.1			8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7			1.6	1.5
	Old age	9.3	10.0	10.2	10.9	11.1	12.1	12.2			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.6	1.3			1.2	1.1
Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

PT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	26.0	24.9	25.3	24.4	25.3	27.5	27.5	26.6		-0.9 pp	0.6 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.5	17.9	17.9	18.0	17.9	18.7	19.5	19.5		0.0 pp	1.0 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	5702	5655	5837	5773	5877	5892	6075	6190		2.0 %	-3.9 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	11974	11876	12258	12122	12341	12373	12758	12999		2.0 %	-3.9 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	9.7	9.1	9.0	8.3	8.6	10.9	10.6	9.6	8.4	-1.2 pp	-1.3 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.3	7.0	8.6	8.3	10.1	12.2	12.2	10.9		-1.3 pp	4.6 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	13.1	9.8	13.2	13.6	11.4	11.7	12.0	13.6		1.6 pp	0.5 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	23.2	23.6	22.7	23.2	24.1	27.4	30.3	29.0		-1.3 pp	5.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	18.5	18.1	16.1	17.9	19.4	22.3	23.9	21.8		-2.1 pp	3.3 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	25.7	26.3	32.2	29.1	29.3	26.7	27.0	26.1		-0.8 pp	0.4 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	6.1	6.0	5.6	5.7	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.0		-3.2 %	-1.6 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	15.7	14.1	14.6	11.0	10.1	11.4	10.3	10.3		0.0 pp	-5.4 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	7.6	6.1	4.2	7.2	8.3	8.3	9.2	9.1		-0.1 pp	1.5 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	1.2	1.5	1.0	-5.3	-5.3	-1.0	-0.5	1.8	2.2			1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

PT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	29.5	28.7	28.7	28.6	27.8	31.7	31.4	29.6		-1.8 pp	0.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	22.8	22.9	22.4	22.4	21.8	24.4	25.6	24.8		-0.8 pp	2.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.8	10.5	10.8	11.3	10.3	13.9	12.9	11.0	9.6	-1.4 pp	-2.2 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.9	6.2	8.0	7.2	8.5	9.7	9.8	8.7		-1.1 pp	2.8 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	26.2	27.8	24.8	25.1	26.9	33.1	32.7	31.4		-1.3 pp	5.2 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	24.3	25.4	30.4	27.5	26.4	23.0	23.8	20.8		-3.0 pp	-3.5 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	23.5	21.5	21.4	16.8	15.9	17.7	16.4	17.2		0.8 pp	-6.3 pp	22.6	23.0
PT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	27.5	25.9	26.1	26.5	31.3	33.1	34.4	31.5		-2.9 pp	4.0 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.6	16.0	18.7	21.8	22.2	24.6	26.4	24.0		-2.4 pp	5.4 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.6	11.0	9.4	9.4	9.0	13.1	13.3	11.6	10.8	-0.8 pp	-0.8 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.1	5.7	7.1	7.0	11.2	13.0	13.6	10.9		-2.7 pp	6.8 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	11.3	9.2	8.2	11.7	11.0	13.6	13.3	10.6		-2.7 pp	-0.7 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.8	7.9	8.2	11.5	14.1	13.3	11.9	10.7	9.3	-1.4 pp	2.5 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	12.7	14.0	14.9	16.0	18.5	18.8	17.1	15.8	14.9	-0.9 pp	2.2 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	9.3	6.9	4.7	9.3	9.8	9.2	11.4	12.8		1.4 pp	3.5 pp	14.6	14.2

PT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	24.5	23.5	24.1	23.2	25.6	28.5	28.3	27.4		-0.9 pp	2.9 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.3	15.8	15.7	16.2	16.9	18.4	19.1	18.8		-0.3 pp	2.5 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.9	8.3	8.3	7.6	8.2	10.7	10.3	9.6	8.6	-1.0 pp	-0.3 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.5	7.2	8.8	8.6	10.6	13.0	12.9	11.6		-1.3 pp	5.1 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	23.6	25.9	25.7	25.9	26.9	31.3	32.7	32.2		-0.5 pp	8.6 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	11.3	10.3	9.6	10.2	9.9	10.4	10.7	10.9		0.2 pp	-0.4 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	30.3	30.7	37.7	33.6	34.0	30.0	30.3	30.6		0.3 pp	0.3 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	16.1	14.5	15.0	11.3	10.4	11.8	10.7	10.4		-0.3 pp	-5.7 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.0	6.3	4.3	7.3	8.5	8.6	9.9	10.0		0.1 pp	2.0 pp	12.0	11.7
PT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	27.7	26.0	26.1	24.5	22.2	20.3	21.1	21.7		0.6 pp	-6.0 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	22.3	20.1	21.0	20.0	17.4	14.6	15.1	17.0		1.9 pp	-5.3 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	10.1	10.6	9.6	7.7	8.4	9.0	9.8	8.4	6.7	-1.7 pp	-3.4 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.83	0.85	0.82	0.87	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.92		-2.1 %	10.8 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.51	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.58	0.59	0.63	0.61		-3.2 %	19.6 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	5.9	5.0	6.0	4.1	3.6	4.5	3.9	4.0		0.1 pp	-1.9 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	2.0	1.5	1.8	2.7	3.4	3.2	4.4	4.1		-0.3 pp	2.1 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

PT	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	29.5	28.7	28.7	28.6	27.8	31.7	31.4	29.6		-1.8 pp	0.1 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	22.8	22.9	22.4	22.4	21.8	24.4	25.6	24.8		-0.8 pp	2.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	11.8	10.5	10.8	11.3	10.3	13.9	12.9	11.0	9.6	-1.4 pp	-2.2 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	5.9	6.2	8.0	7.2	8.5	9.7	9.8	8.7		-1.1 pp	2.8 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	14.2	10.7	19.6	22.8	14.2	12.5	15.3	18.1		2.8 pp	3.9 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	13.7	12.5	10.8	12.4	12.0	12.0	12.6	12.7		0.1 pp	-1.0 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	74.3	77.5	82.4	74.2	77.6	80.3	77.1	77.0		-0.1 pp	2.7 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	19.5	19.3	17.1	18.3	16.4	18.2	19.9	19.8		-0.1 pp	0.3 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	2.0	5.0	1.0		2.0	3.9	4.3		0.4 pp	2.3 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	31.0	34.0	32.0	34.0	34.0	36.0	41.1	42.9		1.8 pp	11.9 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	9.0	8.0	11.0	7.0	5.0	5.0	5.6	6.4		0.8 pp	-2.6 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	69.0	73.0	68.0	74.0	81.0	80.0	83.1	83.5		0.4 pp	14.5 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	26.2	27.8	24.8	25.1	26.9	33.1	32.7	31.4		-1.3 pp	5.2 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	5.3	5.4	4.1	5.1	3.8	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.5	0.4 pp	-1.8 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	6.9	6.9	5.5	7.3	5.9	5.0	5.2	4.7	5.2	0.5 pp	-1.7 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	24.3	25.4	30.4	27.5	26.4	23.0	23.8	20.8		-3.0 pp	-3.5 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	11.7	9.7	6.1	11.3	12.6	12.4	11.9	11.8		-0.1 pp	0.1 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	7.1	6.8	6.8	7.7	7.2	7.3	5.5	5.2	4.2	-1.0 pp	-2.9 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	34.9	30.9	28.3	23.0	20.5	18.9	17.4	13.7	14.0	0.3 pp	-20.9 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.4	1.4	0.8	0.5	1.9	1.4	1.8	1.4		-0.4 pp	1.0 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	3.3	3.6	2.5	3.1	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.9		0.0 pp	-0.4 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	11.3	7.2	8.0	5.7	7.4	8.8	8.6	7.2		-1.4 pp	-4.1 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	23.5	21.5	21.4	16.8	15.9	17.7	16.4	17.2		0.8 pp	-6.3 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	92.3		66.5		74.7		52.1	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	92.3		79.5		74.7		63.5	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	92.3		84.2		74.7		67.6	
	AWG career length case	87.4	86.4	82.7	75.0	71.3	71.4	66.3	59.5
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			78.1				62.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			55.6				42.5	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			104.1				87.3	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			72.2				57.1	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			79.5				63.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			79.5				63.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			79.4				63.4	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			84.2				67.6	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			79.5				63.5	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			79.5				63.4	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			79.3				63.3	
	Short career (30 year career)			62.6				48.7	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			79.6				63.6	
	Early retirement due to disability			70.3				55.4	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			69.7				57.7	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	90.0		64.8		74.8		52.6
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		90.0		77.6		74.7		64.1	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		90.0		82.0		74.8		68.3	
AWG career length case		86.2	83.6	80.6	74.0	71.3	71.4	67.0	60.1
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				76.3				62.9	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				52.9				43.0	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				102.9				88.2	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				71.0				57.6	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				77.6				64.2	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				77.5				64.1	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				77.4				64.0	
Career break due to child care: 0 year				82.0				68.3	
Career break due to child care: 1 year				77.6				64.2	
Career break due to child care: 2 years				77.5				64.1	
Career break due to child care: 3 years				77.4				64.0	
Short career (30 year career)		68.7		60.6		55.6		49.2	
Early retirement due to unemployment				77.6				64.2	
Early retirement due to disability				68.9				55.9	
Pension rights of surviving spouses				98.1				90.4	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	85.0		51.3		68.8		36.2
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	85.0		60.8		68.8		44.8	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

PT									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	59.2	58.3	59.3	60.7	64.5	63.9	58.3	58.2	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	57.6	56.4	56.7	58.6	62.6	62.2	55.4	55.0	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	6.7	6.8	7.1	7.8	9.9	9.6	6.9	7.0	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	5.6	5.5	5.8	6.3	9.0	9.3	5.6	5.4	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	76.2	76.5	76.8	77.3	77.3	77.6	78.0	78.1	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.7	82.6	83.2	83.8	83.6	84.0	84.4	84.3	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.0	17.1	17.2	17.8	17.6	17.8	18.1	18.0	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.6	20.5	21.0	21.6	21.3	21.6	21.9	21.7	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	1.1	3.3	2.0	1.4	3.3	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	48.3	47.7	49.1	49.7	48.1	46.1	46.0	46.4	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1924.7	1974.1	2054.3	1951.8		1824.9	1862.0	1958.7		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	10.2	10.8	10.8	10.2		9.1	9.0	9.0		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA). Note: break in time series for HLY indicator.

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁶⁶



¹⁶⁶ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as background.

PT	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
link	http://nui.epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lmhu_m&lang=en
	Unemployment benefit
definition	"Unemployment + social unemployment" beneficiaries
unit	thousands of recipients /benefits paid
source	Institute for Informatics and Statistics of Social Security
link	http://www4.seg-social.pt/estatisticas
comment	Entitlement to Unemployment Benefit for workers resident in national territory covered by the general social security scheme for employed depend on the following conditions: to be capable of and available for work; to be involuntarily unemployed; to be registered as a job seeker at the local Employment Office; to fulfill the qualifying period – to have completed, at least, 360 days with registered earnings within the 24 months immediately prior to unemployment situation. Regarding Social Unemployment Benefit, conditions are the same but it is also subject to means testing and it is granted in case workers have not completed the qualifying period required for UB: i) initial social unemployment benefit, to have completed at least 180 days with registered earnings within the 12 months prior to unemployment; ii) Subsequent social unemployment benefit, to have exhausted entitlement period for UB.
	Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income
definition	"Social assistance / Social Integration Income" beneficiaries
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Source: Institute for Informatics and Statistics of Social Security Link: http://www2.seg-social.pt/left.asp?02.21.03.09.02
link	http://www4.seg-social.pt/estatisticas
note	Important changes were introduced in the Portuguese Means-Testing Scheme, firstly through Statutory Decree 70/2010 of 16 June 2010, and, more recently, through Statutory Decree 133/2012 of 27 June 2012, redefining non-contributory social benefits entitlement conditions, namely those concerning Social Integration Income (portuguese minimum income scheme).
comment	The benefit paid by Social Security corresponds to a differential between the individual's income and a minimum income threshold taken as the baseline. This minimum income is indexed to IAS, an indexation mechanism for social supports that replaces the national minimum salary as a reference for calculating and adjusting pensions, benefits and contributions. Individuals and families who want to have access to this benefit, have to fulfil a number of conditions: legal place of residency in Portugal; aged 18 or over , availability for employment, occupational training or integration activities; not having earnings of one's own or from the family superior to minimum income established by law.

	Disability benefit
definition	"Disability pension + Disability social pension"
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Institute for Informatics and Statistics of Social Security
link	http://www4.seg-social.pt/estatisticas
comment	Disability or Invalidity pension: is a monthly cash benefit designed to protect the insured persons covered by all the social security schemes against permanent incapacity for work.
comment	<p>Entitlement to Disability Benefit under the general social security scheme depends if an employee or a self-employed is considered to be in a situation of permanent incapacity to work. A worker is considered to be in a situation of relative incapacity when, due to a permanent incapacity, one is not able to earn more than one-third of the earning corresponding to the regular practice of their activity. A worker is considered to be in a situation of absolute incapacity when one has a permanent and definite incapacity for all kinds of jobs.</p> <p>Disability pension is not payable if the invalidity is the result of an accident at work or occupational disease or if the person is entitled to an old-age pension, and is determined according to the number of years of contributions, the average monthly earnings and the sustainability factor.</p> <p>Social disability pension is also subject to a means testing condition.</p>

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	PT											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	26.0	24.9	25.3	24.4	25.3	27.5	27.5	26.6	n.a.	-0.9 pp	0.6 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	18.5	17.9	17.9	18.0	17.9	18.7	19.5	19.5	n.a.	0.0 pp	1.0 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	5702	5655	5837	5773	5877	5892	6075	6190	n.a.	2.0 %	-3.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	9.7	9.1	9.0	8.3	8.6	10.9	10.6	9.6	8.4	-1.2 pp	-1.3 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	6.3	7.0	8.6	8.3	10.1	12.2	12.2	10.9	n.a.	-1.3 pp	4.6 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	23.2	23.6	22.7	23.2	24.1	27.4	30.3	29.0	n.a.	-1.3 pp	5.8 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	13.1	9.8	13.2	13.6	11.4	11.7	12.0	13.6	n.a.	1.6 pp	0.5 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	6.1	6.0	5.6	5.7	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.0	n.a.	-3.2 %	-1.6 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	29.5	28.7	28.7	28.6	27.8	31.7	31.4	29.6	n.a.	-1.8 pp	0.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	25.7	26.3	32.2	29.1	29.2	26.7	27.0	26.1	n.a.	-0.8 pp	0.4 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	55.4	56.9	58.8	57.6	60.6	60.1	59.2	59.2	n.a.	0.0 pp	3.8 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	53.2	56.5	57.9	54.6	54.5	59.4	59.5	57.5	n.a.	-2.0 pp	4.3 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	11.3	10.3	9.6	10.2	9.9	10.4	10.7	10.9	n.a.	0.2 pp	-0.4 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	3.6	4.2	5.7	6.2	7.7	9.3	8.4	7.2	6.2	-1.0 pp	2.6 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	34.9	30.9	28.3	23.0	20.5	18.9	17.4	13.7	14.0	0.3 pp	-20.9 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.8	7.9	8.2	11.5	14.1	13.3	11.9	10.7	9.3	-1.4 pp	2.5 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	10.2	11.2	11.4	12.6	13.9	14.1	12.3	11.3	10.6	-0.7 pp	0.4 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	50.7	49.7	49.5	47.8	46.5	46.9	47.8	49.9	52.1	2.2 pp	1.4 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	27.7	26.0	26.1	24.5	22.2	20.3	21.1	21.7	n.a.	0.6 pp	-6.0 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.83	0.85	0.82	0.87	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.92	n.a.	-2.1 %	10.8 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.51	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.58	0.59	0.63	0.61	n.a.	-3.2 %	19.6 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	1.1	3.3	2.0	1.4	3.3	3.0	3.5	3.0	n.a.	-0.5 pp	1.9 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	6.7	6.8	7.1	7.8	9.9	9.6	6.9	7.0	n.a.	1.4 %	4.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	5.6	5.5	5.8	6.3	9.0	9.3	5.6	5.4	n.a.	-3.6 %	-3.6 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	7.6	6.1	4.2	7.2	8.3	8.3	9.2	9.1	n.a.	-0.1 pp	1.5 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	1.2	1.5	1.0	-5.2	-5.3	-1.0	-0.5	1.8	2.2	1.8 %	-7.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPMM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, PORTUGAL

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The indicator for people at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion is above the EU average.</p> <p>Housing Deprivation is significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The impact of social transfers in reducing child poverty and housing deprivation for children is limited.</p> <p>The at-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work ($0.2 < WI \leq 0.55$) is significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>The poverty gap (18-64) is worse than the EU average.</p>	
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>Housing deprivation and the poverty gap for those aged 65 and above are significantly higher than the EU average; both have been showing negative developments in recent years.</p>	
5. Health & LTC	<p>Healthy life years for women are worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>Challenges to the short and long-term sustainability of the healthcare sector stemming from accumulation of arrears in hospitals pose issues of efficiency and quality in this sector¹⁶⁷.</i></p>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


¹⁶⁷ Sources: Country report 2017; EPC –Commission Joint Report on health systems 2016; OECD Health at a glance 2016

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 580,000

Source: National Reform Programme (2014)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁶⁸ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated. Note: There is a general break in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators. AROP and AROPE indicators for 2016 are provisional.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

RO													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	53.3	51.3	52.0	54.4	53.1	54.8	62.3	68.0	65.1	-2.9 pp	11.7 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	4860	4514	4379	4497	4604	4600	5012	5056	5006	-1.0 %	3.0 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	15.2	14.6	14.4	13.8	14.1	13.9	13.7	16.0	16.0	0.0 pp	0.8 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	1384	1280	1212	1144	1223	1168	1099	1192	1230	3.2 %	-11.1 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	74.1	74.7	73.4	72.2	72.0	71.0	64.2	60.8	61.2	0.4 pp	-13.0 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	6757	6569	6186	5967	6247	5959	5167	4520	4707	4.1 %	-30.3 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.7	2.1	1.5	2.1	2.7	3.2	0.5 pp	1.2 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	182	190	178	222	181	128	166	203	249	22.7 %	36.8 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	27.2	27.1	27.3	27.8	25.3	25.4	25.1	26.6	23.2	-3.4 pp	-4.0 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	2483	2380	2303	2301	2191	2134	2017	1979	1786	-9.8 %	-28.1 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.4	4.3	3.6	3.7	4.7	5.3	5.5	6.9	7.2	0.3 pp	1.8 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	493	378	305	308	411	441	445	515	553	7.4 %	12.2 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.6	2.7	3.1	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.0	1.6	1.4	-0.2 pp	-1.2 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	236	240	262	203	205	191	163	122	108	-11.5 %	-54.2 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

RO										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	8.5	-7.1	-0.8	1.1	0.6	3.5	3.1	3.9	4.8	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.0	-2.0	-0.3	-0.8	-4.8	-0.9	0.8	-0.9	-0.9	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	5.6	6.5	7.0	7.2	6.8	7.1	6.8	6.8	5.9	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.9	3.0	3.2	2.8	3.0	3.0	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	13.9	16.7	17.1	16.2	15.2	14.6	14.5	14.3			

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

RO											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	13.9	16.7	17.1	16.2	15.2	14.6	14.5	14.3	27.8	27.6	
	Sickness/Health	3.5	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	8.0	8.1	
	Disability	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	2.0	2.0	
	Old age	6.4	7.9	7.9	7.8	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.2	11.1	11.1	
	Survivors	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.6	
	Family/Children	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	2.3	2.4	
	Unemployment	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.5	1.4	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	
	Means-tested											
	Total	0.7	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	3.1	3.1	
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	
	Old age	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.5	
	Survivors	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
	Family/Children	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6	
	Unemployment	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	
	Non-means tested											
	Total	13.2	15.7	15.9	15.5	14.6	13.9	13.9	13.7	24.7	24.5	
	Sickness/Health	3.5	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	8.0	8.0	
	Disability	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.6	1.5	
	Old age	6.4	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.1	10.6	10.5	
	Survivors	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.5	1.5	
	Family/Children	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.8	1.8	
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	
Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS, National Statistics Office). Data as at July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

RO	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	44.2	43.0	41.5	40.9	43.2	41.9	40.3	37.4	38.8	1.4 pp	-5.4 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	23.6	22.1	21.6	22.3	22.9	23.0	25.1	25.4	25.3	-0.1 pp	1.7 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	1837	2066	2122	2186	2226	2332	2408	2614	2832	6.9 %	32.4 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	3858	4339	4457	4591	4674	4897	5057	5489	5948	6.9 %	32.4 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	32.7	32.1	30.5	29.5	31.1	29.8	25.9	22.7	23.8	1.1 pp	-8.9 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.5	8.1	7.7	7.3	7.9	7.6	7.2	7.9	8.2	0.3 pp	-0.3 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate			18.0	17.5	18.7	17.1	19.5	19.3		-0.2 pp		10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	32.3	31.4	31.3	31.4	31.1	33.6	34.6	38.2	36.2	-2.0 pp	3.9 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	23.6	17.6	16.5	18.2	21.4	21.5	22.8	21.2	18.9	-2.3 pp	-4.7 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	23.4	23.0	22.3	23.6	20.5	18.4	12.9	13.3	14.2	0.9 pp	-9.1 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	7.0	6.5	6.1	6.2	6.6	6.8	7.2	8.3	7.2	-13.3 %	2.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	54.8	53.4	52.0	51.4	51.6	50.6	49.4	49.7	48.4	-1.3 pp	-6.4 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	19.1	15.4	15.8	10.5	18.4	16.9	16.2	15.9	14.4	-1.5 pp	-4.7 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	12.7	-6.7	-3.1	-3.1	-2.9	32.7	6.1	7.2				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

RO	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	50.9	50.6	48.1	49.2	52.5	51.4	50.7	46.8	49.2	2.4 pp	-1.7 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	33.3	31.9	32.1	33.0	33.3	34.7	39.3	38.1	37.2	-0.9 pp	3.9 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	38.5	39.1	35.8	35.7	38.8	36.4	31.0	28.9	30.2	1.3 pp	-8.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.1	5.3	4.7	4.7	5.6	6.1	6.1	7.5	8.5	1.0 pp	2.4 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	38.2	36.5	36.9	34.8	32.0	38.2	37.3	43.1	40.7	-2.4 pp	2.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	23.6	22.0	19.6	22.9	20.0	18.0	10.3	12.6	16.4	3.8 pp	-7.2 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	73.5	73.5	69.5	69.6	73.1	71.4	69.6	70.3	70.0	-0.3 pp	-3.5 pp	22.6	23.0
RO	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	43.2	43.0	42.7	45.4	48.3	48.2	47.7	45.4	45.6	0.2 pp	2.4 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	21.9	23.3	24.9	29.2	29.3	30.1	33.8	35.0	32.2	-2.8 pp	10.3 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	31.9	32.5	31.1	32.7	33.8	32.3	28.8	26.7	26.9	0.2 pp	-5.0 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.1	5.9	6.2	5.9	6.5	6.0	4.9	6.4	7.9	1.5 pp	0.8 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	22.0	25.1	23.7	31.6	30.5	28.4	32.8	33.5	31.2	-2.3 pp	9.2 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.7	6.4	6.9	7.3	6.9	7.1	7.1	6.8	5.8	-1.0 pp	0.1 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	13.4	16.5	20.7	21.6	20.8	21.3	21.4	22.6	21.9	-0.7 pp	8.5 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	17.5	15.1	16.8	10.5	18.0	17.8	17.8	16.8	14.7	-2.1 pp	-2.8 pp	14.6	14.2

RO	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	40.8	40.7	39.9	39.7	42.3	40.7	38.7	35.7	37.0	1.3 pp	-3.8 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	19.8	19.4	19.5	20.9	21.9	21.7	23.4	23.3	23.3	0.0 pp	3.5 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	29.4	29.6	28.5	27.8	29.4	28.2	24.3	21.2	22.1	0.9 pp	-7.3 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.3	9.0	8.7	8.2	8.7	8.1	7.6	8.0	8.1	0.1 pp	-1.2 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	32.0	32.0	32.3	32.8	32.8	35.1	39.0	39.2	38.3	-0.9 pp	6.3 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	16.9	17.2	17.6	18.9	18.9	18.1	19.7	18.6	18.6	0.0 pp	1.7 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	26.4	25.7	25.3	26.2	21.8	19.9	14.6	14.3	15.0	0.6 pp	-11.4 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	56.6	55.0	54.4	53.6	52.8	52.1	51.2	51.7	49.8	-1.9 pp	-6.8 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	17.6	14.7	15.3	10.2	18.0	16.4	15.9	15.3	14.1	-1.2 pp	-3.5 pp	12.0	11.7
RO	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	49.4	43.3	40.1	36.2	35.4	35.8	35.0	33.3	34.0	0.7 pp	-15.4 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	26.5	21.4	17.6	14.8	14.4	14.5	15.7	19.4	19.1	-0.3 pp	-7.4 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	39.0	34.0	32.4	29.2	28.5	28.4	26.5	21.5	22.5	1.0 pp	-16.5 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.85	0.93	0.97	1.01	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.00	0.97	-3.0 %	14.1 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.50	0.56	0.64	0.67	0.67	0.68	0.65	0.63	0.66	4.8 %	32.0 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	23.4	23.2	21.4	20.7	21.3	20.6	19.8	19.4	19.5	0.1 pp	-3.9 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	27.3	19.4	18.0	10.8	18.1	16.9	16.7	16.3	14.6	-1.7 pp	-12.7 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

RO	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	50.9	50.6	48.1	49.2	52.5	51.4	50.7	46.8	49.2	2.4 pp	-1.7 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	33.3	31.9	32.1	33.0	33.3	34.7	39.3	38.1	37.2	-0.9 pp	3.9 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	38.5	39.1	35.8	35.7	38.8	36.4	31.0	28.9	30.2	1.3 pp	-8.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	6.1	5.3	4.7	4.7	5.6	6.1	6.1	7.5	8.5	1.0 pp	2.4 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)			28.3	26.4	32.7	23.6	29.7	31.9		2.2 pp		13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	19.3	19.0	20.5	21.9	21.8	21.1	23.5	22.3	22.0	-0.3 pp	2.7 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	83.7	87.7	60.3	74.0	75.5	73.5	85.6	87.2	86.8	-0.4 pp	3.1 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	29.9	28.9	30.8	31.0	31.0	32.4	36.3	34.2	32.6	-1.6 pp	2.7 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	6.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	11.0	5.0	2.0	4.2		2.2 pp	-1.8 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	0.6	5.2		4.6 pp	3.2 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	37.0	44.0	49.0	30.0	48.0	36.0	41.8	50.9		9.1 pp	13.9 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	17.0	19.0	17.0	11.0	11.0	15.0	14.0	7.3		-6.7 pp	-9.7 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	38.2	36.5	36.9	34.8	32.0	38.2	37.3	43.1	40.7	-2.4 pp	2.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	3.0	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.0	1.7	-0.3 pp	-1.3 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	6.0	5.9	5.2	5.0	4.4	4.7	5.0	3.9	3.5	-0.4 pp	-2.5 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	23.6	22.0	19.6	22.9	20.0	18.0	10.3	12.6	16.4	3.8 pp	-7.2 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	17.4	14.1	15.8	11.4	20.0	18.4	16.7	17.5	14.9	-2.6 pp	-2.5 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	9.0	9.7	9.9	10.4	10.3	10.1	10.4	12.0	11.1	-0.9 pp	2.1 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	15.9	16.6	19.3	18.1	17.8	17.3	18.1	19.1	18.5	-0.6 pp	2.6 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	1.1	1.4	2.6	2.3	2.1	2.2	1.5	1.0	1.1	0.1 pp	0.0 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	11.0	10.1	9.8	9.4	9.0	8.9	8.4	7.6		-0.8 pp	-3.4 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	44.9	43.3	39.7	36.9	36.5	37.5	33.6	32.6	34.3	1.7 pp	-10.6 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	73.5	73.5	69.5	69.6	73.1	71.4	69.6	70.3	70.0	-0.3 pp	-3.5 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

	TRR case	Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	73.1	62.1	41.1	43.9	55.4	45.9	31.8	34.0
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	73.1	62.1	41.1	40.1	55.4	45.9	31.8	31.0
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	71.3	59.5	41.1	39.1	52.4	41.2	31.8	30.2
	AWG career length case	68.1	57.1	41.1	39.1	50.4	40.9	31.5	30.0
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			45.8				35.5	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			40.5				31.3	30.2
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			45.8	43.9			35.5	34.0
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			40.5	n.a.			31.3	n.a.
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			40.0	33.1			31.4	29.4
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			38.0	33.1			30.5	29.3
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			36.0	31.6			29.7	27.7
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				39.1				30.2
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				39.1				30.2
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				39.1				30.2
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				39.1				30.2
	Short career (30 year career)			22.9	20.7			23.6	22.0
	Early retirement due to unemployment			33.4				29.1	
	Early retirement due to disability			41.1	36.4			31.8	31.6
Indexation: 10 years after retirement			33.5				27.0		
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	84.1	72.3	43.6	46.6	62.7	51.8	33.8	36.1
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	84.1	72.3	43.6	41.8	62.7	51.8	33.8	32.4
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	81.2	67.4	43.6	40.8	62.2	56.3	33.8	31.6
	AWG career length case	78.7	66.2	43.6	40.8	58.3	53.6	33.5	31.3
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			49.2				38.1	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			42.4				32.8	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			49.2	41.4			38.1	36.1
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			42.4	n.a.			32.8	n.a.
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			42.5	36.4			33.4	30.7
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			40.4	36.4			32.5	30.6
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			38.3	35.0			31.6	29.0
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				40.8				31.6
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				40.8				31.6
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				40.8				31.6
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				40.8				31.6
	Short career (30 year career)	77.3	67.2	24.6	21.7	58.1	48.3	25.4	23.0
	Early retirement due to unemployment			34.5				30.0	
	Early retirement due to disability			43.6	38.4			33.8	31.6
Pension rights of surviving spouses				40.8				31.6	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	61.4	51.2	16.7		47.5	38.6	17.4	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	61.4	51.2	21.3	20.8	47.5	38.6	17.1	11.6

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)

HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS


RO									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	60.0	59.8	57.3	57.4	57.6	58.6	59.0	59.0	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	62.9	61.7	57.5	57.0	57.7	57.9	59.0	59.4	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	7.9	7.2	5.9	5.4	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.3	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	8.0	7.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.2	5.7	5.7	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	69.7	69.8	70.0	70.8	70.9	71.6	71.4	71.5	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	77.5	77.7	77.7	78.2	78.1	78.7	78.7	78.7	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	14.2	14.3	14.2	14.5	14.4	14.7	14.7	14.5	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	17.7	17.8	17.6	17.8	17.7	18.1	18.1	18.0	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	10.8	8.5	10.8	11.9	10.7	10.4	9.3	9.4	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	69.3	70.2	70.7	69.4	70.3	70.5	70.8	69.9	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)*	657.1	644.1	727.4	709.4	748.0	787.0	809.0			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)*	5.4	5.7	6.0	5.6	5.6	5.3	5.1			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA,

Note: Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health.

* beginning with 2013, data refers to current health care expenditure, based on SHA 2011 methodology

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁶⁹



¹⁶⁹ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as background.

RO	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons unemployed - seasonally adjusted
source	Source: National Institute of Statistics
link	http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/main
	Unemployment indemnity
definition	Number of unemployment indemnity recipients (indemnizație de șomaj), according to the Law No. 76/2002 regarding the unemployment insurance system and employment stimulation, with subsequent amendments
unit	Thousands of persons beneficiaries of unemployment indemnity
source	National Agency for Employment, Romania
link	http://www.mmuncii.ro/j33/index.php/ro/transparenta/statistici/date-statistic
	Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income
definition	The recipients of social assistance benefit (ajutor social) are families earning less than a certain amount set depending on the family structure, as to the Law no.416/2001 on guaranteed minimum income with subsequent amendments. The Law provides a set of assets that may exclude some families from benefitting of social income. The social assistance benefit is equal to the difference between the amount set by the Law and the family income.
unit	Thousands of families recipients of social benefit for ensuring the minimum guaranteed income
source	Ministry of Labour and Social Justice, Romania; National Agency for Social Payments and Inspection, Romania
link	http://www.mmuncii.ro/j33/index.php/ro/transparenta/statistici/date-statistic
comment	
	Invalidity pension
definition	A person who is certified as being incapable for suitable fulltime or regular part-time employment due to a serious disease or bodily or mental impairment is entitled to an Invalidity pension (pensie de invaliditate), subject to the relative contribution conditions, as to the Law no. 263/2010 on the Unitary System of Public Pensions, with subsequent amendments.
unit	thousands of invalidity pensioners
source	National House of Public Pensions, Romania
link	http://www.mmuncii.ro/j33/index.php/ro/transparenta/statistici/date-statistic
	Disability benefit
definition	Definition of persons with disabilities: persons which, due to social environment inadequate to their physical, sensory, psychic, mental and/or associated impairment, are totally prevented or have limited access with equal chances to the society life, needing protection measures for social integration and inclusion, as to the Law no.448/2006 on social protection and promotion of the persons with disabilities rights, with subsequent amendments.
unit	thousands recipients of complementary personal budget for persons with severe, major or average disability (buget personal complementar pentru persoane cu handicap grav, accentuat sau mediu)
source	Ministry of Labour and Social Justice, Romania; National Agency for Social Payments and Inspection, Romania
link	http://www.mmuncii.ro/j33/index.php/ro/transparenta/statistici/buletin-statistic
comment	Note: one person may receive simultaneously the disability benefit and invalidity pension

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	RO											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	44.2	43.0	41.5	40.9	43.2	41.9	40.3	37.4	38.8	-2.9 pp	-6.8 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	23.6	22.1	21.6	22.3	22.9	23.0	25.1	25.4	25.3	0.3 pp	1.8 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	1837	2066	2122	2186	2226	2332	2408	2614	2832	8.5 %	23.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	32.7	32.1	30.5	29.5	31.1	29.8	25.9	22.7	23.8	1.1 pp	-8.9 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	8.5	8.1	7.7	7.3	7.9	7.6	7.2	7.9	8.2	0.7 pp	-0.6 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	32.3	31.4	31.3	31.4	31.1	33.6	34.6	38.2	36.2	3.6 pp	5.9 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	n.a.	n.a.	18.0	17.5	18.7	17.1	19.5	19.3	n.a.	-0.2 pp	n.a.	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	7.0	6.5	6.1	6.2	6.6	6.8	7.2	8.3	7.2	15.3 %	18.6 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	50.9	50.6	48.1	49.2	52.5	51.4	50.7	46.8	49.2	-3.9 pp	-4.1 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	23.4	23.0	22.3	23.6	20.5	18.4	12.9	13.3	14.2	0.4 pp	-10.1 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	52.0	55.7	56.5	56.6	54.7	54.1	50.3	48.7	48.9	-1.6 pp	-3.3 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	48.7	44.4	39.9	46.7	48.4	48.7	55.6	60.2	65.2	4.6 pp	11.5 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	16.9	17.2	17.6	18.9	18.9	18.1	19.7	18.6	18.6	-1.1 pp	1.7 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.9	3.0	3.2	2.8	3.0	3.0	0.0 pp	0.6 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	15.9	16.6	19.3	18.1	17.8	17.3	18.1	19.1	18.5	-0.6 pp	-0.8 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	5.7	6.4	6.9	7.3	6.9	7.1	7.1	6.8	5.8	-1.0 pp	-1.1 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	11.6	13.9	16.6	17.5	16.8	17.0	17.0	18.1	17.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	43.1	42.6	40.7	39.9	41.6	41.8	43.1	41.1	42.8	1.7 pp	2.1 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	49.4	43.3	40.1	36.2	35.4	35.8	35.0	33.3	34.0	-1.7 pp	-16.1 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.85	0.93	0.97	1.01	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.00	0.97	-3.8 %	17.6 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.50	0.56	0.64	0.67	0.67	0.68	0.65	0.63	0.66	-3.1 %	26.0 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	10.8	8.5	10.8	11.9	10.7	10.4	9.3	9.4	6.5	0.1 pp	-1.4 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	7.9	7.2	5.9	5.4	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.3	n.a.	6.8 %	-20.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	8.0	7.1	5.1	4.7	5.1	5.2	5.7	5.7	n.a.	0.0 %	-28.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	19.1	15.4	15.8	10.5	18.4	16.9	16.2	15.9	14.4	-0.3 pp	-3.2 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	12.7	-6.7	-3.1	-3.1	-2.9	32.7	6.1	7.2	n.a.	7.2 %	28.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes "since 2008" refer to 2008-2015 and 2010-2016 respectively. (Breaks in series in 2010 for LFS-based indicators, so changes 2010-2016 are shown for the longer term change.)

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, ROMANIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
<p>1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services</p>	<p>Rate of poverty or social exclusion is significantly higher than the EU average, <i>especially for some groups in vulnerable situations like Roma, persons with disabilities¹⁷⁰ and people living in rural areas¹⁷¹</i>, but shows significant improvement in 2015, driven by improvement in the severe material deprivation rate.</p> <p>The poverty gap and persistent poverty are significantly higher than the EU average.</p> <p>Inequality (S80/S20) is among the highest in EU and rising.</p>	
<p>2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty</p>	<p>The share of children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion is worse than the EU average but shows some significantly positive development.</p>	
<p>3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age</p>	<p>In-work poverty is the highest in the EU.</p> <p>Impact of social transfers in reducing poverty throughout the life-cycle [(incl. child poverty, working age poverty and elderly poverty)] is significantly below the EU average, also due to the lack of implementing a coherent mechanism to adjust social transfers to the economic context (i.e. the social reference index has remained frozen since its introduction).</p> <p><i>The targeting and provision of active labour market policies to groups furthest from the labour market is limited and there is little integration between employment and social services to support activation¹⁷².</i></p>	

¹⁷⁰ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹⁷¹ Sources: Fundamental Rights Agency; National Roma Contact Point; Evaluation report on the Roma Strategy; National Institute for Statistics; Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs; Eurostat; Implementation reports on the development of integrated services; National College of Social Workers; Evaluation reports by the World Bank, including the census of local social assistance services.

¹⁷² Sources: European Social Policy Network Thematic Report on Minimum Income; National Institute of Statistics; Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs; Public Employment Service Network Benchmarking; Portraits of Labour market exclusion, World Bank

4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	Elderly poverty or social exclusion risk is considerably higher than the EU average, although severe material deprivation shows a positive development.	
5. Health & LTC	<p>Unmet need for medical care is significantly worse than the EU average. While, the subcomponent of unmet need due to costs show some positive development, the significantly worse subcomponent due to distance remains stable.</p> <p>Preventable mortality is significantly worse than the EU average.</p>	
6. Other key issues		


Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 40,000 by 2020, with regard to 2010, when this number was 366,000

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁷³ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

SI													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	66.8	65.8	69.4	70.7	69.1	71.0	70.7	74.6		3.8 pp	7.8 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	241	223	254	273	271	291	290	287		-1.0 %	19.1 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	29.1	26.0	30.3	31.4	30.1	30.5	33.2	29.6		-3.6 pp	0.5 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	105	88	111	121	118	125	136	114		-16.2 %	8.6 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	36.0	35.7	32.5	31.6	33.9	32.7	32.4	30.1		-2.3 pp	-5.9 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	130	121	119	122	133	134	133	116	108	-6.9 %	-16.9 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	10.3	7.7	11.2	11.9	9.7	10.0	13.7	11.2		-2.5 pp	0.9 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	37	26	41	46	38	41	56	43		-23.2 %	16.2 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	8.9	8.3	8.5	7.5	8.9	8.5	8.3	7.0		-1.3 pp	-1.9 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	32	28	31	29	35	35	34	27		-20.6 %	-15.6 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.8	5.3	5.7	6.2	6.9	7.3	6.8	7.8		1.0 pp	2.0 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	21	18	21	24	27	30	28	30		7.1 %	42.9 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	1.4	1.2	0.8	1.6	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.8		-0.4 pp	-0.6 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	5	4	3	6	3	3	5	3		-40.0 %	-40.0 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

SI										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	3.3	-7.8	1.2	0.6	-2.7	-1.1	3.1	2.3	2.5	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	2.6	-1.8	-2.1	-1.7	-0.9	-1.1	0.4	1.1	2.0	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	4.4	5.9	7.3	8.2	8.9	10.1	9.7	9.0	8.0	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.9	1.8	3.2	3.6	4.3	5.2	5.3	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	20.5	23.2	23.9	24.1	24.4	24.5	23.7				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

SI										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	20.5	23.2	23.9	24.1	24.4	24.5	23.7		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	6.9	7.6	7.7	7.6	7.9	7.5	7.3		8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4		2.0	2.0
	Old age	7.9	9.0	9.4	9.6	9.9	10.3	10.1		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7		1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	18.7	21.3	21.9	22.1	22.6	22.7	21.8		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.9	7.6	7.7	7.6	7.9	7.5	7.3		8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4		1.6	1.5
	Old age	7.8	8.9	9.3	9.5	9.8	10.2	9.9		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

SI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.5	17.1	18.3	19.3	19.6	20.4	20.4	19.2		-1.2 pp	0.7 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.3	11.3	12.7	13.6	13.5	14.5	14.5	14.3		-0.2 pp	2.0 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	8287	8599	8009	8364	8563	8527	8597	9061		4.3 %	3.2 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	17403	18057	16819	17565	17982	17908	18053	19029		4.3 %	3.2 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.7	6.1	5.9	6.1	6.6	6.7	6.6	5.8	5.4	-0.4 pp	-1.3 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.7	5.6	7.0	7.6	7.5	8.0	8.7	7.4		-1.3 pp	0.7 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	7.7	7.0	6.9	7.5	6.1	7.5	9.5	8.1		-1.4 pp	0.4 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.3	20.2	20.2	19.9	19.1	20.4	22.0	20.3		-1.7 pp	1.0 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	12.3	10.2	12.1	13.0	13.5	16.2	17.2	15.4		-1.8 pp	3.1 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	46.5	48.6	47.5	43.8	46.4	42.7	42.2	42.3		0.1 pp	-4.2 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.6		-2.7 %	5.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	39.5	38.0	34.9	17.1	16.6	15.6	14.8	13.7		-1.1 pp	-25.8 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.4	3.9	4.3	4.7	5.2	6.0	6.4	6.1		-0.3 pp	1.7 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	2.6	-0.4	-0.4	0.1	-3.9	-1.7	1.8	2.2				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

SI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.3	15.1	15.2	17.3	16.4	17.5	17.7	16.6		-1.1 pp	1.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.6	11.2	12.6	14.7	13.5	14.7	14.8	14.2		-0.6 pp	2.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	5.2	5.4	5.1	5.3	5.9	6.0	4.9	4.7	4.5	-0.2 pp	-0.7 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.7	2.5	3.4	4.4	3.2	4.0	4.6	3.7		-0.9 pp	0.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	16.3	20.2	20.6	19.7	17.2	20.4	23.7	20.8		-2.9 pp	4.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	50.4	53.7	51.4	45.4	47.7	45.2	46.2	45.8		-0.4 pp	-4.6 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	48.4	47.0	44.3	23.4	21.9	20.8	19.3	17.4		-1.9 pp	-31.0 pp	22.6	23.0
SI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.1	14.0	16.0	16.5	18.5	20.4	22.1	18.7		-3.4 pp	1.6 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.7	7.7	10.0	10.3	11.5	14.2	15.6	14.7		-0.9 pp	5.0 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	7.7	6.7	6.2	6.6	7.7	6.9	7.2	5.6	5.9	0.3 pp	-1.8 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.2	3.5	5.1	5.5	5.9	6.7	8.5	6.1		-2.4 pp	0.9 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	4.5	2.8	3.6	3.4	6.1	7.5	9.9	7.0		-2.9 pp	2.5 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.5	5.6	5.9	5.9	7.1	7.3	6.8	5.8	5.1	-0.7 pp	0.6 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	7.9	9.2	8.9	8.8	11.5	11.5	12.0	12.4	10.5	-1.9 pp	2.6 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	2.6	2.5	3.3	2.7	3.0	5.6	4.9	4.5		-0.4 pp	1.9 pp	14.6	14.2

SI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	18.0	16.2	18.1	18.7	19.7	20.6	21.3	19.7		-1.6 pp	1.7 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	10.5	9.2	11.0	11.7	12.2	13.0	13.7	13.6		-0.1 pp	3.1 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.9	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.9	6.8	7.1	6.0	5.5	-0.5 pp	-1.4 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.7	6.5	8.0	8.6	8.8	9.2	10.1	8.6		-1.5 pp	0.9 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	20.2	20.9	20.5	20.1	19.5	21.2	22.8	21.5		-1.3 pp	1.3 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	5.1	4.8	5.3	6.0	6.5	7.1	6.4	6.7		0.3 pp	1.6 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	49.0	52.1	49.8	45.8	49.0	44.9	42.7	43.1		0.4 pp	-5.9 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	41.1	39.7	36.6	17.8	17.6	16.4	15.8	14.7		-1.1 pp	-26.4 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.2	3.6	4.1	4.5	5.2	5.9	6.5	6.2		-0.3 pp	2.0 pp	12.0	11.7
SI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	24.4	23.3	22.8	24.2	22.8	23.0	20.1	20.2		0.1 pp	-4.2 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	21.3	20.0	20.2	20.9	19.6	20.5	17.1	17.2		0.1 pp	-4.1 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	7.4	6.5	6.3	6.8	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.1	5.8	-0.3 pp	-1.6 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.84	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.91	0.90		-1.1 %	7.1 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.45	0.46		2.2 %	4.5 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	21.7	20.0	16.3	5.7	6.0	6.0	5.6	5.4		-0.2 pp	-16.3 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	6.6	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.5	7.1	6.4	6.7		0.3 pp	0.1 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

Note: Break in series in 2011 for the "Overcrowding rate" indicator

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

SI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	15.3	15.1	15.2	17.3	16.4	17.5	17.7	16.6		-1.1 pp	1.3 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	11.6	11.2	12.6	14.7	13.5	14.7	14.8	14.2		-0.6 pp	2.6 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	5.2	5.4	5.1	5.3	5.9	6.0	4.9	4.7	4.5	-0.2 pp	-0.7 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	3.7	2.5	3.4	4.4	3.2	4.0	4.6	3.7		-0.9 pp	0.0 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	6.4	5.7	5.3	9.4	5.0	6.5	10.5	6.6		-3.9 pp	0.2 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	5.6	5.3	5.4	6.3	6.4	7.2	6.6	6.7		0.1 pp	1.1 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	77.9	77.5	88.8	87.5	87.4	94.4	93.2	93.0		-0.2 pp	15.1 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	9.0	9.5	9.9	11.3	11.1	11.4	11.0	11.2		0.2 pp	2.2 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.4	2.5		-0.9 pp	-1.5 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	27.0	27.0	33.0	34.0	36.0	36.0	34.0	34.9		0.9 pp	7.9 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	13.0	16.0	14.0	11.0	11.0	10.0	8.3	8.8		0.5 pp	-4.2 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	72.0	73.0	77.0	81.0	81.0	81.0	82.0	82.1		0.1 pp	10.1 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	16.3	20.2	20.6	19.7	17.2	20.4	23.7	20.8		-2.9 pp	4.5 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	4.8	4.3	7.6	8.0	7.8	9.2	10.2	11.6	10.6	-1.0 pp	5.8 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)				1.9	3.3	4.5	4.4	6.2	3.4	-2.8 pp		4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	7.7	7.1	12.0	12.1	10.5	12.1	13.6	14.9	14.4	-0.5 pp	6.7 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	50.4	53.7	51.4	45.4	47.7	45.2	46.2	45.8		-0.4 pp	-4.6 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	3.3	3.4	4.0	4.4	4.3	5.7	6.2	5.6		-0.6 pp	2.3 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5	5.0	3.8	4.3	4.3	3.8	-0.5 pp	0.1 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	5.1	5.3	5.0	4.2	4.4	3.9	4.4	5.0	4.9	-0.1 pp	-0.2 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1			0.0	0.2		0.2 pp	0.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.9	1.6	2.9	1.8	1.6		-0.2 pp	-0.8 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	19.8	21.8	19.5	12.1	11.4	9.6	8.9	7.5		-1.4 pp	-12.3 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	48.4	47.0	44.3	23.4	21.9	20.8	19.3	17.4		-1.9 pp	-31.0 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data). Notes: i) Break in series in 2011 for the "Overcrowding rate" indicator; ii) Some of the figures for the "part-time due to care responsibilities" (total for 2008 and 2009, males for all years and females for 2008 and 2009) and NEET rate (15-19) for 2010, 2011 and 2013, suffer from low reliability.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	57.3	60.3	60.9	63.6	39.4	41.5	38.7	40.7
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	57.3	60.3	60.9	63.6	39.4	41.5	38.7	40.7
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	55.4	55.9	60.9	63.6	38.1	38.5	38.7	40.7
	AWG career length case	54.8	55.3	60.1	62.8	37.7	38.1	38.1	40.1
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			67.4				43.6	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			54.6				34.3	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			67.4	70.4			43.6	45.8
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			54.6	56.9			34.3	33.3
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			60.5	68.1			38.7	40.7
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			60.1	68.2			38.7	40.7
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			58.8	68.4			38.7	40.7
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				63.6				40.7
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				63.6				40.7
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				63.6				40.7
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				59.6				38.7
	Short career (30 year career)			46.1	48.5			38.6	40.6
	Early retirement due to unemployment			56.8	59.3			38.7	40.7
	Early retirement due to disability			58.8	61.4			38.7	40.7
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement				59.0				38.7
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	66.4	69.8	61.7	65.0	45.7	48.1	41.1
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		66.4	69.8	61.7	65.0	45.7	48.1	41.1	43.3
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		64.2	64.8	61.7	65.0	44.2	44.6	41.1	43.3
AWG career length case		63.5	64.0	60.7	63.9	43.7	44.1	40.5	42.6
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				69.5				46.3	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				54.7				36.5	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				69.5	73.1			46.3	48.7
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				54.7	62.2			36.5	35.4
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				61.2	73.0			41.1	43.3
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				60.7	73.1			41.1	43.3
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				59.2	75.6			41.1	43.3
Career break due to child care: 0 year					65.0				43.3
Career break due to child care: 1 year					65.0				43.3
Career break due to child care: 2 years					65.0				43.3
Career break due to child care: 3 years					60.2				41.1
Short career (30 year career)		51.9	55.4	46.2	48.6	35.7	38.1	41.1	43.2
Early retirement due to unemployment				56.9	59.8			41.1	43.3
Early retirement due to disability				59.1	62.2			41.1	43.3
Pension rights of surviving spouses					65.0				43.3
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	57.3	60.3	39.9	41.7	39.4	41.5	30.4
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	57.3	60.3	39.9	39.0	39.4	41.5	30.4	29.7

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

SI									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	59.4	60.6	53.4	54.0	56.5	57.6	57.8	58.5	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	60.9	61.5	54.6	53.8	55.6	59.5	59.6	57.7	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	9.2	9.3	6.6	6.2	7.3	7.2	7.8	8.2	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	9.4	9.9	7.2	6.9	6.9	7.6	8.6	7.6	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	75.5	75.9	76.4	76.8	77.1	77.2	78.2	77.8	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	82.6	82.7	83.1	83.3	83.3	83.6	84.1	83.9	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	16.4	16.4	16.8	16.9	17.1	17.2	17.7	17.6	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.5	20.5	21.0	21.1	21.1	21.4	21.6	21.4	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	58.8	59.7	59.6	60.4	63.1	64.8	64.8	64.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1880.6	1850.6	1794.8	1835.5			1906.5			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	8.4	9.2	8.9	8.9			8.5			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁷⁴



¹⁷⁴ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as background.

SI	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment benefit is an insurance based benefit that can be claimed by the unemployed who was employed (insured) before for at least 9 months in the last 24 months and did not lose the job by own fault. Statutory basis for unemployment insurance is Labour Market Regulation Act (Official gazette RS, no. 80/2010, 40/2012-ZUJF, 21/2013, 63/2013, 100/2013, 32/2014 – ZPDZC-1 and 47/2015 – ZZSDT).
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry of Labour, Family, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities
	Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income
definition	Financial social assistance is a means-tested social benefit which acts as a final safety-net, intended to cover the basic living costs. Financial social assistance is defined by the Social Benefits Act (Official Gazette RS no. 61/2010, 40/2011, 110/2011-ZDIU12, 40/2012-ZUJF, 14/2013) and the Exercising the Right to Public Funds Act (Official Gazette RS, no. 62/2010, 40/2011, 40/2012-ZUJF, 14/2013, 99/2013).
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Ministry of Labour, Family, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities
comment	The numbers given are the numbers of individual recipients (including children). In the structure of households receiving financial social assistance, there are around 72% of single households, around 5% of adult couples and around 23% of families (with children) (data for 2016).
	Disability benefit
definition	Disability benefits beneficiaries – Number of unemployed persons receiving disability benefits. Included are recipients of disability benefit, temporary benefit, partial disability pension/partial benefit, benefit for occupational rehabilitation, before and during retraining benefit and before employment benefit.
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Pension and Disability Insurance Institute of Slovenia

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	SI										change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015			latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	18.5	17.1	18.3	19.3	19.6	20.4	20.4	19.2	n.a.	-1.2 pp	0.7 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	12.3	11.3	12.7	13.6	13.5	14.5	14.5	14.3	n.a.	-0.2 pp	2.0 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	8287	8599	8009	8364	8563	8527	8597	9061	n.a.	4.3 %	3.2 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	6.7	6.1	5.9	6.1	6.6	6.7	6.6	5.8	5.4	-0.4 pp	-1.3 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	6.7	5.6	7.0	7.6	7.5	8.0	8.7	7.4	n.a.	-1.3 pp	0.7 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	19.3	20.2	20.2	19.9	19.1	20.4	22.0	20.3	n.a.	-1.7 pp	1.0 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	7.7	7.0	6.9	7.5	6.1	7.5	9.5	8.1	n.a.	-1.4 pp	0.4 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.6	n.a.	-2.7 %	5.9 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	15.3	15.1	15.2	17.3	16.4	17.5	17.7	16.6	n.a.	-1.1 pp	1.3 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	46.5	48.6	47.5	43.8	46.4	42.7	42.2	42.3	n.a.	0.1 pp	-4.2 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	68.0	70.1	68.2	66.2	67.8	65.7	65.9	66.3	n.a.	0.5 pp	-1.7 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	55.0	49.1	56.1	58.1	54.8	56.8	61.4	63.7	n.a.	2.3 pp	8.7 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	5.1	4.8	5.3	6.0	6.5	7.1	6.4	6.7	n.a.	0.3 pp	1.6 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.9	1.8	3.2	3.6	4.3	5.2	5.3	4.7	4.3	-0.4 pp	2.4 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	5.1	5.3	5.0	4.2	4.4	3.9	4.4	5.0	4.9	-0.1 pp	-0.2 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	4.5	5.6	5.9	5.9	7.1	7.3	6.8	5.8	5.1	-0.7 pp	0.6 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	6.5	7.5	7.1	7.1	9.3	9.2	9.4	9.5	8.0	-1.5 pp	1.5 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	32.8	35.6	35.0	31.2	32.9	33.5	35.4	36.6	38.5	1.9 pp	5.7 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	24.4	23.3	22.8	24.2	22.8	23.0	20.1	20.2	n.a.	0.1 pp	-4.2 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.84	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.91	0.90	n.a.	-1.1 %	7.1 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.45	0.46	n.a.	2.2 %	4.5 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	n.a.	0.0 pp	0.0 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	9.2	9.3	6.6	6.2	7.3	7.2	7.8	8.2	n.a.	5.1 %	-10.9 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	9.4	9.9	7.2	6.9	6.9	7.6	8.6	7.6	n.a.	-11.6 %	-19.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	4.4	3.9	4.3	4.7	5.2	6.0	6.4	6.1	n.a.	-0.3 pp	1.7 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	2.6	-0.4	-0.4	0.1	-3.9	-1.7	1.8	2.2	n.a.	2.1 %	-2.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. Break in time series in Healthy Life Years indicator (change of question in 2010) which affects the comparison of change since 2008.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, SLOVENIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	Housing deprivation is above the EU-average, but is showing some positive development. The at-risk of poverty rate for population living in (quasi-)jobless households is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.	Income inequality is among the lowest and well below the EU average.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		The share of children living in (quasi) jobless households is significantly lower than the EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	The at-risk-of poverty rate for women (18-64) is better than the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.	In-work poverty, in particular for women, is below the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	The aggregate replacement ratio (excluding other social benefits) is worse than the EU average. <i>Long-term adequacy and sustainability of the pension system is at risk¹⁷⁵.</i>	
5. Health & LTC	<i>Outside the institutional area, there is no integrated provision of long-term care services¹⁷⁶.</i>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

¹⁷⁵ Source: Country Report 2017


¹⁷⁶ Source: Country Report 2017

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the number of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion by 170,000

Source: National Reform Programme (2014)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁷⁷ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

SK													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	52.9	56.0	58.2	63.0	64.6	64.9	68.7	66.8		-1.9 pp	13.9 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	588	594	651	700	716	694	659	643		-2.4 %	9.4 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	20.3	22.9	31.2	29.8	28.1	30.7	30.6	30.6		0.0 pp	10.4 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	225	243	349	331	312	328	294	295		0.3 %	31.1 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	57.3	56.7	55.6	51.4	51.0	51.8	53.5	48.9		-4.6 pp	-8.3 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	636	601	621	571	565	554	514	471	471	0.0 %	-25.9 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	4.2	3.8	6.1	7.5	6.5	7.9	7.3	8.1		0.8 pp	3.9 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	47	40	68	83	72	85	70	78		11.4 %	66.0 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	10.8	10.6	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.0	10.4	8.7		-1.7 pp	-2.1 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	120	112	104	104	105	96	100	84		-16.0 %	-30.0 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	6.5	9.8	13.2	12.1	13.0	14.5	17.0	14.0		-3.0 pp	7.5 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	72	104	147	135	144	155	163	135		-17.2 %	87.5 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.3	1.6	3.4	3.1	1.7	1.3	1.0	1.5		0.4 pp	-0.9 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	26	17	38	34	19	14	10	14		40.0 %	-46.2 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

SK										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	5.6	-5.4	5.0	2.8	1.7	1.5	2.6	3.8	3.3	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	3.2	-2.0	-1.5	1.8	0.1	-0.8	1.4	2.0	2.4	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	9.6	12.1	14.5	13.7	14.0	14.2	13.2	11.5	9.6	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	6.7	6.6	9.3	9.3	9.4	10.0	9.3	7.6	5.8	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	15.2	17.9	17.7	17.3	17.5	17.8	18.0				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

SK										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	15.2	17.9	17.7	17.3	17.5	17.8	18.0		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	5.0	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.5	5.6		8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6		2.0	2.0
	Old age	5.6	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.3		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5		1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2		0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	14.4	17.0	16.7	16.4	16.6	16.9	17.1		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	5.0	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.5	5.6		8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4		1.6	1.5
	Old age	5.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6	6.7	7.0		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

SK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	20.6	19.6	20.6	20.6	20.5	19.8	18.4	18.4		0.0 pp	-2.2 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	10.9	11.0	12.0	13.0	13.2	12.8	12.6	12.3		-0.3 pp	1.4 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	4058	4694	5016	5385	5879	5743	5883	6132		2.1 %	30.5 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	8521	9858	10534	11309	12346	12060	12355	12877		2.1 %	30.5 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	11.8	11.1	11.4	10.6	10.5	10.2	9.9	9.0	9.0	0.0 pp	-2.8 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.2	5.6	7.9	7.7	7.2	7.6	7.1	7.1		0.0 pp	1.9 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	4.9	5.4	6.0	7.8	8.6	7.1	9.8	7.4		-2.4 pp	2.5 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.1	23.2	25.7	22.8	20.5	24.1	29.0	28.9		-0.1 pp	10.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	10.9	7.8	7.3	7.0	6.0	7.4	8.1	7.6		-0.5 pp	-3.3 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	40.8	35.7	39.4	33.3	34.0	36.3	35.7	35.3		-0.5 pp	-5.5 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.5		-10.3 %	2.9 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	42.9	39.7	40.1	39.5	38.4	39.8	38.6	37.8		-0.8 pp	-5.1 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	5.6	9.4	7.6	8.4	8.4	8.3	9.0	9.1		0.1 pp	3.5 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	4.9	1.4	0.5	-1.9	-0.6	0.1	2.6	4.0				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

SK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	24.3	23.7	25.3	26.0	26.6	25.5	23.6	24.9		1.3 pp	0.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	16.7	16.8	18.8	21.2	21.9	20.3	19.2	20.1		0.9 pp	3.4 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	12.6	12.7	13.5	12.4	11.9	13.0	12.1	11.2	11.2	0.0 pp	-1.4 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.4	5.4	8.1	7.3	7.2	8.4	8.1	8.0		-0.1 pp	3.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	24.0	25.8	33.8	25.5	24.0	29.8	31.9	29.9		-2.0 pp	5.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	38.2	30.3	35.8	28.6	29.8	33.7	36.2	37.6		1.4 pp	-0.6 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	54.4	51.6	53.2	52.6	49.6	50.8	51.6	50.5		-1.1 pp	-3.9 pp	22.6	23.0
SK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.6	21.1	22.8	22.8	21.8	23.0	20.2	20.5		0.3 pp	-1.1 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.9	13.3	14.7	14.8	14.4	15.1	14.7	12.8		-1.9 pp	0.9 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	13.6	13.0	13.9	11.2	10.9	12.6	11.6	10.7	10.7	0.0 pp	-2.9 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	3.4	3.9	5.5	5.1	5.2	5.9	5.9	6.4		0.5 pp	3.0 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	4.6	3.8	4.1	5.5	5.6	3.3	4.6	6.1		1.5 pp	1.5 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.2	8.6	10.4	10.1	10.4	10.4	9.2	8.4	7.2	-1.2 pp	1.0 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	14.4	16.6	18.6	18.3	18.1	17.8	16.6	17.2	15.5	-1.7 pp	1.1 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	1.8	7.0	6.4	7.5	6.9	6.5	6.1	7.1		1.0 pp	5.3 pp	14.6	14.2

SK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	19.3	18.5	20.2	20.6	19.9	19.4	18.1	17.8		-0.3 pp	-1.5 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.5	9.6	11.2	12.4	12.3	12.1	12.3	11.6		-0.7 pp	2.1 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	10.8	10.6	11.0	10.3	10.1	9.7	9.4	8.4	8.4	0.0 pp	-2.4 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.4	5.6	7.9	7.8	7.2	7.3	6.9	6.9		0.0 pp	1.5 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.1	24.2	26.5	24.2	21.2	24.4	29.5	31.1		1.6 pp	12.0 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	5.8	5.2	5.7	6.3	6.2	5.8	5.7	6.1		0.4 pp	0.3 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	43.5	39.2	41.4	34.7	35.6	37.3	35.6	34.5		-1.1 pp	-9.0 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	44.9	41.5	41.7	41.3	40.3	41.5	40.0	38.9		-1.1 pp	-6.0 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.1	8.4	7.1	8.0	7.4	7.7	8.5	8.7		0.2 pp	4.6 pp	12.0	11.7
SK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	21.9	19.7	16.7	14.5	16.3	13.6	13.4	12.8		-0.6 pp	-9.1 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	9.9	10.8	7.7	6.3	7.8	6.0	6.2	5.6		-0.6 pp	-4.3 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	15.3	11.7	11.1	9.7	10.8	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	0.0 pp	-6.1 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.86	0.81	0.90	0.91	0.91		0.0 %	15.2 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.54	0.55	0.61	0.62	0.56	0.61	0.62	0.62		0.0 %	14.8 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	18.4	15.2	14.9	14.8	15.1	15.5	15.7	15.8		0.1 pp	-2.6 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	13.9	13.6	8.6	8.2	10.3	8.1	8.0	8.1		0.1 pp	-5.8 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

SK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	24.3	23.7	25.3	26.0	26.6	25.5	23.6	24.9		1.3 pp	0.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	16.7	16.8	18.8	21.2	21.9	20.3	19.2	20.1		0.9 pp	3.4 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	12.6	12.7	13.5	12.4	11.9	13.0	12.1	11.2	11.2	0.0 pp	-1.4 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.4	5.4	8.1	7.3	7.2	8.4	8.1	8.0		-0.1 pp	3.6 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	9.4	4.7	9.3	16.3	16.3	11.0	16.2	14.6		-1.6 pp	5.2 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	7.6	7.1	7.8	9.3	8.6	7.9	8.1	8.1		0.0 pp	0.5 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	82.3	88.9	85.3	86.1	93.8	94.4	93.5	88.1		-5.4 pp	5.8 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	13.7	12.7	13.0	16.1	16.4	13.4	12.7	14.2		1.5 pp	0.5 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)		1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.2		-0.5 pp		14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.8	0.9		-4.9 pp	-1.1 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	7.0	13.0	8.0	13.0	12.0	13.0	14.2	14.0		-0.2 pp	7.0 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	53.0	63.0	64.0	62.0	59.0	61.0	60.5	53.6		-6.9 pp	0.6 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	24.0	25.8	33.8	25.5	24.0	29.8	31.9	29.9		-2.0 pp	5.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	5.2		3.4	3.4	2.4	2.7	3.9	3.7	3.4	-0.3 pp	-1.8 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)												4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	7.4		4.8	5.1	3.9	4.3	6.4	5.5	5.4	-0.1 pp	-2.0 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	38.2	30.3	35.8	28.6	29.8	33.7	36.2	37.6		1.4 pp	-0.6 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	4.8	10.0	8.9	10.2	10.9	11.0	11.8	11.4		-0.4 pp	6.6 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.5	5.0	5.4	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.6	6.8	6.8	0.0 pp	1.3 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	6.0	4.9	4.7	5.1	5.3	6.4	6.7	6.9	7.4	0.5 pp	1.4 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.3	0.5	0.6	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8		0.0 pp	0.5 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	5.9	5.7	5.7	4.9	5.8	5.5	5.8	5.1		-0.7 pp	-0.8 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	8.6	6.7	6.8	8.3	8.6	7.9	6.9	7.5		0.6 pp	-1.1 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	54.4	51.6	53.2	52.6	49.6	50.8	51.6	50.5		-1.1 pp	-3.9 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	76.0	77.9	59.5		58.8	60.3	46.7	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	64.4		66.1		49.8		51.8	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	59.6	58.8	69.6		46.1	45.4	54.6	
	AWG career length case	62.8	48.6	63.0	57.9	48.6	37.6	49.6	45.6
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			69.6	77.4			54.8	57.6
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			53.2	59.3			42.0	44.1
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			77.7				61.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			59.5				46.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			68.3				53.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			67.1				52.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			62.2				48.8	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				69.6				54.6
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				69.1				54.3
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				68.6				54.0
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				68.0				53.6
	Short career (30 year career)			59.7				46.1	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			58.1				49.3	
	Early retirement due to disability			62.1				50.6	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			62.4				46.7	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	78.9	80.9	62.4		63.9	65.6	51.2
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		66.9		69.4		54.2		57.0	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		61.9	61.0	73.1		50.1	49.4	60.3	
AWG career length case		65.2	50.4	65.9	60.7	52.8	40.9	54.4	50.2
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				73.1	78.9			60.3	61.5
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				55.8	60.4			46.1	47.1
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				81.5				67.2	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				62.4				51.2	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				71.9				59.0	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				70.6				57.9	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				68.8				53.9	
Career break due to child care: 0 year					73.1				60.3
Career break due to child care: 1 year					72.5				59.8
Career break due to child care: 2 years					72.0				59.4
Career break due to child care: 3 years					71.5				59.0
Short career (30 year career)		50.2		65.2		40.6		52.8	
Early retirement due to unemployment				60.3				53.5	
Early retirement due to disability				63.7				54.6	
Pension rights of surviving spouses					94.1			75.0	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	62.0	63.6	49.0		45.9	47.1	27.6
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	52.6		54.0		38.9		30.4	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

SK									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	52.1	52.4	52.4	52.1	53.4	54.5	55.5	54.8	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	52.5	52.6	52.0	52.3	53.1	54.3	54.6	55.1	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.7	3.6	3.8	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	70.9	71.4	71.8	72.3	72.5	72.9	73.3	73.1	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	79.0	79.1	79.3	79.8	79.9	80.1	80.5	80.2	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	13.8	14.1	14.1	14.5	14.6	14.7	15.1	15.0	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	17.8	18.0	18.0	18.4	18.5	18.8	19.1	18.8	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	1.3	1.7	1.7	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.1	2.1	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	59.5	61.9	63.6	63.2	65.6	65.9	64.7	65.9	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	1502.5	1589.1	1672.6	1522.6			1530.8			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	8.0	9.2	9.0	8.0			7.0			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁷⁸



¹⁷⁸ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as background.

SK	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment monthly average - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted data, not calendar adjusted data
source	Eurostat
link	http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=une_rt_m&lang=en
comment	
Unemployment benefit	
definition	Unemployment benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Social Insurance Agency
link	http://www.socpoist.sk/pocet-poberatelov-davok-v-nezamestnanosti/1662s
comment	The new softer eligibility criteria on unemployment benefit have come into effect since 1 September 2010. The minimum necessary condition of unemployment insurance decreased from 3 years from the last four years into 2 years from the last three years. This change also contributed to the year-on-year growth of the number of recipients from the second half of Year 2011 and till the end of the first quarter of Year 2012, but without any dramatic changes. The latest trend could be considered as positive with stable position in Year 2016 and followed by year-on-year declined in the number of recipients in Year 2017 (Jan-Apr).
Social assistance benefit	
definition	Social assistance benefit
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Centre Office of Labour, Social Affairs and Family
link	
comment	Social Assistance Benefit: Recipients are defined as recipients of benefits. In the system of assistance in material need (social assistance) we are talking about the recipient, which is the range of jointly assessed persons, i.e. individual, family with children, families without children, etc. This means that for one recipient of assistance in material need may be more of jointly assessed persons. In Year 2016 and 2017 is continuing decrease in number of the recipients of material need based on changes in the system of assistance in material need applicable from 1 January 2015. The changes was according better motivation start to work for long-term unemployed or inactive person.
Disability benefit	
definition	Disability benefit recipients
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Social Insurance Agency
link	http://www.socpoist.sk/pocet-vyplacanych-dochodkov--v-mesiacoch/3150s
comment	The number of recipients are without disability benefits from youth ("invalidi z mladosti") which are funded by state budget. The new lighter conditions on disability benefit have come into effect since 1 January 2010 (the minimum pension period on invalidity benefit is required from all career, not only from last 10 years). This change also contributed to the year-on-year slightly increased of the number of recipients from Year 2011 to 2015, but without any dramatic changes. The latest trend could be considered as stable in Year 2016 and followed again by slight year-on-year growth in the number of recipients in Year 2017 (Jan-May).

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	SK											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	20.6	19.6	20.6	20.6	20.5	19.8	18.4	18.4	n.a.	0.0 pp	-2.2 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	10.9	11.0	12.0	13.0	13.2	12.8	12.6	12.3	n.a.	-0.3 pp	1.4 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	4058	4694	5016	5385	5879	5743	5883	6132	n.a.	2.1 %	30.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	11.8	11.1	11.4	10.6	10.5	10.2	9.9	9.0	9.0	0.0 pp	-2.8 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	5.2	5.6	7.9	7.7	7.2	7.6	7.1	7.1	n.a.	0.0 pp	1.9 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	18.1	23.2	25.7	22.8	20.5	24.1	29.0	28.9	n.a.	-0.1 pp	10.8 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	4.9	5.4	6.0	7.8	8.6	7.1	9.8	7.4	n.a.	-2.4 pp	2.5 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.5	n.a.	-10.3 %	2.9 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	24.3	23.7	25.3	26.0	26.6	25.5	23.6	24.9	n.a.	1.3 pp	0.6 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	40.8	35.7	39.4	33.3	34.0	36.3	35.7	35.3	n.a.	-0.5 pp	-5.5 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	70.9	69.4	68.6	66.1	65.2	66.3	66.8	67.7	n.a.	0.9 pp	-3.2 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	53.1	59.0	61.5	65.6	69.4	73.6	79.3	72.4	n.a.	-6.9 pp	19.3 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	5.8	5.2	5.7	6.3	6.2	5.8	5.7	6.1	n.a.	0.4 pp	0.3 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	6.7	6.6	9.3	9.3	9.4	10.0	9.3	7.6	5.8	-1.8 pp	-0.9 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	6.0	4.9	4.7	5.1	5.3	6.4	6.7	6.9	7.4	0.5 pp	1.4 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	6.2	8.6	10.4	10.1	10.4	10.4	9.2	8.4	7.2	-1.2 pp	1.0 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	11.1	12.5	14.1	13.8	13.8	13.7	12.8	13.7	12.3	-1.4 pp	1.2 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	39.2	39.5	40.5	41.3	43.1	44.0	44.8	47.0	49.0	2.0 pp	9.8 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	21.9	19.7	16.7	14.5	16.3	13.6	13.4	12.8	n.a.	-0.6 pp	-9.1 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.86	0.81	0.90	0.91	0.91	n.a.	0.0 %	15.2 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.54	0.55	0.61	0.62	0.56	0.61	0.62	0.62	n.a.	0.0 %	14.8 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	1.3	1.7	1.7	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.1	2.1	n.a.	0.0 pp	0.8 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	n.a.	-4.7 %	36.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.7	3.6	3.8	n.a.	5.6 %	40.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	5.6	9.4	7.6	8.4	8.4	8.3	9.0	9.1	n.a.	0.1 pp	3.5 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	4.9	1.4	0.5	-1.9	-0.6	0.1	2.6	4.0	n.a.	4.0 %	6.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, SLOVAKIA

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services	<p>The at-risk of poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-)jobless households is worse than the EU average.</p> <p>The poverty gap (relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap) is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.</p> <p><i>The availability of social housing is scarce and the overcrowding rate is high¹⁷⁹.</i></p>	<p>Housing deprivation is significantly lower than the EU average.</p> <p><i>The risk of poverty or social exclusion for persons with disabilities¹⁸⁰ is the lowest in the EU.</i></p>
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	<p>The poverty gap for children (0-17) is around the EU average but shows some negative development.</p>	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	<p>The poverty gap for people of working age is around the EU average but shows a significantly negative development.</p>	<p>The at-risk-of poverty rate for people of working age is significantly better than the EU average.</p>
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		<p>At-risk-of poverty rate of older men (aged 65+) and the poverty gap for the elderly are significantly lower than the EU average.</p>
5. Health & LTC	<p>Healthy life years at 65 and amenable mortality are significantly worse than the EU average.</p> <p><i>There is still large margin to improve the cost-effectiveness of the healthcare system¹⁸¹.</i></p>	

¹⁷⁹ Source: Country Report 2017

¹⁸⁰ Disability measured through a concept of long-standing limitation in performing usual activities: “*Limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems for at least the past six months*” (EU-SILC). Level of activity limitation considered: “*some or severe*”.

¹⁸¹ Source: Country Report 2017.

	<i>The long-term care system is fragmented and remains underdeveloped¹⁸².</i>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


¹⁸² Sources: Country Report 2017; Eurostat Labour Force Survey 2016.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce to 770,000 by 2020 the number of persons living at risk of poverty or social exclusion.

Source: National Reform Programme (2016)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁸³ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2016)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

FI													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	77.9	81.8	77.8	76.4	76.9	74.0	74.2	73.9	70.3	-3.6 pp	-7.6 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	709	725	692	725	704	632	688	668	630	-5.7 %	-11.1 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	32.5	37.1	40.9	41.0	39.4	41.1	41.9	46.0	49.0	3.0 pp	16.5 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	296	329	364	389	361	351	388	416	439	5.5 %	48.3 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	19.9	16.7	16.9	17.9	17.0	15.5	16.3	13.1	13.4	0.3 pp	-6.5 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	181	148	150	170	156	132	151	118	120	1.7 %	-33.7 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	14.0	17.0	19.1	18.8	19.1	17.0	17.7	20.8	22.1	1.3 pp	8.1 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	127	151	170	178	175	145	164	188	198	5.3 %	55.9 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.6	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.8	1.6	-1.2 pp	-4.0 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	51	29	30	33	27	24	28	25	14	-44.0 %	-72.5 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	4.3	6.7	5.5	4.9	4.5	3.9	4.4	3.4	3.2	-0.2 pp	-1.1 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	39	59	49	46	41	33	41	31	29	-6.5 %	-25.6 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	2.0	2.0	2.1	3.2	2.4	3.2	2.9	2.5	2.5	-0.1 pp	0.5 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	18	18	19	30	22	27	27	23	22	-4.3 %	22.2 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

FI										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	0.7	-8.3	3.0	2.6	-1.4	-0.8	-0.6	0.3	1.4	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	2.2	-2.4	-0.7	1.3	0.9	-0.7	-0.5	-0.3	0.6	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	6.4	8.2	8.4	7.8	7.7	8.2	8.7	9.4	8.8	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.2	1.4	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.3	2.3	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	24.3	28.2	28.5	28.1	29.3	30.3	31.1				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

FI											EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	24.3	28.2	28.5	28.1	29.3	30.3	31.1			27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	6.5	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.5			8.0	8.1
	Disability	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4			2.0	2.0
	Old age	8.4	9.9	10.2	10.4	11.0	11.6	12.1			11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9			1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.8	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.2			2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	1.7	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.6			1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9			0.5	0.5
	Means-tested											
	Total	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.8			3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7			0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6			0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4			0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested											
	Total	23.3	27.0	27.3	26.8	27.9	28.7	29.4			24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	6.5	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.5			8.0	8.0
	Disability	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4			1.6	1.5
	Old age	8.4	9.9	10.2	10.4	11.0	11.6	12.1			10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9			1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2			1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	1.4	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.9			1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5			0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

FI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	17.4	16.9	16.9	17.9	17.2	16.0	17.3	16.8	16.6	-0.2 pp	-0.8 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	13.6	13.8	13.1	13.7	13.2	11.8	12.8	12.4	11.6	-0.8 pp	-2.0 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	9933	10421	10327	10760	11146	11507	11550	11658	11859	-0.9 %	4.6 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	20860	21884	21686	22596	23406	24165	24254	24482	24905	-0.9 %	4.6 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.5	2.8	2.8	3.2	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.2	2.2	0.0 pp	-1.3 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.5	8.4	9.3	10.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	10.8	11.4	0.6 pp	3.9 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	6.8	6.5	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.0	7.0	8.3		1.3 pp	1.5 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	15.7	15.1	13.8	13.5	15.0	15.0	13.9	13.2	13.9	0.7 pp	-1.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	13.6	13.0	12.0	12.3	11.6	10.7	11.6	11.7	11.2	-0.5 pp	-2.4 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	50.2	47.3	51.5	50.0	50.9	55.3	53.6	53.7	57.0	3.3 pp	6.9 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	0.0 %	-5.3 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	5.8	5.9	6.1	6.5	6.0	6.9	7.0	6.7	6.6	-0.1 pp	0.8 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.9	5.1	4.9	4.4	-0.5 pp	-0.3 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	2.4	0.8	2.5	1.1	0.1	0.4	-0.7	1.1				1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

FI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.1	14.0	14.2	16.1	14.9	13.0	15.6	14.9	14.7	-0.2 pp	-0.4 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.0	12.1	11.4	11.8	11.1	9.3	10.9	10.0	9.3	-0.7 pp	-2.7 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.1	2.5	2.3	3.2	2.8	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.8	-0.2 pp	-1.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.9	5.8	5.9	7.6	5.9	6.1	6.6	7.2	8.2	1.0 pp	3.3 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	15.5	15.0	11.4	10.5	12.9	13.4	11.8	11.3	13.6	2.3 pp	-1.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	59.6	56.5	61.6	60.9	63.0	68.2	66.3	67.3	69.6	2.3 pp	10.0 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	4.5	5.0	5.1	5.8	5.7	7.1	6.9	6.6	6.0	-0.6 pp	1.5 pp	22.6	23.0
FI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	30.0	28.7	30.9	30.5	29.4	28.0	30.4	32.8	30.8	-2.0 pp	0.8 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	26.2	26.2	26.8	26.5	24.9	22.5	25.2	29.4	26.9	-2.5 pp	0.7 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.1	4.8	4.5	4.5	5.1	5.0	6.0	3.6	2.7	-0.9 pp	-3.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	7.6	10.3	12.5	10.1	10.4	10.0	12.4	14.2	14.3	0.1 pp	6.7 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	12.5	5.9	8.7	7.9	8.9	7.6	6.0	7.5	4.8	-2.7 pp	-7.7 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	8.8	10.9	10.6	10.1	9.8	10.3	10.7	11.7	10.5	-1.2 pp	1.7 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	9.9	12.9	12.5	11.7	11.8	12.6	13.8	14.6	13.7	-0.9 pp	3.8 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	10.1	9.1	9.1	9.5	9.2	10.6	12.0	14.0	12.3	-1.7 pp	2.2 pp	14.6	14.2

FI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	16.5	16.2	17.1	18.0	17.3	16.7	17.9	18.1	18.2	0.1 pp	1.7 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.8	12.2	12.3	12.8	12.4	11.3	12.5	12.7	12.2	-0.5 pp	0.4 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.7	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.1	3.4	2.6	2.5	-0.1 pp	-1.2 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	8.4	9.3	10.6	10.9	10.6	10.1	11.3	12.1	12.6	0.5 pp	4.2 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.2	19.2	17.4	17.9	18.3	18.9	18.3	18.9	16.9	-2.0 pp	-2.3 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	5.1	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.1	-0.4 pp	-2.0 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	54.1	50.8	53.8	52.9	53.4	57.8	54.9	54.5	57.2	2.7 pp	3.1 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	6.1	6.4	6.7	7.2	6.7	7.6	7.9	7.5	7.6	0.1 pp	1.5 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	4.9	5.0	4.8	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.9	5.9	5.2	-0.7 pp	0.3 pp	12.0	11.7
FI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.9	23.1	19.5	19.8	19.5	16.8	17.0	14.5	13.6	-0.9 pp	-10.3 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	22.5	22.1	18.3	18.9	18.4	16.1	16.0	13.8	12.3	-1.5 pp	-10.2 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	3.2	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.5	1.1	1.7	1.2	1.7	0.5 pp	-1.5 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.72	0.73	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.81	0.83	2.5 %	15.3 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.49	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.51	0.52	0.53	1.9 %	8.2 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	6.2	5.0	5.1	4.9	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	0.0 pp	-1.9 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	5.2	3.8	3.1	4.2	4.6	5.4	5.0	4.8	4.2	-0.6 pp	-1.0 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

FI	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	15.1	14.0	14.2	16.1	14.9	13.0	15.6	14.9	14.7	-0.2 pp	-0.4 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	12.0	12.1	11.4	11.8	11.1	9.3	10.9	10.0	9.3	-0.7 pp	-2.7 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	3.1	2.5	2.3	3.2	2.8	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.8	-0.2 pp	-1.3 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.9	5.8	5.9	7.6	5.9	6.1	6.6	7.2	8.2	1.0 pp	3.3 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	3.9	2.1	9.1	4.1	3.2	4.4	5.8	9.2		3.4 pp	5.3 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	5.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.4	4.1	3.9	3.3	-0.6 pp	-2.1 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	63.1	74.8	68.1	62.9	63.2	54.4	42.8	43.2	45.3	2.1 pp	-17.8 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	9.1	7.9	7.6	7.5	7.7	6.3	8.5	7.2	6.0	-1.2 pp	-3.1 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	5.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	10.6	7.9		-2.7 pp	2.9 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	21.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	22.0	21.0	22.6	24.6		2.0 pp	3.6 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	20.0	20.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	22.1	23.2		1.1 pp	3.2 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	58.0	57.0	56.0	57.0	57.0	59.0	58.5	59.6		1.1 pp	1.6 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	15.5	15.0	11.4	10.5	12.9	13.4	11.8	11.3	13.6	2.3 pp	-1.9 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	9.0	8.8	8.7	9.8	9.0	10.4	8.2	9.0	8.9	-0.1 pp	-0.1 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)		2.0		2.2					2.0			4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	12.7	12.1	12.3	13.9	12.8	14.9	11.5	13.1	12.5	-0.6 pp	-0.2 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	59.6	56.5	61.6	60.9	63.0	68.2	66.3	67.3	69.6	2.3 pp	10.0 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	3.8	3.2	3.4	2.6	2.4	3.3	3.0	2.2	2.1	-0.1 pp	-1.7 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	5.3	6.3	4.9	4.3	4.3	5.2	5.4	4.8	4.7	-0.1 pp	-0.6 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	9.8	9.9	10.3	9.8	8.9	9.3	9.5	9.2	7.9	-1.3 pp	-1.9 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.2	1.2	1.0	3.1	4.4	3.1	2.1	3.6	2.4	-1.2 pp	2.2 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.2	1.7		-0.5 pp	-0.9 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.3 pp	0.2 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	4.5	5.0	5.1	5.8	5.7	7.1	6.9	6.6	6.0	-0.6 pp	1.5 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	69.5		59.1		62.2		50.8	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	69.5		59.1		62.2		50.8	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	69.5		59.1		62.2		50.8	
	AWG career length case	65.5	63.8	58.6	57.1	58.2	56.4	50.2	48.5
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			64.8				57.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			53.5				44.2	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			64.8				57.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			53.5				44.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			58.8				50.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			58.6				50.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			57.7				49.1	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			59.1				50.8	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			59.1				50.8	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			58.4				50.0	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			58.5				50.1	
	Short career (30 year career)			49.2				39.1	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			51.8				42.2	
	Early retirement due to disability			51.7				42.1	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			53.8				44.6	
	Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	81.3		62.5		73.2		52.5
Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA		81.3		62.5		73.2		52.5	
Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA		81.3		62.5		73.2		52.5	
AWG career length case		77.3	76.6	61.0	61.1	68.7	67.4	50.5	49.8
Longer career I: from age 25 to 67				67.4				58.7	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63				57.7				46.2	
Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2				67.4				58.7	
Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2				57.7				46.2	
Career break – unemployment: 1 year				62.4				52.3	
Career break – unemployment: 2 years				62.3				52.2	
Career break – unemployment: 3 years				61.9				51.6	
Career break due to child care: 0 year				62.5				52.5	
Career break due to child care: 1 year				62.6				52.5	
Career break due to child care: 2 years				62.3				52.1	
Career break due to child care: 3 years				62.3				52.2	
Short career (30 year career)		77.7		59.6		66.0		47.9	
Early retirement due to unemployment				59.0				46.7	
Early retirement due to disability				58.7				46.2	
Pension rights of surviving spouses				85.3				81.9	
High		Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	62.6		49.8		54.6		39.9
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	62.6		49.8		54.6		39.9	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

FI									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	58.6	58.2	58.5	57.7	57.3		58.7	59.4	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	59.5	58.6	57.9	58.3	56.2		57.5	56.3	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	8.0	8.2	8.7	8.4	8.4		8.8	9.3	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	9.0	9.0	8.7	8.6	9.0		9.3	9.0	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	76.5	76.6	76.9	77.3	77.7		78.4	78.7	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	83.3	83.5	83.5	83.8	83.7		84.1	84.4	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.5	17.3	17.5	17.7	17.8		18.2	18.3	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	21.3	21.5	21.5	21.7	21.6		21.7	21.9	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	0.8	3.7	3.9	4.4	4.6	4.3	3.3	4.3	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	68.6	68.9	68.3	68.9	67.1	64.7	69.2	69.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	2418.9	2400.2	2451.2	2552.5	2637.7	2853.8	2885.1			
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	8.3	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.5	9.5			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁸⁴



Note: 2016 figures on social assistance are provisional.

¹⁸⁴ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as a background.

FI	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Earnings-related unemployment allowance; Basic unemployment allowance; Labour market support
unit	thousands of recipients, at the end of the month
source	Social Insurance Institution and the Financial Supervisory Authority (FIN-FSA)
comment	<p>Earnings-related unemployment allowance is paid for those who fulfil the eligibility criterias: Employment conditions and are member of an unemployment fund. This is voluntary, you have to pay an annual fee. In the case of unemployment the allowance is related to your salary. Basic unemployment allowance is like earnings-related allowance, but the difference is that you are not a member of an unemployment fund or do not qualify for the earnings-related allowance for some other reason. The basic allowance is flat rate and low. Starting from 2010, basic and earnings-related unemployment allowances are payable not only during unemployment but also during participation in a measure of active labour market policy. Labour market support is flat rate benefit (and low) for those who do not qualify for the eligibility rules of the benefits mentioned above. In practice they are young people and those who have received the allowances mentioned above for the maximum period (500 days). Unlike with the unemployment allowance, a demonstrated need of financial assistance is also required. Although in most cases labour market support and basic unemployment allowance are the same rate.</p> <p>A total of 411,000 persons received unemployment benefits at year-end 2016. Of them, 50% were in receipt of a basic unemployment benefit. The number of recipients of unemployment benefits started to increase in autumn 2012 and the increase has continued since. However, in 2016, the increase seems to have stopped.</p>
	Social assistance benefit
definition	Recipients of social assistance (households) by calendar month
unit	thousands of recipients
source	National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL)
comment	The number of households receiving social assistance has grown 11.1% in between 2011-2012 and 2015-2016, from monthly average of 118,300 households to 131,400 households. In 2015, altogether, 7.3 per cent of the Finnish population received social assistance at least one month.
	Disability benefit
definition	Recipients of disability pension (earnings-related schemes) at the end of the month
unit	thousands of recipients
source	Finnish Centre for Pensions

comment

Disability pensions in the earnings-related pension system consist of full and partial pensions and they may be awarded until further notice or for a specific period of time (cash rehabilitation benefit). Rehabilitation allowance is the benefit paid during active rehabilitation measures awarded to a person who is still in working life and would face a risk of disability in the near future without rehabilitation. The amount of this allowance is 1.33 times the disability pension and the pension system pays it, but it is not regarded or classified as a pension.

The number of people receiving disability pensions has been decreasing for a number of years. There are several possible reasons for this. First, the incidence of new disability pensions has decreased in the most important diagnosis groups. They are applied less than before perhaps because of the ability to work has ameliorated (according to a recent study people feel so) possibly because of increased rehabilitation measures. The demographic factor also counts. The amount of people in age groups where the incidence of new disability pensions is highest (55 to 63) has decreased. And those baby boomers who retired on a disability pension since 2006 have seen their pensions converted into old-age pension at the age of 63 after which no disability pensions are awarded but only old-age pensions.

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	FI											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	17.4	16.9	16.9	17.9	17.2	16.0	17.3	16.8	16.6	-0.5 pp	-0.6 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	13.6	13.8	13.1	13.7	13.2	11.8	12.8	12.4	11.6	-0.4 pp	-1.2 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	9933	10421	10327	10760	11146	11507	11550	11658	11859	0.4 %	5.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	3.5	2.8	2.8	3.2	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.2	2.2	0.0 pp	-1.3 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	7.5	8.4	9.3	10.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	10.8	11.4	0.8 pp	3.3 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	15.7	15.1	13.8	13.5	15.0	15.0	13.9	13.2	13.9	-0.7 pp	-2.5 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	6.8	6.5	7.7	7.5	7.4	7.0	7.0	8.3	n.a.	1.3 pp	1.5 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	0.0 %	-5.3 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	15.1	14.0	14.2	16.1	14.9	13.0	15.6	14.9	14.7	-0.7 pp	-0.2 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	50.2	47.3	51.5	50.0	50.9	55.3	53.6	53.7	57.0	0.1 pp	3.5 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	65.6	64.2	67.8	66.8	68.0	71.7	70.4	71.4	73.5	1.0 pp	5.9 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	56.3	63.8	60.0	57.7	59.5	50.7	52.9	52.8	51.5	-0.1 pp	-3.5 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	5.1	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.1	-0.2 pp	-1.6 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.2	1.4	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.3	2.3	0.0 pp	1.1 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	9.8	9.9	10.3	9.8	8.9	9.3	9.5	9.2	7.9	-1.3 pp	-1.9 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	8.8	10.9	10.6	10.1	9.8	10.3	10.7	11.7	10.5	-1.2 pp	1.7 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	7.8	9.9	9.0	8.4	8.6	9.3	10.2	10.6	9.9	-0.7 pp	2.1 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	56.5	55.5	56.2	57.0	58.2	58.5	59.1	60.0	61.4	1.4 pp	4.9 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	23.9	23.1	19.5	19.8	19.5	16.8	17.0	14.5	13.6	-2.5 pp	-9.4 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.72	0.73	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.81	0.83	2.5 %	12.5 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.49	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.51	0.52	0.53	2.0 %	6.1 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	0.8	3.7	3.9	4.4	4.6	4.3	3.3	4.3	n.a.	1.0 pp	3.5 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	8.0	8.2	8.7	8.4	8.4	n.a.	8.8	9.3	n.a.	5.7 %	16.3 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	9.0	9.0	8.7	8.6	9.0	n.a.	9.3	9.0	n.a.	-3.2 %	0.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.9	5.1	4.9	4.4	-0.2 pp	0.2 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	2.4	0.8	2.5	1.1	0.1	0.4	-0.7	1.0	n.a.	1.0 %	5.4 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPMM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, FINLAND

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services		Impact of social transfers (other than pensions) in reducing poverty significantly better than EU average. Housing deprivation is significantly better than EU average.
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		Children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion (aged 0-17) significantly better than the EU average. Impact of social transfers (both pensions and other than pensions) in reducing child poverty is significantly better than the EU average. At-risk-of poverty rate of children living in household at work is significantly better than the EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age	Rate of long-term unemployment (as % active population) is better than the EU average but shows some negative development.	At-risk-of poverty rate (aged 18-64) for women is significantly better than EU average. In-work poverty for both men and women is significantly better than the EU average. Poverty Gap (relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap) is significantly better than the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	Aggregate replacement ratio (excluding other social benefits) is slightly worse than the EU average. Median relative income of elderly people (65+) is worse than the EU average.	Elderly poverty gap is significantly better than the EU average.
5. Health & LTC	Unmet need for medical care due to waiting time is significantly worse than the EU average.	

	Healthy life years at birth for women are worse than the EU average.	
6. Other key issues	<i>The in-work poverty rate gap between EU-born and non-EU born groups is higher than the EU average¹⁸⁵.</i>	

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.


¹⁸⁵ Source: Eurostat; Country report 2017.

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

Reduce the percentage of women and men aged 20-64 who are not in the labour force (except full-time students), the long-term unemployed or those on long-term sick leave to well under 14% by 2020.

Source: National Reform Programme (2015)

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION




Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) Progress on the target is monitored on the basis of the EU SILC data with a base year 2008 and target data year 2018; ii) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; iii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the calendar year prior to the survey year except for the United Kingdom (survey year) and Ireland (12 months preceding the survey). Similarly, the share of (quasi-) jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year.

¹⁸⁶ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017, unless otherwise stated.

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

SE													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	82.0	83.3	85.4	86.7	90.3	89.9	89.4	90.9		1.5 pp	8.9 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	1121	1215	1212	1333	1372	1440	1462	1413		-3.4 %	26.0 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	27.9	29.5	29.5	31.3	26.7	31.5	27.3	26.4		-0.9 pp	-1.5 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	381	430	419	482	406	505	447	410		-8.3 %	7.6 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	9.7	9.9	8.8	7.3	8.2	8.6	4.4	4.6		0.2 pp	-5.1 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	132	144	125	112	124	138	72	71	71	0.0 %	-46.2 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	12.1	14.0	15.0	17.4	16.0	19.2	17.3	17.0		-0.3 pp	4.9 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	165	204	213	267	243	308	283	264		-6.7 %	60.0 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	1.9	1.8	1.6	2.1	2.5	2.4	1.4	1.4		-0.1 pp	-0.6 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	26	26	23	32	38	39	23	21		-8.7 %	-19.2 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	2.3	3.0	3.1	2.5	3.2	3.9	0.9	1.4		0.5 pp	-0.9 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	31	43	44	38	48	63	14	21		50.0 %	-32.3 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		0.0 pp	-0.4 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	14	14	12	13	6	7	11	10		-9.1 %	-28.6 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

SE										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.6	-5.2	6.0	2.7	-0.3	1.2	2.6	4.1	3.2	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.9	-2.4	1.0	2.1	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	6.2	8.3	8.6	7.8	8.0	8.0	7.9	7.4	6.9	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	27.2	29.5	28.0	27.7	28.7	29.5	28.9	28.7			

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

SE										EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	27.2	29.5	28.0	27.7	28.7	29.5	28.9	28.7	27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	7.1	7.5	7.0	7.1	7.3	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.0	8.1
	Disability	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	2.0	2.0
	Old age	10.8	11.9	11.4	11.4	12.0	12.5	12.2	12.0	11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.8	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.4
	Housing	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
	Old age	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
	Housing	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	26.4	28.7	27.2	27.0	28.0	28.7	28.2	28.0	24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	7.1	7.5	7.0	7.1	7.3	7.5	7.5	7.5	8.0	8.0
	Disability	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.3	1.6	1.5
	Old age	10.8	11.9	11.3	11.4	12.0	12.5	12.1	12.0	10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.8	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.1	

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

SE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	14.9	15.9	15.0	16.1	15.6	16.4	16.9	16.0		-0.9 pp	1.1 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.2	13.3	12.9	14.0	14.1	14.8	15.1	14.5		-0.6 pp	2.3 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	10680	11295	10987	11284	11799	12310	12368	12730		2.6 %	18.2 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	22427	23720	23073	23695	24778	25851	25973	26733		2.6 %	18.2 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0 pp	-0.7 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	5.5	6.4	6.0	6.9	5.7	7.1	6.4	5.8		-0.6 pp	0.3 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	2.6	3.7	4.9	4.1	7.2	7.6	6.6	7.0		0.4 pp	4.4 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	18.0	20.3	19.7	18.5	18.9	19.8	20.4	20.0		-0.4 pp	2.0 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	12.2	11.7	11.2	11.6	10.8	10.8	10.7	9.2		-1.5 pp	-3.0 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	57.2	50.0	51.7	49.8	48.5	45.4	47.0	46.1		-0.9 pp	-11.1 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8		-2.6 %	8.6 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	10.1	10.5	11.1	11.3	10.8	11.2	10.7	11.6		0.9 pp	1.5 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.1	9.6	6.5	7.9	7.6	7.9	7.8	7.5		-0.3 pp	-0.6 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	2.7	2.3	1.5	4.0	3.7	1.7	2.7	2.4	2.4			1.1	2.2

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation.

SE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	14.6	15.1	14.5	15.9	15.4	16.2	16.7	14.0		-2.7 pp	-0.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	12.9	13.1	13.1	14.5	14.6	15.4	15.1	12.9		-2.2 pp	0.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.0 pp	-0.9 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	4.1	4.3	4.8	5.5	4.9	6.2	5.4	5.2		-0.2 pp	1.1 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	17.9	20.5	20.0	21.8	22.4	20.9	24.0	22.9		-1.1 pp	5.0 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	62.2	56.9	58.4	54.7	54.7	50.6	55.2	56.1		0.9 pp	-6.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	11.6	10.8	12.3	12.3	12.6	12.0	12.1	13.5		1.4 pp	1.9 pp	22.6	23.0
SE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	29.8	31.9	31.6	27.9	28.6	32.7	34.4	32.2		-2.2 pp	2.4 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	27.8	29.8	29.5	25.4	27.4	29.9	32.9	30.5		-2.4 pp	2.7 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.1	2.7	2.2	1.5	1.3	2.3	0.6	1.1	1.1	0.0 pp	0.0 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.8	10.1	9.0	8.6	6.1	8.4	6.0	6.4		0.4 pp	-0.4 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	20.4	19.8	20.1	16.4	16.5	19.4	21.9	16.4		-5.5 pp	-4.0 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	10.7	12.8	12.8	12.1	12.4	12.8	12.7	11.2	10.4	-0.8 pp	-0.3 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	10.7	13.1	10.6	10.2	10.5	9.9	9.4	8.8	8.7	-0.1 pp	-2.0 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	21.1	24.7	17.0	15.8	15.8	18.2	19.6	17.5		-2.1 pp	-3.6 pp	14.6	14.2

SE	%											EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	14.8	15.6	15.0	15.4	15.1	16.5	17.2	15.9		-1.3 pp	1.1 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	11.2	12.1	11.9	12.5	12.9	14.0	14.7	13.8		-0.9 pp	2.6 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.5	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.0 pp	-0.7 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	6.2	7.2	6.6	7.5	6.0	7.5	6.7	6.1		-0.6 pp	-0.1 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	23.7	24.8	25.5	21.9	25.5	23.1	25.5	24.5		-1.0 pp	0.8 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	6.8	7.0	6.6	6.9	6.7	7.1	7.8	7.2		-0.6 pp	0.4 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	59.1	52.2	54.1	52.8	50.2	47.8	47.9	47.3		-0.5 pp	-11.8 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	11.6	12.3	13.0	13.3	12.7	13.8	12.8	13.8		1.0 pp	2.2 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	8.0	9.4	6.7	6.9	6.9	8.0	7.9	7.6		-0.3 pp	-0.4 pp	12.0	11.7
SE	%											EU28		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	15.5	18.0	15.9	18.6	17.9	16.5	16.5	18.3		1.8 pp	2.8 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	15.0	17.7	15.5	18.2	17.7	16.4	16.5	18.2		1.7 pp	3.2 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.0 pp	-0.4 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.78	0.77	0.79	0.77	0.78	0.81	0.83	0.79		-4.8 %	1.3 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.62	0.60	0.60	0.58	0.56	0.58	0.60	0.58		-3.3 %	-6.5 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	2.6	4.1	3.2	3.6	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.9		0.4 pp	0.3 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	14.0	15.5	9.0	15.0	14.0	12.7	11.7	10.9		-0.8 pp	-3.1 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS)

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

SE	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	14.6	15.1	14.5	15.9	15.4	16.2	16.7	14.0		-2.7 pp	-0.6 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	12.9	13.1	13.1	14.5	14.6	15.4	15.1	12.9		-2.2 pp	0.0 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.9	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.0 pp	-0.9 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	4.1	4.3	4.8	5.5	4.9	6.2	5.4	5.2		-0.2 pp	1.1 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	2.0	4.0	4.3	3.4	6.8	8.5	3.5	4.1		0.6 pp	2.1 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	In-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	6.4	6.5	5.9	6.7	6.3	6.0	7.1	6.2		-0.9 pp	-0.2 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	71.7	72.7	80.5	78.8	88.6	93.7	80.3	79.9		-0.4 pp	8.2 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	9.6	9.9	9.0	10.1	10.2	9.6	11.1	8.6		-2.5 pp	-1.0 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	18.0	26.0	18.0	19.0	17.0	21.0	19.4	21.4		2.0 pp	3.4 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	31.0	37.0	33.0	32.0	35.0	34.0	37.4	42.6		5.2 pp	11.6 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	31.0	29.0	29.0	31.0	27.0	26.0	23.4	25.9		2.5 pp	-5.1 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	64.0	65.0	65.0	64.0	69.0	70.0	71.4	70.3		-1.1 pp	6.3 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	17.9	20.5	20.0	21.8	22.4	20.9	24.0	22.9		-1.1 pp	5.0 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)	18.2	17.8	17.5	17.3	17.7	17.2	16.5	16.3	16.3	0.0 pp	-1.9 pp	21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)	6.1	6.4	6.5	7.1	7.8	7.7	7.3	8.0	8.4	0.4 pp	2.3 pp	4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)	21.9	21.5	21.1	20.6	21.0	20.5	19.7	19.3	19.3	0.0 pp	-2.6 pp	27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	62.2	56.9	58.4	54.7	54.7	50.6	55.2	56.1		0.9 pp	-6.1 pp	39.2	39.0
	Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	4.2	5.6	3.8	4.5	4.2	3.7	3.5	3.9		0.4 pp	-0.3 pp	10.8	10.7
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	4.4	5.4	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.5	3.1	-0.4 pp	-1.3 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	7.9	7.0	6.5	6.6	7.5	7.1	6.7	7.0	7.4	0.4 pp	-0.5 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.2	1.8	3.1	1.7	1.4		-0.3 pp	-1.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.5		0.3 pp	0.0 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	1.8	1.2	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	2.1	3.2		1.1 pp	1.4 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	11.6	10.8	12.3	12.3	12.6	12.0	12.1	13.5		1.4 pp	1.9 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	69.3		55.3		69.4		55.1	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	69.3		55.3		69.4		55.1	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	69.3		55.3		69.4		55.1	
	AWG career length case	75.1	70.5	59.0	55.9	76.2	70.7	59.2	55.9
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			59.6				60.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			48.7				47.5	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			59.6				60.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			48.7				47.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			54.9				54.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			54.5				54.2	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			54.1				53.8	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			56.1				55.9	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			55.9				55.8	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			55.6				55.4	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			55.4				55.1	
	Short career (30 year career)			47.8				46.4	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			52.9				52.4	
	Early retirement due to disability			55.2				55.1	
	Indexation: 10 years after retirement			50.4				50.1	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	91.2		68.9		77.8		65.1	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	91.2		68.9		77.8		65.1	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	91.2		68.9		77.8		65.1	
	AWG career length case	92.4	90.6	69.4	76.0	82.6	78.0	69.2	65.7
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			69.3				70.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			49.8				47.5	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			69.3				70.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			49.8				47.5	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			64.9				64.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			64.7				64.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			64.4				64.3	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year			69.2				66.4	
	Career break due to child care: 1 year			69.1				66.2	
	Career break due to child care: 2 years			69.0				65.8	
	Career break due to child care: 3 years			69.0				65.6	
	Short career (30 year career)	88.2		68.0		70.4		56.3	
	Early retirement due to unemployment			67.9				62.7	
	Early retirement due to disability			68.9				65.1	
	Pension rights of surviving spouses			68.9				65.1	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	74.6		50.9		68.1		45.4	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	74.6		50.9		68.1		45.4	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)


HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS

SE									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	69.4	70.7	67.0	67.0		66.9	73.6	74.0	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	69.0	69.6	66.4	65.5		66.0	73.6	73.8	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	13.1	13.6	12.3	11.9		12.9	15.2	15.7	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	14.0	14.7	13.7	13.2		13.8	16.7	16.8	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	79.2	79.4	79.6	79.9		80.2	80.4	80.4	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	83.3	83.5	83.6	83.8		83.8	84.2	84.1	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	18.0	18.2	18.3	18.5		18.8	18.9	18.9	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.9	21.2	21.2	21.3		21.3	21.6	21.5	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.5	1.0	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	78.5	79.7	80.0	79.9	80.9	81.1	80.1	79.7	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)	2834.3	2807.5	2825.5	2935.6	3030.3	3586.6	3694.6	3834.8		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)	9.2	9.9	9.5	9.5	9.6	11.1	11.1			

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health; ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 1.0 from 2008-2012, and on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards, hence there is a break in series in 2013.

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁸⁷



¹⁸⁷ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) are given as background.

SE	Unemployment
definition	Unemployment according to ILO definition - Total
unit	Thousands of persons - seasonally adjusted
source	Eurostat
extraction date	17/07/2017
	Unemployment benefit
definition	Unemployment benefit; labour market measures
unit	Thousands of recipients, measured in full year equivalents
source	Statistics Sweden
homepage	http://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/sv/ssd/START_HE_HE0000/HE000T02/?rxid=1570c3af-2de3-4d83-9881-59061767d7f0
extraction date	17/07/2017
	Social assistance benefit/means-tested minimum income
definition	Subsistence allowance
unit	Measured in full year equivalents (i.e. benefit for 365 days at a 100% withdraw rate).
source	Statistics Sweden
homepage	http://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/sv/ssd/START_HE_HE0000/HE000T02/?rxid=1570c3af-2de3-4d83-9881-59061767d7f0
extraction date	17/07/2017
	Disability benefit (1)
definition	Sickness benefit
unit	Thousands of recipients, measured in full year equivalents
source	Statistics Sweden
homepage	http://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/sv/ssd/START_HE_HE0000/HE000T02/?rxid=1570c3af-2de3-4d83-9881-59061767d7f0
extraction date	17/07/2017
	Disability benefit (2)
definition	Disability benefits
unit	Thousands of recipients, measured in full year equivalents
source	Statistics Sweden
homepage	http://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/sv/ssd/START_HE_HE0000/HE000T02/?rxid=1570c3af-2de3-4d83-9881-59061767d7f0
extraction date	17/07/2017

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	SE											change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	2015		latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	14.9	15.9	15.0	16.1	15.6	16.4	16.9	16.0	n.a.	-0.9 pp	1.1 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	12.2	13.3	12.9	14.0	14.1	14.8	15.1	14.5	n.a.	-0.6 pp	2.3 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	10680	11295	10987	11284	11799	12310	12368	12730	n.a.	2.6 %	18.2 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0 pp	-0.7 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	5.5	6.4	6.0	6.9	5.7	7.1	6.4	5.8	n.a.	-0.6 pp	0.3 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	18.0	20.3	19.7	18.5	18.9	19.8	20.4	20.0	n.a.	-0.4 pp	2.0 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	2.6	3.7	4.9	4.1	7.2	7.6	6.6	7.0	n.a.	0.4 pp	4.4 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	n.a.	-2.6 %	8.6 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	14.6	15.1	14.5	15.9	15.4	16.2	16.7	14.0	n.a.	-2.7 pp	-0.6 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	57.2	50.0	51.7	49.8	48.5	45.4	47.0	46.1	n.a.	-0.9 pp	-11.1 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	71.1	67.2	69.0	67.0	66.3	65.0	65.7	65.6	n.a.	-0.0 pp	-5.5 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	51.4	57.5	61.5	63.5	71.7	73.7	66.5	69.6	n.a.	3.1 pp	18.2 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	6.8	7.0	6.6	6.9	6.7	7.1	7.8	7.2	n.a.	-0.6 pp	0.4 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	-0.2 pp	0.5 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	7.9	7.0	6.5	6.6	7.5	7.1	6.7	7.0	7.4	0.4 pp	-0.5 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	10.7	12.8	12.8	12.1	12.4	12.8	12.7	11.2	10.4	-0.8 pp	-0.3 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	7.8	9.6	7.7	7.5	7.8	7.5	7.2	6.7	6.5	-0.2 pp	-1.3 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	70.1	70.0	70.4	72.0	73.0	73.6	74.0	74.5	75.5	1.0 pp	5.4 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	15.5	18.0	15.9	18.6	17.9	16.5	16.5	18.3	n.a.	1.8 pp	2.8 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.78	0.77	0.79	0.77	0.78	0.81	0.83	0.79	n.a.	-4.8 %	1.3 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.62	0.60	0.60	0.58	0.56	0.58	0.60	0.58	n.a.	-3.3 %	-6.5 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.5	1.0	n.a.	-0.5 pp	-1.4 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	13.1	13.6	12.3	11.9	n.a.	12.9	15.2	15.7	n.a.	3.3 %	19.8 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	14.0	14.7	13.7	13.2	n.a.	13.8	16.7	16.8	n.a.	0.6 %	20.0 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	8.1	9.6	6.5	7.9	7.6	7.9	7.8	7.5	n.a.	-0.3 pp	-0.6 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	2.7	2.2	1.5	4.0	3.7	1.7	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.4 %	19.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, SWEDEN

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services		
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty		The number of children at-risk-of poverty or social exclusion and the-risk-of poverty rate of children are both significantly better than the EU average.
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		The share of people (aged 18-59) living in jobless households is significantly lower than the EU average.
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly	<p>The at-risk-of-poverty rate for older women (65+) is higher than the EU average.</p> <p>While not posing severe material deprivation issues, the median relative income of older people (65+) is below the EU average.</p>	
5. Health & LTC		Healthy life years are significantly better than the EU average.
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

United Kingdom¹⁸⁸

NATIONAL 2020 TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION

The UK Government is committed to making a meaningful difference to the lives of the most disadvantaged children and families. It believes that to do so requires an approach that goes beyond the safety net provided by the welfare system to tackle the underlying causes of child poverty and disadvantage. The targets set out in the Child poverty Act 2010 have been replaced by statutory measures, introduced through the Welfare Reform and Work Act 2016,, that look at parental worklessness and children's educational attainment – the areas that can make the biggest difference to children's outcomes.

Children in workless households are five times more likely to be in poverty than those in households where all adults were working. New analysis undertaken by the UK Government shows that children living in workless households are significantly more disadvantaged, and achieve poorer outcomes than other children including those living in lower-income working families. . Significant progress has been made - the number of children living in workless households is the lowest on record. It fell by 590,000 between 2010 and 2016.

On 4 April, the UK Government published *Improving Lives: Helping Workless Families* which set out clear evidence and analysis on the root causes of disadvantage and the impact they can have on children's lives. It also set out seven additional non-statutory indicators and underlying measures to track progress in tackling these disadvantages and to drive continued action on improving outcomes for disadvantaged children and families, now and in the future.


In relation to numerical targets for the UK, showing how it will contribute to the overall EU2020 poverty reduction target, the UK Government believes that its new statutory and non-statutory measures will give the best information about progress in tackling the underlying causes of poverty and disadvantage.

The UK Government is responsible for policies in this area in England and when policy areas are reserved to Parliament in the devolution settlements, for example the welfare system, which is devolved in Northern Ireland and in Scotland a number of welfare powers are currently being devolved. The UK Government will however continue to use its UK-wide powers to support economic growth and full employment. The Devolved Administrations are responsible for their own policy direction in all other areas, and have the powers, if they choose to use them, to take action to address child poverty through action in areas like health, education, housing and childcare

Source: Information from the Member State

¹⁸⁸ Figures in this profile for data obtained from the Eurostat website are based on data extracted around 3 July 2017 unless otherwise stated.


PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2020 NATIONAL TARGET FOR THE REDUCTION OF POVERTY AND SOCIAL EXCLUSION



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) AROPE - at-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate; AROP - at-risk-of-poverty rate; (quasi-)jobless HHs - share of population living in (quasi-)jobless households, i.e. very low work intensity (VLWI) households; SMD - severe material deprivation rate; ii) For the at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP), the income reference year is the year of the survey. The share of (quasi-)jobless households or the very low work intensity rate (VLWI) refers to the reference year prior to the survey while for the severe material deprivation rate (SMD), the reference is the current year; iii) Changes in the survey vehicle and institution in 2012 might have affected the results on trends since 2008 and interpretation of data on the longer term trend must therefore be particularly cautious;

COMPOSITION OF THE POPULATION AT RISK OF POVERTY OR SOCIAL EXCLUSION (2015)



Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

UK													EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
AROP	% of total AROPE	80.6	78.6	74.0	71.3	66.4	64.2	69.8	71.0		1.1 pp	-9.6 pp	70.5	72.9
	1000 persons	11335	10526	10519	10018	10028	10000	10665	10663		0.0 %	-5.9 %	85926	86592
(quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	34.9	44.4	43.6	38.8	41.3	40.6	38.9	38.4		-0.4 pp	3.6 pp	34.4	33.4
	1000 persons	4905	5941	6201	5452	6242	6334	5937	5777		-2.7 %	17.8 %	41945	39624
SMD	% of total AROPE	19.5	15.2	20.9	22.3	32.3	33.5	30.6	26.0		-4.7 pp	6.5 pp	36.5	33.9
	1000 persons	2739	2034	2972	3137	4878	5219	4677	3904	3327	-14.8 %	21.5 %	44441	40320
AROP and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not SMD	% of total AROPE	16.8	22.3	19.0	13.1	11.4	9.6	10.3	12.0		1.7 pp	-4.7 pp	11.9	12.0
	1000 persons	2356	2983	2699	1845	1714	1496	1578	1809		14.6 %	-23.2 %	14534	14211
SMD and AROP but not (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	4.2	3.1	2.7	4.4	6.7	6.5	5.6	5.2		-0.3 pp	1.0 pp	10.5	10.3
	1000 persons	593	417	390	613	1013	1009	848	785		-7.4 %	32.4 %	12785	12189
AROP and SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs	% of total AROPE	5.3	5.2	6.0	5.4	7.7	7.1	8.9	6.4		-2.6 pp	1.0 pp	8.1	7.7
	1000 persons	748	690	856	762	1161	1113	1360	955		-29.8 %	27.7 %	9827	9181
SMD and (quasi-) jobless HHs but not AROP	% of total AROPE	3.3	2.5	4.8	4.1	6.6	7.9	5.6	5.4		-0.2 pp	2.1 pp	2.8	2.5
	1000 persons	463	333	679	581	1001	1235	862	811		-5.9 %	75.2 %	3430	2952

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC)

Note: i) There was a change in the EU-SILC survey vehicle in the UK between 2011 and 2012, which may impact on the comparability of figures.

MACRO-ECONOMIC AND LABOUR MARKET CONTEXT

UK										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015	2016
Real GDP growth (y-o-y % change)	-0.6	-4.3	1.9	1.5	1.3	1.9	3.1	2.2	1.8	2.2	1.9
Employment growth (y-o-y % change)	0.8	-1.6	0.2	0.5	1.1	1.2	2.4	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.2
Unemployment rate (% of labour force)	5.6	7.6	7.8	8.1	7.9	7.5	6.1	5.3	4.8	9.4	8.5
Long-term unemployment rate (% of labour force)	1.4	1.9	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.2	1.6	1.3	4.5	4.0
Social Protection expenditure (% of GDP)	25.0	28.0	28.3	28.7	28.9	28.1	27.2				

Source: Eurostat (National Accounts, LFS, ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

SOCIAL PROTECTION EXPENDITURE

UK										EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	
Social protection expenditure (in % of GDP)	Total	25.0	28.0	28.3	28.7	28.9	28.1	27.2		27.8	27.6
	Sickness/Health	7.3	8.1	8.3	8.8	8.8	8.7	8.6		8.0	8.1
	Disability	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.5		2.0	2.0
	Old age	10.5	11.6	11.7	11.8	12.1	12.0	11.6		11.1	11.1
	Survivors	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		1.6	1.6
	Family/Children	2.7	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8		2.3	2.4
	Unemployment	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5		1.5	1.4
	Housing	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7		0.5	0.5
	Means-tested										
	Total	3.7	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.4		3.1	3.1
	Sickness/Health	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3		0.1	0.1
	Disability	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.5		0.5	0.5
	Old age	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.6		0.6	0.5
	Survivors	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.1	0.1
	Family/Children	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.5	0.6
	Unemployment	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2		0.3	0.3
	Housing	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4		0.6	0.6
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2		0.4	0.4
	Non-means tested										
	Total	21.3	23.8	24.1	24.5	24.7	24.3	23.7		24.7	24.5
	Sickness/Health	7.3	8.0	8.2	8.7	8.6	8.4	8.3		8.0	8.0
	Disability	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9		1.6	1.5
	Old age	9.4	10.5	10.6	10.8	11.2	11.2	11.0		10.6	10.5
	Survivors	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		1.5	1.5
	Family/Children	2.4	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7		1.8	1.8
	Unemployment	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3		1.2	1.1
	Housing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	Social Exclusion n.e.c.	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4		0.1	0.1

Source: Eurostat (ESSPROS). Data as at 3 July 2017.

Note: The total figures of social expenditure include all benefits excluding administrative costs.

MAIN SOCIAL INDICATORS

INCOME AND LIVING CONDITIONS

UK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Total population	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	23.2	22.0	23.2	22.7	24.1	24.8	24.1	23.5		-0.6 pp	0.3 pp	24.4	23.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.7	17.3	17.1	16.2	16.0	15.9	16.8	16.7		-0.1 pp	-2.0 pp	17.2	17.3
	Value of threshold (single HH) - in PPS	11126	10091	9521	9466	9868	10060	10138	10627		2.0 %	-5.1 %		
	Value of threshold (2 adults + 2 children younger than 14 years) - in PPS	23364	21192	19995	19878	20723	21126	21289	22318		2.0 %	-5.1 %		
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.5	3.3	4.8	5.1	7.8	8.3	7.4	6.1	5.2	-0.9 pp	0.7 pp	8.9	8.1
	Share of people aged 0-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	10.4	12.7	13.2	11.5	13.0	13.2	12.3	11.9		-0.4 pp	1.5 pp	11.2	10.6
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate	8.5	8.0	7.4	6.9	8.6	7.8	6.5	7.3		0.8 pp	-1.2 pp	10.3	10.9
	At risk-of-poverty gap	21.0	20.6	21.4	21.3	20.9	19.6	19.4	20.2		0.8 pp	-0.8 pp	24.5	24.8
	Anchored at-risk-of-poverty rate (ref-2008)	18.7	20.4	21.4	21.9	20.7	21.2	20.7	19.3		-1.4 pp	0.6 pp	19.4	18.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	35.3	43.1	44.8	46.9	46.1	47.2	42.9	42.8		0.0 pp	7.5 pp	34.1	33.46
	S80/S20	5.6	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.0	4.6	5.1	5.2		2.0 %	-7.1 %	5.2	5.2
	Overcrowding rate	6.5	7.2	7.3	7.1	7.0	8.0	7.3	7.3		0.0 pp	0.8 pp	16.7	16.7
	Housing cost overburden rate	16.3	16.3	16.5	16.4	7.3	7.9	12.5	12.5		0.0 pp	-3.8 pp	11.5	11.3
	Real change in gross household disposable income	-0.9	2.2	1.0	-2.1	2.2	-0.1	1.5	3.6	1.5			1.1	2.2

Note: There was a change in the EU-SILC survey vehicle in the UK between 2011 and 2012, which may impact on the comparability of figures. For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For the housing cost overburden rate, break in the series in 2014.

UK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Children (0-17)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	29.6	27.4	29.7	26.9	31.2	32.6	31.2	30.3		-0.9 pp	0.7 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	24.0	20.7	20.4	18.0	18.0	18.9	19.7	19.8		0.1 pp	-4.2 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	6.5	4.4	7.3	7.1	12.5	12.3	10.8	9.6	7.5	-2.1 pp	1.0 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people aged 0-17 living in (quasi-) jobless households	13.9	16.1	17.1	14.1	16.3	16.7	15.1	14.8		-0.3 pp	0.9 pp	9.8	9.3
	At risk-of-poverty gap	19.7	19.5	16.7	19.8	15.9	16.3	17.7	15.5		-2.2 pp	-4.2 pp	25.9	26.0
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	39.6	51.6	54.2	57.6	57.0	57.2	53.8	54.0		0.2 pp	14.4 pp	39.2	39.0
	Overcrowding rate	10.9	12.7	13.0	12.4	10.7	13.2	11.7	11.7		0.0 pp	0.8 pp	22.6	23.0
UK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Youth (18-24)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	25.2	24.4	28.1	28.7	32.9	31.7	32.7	33.2		0.5 pp	8.0 pp	31.9	31.3
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	18.1	19.7	20.6	20.1	23.6	21.8	22.8	25.8		3.0 pp	7.7 pp	23.7	23.9
	Severe material deprivation rate	8.6	4.7	7.1	8.1	13.0	13.0	12.4	9.9	7.2	-2.7 pp	-1.4 pp	11.0	9.9
	Share of people aged 18-24 living in (quasi-) jobless households	10.6	12.8	13.6	10.8	14.9	13.2	14.8	11.5		-3.3 pp	0.9 pp	11.8	10.8
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	8.3	6.6	5.6	9.2	11.7	7.5	10.6	12.1		1.5 pp	3.8 pp	13.0	12.4
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	9.2	11.3	11.6	12.4	12.4	12.1	9.8	8.6	7.6	-1.0 pp	-1.6 pp	9.3	8.4
	NEET rate	15.4	17.1	17.8	18.4	18.1	17.3	15.6	14.5	14.0	-0.5 pp	-1.4 pp	16.5	15.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	17.2	18.6	19.8	19.7	12.5	10.5	18.6	22.1		3.5 pp	4.9 pp	14.6	14.2

UK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Working age (18-64)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	19.7	19.8	21.2	21.4	23.7	24.1	23.2	22.9		-0.3 pp	3.2 pp	25.4	24.7
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	14.7	14.8	14.9	14.1	15.3	14.7	15.6	15.7		0.1 pp	1.0 pp	17.1	17.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	4.7	3.6	5.0	5.5	8.0	8.7	7.9	6.3	5.6	-0.7 pp	0.9 pp	9.2	8.4
	Share of people aged 18-59 living in (quasi-) jobless households	9.2	11.4	11.7	10.6	11.9	12.0	11.3	10.9		-0.4 pp	1.7 pp	11.7	11.1
	At risk-of-poverty gap	22.5	22.1	23.6	22.9	22.9	22.0	20.7	22.3		1.6 pp	-0.2 pp	26.9	27.1
	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate	8.0	6.3	6.7	7.8	8.7	8.2	8.8	8.3		-0.5 pp	0.3 pp	9.5	9.5
	Impact of social transfers on poverty reduction (excl. pensions)	38.0	44.4	45.2	48.0	44.0	46.6	41.4	40.8		-0.6 pp	2.8 pp	34.7	34.5
	Overcrowding rate	6.5	7.1	7.2	7.0	7.2	8.1	7.4	7.5		0.1 pp	1.0 pp	17.8	17.8
	Housing cost overburden rate	15.8	16.2	16.6	16.6	8.6	9.0	13.9	14.0		0.1 pp	-1.8 pp	12.0	11.7
UK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Elderly (65+)	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion rate	28.5	23.1	22.3	22.7	17.3	18.1	19.0	17.7		-1.3 pp	-10.8 pp	17.8	17.4
	At-risk-of-poverty rate	27.3	22.3	21.3	21.8	16.4	16.6	17.7	16.4		-1.3 pp	-10.9 pp	13.8	14.1
	Severe material deprivation rate	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.1	-0.5 pp	-0.3 pp	6.3	5.5
	Relative median income of elderly	0.74	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.88	0.87	0.87	0.88		1.1 %	18.9 %	0.94	0.93
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.43	0.44	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.53	0.51	0.50		-2.0 %	16.3 %	0.56	0.57
	Overcrowding rate	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.6		-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	6.6	6.5
	Housing cost overburden rate	16.5	15.8	16.1	16.0	3.7	4.0	7.5	8.2		0.7 pp	-8.3 pp	10.6	10.4

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS),

Note: There was a change in the EU-SILC survey vehicle in the UK between 2011 and 2012, which may impact on the comparability of figures. For the housing cost overburden rate, break in the series in 2014.

INVESTING IN CHILDREN

UK	%												EU28	
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Latest year change	Change 2008 to latest year	2014	2015
Overall objective of combating child poverty and social exclusion and promoting child well-being	At-risk-of-poverty or social exclusion (0-17)	29.6	27.4	29.7	26.9	31.2	32.6	31.2	30.3		-0.9 pp	0.7 pp	27.7	26.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (0-17)	24.0	20.7	20.4	18.0	18.0	18.9	19.7	19.8		0.1 pp	-4.2 pp	21.1	21.1
	Severe Material Deprivation (0-17)	6.5	4.4	7.3	7.1	12.5	12.3	10.8	9.6	7.5	-2.1 pp	1.0 pp	10.4	9.5
	Share of people living in (quasi-) jobless households (% of 0-17 population)	13.9	16.1	17.1	14.1	16.3	16.7	15.1	14.8		-0.3 pp	0.9 pp	9.8	9.3
	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty (0-17)	12.8	10.9	7.6	7.6	6.8	6.3	9.1	7.8		-1.3 pp	-5.0 pp	13.2	13.3
Access to adequate resources	in-work poverty rate of people living in households with dependent children	10.2	7.9	8.5	9.0	9.8	10.6	11.3	10.6		-0.7 pp	0.4 pp	11.1	11.1
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in (quasi-) jobless households	71.7	64.4	56.4	53.1	41.8	38.7	45.7	49.0		3.3 pp	-22.7 pp	67.1	69.9
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for children (0-17) living in households at work	16.2	12.2	12.7	12.1	13.2	14.8	15.1	14.7		-0.4 pp	-1.5 pp	16.0	16.0
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	31.0	31.0	31.0	29.0	24.0	26.0	25.1	26.1		1.0 pp	-4.9 pp	14.0	14.7
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 0-3 years children)	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	4.3		0.5 pp	0.3 pp	14.4	15.6
	Childcare 1-29 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	67.0	70.0	67.0	67.0	49.0	50.0	47.9	48.8		0.9 pp	-18.2 pp	33.9	33.9
	Childcare more than 30 hours per week (% 3 years to mandatory school age children)	20.0	21.0	22.0	26.0	23.0	21.0	22.1	24.0		1.9 pp	4.0 pp	49.2	49.4
	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (0-17)	19.7	19.5	16.7	19.8	15.9	16.3	17.7	15.5		-2.2 pp	-4.2 pp	25.9	26.0
	Part time due to care responsibilities (total)				33.6	33.9	32.8	32.7	32.5	32.6	0.1 pp		21.7	21.7
	Part time due to care responsibilities (male)				5.8	6.2	6.6	7.7	7.8	8.1	0.3 pp		4.2	4.4
	Part time due to care responsibilities (female)				42.0	42.3	40.7	40.2	40.1	40.1	0.0 pp		27.1	27.2
	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) in reducing child poverty	39.6	51.6	54.2	57.6	57.0	57.2	53.8	54.0		0.2 pp	14.4 pp	39.2	39.0
Housing cost overburden rate (0-17)	17.4	17.0	16.8	16.1	6.3	7.8	12.9	11.7		-1.2 pp	-5.7 pp	10.8	10.7	
Access to quality services	NEET rate (15-19)	7.8	8.2	8.5	8.4	7.8	7.3	6.5	6.5	6.4	-0.1 pp	-1.4 pp	6.5	6.3
	Early leavers from education and training (18-24)	16.9	15.7	14.8	14.9	13.4	12.4	11.8	10.8	11.2	0.4 pp	-5.7 pp	11.2	11.0
	Self reported unmet need for medical care (16-24)	0.7	1.2	0.4	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.5	2.1	0.8	-1.3 pp	0.1 pp	1.5	1.3
	Infant mortality rate	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9		0.0 pp	-0.7 pp	3.7	3.6
	Severe housing deprivation (0-17)	3.9	5.1	4.7	4.5	3.4	4.6	4.2	4.2		0.0 pp	0.3 pp	7.4	7.4
	Overcrowding rate (0-17)	10.9	12.7	13.0	12.4	10.7	13.2	11.7	11.7		0.0 pp	0.8 pp	22.6	23.0

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, LFS, Mortality data)

Note: There was a change in the EU-SILC survey vehicle in the UK between 2011 and 2012, which may impact on the comparability of figures. For the housing cost overburden rate, break in the series in 2014.

LONG-TERM ADEQUACY OF PENSIONS: THEORETICAL REPLACEMENT RATES (2013-2053)

TRR case		Net				Gross			
		2013		2053		2013		2053	
		Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Average Earnings	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	83.4	88.0	35.9 ^a		66.4	80.2	26.8 ^a	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	83.4	73.4	76.1		66.4	57.8	60.0	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	83.4	71.4	80.4		66.4	57.2	63.8	
	AWG career length case	84.0	83.7	38.8 ^a		66.9	75.9	29.0 ^a	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			41.5 ^a				31.0 ^a	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			32.2 ^a				24.0 ^a	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			79.4 ^b				71.2	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			38.6 ^a				28.8 ^a	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			79.1				62.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			77.9				61.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			76.7				60.5	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				80.4				63.8
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				79.1				62.7
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				77.9				61.6
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				76.7				60.5
	Short career (30 year career)			70.3 ^d					54.8
	Early retirement due to unemployment			73.8 ^e					61.4
	Early retirement due to disability			73.8 ^e					61.4
Indexation: 10 years after retirement			74.8 ^f					58.8	
Low Earnings (66%)	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	92.7	101.8	33.6		76.0	93.9	26.8	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	92.7	83.3	90.6		76.0	67.4	74.9	
	Increased SPA: from age 25 to SPA	92.7	81.2	94.7		76.0	66.7	78.8	
	AWG career length case	93.1	96.8	36.2		76.4	88.8	29.0	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to 67			38.8				31.0	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to 63			30.1				24.0	
	Longer career I: from age 25 to SPA+2			95.6				87.8	
	Shorter career I: from age 25 to SPA-2			36.0				28.8	
	Career break – unemployment: 1 year			93.5				77.7	
	Career break – unemployment: 2 years			92.4				76.6	
	Career break – unemployment: 3 years			91.2				75.6	
	Career break due to child care: 0 year				94.7				78.8
	Career break due to child care: 1 year				93.5				77.7
	Career break due to child care: 2 years				92.4				76.6
	Career break due to child care: 3 years				91.2				75.6
	Short career (30 year career)	82.3 ^c	76.3 ^c	84.5		65.3 ^c	60.2 ^c		69.1
	Early retirement due to unemployment			87.8					76.4
	Early retirement due to disability			87.8					76.4
Pension rights of surviving spouses				147.5				128.6	
High	Base case I: 40 years up to age 65	57.9	59.8	27.8		43.4	51.2	18.9	
	Base case II: 40 years up to the SPA	57.9	50.8	49.4		43.4	37.8	36.2	

Source: Joint SPC/EC 2015 report on Pension Adequacy in the European Union (2013-2053)

Notes: n.a. – not applicable

a – TRRs are lower for these cases because the qualifying age for the UK state pension is currently legislated to be 68 in 2053. The TRRs shown are based on only the income received from other pillars of the UK pension system.

b – A lower net TRR is reported for the 25- SPA+2 case than the 25-SPA case due to higher net income at SPA+2. This is because National Insurance Contributions are not deducted from earnings once a person reaches state pension age. The gross TRR for the 25-SPA+2 case better illustrates the benefits of working an additional 2 years beyond SPA.

c – Based on employment between the ages of 25 and 44 (20 years), and then from ten years prior to SPA, up until SPA (a further 10 years).

d – Based on employment between the ages of 25 and 44 (20 years), and then from 58 up until SPA (a further 10 years).

e – Based on the TRR at the point that the SPA is reached. TRRs are calculated using the level of earnings prior to early retirement.

f – Based on the assumption that pensioners invest their DC pension pot in an [index-linked] annuity.

HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS


UK									EU28	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	2015
Healthy life years at birth (years) - male	65.0	65.0	64.9	65.2	64.6	64.4	63.4	63.7	61.4	62.6
Healthy life years at birth (years) - female	66.3	66.1	65.6	65.2	64.5	64.8	64.2	63.3	61.8	63.3
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - male	10.7	10.9	10.8	11.0	10.5	10.6	9.7	10.2	8.6	9.4
Healthy life years at 65 (years) - female	11.7	11.4	11.8	11.9	10.5	10.7	10.6	10.4	8.6	9.4
Life expectancy at birth (years) - male	77.7	78.3	78.6	79.0	79.1	79.2	79.5	79.2	78.1	77.9
Life expectancy at birth (years) - female	81.8	82.5	82.6	83.0	82.8	82.9	83.2	82.8	83.6	83.3
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - male	17.6	18.1	18.2	18.5	18.5	18.6	18.8	18.6	18.2	17.9
Life expectancy at 65 (years) - female	20.2	20.8	20.8	21.1	20.9	20.9	21.3	20.8	21.6	21.2
Self reported unmet need for medical examination or treatment	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.1	2.8	3.6	3.2
Self-perceived health (%)	79.2	78.3	79.4	77.5	74.7	73.7	70.0	69.8	67.4	66.9
Total health care expenditure per capita (PPS)						2689.0	2789.0	2910.1		
Total health care expenditure (% of GDP)						9.9	9.8	9.9		

Source: Eurostat (EU-SILC, Mortality data, SHA)

Note: i) Self-perceived health refers to the percentage of the population reporting either good or very good health;

ii) Total health care expenditure figures are based on SHA 2011 for 2013 onwards

TRENDS IN TAKE-UP OF SELECTED BENEFITS¹⁸⁹



¹⁸⁹ These data have been collected by the SPC in the context of monitoring the social impact of the crisis. It includes only a selection of benefits which have been considered most reactive to the crisis. The number of unemployed (standard definition by the ILO) is given as background.

Number of Unemployed (ILO)	
definition	Total number of people actively seeking work who cannot find work, seasonally adjusted (thousands)
unit	thousands of claimants
source	Eurostat
link	http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/main/home
Finding the data	Click the link above - in the search box type "une_rt_m" and it will appear.
Comment	Make sure data is seasonally adjusted - not calendar adjusted.
UK number of unemployed (thousands)	
definition	Total number of people actively seeking work who cannot find work, seasonally adjusted (thousands)
unit	thousands of claimants
source	Labour market statistics (LMS) - UK office for national statistics (ONS)
link	https://www.ons.gov.uk/search?q=A01
Finding the data	Follow the link, make sure it's the latest data release.
Comment	
Jobseeker's Allowance	
definition	Total number of 16-64 year olds in Great Britain claiming Jobseeker's Allowance (thousands)
unit	thousands of claimants
source	NOMIS
link	http://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/gor/2092957698/subreports/gor_ccadr_time_series/report.aspx?
Finding the data	Click the link directly above. Then under "monthly time-series" select "Aged 16-64 (total)-monthly". Then copy figures under "Great Britain"
Comment	Universal Credit is a new benefit that was introduced in April 2013. Some people who would have claimed income-based Jobseeker's Allowance in the past may now be claiming Universal Credit instead. Those people claiming Universal Credit who would previously have claimed Jobseeker's Allowance are not included in these figures. The number of people claiming Jobseeker's Allowance is derived from computerised records and excludes clerical claims.
Income Support Claimants	
definition	Total number of individuals in Great Britain receiving income support (thousands)
unit	thousands of claimants
source	DWP: WPLS
link	http://tabulation-tool.dwp.gov.uk/100pc/
Finding the data	Click the link directly above. Under "Benefit/Scheme" select "Income Support". Then under "Analysis" select "Caseload (thousands)"; under "Row" select "Time series"; under "column" you can select any of the options in the drop down menu; under "subset" select "NONE". Then click "Get Table >>" and copy the figures in the column marked "Total".
Comment	Since October 2008 Employment and Support Allowance has been replacing Incapacity Benefit, Income Support awarded on the grounds of incapacity and Severe Disablement Allowance. This is one reason why there has been a marked fall in the number of Income Support claimants. Universal Credit is a new benefit that was introduced in April 2013. Some people who would have claimed Income Support in the past may now be claiming Universal Credit instead. Those people claiming Universal Credit or Employment and Support Allowance who would previously have claimed Income Support are not included in these figures.

Incapacity and Invalidity benefit	
definition	Total number of individuals in Great Britain receiving either incapacity benefit or severe disablement allowance (thousands).
unit	thousands of claimants
source	DWP: WPLS
link	http://tabulation-tool.dwp.gov.uk/100pc/
Finding the data	Click the link directly above. Under "Benefit/Scheme" select "Incapacity Benefit/ Severe Disablement Allowance - combined information". Then under "Analysis" select "Caseload (thousands)"; under "Row" select "Time series"; under "column" you can select any of the options in the drop down menu; under "subset" select "NONE". Then click "Get Table >>" and copy the figures in the column marked "Total".
Comment	Since October 2008 Employment and Support Allowance has been replacing Incapacity Benefit, Income Support awarded on the grounds of incapacity and Severe Disablement Allowance. This is one reason why there has been a marked fall in the number of claimants receiving Incapacity Benefit and Severe Disablement Allowance. Many people who would have claimed one of these benefits in the past will now be claiming Employment and Support Allowance instead. Those people claiming Employment and Support Allowance who would previously have claimed Incapacity Benefit or Severe Disablement Allowance are not included in these figures.
Employment and Support Allowance	
definition	Total number of individuals in Great Britain receiving Employment and Support Allowance (thousands).
unit	thousands of claimants
source	DWP: WPLS
link	http://tabulation-tool.dwp.gov.uk/100pc/
Finding the data	Click the link directly above. Under "Employment and Support Allowance". Then under "Analysis" select "Caseload (thousands)"; under "Row" select "Time series"; under "column" you can select any of the options in the drop down menu; under "subset" select "NONE". Then click "Get Table >>" and copy the figures in the column marked "Total".
Comment	Employment and Support Allowance was introduced in October 2008. Since that time Employment and Support Allowance has gradually been replacing Incapacity Benefit, Income Support awarded on the grounds of incapacity and Severe Disablement Allowance. Initially only new claimants were placed on Employment & Support Allowance, but now the majority of claimants already in receipt of Incapacity Benefit, Income Support awarded on the grounds of incapacity and Severe Disablement Allowance have been migrated to the newer benefit. Universal Credit is a new benefit that was introduced in April 2013. Some people who would have claimed income-related Employment and Support Allowance in the past may now be claiming Universal Credit instead. Those people claiming Universal Credit who would previously have claimed Employment and Support Allowance are not included in these figures.
Disability Living Allowance	
definition	total number of Working Age adults in Great Britain receiving Disability Living Allowance (thousands). These figures refer to working age adults receiving DLA rather than to working age adults entitled to DLA.
unit	thousands of claimants
source	DWP: WPLS
link	http://tabulation-tool.dwp.gov.uk/100pc/
Finding the data	Click the link directly above. Under "Benefit/Scheme" select "Disability Living Allowance - cases in payment". Then under "Analysis" select "Caseload (thousands)"; under "Row" select "Time series"; under "column" you can select any of the options in the drop down menu; under "subset" select "Working Age/Pension Age split"; then under the next dropdown menu called "subset" select "Working Age". Then click "Get Table >>" and copy the figures in the column marked "Total".

Comment	From April 2013, a new benefit called Personal Independence Payment was introduced to replace Disability Living Allowance for eligible working age people aged 16-64. Some people who would have claimed Disability Living Allowance in the past may now be claiming Personal Independence Payment. Those people claiming Personal Independence Payment who would have previously claimed Disability Living Allowance are not included in these figures.
---------	--

SUMMARY TABLE OF MAIN SOCIAL TRENDS

Group	Indicator	UK										change 2014-2015 (2015-2016 for SMD & LFS-based figures)	change 2008 to latest year	EU27		
		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2015			latest year change	change 2008 to latest year	
Europe 2020	At risk of poverty or social exclusion (in %)	23.2	22.0	23.2	22.7	24.1	24.8	24.1	23.5	n.a.	-0.6 pp	0.3 pp	23.7	-0.7 pp	0.0 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	18.7	17.3	17.1	16.2	16.0	15.9	16.8	16.7	n.a.	-0.1 pp	-2.0 pp	17.3	0.1 pp	0.8 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty threshold for a single person household (levels in pps, changes as real change in national currency in %)	11126	10091	9521	9466	9868	10060	10138	10627	n.a.	2.0 %	-5.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Severe material deprivation rate (in %)	4.5	3.3	4.8	5.1	7.8	8.3	7.4	6.1	5.2	-0.9 pp	0.7 pp	8.0	-0.3 pp	-0.8 pp	
	Population living in (quasi-) jobless households (in %)	10.4	12.7	13.2	11.5	13.0	13.2	12.3	11.9	n.a.	-0.4 pp	1.5 pp	10.6	-0.6 pp	1.4 pp	
Intensity of poverty risk	Relative median at-risk-of-poverty gap (in %)	21.0	20.6	21.4	21.3	20.9	19.6	19.4	20.2	n.a.	0.8 pp	-0.8 pp	24.8	0.3 pp	2.9 pp	
Persistence of poverty risk	Persistent at-risk-of-poverty rate (in %)	8.5	8.0	7.4	6.9	8.6	7.8	6.5	7.3	n.a.	0.8 pp	-1.2 pp	10.9	0.6 pp	2.2 pp	
Income inequalities	Income quintile ratio (S80/S20)	5.6	5.3	5.4	5.3	5.0	4.6	5.1	5.2	n.a.	2.0 %	-7.1 %	5.2	0.0 %	4.0 %	
Child poverty and social exclusion	At-risk-of poverty or social exclusion rate of children (% of people aged 0-17)	29.6	27.4	29.7	26.9	31.2	32.6	31.2	30.3	n.a.	-0.9 pp	0.7 pp	26.9	-0.8 pp	0.5 pp	
Effectiveness of social protection system	Impact of social transfers (excl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	35.3	43.1	44.8	46.9	46.1	47.2	42.9	42.8	n.a.	-0.0 pp	7.5 pp	33.5	-0.6 pp	-1.3 pp	
	Impact of social transfers (incl. pensions) on poverty reduction (%)	54.0	60.0	61.2	62.7	64.0	64.8	61.5	62.2	n.a.	0.8 pp	8.2 pp	61.2	-0.3 pp	0.5 pp	
	At-risk-of-poverty rate for the population living in (quasi-) jobless households	63.1	61.8	57.3	47.8	46.0	41.2	49.9	47.7	n.a.	-2.2 pp	-15.4 pp	58.9	0.9 pp	3.2 pp	
Social consequences of labour market	In-work at-risk-of poverty rate (in %)	8.0	6.3	6.7	7.8	8.7	8.2	8.8	8.3	n.a.	-0.5 pp	0.3 pp	9.5	-0.1 pp	1.0 pp	
	Long-term unemployment rate (in %)	1.4	1.9	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.2	1.6	1.3	-0.3 pp	-0.1 pp	4.5	-0.6 pp	1.3 pp	
Youth exclusion	Early school leavers (in %)	16.9	15.7	14.8	14.9	13.4	12.4	11.8	10.8	11.2	0.4 pp	-5.7 pp	11.0	-0.2 pp	-4.0 pp	
	Youth unemployment ratio (15-24)	9.2	11.3	11.6	12.4	12.4	12.1	9.8	8.6	7.6	-1.0 pp	-1.6 pp	8.4	-0.7 pp	0.8 pp	
	NEETs (15-24)	12.1	13.2	13.6	14.2	13.9	13.2	11.9	11.1	10.9	-0.2 pp	-1.2 pp	12.0	-0.5 pp	0.6 pp	
Active ageing	Employment rate of older workers (55-64) in %	58.0	57.5	57.2	56.7	58.1	59.8	61.0	62.2	63.4	1.2 pp	5.4 pp	53.4	2.0 pp	9.9 pp	
Pension adequacy	At risk of poverty or social exclusion for the elderly (65+) in %	28.5	23.1	22.3	22.7	17.3	18.1	19.0	17.7	n.a.	-1.3 pp	-10.8 pp	17.3	-0.4 pp	-6.0 pp	
	Median relative income of elderly people	0.74	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.88	0.87	0.87	0.88	n.a.	1.1 %	18.9 %	0.93	-1.1 %	9.4 %	
	Aggregate replacement ratio	0.43	0.44	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.53	0.51	0.50	n.a.	-2.0 %	16.3 %	0.57	1.8 %	16.3 %	
Health	Self reported unmet need for medical care	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.1	2.8	n.a.	0.7 pp	1.8 pp	3.2	-0.4 pp	0.1 pp	
	Healthy life years at 65 - males	10.7	10.9	10.8	11.0	10.5	10.6	9.7	10.2	n.a.	5.2 %	-4.7 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
	Healthy life years at 65 - females	11.7	11.4	11.8	11.9	10.5	10.7	10.6	10.4	n.a.	-1.9 %	-11.1 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Access to decent housing	Housing cost overburden rate	16.3	16.3	16.5	16.4	7.3	7.9	12.5	12.5	n.a.	0.0 pp	-3.8 pp	11.3	-0.3 pp	0.8 pp	
Evolution in real household disposable income	Real change in gross household disposable income (in %)	-0.9	2.2	1.0	-2.1	2.2	-0.1	1.5	3.6	1.5	3.6 %	8.5 %	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

Note: For the poverty threshold values, levels are shown in PPS but changes are shown as changes in national currency terms and accounting for inflation. For consistency with the main SPPM dashboard latest changes refer to 2014-2015 for EU-SILC based indicators (except SMD) and 2015-2016 for SMD and LFS-based indicators, while changes since 2008 refer to 2008-2015 and 2008-2016 respectively. There was a change in the EU-SILC survey vehicle in the UK between 2011 and 2012, which may impact on the comparability of figures. For the housing cost overburden rate, break in the series in 2014.

KEY SOCIAL CHALLENGES AND GOOD SOCIAL OUTCOMES, UNITED KINGDOM

2017

Social policy area	Key social challenge	Good social outcome
1. Preventing poverty and social exclusion through inclusive labour markets, adequate and sustainable social protection and high quality services		
2. Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poverty – tackling child poverty	The number of children (aged 0-17) living in jobless households is worse than EU average but shows a positive development.	
3. Active inclusion – tackling poverty in working age		
4. Elderly poverty/adequate income and living conditions of the elderly		
5. Health & LTC	<i>The rising demand for healthcare in the UK, combined with budget constraints and an ageing workforce, is causing healthcare staff shortages and unfilled vacancies¹⁹⁰.</i> <i>Quality and access to mental health services in England have raised concerns¹⁹¹.</i>	
6. Other key issues		

Note: The assessment of the key social challenges and good social outcomes within EU Member States is based on a full analysis of all the indicators in the social policy area of the Joint Assessment Framework tool, not only those included in the tables in this Country Profile.

¹⁹⁰ Sources: National Audit Office (2016), "Managing the supply of NHS clinical staff in England; Royal College of Physicians (2016), Underfunded. Underdoctored. Overstretched. The NHS in 2016"; European Commission, Country report 2017.

¹⁹¹ Sources: Independent Mental Health Taskforce to the NHS in England (2016); European Commission, Country report 2017

Getting in touch with the EU

ONLINE

Information in all the official languages of the European Union is available on the Europa website: europa.eu

IN PERSON

All over Europe there are hundreds of local EU information centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest to you at: europa.eu/contact

ON THE PHONE OR BY EMAIL

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service by freephone: 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (certain mobile telephone operators do not allow access to 00 800 numbers or may charge for these calls), or by payphone from outside the EU: +32 22999696, or by email via europa.eu/contact

READ ABOUT EUROPE

Publications about the EU are only a click away on the EU Bookshop website: bookshop.europa.eu

Despite the recent improvement in most social indicators in many Member States, the Social Protection Committee 2017 report shows that overall the social situation in the EU hasn't recovered from the economic crisis. The EU continues to be far off-track in reaching its Europe 2020 poverty and social exclusion target, with signs of continued deterioration in the depth and persistence of poverty in a number of Member States as well as widening gaps in the adequacy of social benefits. Key policy challenges are identified in relation to the effectiveness of social protection for the working age population, children, and persons in vulnerable situations, such as persons with disabilities.

The report also highlights challenges with regard access to social protection for the self-employed and atypical workers as well as to high quality health services and affordable and quality long-term care. Reducing poverty and fighting social exclusion remain key challenges for Member States and improving the performance of social protection systems in terms of poverty prevention and reduction as well as social investment are essential.

The Social Protection Committee monitors the social situation in the EU and the developments in social protection policies in Member States. Based on a set of key indicators and Member States' reporting, the report analyses the progress towards the Europe 2020 target on reducing poverty and social exclusion together with the latest social trends to watch. The most recent social policy developments in Europe are also reported on as well as the key structural social challenges currently faced by each Member State.

More on the Social protection Committee

<http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?catId=758&langId=en>

You can download our publications or subscribe for free at

<http://ec.europa.eu/social/publications>

If you would like to receive regular updates about the Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion sign up to receive the free *Social Europe e-newsletter* at

<http://ec.europa.eu/social/e-newsletter>



<https://www.facebook.com/socialeurope>



https://twitter.com/EU_Social